

## PRA Requests

Received From: 1/1/2018

Received To: 1/31/2018

Total: 194

Request ID	Requester Last Name	Requester First Name	Received Date	Request Description	Request or Business Name	Request Status	Responder	Response Summary
PRA-2018-00001	Males	Michael	1/2/2018	Is it possible to obtain the raw 2016 statewide arrest file (with names, addresses, SSNs, and all other personal identifiers redacted) showing at least the date of arrest, county, jurisdiction, age, sex, race/Hispanic ethnicity, and detailed offense. I realize this is a large file. If that is not available or would be difficult to provide, are the 2015 and 2016 files available for year, offense category, race/Hispanic ethnicity, sex, county, and jurisdiction, for more detailed ages 10-14, 15-17, 18-19, 20-24, 25-29, 30-39, 40-49, 50-59, 60+ (the ages on the County page).		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and enclosed the responsive documents.
PRA-2018-00002	Raiser	Aaron	1/2/2018	Record(s) showing all time/dates that my name and/or driver's license was checked in the California Law Enforcement Telecommunication System. CLETS. the request dates to search - where available - are from August 1, 2004 to March 1, 2014. My driver's license # is: [REDACTED] My Last Name is "Raiser". My First Name is "Aaron"		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	Access to the telecommunications system utilized by law enforcement agencies, including the Department of Justice (DOJ), is restricted by law (Gov. Code § 15152). Access to records relating to California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS) inquiries is similarly restricted. Confidentiality provisions like these are expressly incorporated into the PRA (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k)). As such, your request for records is denied. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PRA-2018-00003	Roland	Kim	1/2/2018	Freedom of Information Act Request I would like copies of any April 1981 "Keddie Murders" case interviews conducted by the DOJ with Sheila Sharp, Ricky Sharp, Greg Sharp, Justin Eason, Glenna Meeks, Zonita Seabolt, Marty Smartt. This is a request under the Freedom of Information Act. I am researching the 1981 "Keddie Murders" case. I realize the case is still considered open but is soon to be 37 years old and the two main suspects deceased. I am an individual seeking information for personal use and not commercial use. If you estimate the fees will exceed \$100 please inform me first. I sincerely appreciate your consideration of this request.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes and logical places and have been unable to locate records responsive to your request. To the extent that your request seeks investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 ["While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)."])
PRA-2018-00004	Hoffman	Amy	1/2/2018	Case # 18609157M9503 John A Hardy DOB [REDACTED] Looking for all records related to this case		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.
PRA-2018-00005	Nassar	Nizar	1/2/2018	A case involving myself and a woman named Autumn Rhodes who lives in Canada. Date is anywhere from 5/1/17-10/1/17 Case would be about internet harassment or stalking, something of that sort. I was swindled out of tens of thousands of dollars by this woman and upon discovering I was being conned I got mad and wrote her a bunch of emails demanding the truth, an apology, an explanation and my money back. I'm trying to figure out when exactly these harassment		Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking case records, you may wish to contact the court

				allegations were first made against me, what the pending charges are and the exact details Ms. Rhodes included in her report.			in which the case was heard. To the extent that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-00006	Haddal	Natasha	1/2/2018	Documents served to the Attorney General's Office pursuant to California Insurance Code section 10134, et seq. from December 1st, 2017 through December 31st, 2017.	Completed	Howard Wayne	We will provide you with such documents. Please contact Nancy Quach at 415-703-5648 to schedule time for you to review these records. If you wish to copy these records, you will need to provide your own scanning equipment.
PRA-2018-00007	Dastrup	Amber	1/2/2018	All records, correspondence including electronic communications relating to the licensure of Hemphill's Cardroom in Napa County California or Timothy Long from January 2014 to the present, including but not limited to notes, investigation reports, recommendations, staff reports, notes of communications, including the October 30, 2017 from Jarhett Blonien to the Bureau of Gambling Control requesting the BGC cease its review of the APA between BVK Gaming, Inc. and Timothy Long dated October 1, 2007.	Completed	Riann Kopchak	<p>The California Gambling Control Act, in California Business and Professions Code section 1982 1, subdivision (b ), provides that the Bureau "shall maintain a file of all applications for licenses" and that the file is "open to public inspection. The Bureau is therefore enclosing copies of the license applications, maintained in the referenced file, of the entity and the individual identified in your request. If the following information was included on an application, it has been redacted as authorized by the California Public Records Act, in California Government Code section 6254, subdivision (n), and section 6255: home address, home phone number, personal cellphone number, applicant birth date, social security number, and dollar compensation of principal. This personal information has been redacted because it is protected by the strong public policy set forth in California Constitution article I, section 1, the right of privacy, yet is of minor significance for legitimate uses, and identity theft and similar serious crimes often rely on such personal information for success.</p> <p>To the extent your request seeks documents other than the applications identified above, the Gambling Control Act specifies that except as provided therein, the records of the Bureau are exempt from disclosure under the California Public Records Act. (Cal. Bus. &amp; Prof. Code, § 19821 , subd. (c).) California Business and Professions Code section 19821, subdivision (d), specifies that it unlawful for an official or employee of the Department to knowingly disclose or furnish records or information to a person not authorized by law to receive them, except as necessary for the administration of the Gambling Control Act. A review of your records request suggests no applicable exception to the general exemption from disclosure set forth above. Accordingly, any records that would be responsive to your request are exempt from disclosure by the terms of the Public Records Act, pursuant to Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k).</p> <p>In addition, your request is of sufficient breadth to encompass records that are exempt from disclosure under the attorney-client privilege or the attorney work product doctrine. The attorney client privilege contained in California Evidence Code section 954 protects confidential communications between attorney and client, including confidential communications between an attorney within the Attorney General's Office and that office's governmental clients. The attorney work product doctrine protects from disclosure any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research, or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) California Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k), incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, and exempts from disclosure records covered by the attorney client privilege and the attorney work product doctrine. (Cal. Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); see County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Records such as confidential analyses, notes, draft language and memoranda prepared by attorneys employed by the Attorney General's Office are consequently exempt from disclosure. For the foregoing reasons, requested records other than the applications identified above are exempt from disclosure, and your request for such records is denied. The decisions described herein with respect to the requested records have been</p>

							made by Associate Governmental Program Analyst Kaylee Forthman and Deputy Attorney General Robert L. Mukai.
PRA-2018-00008	Small	Julie	1/2/2018	Under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq I request the following information in electronic format for all in-custody deaths (excluding state prisons) for all counties in California. 1. All death in custody reports submitted on Form BCIA 713 to the Department of Justice from the beginning of 2010 through the present date. 2. All death in custody annual summaries on inmates under jail jurisdiction submitted on form J-9A submitted to the to the Department of Justice from the beginning of 2007 through 2017.	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. In regard to item (1), please find attached. Note, we have included the 2017 forms that have not been entered yet in a PDF to accompany the spreadsheet. In regard to item (2), the J-9A form is a federal form that county jails complete and send directly to the Federal Bureau of Investigation; therefore, the DOJ does not receive or retain this information.
PRA-2018-00009	Lilly	Robert	1/2/2018	This is my 2nd request for the revenue information as would be reported in form CT-2cf for commercial fundraiser "New Canvassing Experience." Please send me the 2016 revenue information for Oxfam America and New Canvassing Experience (EIN 812583107 eg #FP0002486). This information is now 1 year past due as required by California regulation and not available on the website. Also, please also send me 2016 revenue information for Save the Children and New Canvassing Experience as this is 1 kely past due as well being that it is now 2018. I have sent a larger complaint regarding this commercial fundraiser. Children and New Canvassing Experience as this is 1 kely past due as well being that it is now 2018. I have sent a larger complaint regarding this commercial fundraiser.	Completed	Christopher C. Lamerding	A diligent search of our files shows no form CT-2CFs responsive to your request. All other documents that are matters of public record regarding the New Canvassing Experience and Oxfam America Action Fund are available for your viewing at the public pages of the Registry of Charitable Trusts website: <a href="http://rct.doj.ca.gov/MyLicenseVerification/Search.aspx?facility=Y">http://rct.doj.ca.gov/MyLicenseVerification/Search.aspx?facility=Y</a> You could search by using the "Organization Name," or by using "State Charity Registration Number" which is FP0002486, New Canvassing Experience's commercial fundraiser registration number, or 127627, the charity registration number for Oxfam America Action Fund, Inc.
PRA-2018-00010	Horoupian	Gregory	1/2/2018	I'd like to request any records the State of California may have regarding a murder case from 1973 for which the defendant was found guilty of 8 counts of murder. I've contacted the courts, law libraries, and the State Archives, but due to the age of this case they haven't yet been found. The documents I'm seeking are: Trial court documents (People v. Kemper, Santa Cruz Superior Court Case #50628) Appellate court documents (California 1st District Court of Appeal File #1-CRIMINAL-12676) Corrections files on the convicted offender (Edmund Emil Kemper III, CDC #B52453) Any additional files that State may have available on the convicted offender, Edmund Emil Kemper III (b. December 18, 1948) Thank you for your consideration of my request.	Completed	Maria E. Silva	Called requester to inform his the case was handled by Santa Cruz DA. He stated he had been to the court, but certain records were under seal. He thanked us for the call and appreciated us directing him to the DA's office.
PRA-2018-00011	Galaviz	Maria	1/2/2018	SAT/ACT scores	Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking SAT/ACT records, you may wish to direct your request to the agency that issues the tests.
PRA-2018-00042	Lawrence	Lydell	1/2/2018	Requesting Information on both charitable giving for donors and guide for charities in Los Angeles.	Completed	James M. Toma	Please find enclosed a copy of the Guide to Charitable Giving for Donors. We do not have a publication titled "Guide for Charities in Los Angeles." However, we have a publication entitled "Attorney General's Guide for Charities: Best practices for nonprofits that operate or fundraise in California." It may be found on the Attorney

							General website ( <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/files/agweb/pdfs/charities/publications/guide_for_charities.pdf">https://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/files/agweb/pdfs/charities/publications/guide for charities.pdf</a> ).
PRA-2018-00064	Richardson	Sheila	1/2/2018	Obtaining a press conference with scheduling in the 300 S. Spring Street Los Angeles Office, with Ronald Reagan, along with the COLABOS on Jan. 9th 2018, divorced since 1985, frauds of accounting from government agency's of approved workers compensation unit, from my lacera since 2004, this system of the United States Justice System, along with Department of Corporations, Secretary of State, of State of California most important the Department of Police, DOJ my purse was stolen, thus the individual which stole the purse content was related, embezzled the prints, and defrauded some financial data bases, not limited to medical records, of paid claims, and lifetime royalties, of Self Service Terminals indicating position of the articles was retired, or a substitute was applied, these individuals defrauded identities, altered lacera insurance coverage indicating they purchase FOIA profile data, or equal opportunity employer's which is illegal, in embezzlement, commingling, mixing which calbre proh bits, of financial defamation, or financial injury, of redlining, along with steering, of Fair, Equal Housing Law, of DFEH approved in the legislation since 1977, not limited to civil rights discrimination act, since 1968, not limited to the 18th amendment, as a citizen of this state, framing, embezzlement of CSC agent services, is an illegal entity, along with Carl Warren, Issac Smith, correspondence fraud of them, affecting financial data bases, issued by State and Government Agencies, of approved, cases, claims, royalties, and accounting, bonded, licensed, DMV CALBRE, plus Secretary of State articles, and bylaws, of FTB paid data, with no consent, or sellers buyers agreement, or agencies, of the COLABOS, issued gross salary, of over 30 years of employment equal opportunity employer since 1985, these individuals which stole my purse, hacked data basis, and stole prints, are involved in a scam of non profits scams, I'm asking for the District Attorney Referral of this individual, not limited to three million in damages, along with Public Inquiry Press Conference for this individual of frauds, of children, certificate of title data approved custodial data of judicial relief, of divorce decree, in 1985, of three children, some other person, has been indicating she was me, and usury of my funding, benefits, which California Justice Systems, Civil Rights Acts, along with Secretary of State prohibit, in articles and bylaws of any agency, or business entity, not limited to the State of California Medical Board, of stabilized competent health, as owner of this equity, capital gain, profit, and revisions, my phone service, my profit, my bank data, and pin data is not or series e bonds, or profit, of CBT is not for sale, nor my clothing or shoes, or work entitlement, of COLABOS or Income Tax Refund Income Insurance, of the CBT account data base, since 2004, money crimes, correspondence fraud, of Government Agencies, issued by the State of California, of which the Department of Justice, Prohibits, along with Department of Motor Vehicles, and Department of Real Estate, along with ECOA of financials issued by the State of California	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Providing the actual name or title of the record.</li> <li>-Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record.</li> <li>-Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record.</li> <li>-Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created.</li> <li>-If related to a case, providing the case name or number.</li> <li>-Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record.</li> <li>-Indicating the city in which the record was created.</li> </ul> <p>It is the policy of the Department to provide access to all public records upon request unless the law provides an exemption from mandatory disclosure. Examples of records exempt from mandatory disclosure under the California Public Records Act include: certain personnel records, investigative records, drafts, confidential legal advice, records prepared in connection with ongoing litigation, and information that may be kept confidential pursuant to other state or federal statutes.</p>



				<p>which no discrimination is valid, nor unauthorized receivership, of the database, or certificate of title data of DCFS, which is being heard in the Hearing &amp; Appeals Section of the interest, corporate data of the State of California, which I am and will remain the active status, of the entire property issued, which all monetary FDIC profit, is operational, along with the FTB returns, issued which were embezzled by misrepresentation of some scam of medical malpractice frauds, and correspondence group of Yahweh, along with Joyce wynn &amp; GP Paralegal Services, fortune for me being in good health, and excellent data, of financials and credits, this individual will be removed from my data, the District Attorney Referral is Valid, no exchange, transfer or trade, to Centerville, or street Ville, or Dunn, of Smith communications, or merged equity, with a silent interest, indicating otherwise, of my equity, which I will handle the financial responsibility of the misrepresentation of this entire fraud, commingling, all acquired by theft, nothing inherited or transfer of consent, or authorized usage of the property, or deeds, no consolidated vesting, or lacera content, which is still being litigated, for damages, of breach of securities, misrepresentation, insurance and health care fraud.</p> <p>No Sellers Buyers Agreement, or Seller's Buyers Agency  No exchange of equity, name data bases, or certificate of title filed in good standing with Secretary of State No combined interest, of the LACERA, COLABOS, or Articles and Bylaws of Exclusive Auto Corporation with any associate of Parker, Smith, Gregory, Wynn, or Stephan Richardson, or any associate of Misty Douglas, and property of a trust of 440 Division Street, indicating otherwise, of property deed frauds, of a former employee of PHS services, with a name indicating Stephan Allen Richardson, or Patsy Richardson, or Lajauna Baker, since 2001, which the articles indicate 5 years, of retirement, no retirement status, which makes the property items, no sale, just unauthorized usage of the collateral of CBT, of which I will remain active status, the mandatory status hearing is valid, my damages and standing remain of my property, all certificate of title's along with the property of funding's, since 2001, not limited to the approved funding of Judge Edward Graham since 1991, and continued presumptive payment. My damages remain, pledging into my escrow, my damages remain, for the unauthorized usage, of this data.</p>			
PRA-2018-00068	Olfati (January 2018)	Parvin	1/2/2018	<p>1. Each and every electronic mail message, text message, memorandum, letter, document, writing and/or record that mentions, refers to, and/or relates to any placement of or release of any nerve agent, nerve gas, toxic, contaminant, poison or substance directed at or on the person of Parvin Olfati; directed at or in any residence believed to be used by Parvin Olfati; directed at or in any place where Parvin Olfati has believed to have escaped to get away from being poisoned, becoming ill, or otherwise affected; and/or directed at or in any automobile believed to be used by Parvin Olfati.</p> <p>2. For any document in Request Item 1 above that DOJ claims has been destroyed or is otherwise unavailable, please provide all records of any destruction or other</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.

				reasons for non-availability, together with applicable records retention policies.			
PRA-2018-00013	Yeh	Julie	1/3/2018	I am requesting for the police dash cam footage for a traffic stop that occurred on December 30, 2017 at approximately 6:17 PM. The citation number is AA509960 for the vehicle license number [REDACTED]. I have filed a complaint through the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department Watch Commander's Service Comment Report (No. 247148) against the officers Richard Alvarez and Richard Franks for harassment, improper detention and search of personal property.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PRA-2018-00014	Watkins	Kimberly	1/3/2018	I am requesting this FOIA in regard to a case that was created in 1991 in venue of San Fernando Courthouse located 900 Third St. San Fernando Courthouse 91340. The case number is 91F07562, case name THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA V ROBERT EUGENE BASKIN. I have completed a FBI criminal investigative request prior and am now seeking an FOIA request of the above name and case number because I believed that I continue to suffer the loss of substantial due process rights if the issues of this case is not proven to have even existed. There is no pending case filed however, this request is urgently requested to inform the public that I was committed without due process at the initial proceedings held unlawfully on November 19, 1991 for which I have been condemned falsely that has tarnished my life as well as my family. Specific information requested: 1. Any committals identified that are against ROBERT EUGENE BASKIN with regard to case number 91F07562 as it relates to charges 2. The twelve panel jury names who were present at the trial(s) regarding case number 91F07562 who committed ROBERT EUGENE BASKIN guilty on November 19, 1991 3. Actual Judges names who presided in case number 91F07562 on November 19, 1991 4. Reveal any contracts that were made referencing ROBERT EUGENE BASKIN, which he had no knowledge or consent regarding case number 91F07562 on November 19, 1991 5. Bonds amounts registered in the name of ROBERT EUGENE BASKIN for case number 91F07562 6. Special identifiers such as Cusip numbers that were used to trade instrument(s) in case number 91F07562 Kimberly Watkins is requesting information on behalf of person sought records of. Information requested from this department is due to its receipt notated or email transmission indicated on transcript acquired from court jurisdiction. This department should possess the records requested. Please inform.	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If this case was handled by a District Attorney's office, you may be able to direct your request to them. You may also be able to request the records from the San Fernando Courthouse, since the case was filed there. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-00016	Mason	Melody	1/3/2018	I need information about a case I was involved in around 1986. James Tilley was convicted and I was awarded a settlement for free therapy but have never had access to it.	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. You may also wish to contact the court in which the case was heard, or the local district attorney if this case was managed by a district attorney's office.

PRA-2018-00071	Phillips	Megan	1/3/2018	Divorce record - other name used Megan Phillipsvalpey (DOB [REDACTED]); Spouse Thomas Charles Valpey (DOB [REDACTED]); Date of marriage [REDACTED] Carmel Valley CA; Date of divorce between 1986 - 1989, filed in either San Francisco County or Marin County		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA-2018-00076	Olfati (January 2018)	Parvin	1/3/2018	1. The DOJ Public Inquiry Unit response to the Parvin Olfati July 20, 2017 letter to the DOJ Public Inquiry Unit. The Parvin Olfati letter of July 20, 2017 is reprinted below. As you can see, it discussed the Department of Consumer Affairs (DCA) referring my complaint about the ADT alarm services company to the DOJ Public Inquiry. As you can also see, at the end of the letter I asked the DOJ to "accept the complaint, take all necessary action to resolve the complaint, and communicate with me ... " 2. The two attachments to the Parvin Olfati July 20, 2017 letter to the DOJ Public Inquiry Unit, as follows July 18, 2017 DCA letter to Parvin Olfati (2 pages) Parvin Olfati complaint to DCA against ADT (14 pages) I recall DOJ sending me at least one letter in response to my July 20, 2017 letter, and I recall that letter saying "this matter has been taken care of by the Department of Consumer Affairs" or similar words to that effect. I am requesting this letter pursuant to the PRA and IP A because the copy I originally received was stolen from my house in one of the barrage of break-ins and thefts that I have suffered. If there was any additional attachments or correspondence sent to me by the DOJ Public Inquiry Unit in response or in relation to my July 20, 2017 letter, please send that too. I am also requesting copies of the attachments to my July 20, 2017 letter because they were also stolen.		Completed	Melissa Weikel	Pursuant to your request, enclosed please find the following documents: Consumer complaint dated October 21, 2016 Response letter dated October 31, 2016 Consumer complaint dated July 20, 2017 Response letter dated July 31, 2017
PRA-2018-00233	Campbell	Jon	1/3/2018	I'm a journalist in New York City interested in statistics on crimes that are not listed on your site, and may be rarely requested. Is it possible to produce data, perhaps through a CPRA request, on specific sections of the penal code? I would be interested in how many times annually violation of a specific section of the code results in arrest/prosecution, locations of each case, and ideally detailed demographic information about defendants in each instance. The statute I'm primarily interested in is PC 21510. Please let me know how I may go about obtaining this data. Thanks very much for your time.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	As you noted, the crime statistics data that you requested is not available online on the Department of Justice's (DOJ) OpenJustice Initiative website, therefore is not available through the CJSC. However, the DOJ discloses statistical data to bona fide research bodies and public agencies pursuant to Penal Code sections 11105 and 13202. If you are a research body, then your request would fall under the Department's research request process. In order to request the data through this process, complete the attached packet regarding policies and procedures that relate to accessing criminal offender record information. The document, Conditions for Release of Criminal Offender Record Information, must be reviewed and signed by the project lead/requester. When completed, email all required documentation to my attention. Once the packet is received, it will be reviewed and you will be notified if it is approved. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PRA-2018-00017	McGrath	Sarah	1/4/2018	Report on the Irish Sweepstakes, published on 3rd February 1960, under Attorney General Stanley Mosk. I would like to obtain a copy of this report for my research on The Irish Sweepstakes for my school paper. Please let me know if this is possible.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. Our research into the matter shows that you may be able to obtain the document you are seeking via the California State Library via this website: <a href="https://askstatelibrary.libraryresearch.info/ref100.aspx?pmi=xPnVNq028v">https://askstatelibrary.libraryresearch.info/ref100.aspx?pmi=xPnVNq028v</a> .
PRA-2018-00018	Vogt	Daniel	1/4/2018	Seeking assistance from your office for complaint records associated with AA Duct Cleaning, AAA Duct Services, et al, and their related owners, managers and operators in		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes and logical places and have been unable to locate records responsive to your request. To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative

				connection with a State of Georgia Attorney General's Office investigation. Need direct contact with someone that can assist with research and provide copies of complaints to our office to support our investigation. I am a criminal investigator with the Georgia Attorney General's Office in Atlanta, GA I was unable to locate a direct call number on your web site for law enforcement personnel inquiries.			files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 ["While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)."].)
PRA-2018-00019	Vegh	Thomas	1/4/2018	Looking for public records related a foreclosure of a property I owned at 24682 El Camino Capistrano, DP parcel 682-203-06. The date was on or about 2012. I am trying to have a foreclosure notice removed from my credit report after 7 years. I require a copy of the notice of sale to send to credit agencies to have it removed.	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides.
PRA-2018-00020	Combs (GVRO)	Brandon	1/4/2018	<p>The California Legislature has found and declared that "Firearm violence is a significant public health and public safety problem in California and nationwide." Penal Code section 14230(a).</p> <p>It also found and declared that "Too little is known about firearm violence and its prevention. This is in substantial part because too little research has been done. The need for more research and more sophisticated research has repeatedly been emphasized." Penal Code section 14230(e).</p> <p>California Penal Code section 14231.5 states:</p> <p>"Notwithstanding any other law, the Department of Justice shall make information relating to gun violence restraining orders that is maintained in the California Restraining and Protective Order System, or any similar database maintained by the department, available to researchers affiliated with the University of California Firearm Violence Research Center, or, at the department's discretion, to any other nonprofit educational institution or public agency immediately concerned with the study and prevention of violence, for academic and policy research purposes, provided that any material identifying individuals is not transferred, revealed, or used for other than research or statistical activities and reports or publications derived therefrom shall not identify specific individuals."</p> <p>This is a matter of great public concern, unique to California, important to our members/supporters and all citizens of the State of California, and, pursuant to the public interest and concern, we request a copy of all records pertaining to gun violence restraining orders that you have used and/or are in your custody or control, including but not limited to records/information located within the California Restraining and Protective Order System, or any similar database maintained by the Department of Justice. We limit this records request to records in existence from January 1, 2016, to the present.</p> <p>This request potentially requires the production of records that exist or are maintained in electronic form, including but not limited to emails. For all electronic</p>	Completed	Robert Wilson	<p>An extension letter was sent on January 1, 2018 by Robert Wilson.</p> <p>On January 29, 2018, Robert Wilson responded: The Department does not have any documents responsive to your request. However, we are providing you statistics of Gun Violence Restraining Orders from 2016 to 2017.</p>

				records, please take all steps necessary to prevent the intentional or accidental destruction/loss of these records during the pendency of this request. Emails and electronic records are often subject to "retention policies" that require their automatic deletion after a certain period of time (i.e., 30, 60, or 90 days). If any electronic records that are potentially responsive to this request are subject to such a retention policy, please prevent their automatic deletion before you can locate, review, and provide me with copies.			
PRA-2018-00021	Combs (Assault Weapons applications)	Brandon	1/4/2018	<p>Please consider this a formal request for access to public records and information pertaining to a matter of great public concern, unique to California, and important to our members, supporters, many thousands of law-abiding gun owners throughout California, and all California citizens.</p> <p>Given statutory deadlines and the potential for the loss of property and serious criminal liability for a person's failure to timely comply with legal duties, time is of the essence.</p> <p>To assist in clarifying this request with the hope of avoiding unnecessary delay, I provide the following factual background followed by a description of the records I want to access.</p> <p>BACKGROUND: In 2016, Senate Bill 880 and Assembly Bill 1135 were enacted, expanding (effective January 1, 2017) the definition of "assault weapons" and creating new statutory mandates for gun owners and the California Department of Justice (DOJ) alike.</p> <p>Penal Code section 30900(b)(1) requires gun owners who possess subject weapons to "register the firearm before July 1, 2018, ....with the [DOJ]."</p> <p>Penal Code section 30900(b)(2) requires that DOJ create "a public-facing application" so that gun owners' "assault weapon" registrations can "be submitted electronically via the Internet."</p> <p>Assembly Bill 103 (2017) established June 30, 2018, as the deadline for "assault weapon" registration. After that date, the registration period will be completely closed. Failure to comply with California "assault weapon" registration laws can result in severe and serious consequences; for example, the loss and destruction of personal property firearms, and serious criminal liability. See generally Penal Code section 30600, et seq.</p> <p>RECORDS REQUESTED: Please provide me with a copy of the following records in existence that allow me and the public to determine:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The number of applications for firearm registration as an "Assault Weapon" under Penal Code section 30900(b) that were submitted from July 31, 2017, to the present. In lieu of documents I will accept your calculation of the number of applications as a full response to this request.</li> <li>2. The number of applications for firearm registration as an "Assault Weapon" under Penal Code section 30900(b) that have been approved from July 31, 2017, to the present. In lieu of documents I will accept your calculation of the number of applications that have been approved as a full response to this request.</li> <li>3. The number of applications for firearm registration as an "Assault Weapon" under Penal Code section</li> </ol>	Completed	Robert Wilson	<p>Robert Wilson sent an extension letter on January 16, 2018.</p> <p>Robert Wilson sent a status update on January 30, 2018.</p> <p>Robert Wilson responded on February 1, 2018: Here are the responses to your requested information:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. 4,653 applications to register bullet button assault weapons were submitted for registration in California Firearms Application Reporting System (CFARS).</li> <li>2. 2,523 applications were approved in CFARS.</li> <li>3. 200 applications were rejected in CFARS.</li> <li>4. 1,232 applications are still being processed by the Department.</li> <li>5. We cannot provide you with an estimate on the time it takes to review and make a determination on an assault weapon registration application because it varies greatly depending on the circumstances.</li> <li>6. Please find enclosed the information you seek.</li> </ol>

				<p>30900(b) that have been denied/rejected from July 31, 2017, to the present. In lieu of documents I will accept your calculation of the number of applications that have been denied/rejected as a full response to this request.</p> <p>4. The number of applications for firearm registration as an "Assault Weapon" under Penal Code section 30900(b) submitted from July 31, 2017, to the present that are still being processed. In lieu of documents I will accept your calculation of the number of applications still being processed as a full response to this request.</p> <p>5. The average time it takes to review and make a determination on an "Assault Weapon" registration application under Penal Code section 30900(b) submitted from July 31, 2017, to the present. In lieu of documents I will accept a list of all applications including their respective dates of submission and DOJ's determination of acceptance or denial as a full response to this request.</p> <p>6. From January 1, 2017, to the present, a copy of all daily, weekly, monthly, or other periodical reports about "Assault Weapon" registrations and applications under Penal Code section 30900(b).</p> <p>Please provide all responses to this records request in the form of pdf or electronic documents delivered via email attachment(s).</p>			
PRA-2018-00022	Combs (CCW 2017)	Brandon	1/4/2018	<p>As used in this request: A "Carry Concealed Weapon" license will be referred to in this request as a "CCW"; A "licensing authority" is an entity authorized to issue CCW licenses under Penal Code § 26150, et seq.</p> <p>NOTE: Nothing in this request is intended to be duplicative of any other request item. This request does not seek confidential information, protected criminal history information, copies of actual background check applications/submissions/forwarded fingerprints, any un-redacted background check request form, or determination/result of the Department's background check.</p> <p>RECORDS REQUESTED:</p> <p>1) For the data period of January 1, 2017, through December 31, 2017, inclusive, or as of the data date December 31, 2017, a copy of the DOJ's CCW data / database or other electronic recordkeeping, or an electronic export (i.e., .xls, .xlsx, or .csv file type) thereof, that includes, but is not limited to, the following information:</p> <p>A) Issued CCW licenses (initial and renewal), pending applications for CCW licenses, processing applications for CCW licenses, revoked CCW licenses, any other status of CCW license or application therefore, and the following associated data:</p> <p>i) ORI number</p> <p>ii) Licensing authority / agency name</p> <p>iii) Licensing authority / agency county</p> <p>iv) Licensing authority / agency city</p> <p>v) CCW type (i.e., civilian, judicial, custodial officer, reserve officer, place of employment or business-based 90 day license, any other type reported)</p> <p>vi) Licensee / applicant residence state, county, city, ZIP code</p> <p>vii) CCW Issuance (effective) date, as reported to DOJ</p>	Completed	Robert Wilson	<p>An extension letter was sent on January 1, 2018 by Robert Wilson.</p> <p>On January 24, 2018, Robert Wilson wrote: There is no statewide public database for concealed carry weapons (CCW) permits. California is a "may-issue" state, so local law enforcement agencies (like county Sheriffs and local police departments) have discretion over issuing CCWs. Therefore, the process for issuing CCW permits varies county by county. The only obligation for the Department of Justice under the law is to review eligibility and provide local law enforcement agencies with information on whether or not the applicant is prohibited, for reasons having to do with applicable laws. The actual issuance of CCWs takes place on the local law enforcement agency level. You may wish to contact local law enforcement agencies for additional information. More information about the CCW process can be found at: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/firearms/pubfaqs#4">https://oag.ca.gov/firearms/pubfaqs#4</a>. Please keep in mind that CCW permits generally expire and need to be renewed every two years, so the "total number" can fluctuate on a daily basis.</p> <p>Please note that although similar information has been provided to requestors in the past, at no cost to them, the requested information is not a report that the Department prepares in the ordinary course of business. We can provide you with historical information at no cost to you.</p> <p>However, special programming will be required to generate the 2017 information and the Department is allowed by law to charge for this programming. (See Gov. Code, § 6253.9, subd. (b); see also California Department of Justice Guidelines for Access to Public Records [When the Department must compile electronic data, extract information from an electronic record, or undertake computer programming to satisfy a request, the Department may require the requester to bear the full costs, not just the direct cost of duplication.]) In this case, it will take a Senior Programmer Analyst, at minimum, two hours to complete this report at a cost of \$50.47. If you still desire this information, please make a check payable to the California Department of Justice in the amount of \$50.47 and send it to:</p> <p>California Department of Justice Public Records Coordinator 1300 I Street, Room 1710-6 Sacramento, CA 95814</p> <p>If we do not receive payment within 20 days, we shall consider the request for records withdrawn and the matter closed.</p> <p>March 13, 2018 response: Enclosed are the CCW statistics by county. Please note</p>

				<p>under Penal Code § 26225 viii) Expiration date (if known) 2) For the period of January 1, 2017, through December 31, 2017, a copy of all information reported to or collected by DOJ pursuant to Penal Code § 26225(b)-(c). In the past, DOJ has provided spreadsheets containing data responsive to requests like this one. If DOJ provides me with a spreadsheet containing the information I requested in this request, I will consider the request satisfied.</p> <p>This request potentially requires the production of records/information that exists or is maintained in electronic form. For all electronic records, please take all steps necessary to prevent the intentional or accidental destruction/loss of these records during the pendency of this request.</p> <p>Please email me at <a href="mailto:bcombs@calgunsfoundation.org">bcombs@calgunsfoundation.org</a> or <a href="mailto:bcombs@calgunsfoundation.org">bcombs@calgunsfoundation.org</a> if you have any questions or would like to discuss this request.</p>			that this report reflects the current number of CCW permits in our system. As such, these numbers are subject to change on a daily basis as permits expire and others are issued.
PRA-2018-00023	Combs (CCW 2016)	Brandon	1/4/2018	<p>Please consider this a formal request for access to public records and information that you use/have used that is in your possession, custody, and/or control.</p> <p>As used in this request: A "Carry Concealed Weapon" license will be referred to in this request as a "CCW"; A "licensing authority" is an entity authorized to issue CCW licenses under Penal Code § 26150, et seq.</p> <p>NOTE: Nothing in this request is intended to be duplicative of any other request item. This request does not seek confidential information, protected criminal history information, copies of actual background check applications/submissions/forwarded fingerprints, any un-redacted background check request form, or determination/result of the Department's background check.</p> <p>RECORDS REQUESTED:</p> <p>1) For the data period of January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2016, inclusive, or as of the data date December 31, 2016, a copy of the DOJ's CCW data / database or other electronic recordkeeping, or an electronic export (i.e., .xls, .xlsx, or .csv file type) thereof, that includes, but is not limited to, the following information:</p> <p>A) Issued CCW licenses (initial and renewal), pending applications for CCW licenses, processing applications for CCW licenses, revoked CCW licenses, any other status of CCW license or application therefore, and the following associated data:</p> <p>i) ORI number ii) Licensing authority / agency name iii) Licensing authority / agency county iv) Licensing authority / agency city v) CCW type (i.e., civilian, judicial, custodial officer, reserve officer, place of employment or business-based 90 day license, any other type reported) vi) Licensee / applicant residence state, county, city, ZIP code vii) CCW Issuance (effective) date, as reported to DOJ under Penal Code § 26225 viii) Expiration date (if known) 2) For the period of January 1, 2016, through December</p>	Completed	Robert Wilson	<p>An extension letter was sent on January 1, 2018 by Robert Wilson.</p> <p>On January 17, 2018, Robert Wilson responded: There is no statewide public database for concealed carry weapons (CCW) permits. California is a "may-issue" state, so local law enforcement agencies (like county Sheriffs and local police departments) have discretion over issuing CCWs. Therefore, the process for issuing CCW permits varies county by county. The only obligation for the Department of Justice under the law is to review eligibility and provide local law enforcement agencies with information on whether or not the applicant is prohibited, for reasons having to do with applicable laws. The actual issuance of CCWs takes place on the local law enforcement agency level. You may wish to contact local law enforcement agencies for additional information. More information about the CCW process can be found at: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/firearms/pubfags#4">https://oag.ca.gov/firearms/pubfags#4</a>. Please keep in mind that CCW permits generally expire and need to be renewed every two years, so the "total number" can fluctuate on a daily basis.</p> <p>Attached in the email we sent you is a PDF of the spreadsheet of the 2016 data for CCW permits in California from DOJ's Bureau of Firearms.</p>



				<p>31, 2016, a copy of all information reported to or collected by DOJ pursuant to Penal Code § 26225(b)-(c). In the past, DOJ has provided spreadsheets containing data responsive to requests like this one. If DOJ provides me with a spreadsheet containing the information I requested in this request, I will consider the request satisfied.</p> <p>This request potentially requires the production of records/information that exists or is maintained in electronic form. For all electronic records, please take all steps necessary to prevent the intentional or accidental destruction/loss of these records during the pendency of this request.</p>			
PRA-2018-00024	Combs (DROS)	Brandon	1/4/2018	<p>Please consider this a request for public information/records and provide me with copies of the following for the period of January 1, 2017, through December 31, 2017, inclusive:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Dealers Record of Sale (DROS) Monthly Statistics reports, or their current equivalent, with the total number of DROS documents processed, offense code denials, other denials, denials by firearm type, private party sales, peace officers (exempt), curio &amp; relic, 30-day reject denials, and total denials.</li> <li>2. Dealers Record of Sale (DROS) "Daily DROS Tactical Reports", or their current equivalent, including current information and previous day processing information.</li> <li>3. Dealers Record of Sale Statistics reports, or their current equivalent, showing offense code denials, summary of denials, DROS processed (by type), denials by firearm type, pawn denials by firearm type, private party sales, peace officers (exempt), curio &amp; relic, 30-day reject denials, and total denials.</li> <li>4. All records other than records responsive to requests 1 through 3, above, pertaining to firearm sale/transfer/loan statistics, DROS statistics, numbers and types of transactions processed, offense code denials, other denials, denials by firearm type, private party sales, peace officers (exempt), curio &amp; relic, 30-day reject denials, and total denials.</li> </ol> <p>Please provide all responses to this records request in the form of PDF documents delivered via email attachment(s).</p>	Completed	Robert Wilson	<p>An extension letter was sent on January 1, 2018 by Robert Wilson.</p> <p>A fees letter was sent on January 30, 2018: Attached in the email we sent you are the documents we have that are responsive to your request. However, the Department does not maintain information on DROS denials by type of firearm or offense codes in the ordinary course of business. If you would like these specifics, the Department would need to run a specialized report that would take a Senior Programmer Analyst a minimum of one hour to complete at a cost of \$40.44. If you still desire this information, please make a check payable to the California Department of Justice in the amount of \$40.44 and send it to:</p> <p>California Department of Justice Public Records Coordinator 13 00 I Street, Room 1710-6 Sacramento, CA 95814</p> <p>If we do not receive payment within 20 days, we shall consider the request for records withdrawn and the matter closed.</p> <p>Please also note that the report of individual DROS transactions is not a report that is kept in the Department's ordinary course of business. Future requests for this report will only be produced upon payment of the appropriate fees.</p>
PRA-2018-00025	Combs (Assault Weapons registration system)	Brandon	1/4/2018	<p>Please consider this a formal request for access to public records and information pertaining to a matter of great public concern, unique to California, and important to our members, supporters, many thousands of law-abiding gun owners throughout California, and all California citizens.</p> <p>Given statutory deadlines and the potential for the loss of property and serious criminal liability for a person's failure to timely comply with legal duties, time is of the essence.</p> <p>To assist in clarifying this request with the hope of avoiding unnecessary delay, I provide the following factual background followed by a description of the records I want to access.</p> <p>BACKGROUND: In 2016, Senate Bill 880 and Assembly Bill 1135 were enacted, expanding (effective January 1, 2017) the definition of "assault weapons" and creating new statutory mandates for gun owners and the</p>	Completed	Robert Wilson	<p>Robert Wilson sent an extension letter on January 16, 2018.</p> <p>Robert Wilson sent a status update on January 30, 2018.</p> <p>March 7, 2018 response: Here are the responses to your requested information:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The cost of establishing and maintaining the Assault Weapon registration system was approximately \$113,770. However, the costs to maintain the system are ongoing. Please note that this does not include staffing costs. Enclosed is the contract that was issued for the Assault Weapon registration system, along with supporting documentation. Please also note that the contract encompassed more than the establishment and maintenance of the Assault Weapon registration system that you reference. The "Unanticipated Tasks Work Authorization" details the portion of the contract regarding the Assault Weapon registration system.</li> <li>2. \$23,715 have been collected in fees from users of the Assault Weapon registration system. As a note, you requested the amount of fees collected up to January 4, 2018. However, the Department has provided the amount of fees collected from July 31, 2017 to December 31, 2017 to avoid running duplicative reports and upsetting the workflow of Department staff.</li> <li>3. Inasmuch as we cannot provide you with a precise estimate of the time it takes to review and make a determination on an assault weapon registration application, we</li> </ol>

				<p>California Department of Justice (DOJ) alike.  Penal Code section 30900(b)(1) requires gun owners who possess subject weapons to "register the firearm before July 1, 2018, ....with the [DOJ]."  Penal Code section 30900(b)(2) requires that DOJ create "a public-facing application" so that gun owners' "assault weapon" registrations can "be submitted electronically via the Internet."  Assembly Bill 103 (2017) established June 30, 2018, as the deadline for "assault weapon" registration. After that date, the registration period will be completely closed.  Failure to comply with California "assault weapon" registration laws can result in severe and serious consequences; for example, the loss and destruction of personal property firearms, and serious criminal liability. See generally Penal Code section 30600, et seq.  RECORDS REQUESTED: Please provide me with a copy of the following records in existence that allow me and the public to determine:  1. The costs and contracts to establish and maintain the "Assault Weapon" registration system required under 30900(b).  2. From July 31, 2017, to the present, the amount of all fees collected from users of the "Assault Weapon" registration system required under 30900(b).  3. The cost to review and make a determination on an "Assault Weapon" registration application under 30900(b).  Please provide all responses to this records request in the form of electronic (.pdf or spreadsheet files .xls/.csv) documents delivered via email attachment(s).  This request potentially requires the production of records that exist or are maintained in electronic form, including but not limited to emails. For all electronic records, please take all steps necessary to prevent the intentional or accidental destruction/loss of these records during the pendency of this request. Electronic records are often subject to "retention policies" that require their automatic deletion after a certain period of time (i.e., 30, 60 or 90 days). f any electronic records that are potentially responsive to this request are subject to such a retention policy, please prevent their automatic deletion before you can locate, review and provide me with copies.</p>			<p>are similarly unable to provide you the cost to review and make a determination on an application.  Each application varies greatly depending on the circumstances.  As a preliminary matter, we note that under the Public Records Act government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public, unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) Section 6254, subdivision (k), incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law.  In regards to your first request, we have redacted information that might increase the potential for someone to enter the system and manipulate the data. (Gov. Code, § 6254.19; see also Sierra Club v. Superior Court of Orange County (2013) 57 Cal. 4th 157.) California's complex firearms databases serve an important public safety role in the management of information about firearms and the individuals who possess them. The Department believes that disclosure of information about system operations, analytics, or vulnerabilities could allow a person to enter one or more of these critical firearms databases and use them for improper purposes. The Department further believes that the public's interest in disclosure of this information is outweighed by the public interest in preserving its confidentiality. (Gov. Code, § 6255.)  In providing the records requested, we have also redacted certain private identifying information, such as non-commercial addresses, email addresses, and telephone numbers, along with other sensitive personal information such as social security numbers and credit card numbers based on considerations of personal privacy. (Cal. Const., art. I, § 1, as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.)</p>
PRA-2018-00026	Combs (CFARS)	Brandon	1/4/2018	<p>Please consider this a formal request for access to public records and information pertaining to a matter of great public concern, unique to California, and important to our members, supporters, many thousands of law-abiding gun owners throughout California, and all California citizens.  Given statutory deadlines and the potential for the loss of property and serious criminal liability for a person's failure to timely comply with legal duties, time is of the essence.  To assist in clarifying this request with the hope of avoiding unnecessary delay, I provide the following factual background followed by a description of the records I want to access.  BACKGROUND: In 2016, Senate Bill 880 and Assembly Bill 1135 were enacted, expanding (effective January 1, 2017) the definition of "assault weapons" and creating</p>	Completed	Robert Wilson	<p>Robert Wilson sent an extension letter on January 16, 2018.  On January 29, 2018, Robert Wilson responded: The Department does not disclose any system information that might increase the potential for someone to enter the system and manipulate the data. (Gov. Code, § 6254.19; see also Sierra Club v. Superior Court of Orange County (2013) 57 Cal. 4th 157.) California's complex firearms databases serve an important public safety role in the management of information about firearms and the individuals who possess them. The Department believes that disclosure of information about system operations, analytics, or vulnerabilities could allow a person enter one or more of these critical firearms databases and use them for improper purposes. The Department further believes that the public's interest in disclosure of this information is outweighed by the public interest in preserving its confidentiality. (Gov. Code, § 6255.)  Accordingly, the Department declines to provide any documents in response to this request. Notwithstanding these limitations, the system you reference has only been out of service for regularly scheduled maintenance, the schedule of which the Department also considers protected against disclosure.</p>

			<p>new statutory mandates for gun owners and the California Department of Justice (DOJ) alike.</p> <p>Penal Code section 30900(b)(1) requires gun owners who possess subject weapons to "register the firearm before July 1, 2018, ....with the [DOJ]."</p> <p>Penal Code section 30900(b)(2) requires that DOJ create "a public-facing application" so that gun owners' "assault weapon" registrations can "be submitted electronically via the Internet."</p> <p>Assembly Bill 103 (2017) established June 30, 2018, as the deadline for "assault weapon" registration. After that date, the registration period will be completely closed. Failure to comply with California "assault weapon" registration laws can result in severe and serious consequences; for example, the loss and destruction of personal property firearms, and serious criminal liability. See generally Penal Code section 30600, et seq.</p> <p>RECORDS REQUESTED:</p> <p>Please provide me with a copy of the following records in existence that allow me and the public to know and/or determine:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. From January 1, 2017, to the present, the DOJ's Bureau of Firearms web pages (<a href="https://oag.ca.gov/firearms">https://oag.ca.gov/firearms</a>) Web log analysis, analytics, Internet traffic, web URL referrer or referring page, exit pages, and similar application information.</li><li>2. From January 1, 2017, to the present, the DOJ's California Firearms Application Reporting System (CFARS) Web log analysis, analytics, Internet traffic, web URL referrer or referring page, exit pages, and similar information.</li><li>3. From January 1, 2017, to the present, the DOJ's "assault weapon" registration system analytics, Web log analysis, analytics, Internet traffic, web URL referrer or referring page, exit pages, and similar information.</li><li>4. From January 1, 2017, to the present, the DOJ's California Firearms Application Reporting System (CFARS) and "assault weapon" registration systems' networks, network analytics, system/application outages, times and instances where the systems were unavailable to the public or unable to be used, crashes, bugs, problems, reported issues, logs, and other similar records showing or pertaining to the stability and operations of the systems and applications.</li></ol> <p>Please provide all responses to this records request in the form of electronic (.pdf or spreadsheet files .xls/.csv) documents delivered via email attachment(s).</p> <p>This request potentially requires the production of records that exist or are maintained in electronic form, including but not limited to emails. For all electronic records, please take all steps necessary to prevent the intentional or accidental destruction/loss of these records during the pendency of this request. Electronic records are often subject to "retention policies" that require their automatic deletion after a certain period of time (i.e., 30, 60 or 90 days). f any electronic records that are potentially responsive to this request are subject to such a retention policy, please prevent their automatic deletion before you can locate, review and provide me with copies.</p>			
--	--	--	---	--	--	--

PRA-2018-00085	Groubert	Mark	1/4/2018	<p>I am looking a Letter of Registration for my RSO apartment which [REDACTED]</p> <p>wrote to me in an email on October 14, 2015 that stated "records show the above mentioned property is not currently registered." It is currently registered but the most recent declaration says the units were registered from 2013-2017. The woman at LAHD said there were "gaps" at the time and I seek documentation of those times. Ms. Brenda Davis handled the case.</p> <p>I emailed LAHC and tried to register a complaint of no heat but was emailed back saying my unit was not registered. This prevented me from making a heat complain at that time. I have since made the heat complaint( July 5, 2017 CASE ID # 630846 ) APN: 5589021020 and the failure to comply has been posted. I received a copy of the most recent Declaration of Registration/SCEP Fee Payment Status from my local office. It says as of June 15, 2017 fees are up to date. I need a letter from the time I emailed and received email that says "apartment is or was not registered at that time." This is what I was emailed at the time and have a copy of the email in my possession. Parcel includes contiguous dwellings owned by landlord. Mine is 4-plex at [REDACTED] but parcel includes addresses 2105 N. Vermont Avenue LA, CA. 90027 plus and including 4701 W. Ambrose Avenue LA, CA. 90027 and 4703 W. Ambrose Avenue LA, CA. 90027</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the City of Los Angeles, you may wish to direct your request to their office.</p>
PRA-2018-00115	Stout	Janice	1/4/2018	I would like to obtain a copy of the bail agreement [for KEVIN JANSON NEAL from the Tehama County Superior Court in Red Bluff]."		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p>
PRA-2018-00028	Havrilla	Courtney	1/5/2018	I am looking for a general report to make sure there are no outstanding tickets of any sort.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>. For traffic tickets, you may wish to contact the Department of Motor Vehicles.</p>
PRA-2018-00029	Cohen	Michal	1/5/2018	<p>Last name: COHEN  First name: MICHAL  DOB: [REDACTED]  Case number: B1049227  File date: 8/11/2010  Party ID: [REDACTED]  County of Santa Clara</p> <p>Please provide all available public information about the above case</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>

PRA-2018-00030	Guevara	Victoria	1/5/2018	Case number B1791333 file date 3/28/2017 Case number c1646037 file date 8/29/2016 Case number CC803564 file date 4/24/2008 Case number F1765295 file date 7/07/2017		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Providing the actual name or title of the record.</li> <li>-Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record.</li> <li>-Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record.</li> <li>-Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created.</li> <li>-If related to a case, providing the case name or number.</li> <li>-Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record.</li> <li>-Indicating the city in which the record was created.</li> </ul> <p>It is the policy of the Department to provide access to all public records upon request unless the law provides an exemption from mandatory disclosure. Examples of records exempt from mandatory disclosure under the California Public Records Act include: certain personnel records, investigative records, drafts, confidential legal advice, records prepared in connection with ongoing litigation, and information that may be kept confidential pursuant to other state or federal statutes.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-00031	BondGraham	Darwin	1/5/2018	<p>The OAG Advanced Training Center conducts a training course for investigators of officer involved shootings - <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/atc/courses/officer-shootings">https://oag.ca.gov/atc/courses/officer-shootings</a></p> <p>Please provide the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. records sufficient to identify all persons who attended these courses between the dates of January 1, 2008 to January 1, 2018, including, but not limited to enrollment lists, rosters, etc.</li> <li>2. records sufficient to identify all persons who successfully completed these courses between the dates of January 1, 2008 to January 1, 2018, including, but not limited to certifications, graduation lists, etc.</li> <li>3. The syllabus for this course.</li> <li>4. All written instructional materials for this course including papers, slide presentations, books, worksheets, photographs, illustrations, exams, diagrams, etc.</li> </ol>		Completed	Shannon Patterson	<p>As the extension letter we sent you on January 17, 2018 advised, the DOJ has determined that records exists within the DOJ that are responsive to one or more of your four enumerated requests. The DOJ was able to locate public records potentially responsive to your first, second and third requests. The DOJ was unable to locate public records potentially responsive to your fourth request.</p> <p>Regarding your first enumerated request: DOJ has redacted copies of the course "roster" of all attendees of The DOJ Officer Involved shooting trainings conducted October 2010 thru February 2012. Since the report involves peace officers, such report may be redacted to remove information identifying the peace officer and their specific identifying number. All these reports combined total approximately 40 pages.</p> <p>Regarding you second and third enumerated request: DOJ has attached the available course transcripts indicating "who successfully completed these courses" during the months provided. As requested, we have included the course syllabus Officer involved shooting course matrix which...</p>
PRA-2018-00032	Tsarukyan	Fred	1/5/2018	I would like to receive a copy of all concealed carry weapons applications that were approved for the city of Glendale, California.		Completed	Robert Wilson	<p>Under the PRA, government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public, unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) The PRA reiterates that it does not apply to "[r]ecords, the disclosure of which, is exempted or prohibited pursuant to federal or state law." (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k).) As explained below, Department of Justice ("Department") is unable to provide you with the information you have requested.</p> <p>California law authorizes the police chief or county sheriff to issue a concealed carry and open carry permits to a resident of a city or county by within their jurisdiction. (See Pen. Code, § 26150.) The Department retains the information submitted to it by those local licensing authorities. (Pen. Code, § 26225, subd. (b)(l).) The only</p>

							obligation for the Department under the law is to review eligibility and provide local law enforcement agencies with information on whether or not the applicant is prohibited, for reasons having to do with applicable laws. The actual issuance of CCWs takes place on the local law enforcement agency level. The Department has no documents responsive to this request. You may wish to contact local law enforcement agencies for additional information.	
PRA-2018-00033	Coats	Francis	1/5/2018	Attorney General Opinion issued on or about July 29, 1915, by U.S. Webb to Surveyor General Kingsbury, in response to question does Article I, section 25 Cal. Const. Require reservation of fishing rights upon sale or transfer of State owned land? See S.F. Chronicle, July 29, 1915, p. 13. Request already submitted to Opinions Unit, which responded that the date pre-dated published opinions.		Completed	Stephanie Grimes	Mr. Coats, The opinion is attached. Thank you.
PRA-2018-00034	Goldberg (NCIC PO Agency Nos)	Robert	1/5/2018	NCIC peace officer agency numbers for the following cities: Laguna Beach, Westminster, Huntington Beach, Los Alamitos, Long Beach, and Garden Grove		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	In regard to item (1), the Department of Justice (DOJ) has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents for the years 1991-2016. The data for 2017 will not be available until the California Attorney General's annual publications are released later this year. In regard to item (2), this information will not be available until later this year when the California Attorney General releases the annual publications. In regard to item (3), the DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PRA-2018-00035	Goldberg (Funded Totals)	Robert	1/5/2018	Based on the October 31, 2017 employment survey Seal Beach: 1) Number of Funded non-Jail Sworn Total and 2) Number of Funded Jail Sworn Total.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	In regard to item (1), the Department of Justice (DOJ) has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents for the years 1991-2016. The data for 2017 will not be available until the California Attorney General's annual publications are released later this year. In regard to item (2), this information will not be available until later this year when the California Attorney General releases the annual publications. In regard to item (3), the DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PRA-2018-00036	Goldberg (Arrests)	Robert	1/5/2018	For Seal Beach, for the years 1991-2017 1) total arrests; 2) total felony arrests; 3) total misdemeanor arrests; 4) violent crime clearance rate; 5) property crime clearance rate.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	In regard to item (1), the Department of Justice (DOJ) has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents for the years 1991-2016. The data for 2017 will not be available until the California Attorney General's annual publications are released later this year. In regard to item (2), this information will not be available until later this year when the California Attorney General releases the annual publications. In regard to item (3), the DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PRA-2018-00037	Muliadi-Kleiber	Marisa	1/5/2018	Time sheets summary from July 1, 1999 until present for the employment as a Transportation Engineer Range D with California State of Transportation. Name: Empl ID: Empl Record: MARISA T MULIADI-KLEIBER S#122379 0 Job Title: Trans Eng, Ct/Civil Range-D Position Number: 904-350-3135-080 Department: 04 Deputy Traffic Operations Work Week Group: 2 CBID: R09		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the California Department of Transportation (DOT or CalTrans), you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to request records from CalTrans can be found on their site at <a href="http://www.dot.ca.gov/paffairs/cpra/">http://www.dot.ca.gov/paffairs/cpra/</a> .

PRA-2018-00069	Olfati (January 2018)	Parvin	1/5/2018	<p>1. Any electronic mail messages, text messages, expense reports, reimbursement requests, notes, memoranda, payments, emoluments, letters, documents, record and/or writings that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any heater, heating/cooling system, components and/or appliances installed by any resident of [REDACTED] (including but not limited to Barb Andres and/or Cecil Taylor), and including but not limited to any reimbursements for any heater, heating/cooling system, heating system, components and/or appliances installed at any time in the months of December 2017 and/or January 2018.</p> <p>2. If the California Department of Justice (DOJ) claims that any of the records requested have been destroyed or are otherwise unavailable, please provide all records of such destruction or nonavailability, together with applicable DOJ records retention policies.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
PRA-2018-00070	Olfati (January 2018)	Parvin	1/5/2018	<p>1. Any electronic mail messages, text messages, expense reports, reimbursement requests, notes, memoranda, payments, emoluments, letters, documents, record and/or writings that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any vacation or reimbursements for vacation by any resident of [REDACTED] (including but not limited to John Lisuk and/or Mieke Smythe), and including but not limited to any vacation reimbursements for any vacation taken at any time in the months of December 2017 and/or January 2018.</p> <p>2. If the California Department of Justice (DOJ) claims that any of the records requested have been destroyed or are otherwise unavailable, please provide all records of such destruction or nonavailability, together with applicable DOJ records retention policies.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
PRA-2018-00088	Bedell	Colin	1/5/2018	<p>Any and all paperwork related to my dogs most recent stay at the San Francisco Animal Care &amp; Control dog pound. She was seized by San Francisco Animal Care &amp; Control on August 22nd, 2017. She is a white/ tan pitbull mix, name : Sandy, and her pound # was 367387. I also would like any and all paperwork related to her euthanasia performed by San Francisco Animal Care &amp; Control during that impoundment</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to San Francisco Animal Care &amp; Control, you may wish to direct your request to their office.</p>
PRA-2018-00092	Medlin	Thomas	1/5/2018	<p>I represent Mr. and Mrs. Steven D. Bell and Mr. and Mrs. Charles Mitchell Oakley, Jr. of Greensboro, North Carolina, in their claims for reimbursement for airline travel services with First Business Flights, Inc. of Burlingame, California. I would like to request a copy of the Registration Application filed by First Business Flights, Inc. pursuant to Cal Bus &amp; Prof Code Sec. 17550.20 &amp; Sec. 17550.21.</p> <p>If First Business Flights, Inc. continues to ignore our requests for a refund for travel tickets wrongly issued, I may be forced to file a complaint. In that regard, have you previously received any complaints against this seller of travel? If so, may I request a copy of the complaint and resolution?</p> <p>In addition to this email, I called your office phone number and left a voicemail message requesting the</p>	SPARR OW DENNIS & MEDLIN PA	Completed	Amos Hartston	<p>We have conducted a search of our records. Enclosed are non-exempt documents we identified responsive to your requests, including: (1) 2017 Registration Online Renewal; (2) 2016 Registration Renewal Attestation; (3) 2015 Registration Online Renewal; and (4) 2014 Registration Application. Because it was not clear if you were requesting only the initial application under the cited code sections or the current year's renewal, we interpreted your request broadly to include the initial registration application as well as annual renewals. The documents have been redacted for privacy reasons.</p> <p>We respectfully deny the remainder of your requests. Any complaints received are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General, and, therefore, are exempt from disclosure. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts records of complaints to, or investigations conducted by, the Office of the Attorney General and the Department of Justice. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (f).)</p>



				registration information. Your reply and assistance will be greatly appreciated.			
PRA-2018-00096	Fox	Beth	1/5/2018	LOGICBIT SOFTWARE LLC – STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION CONTRACT # 42A9582, RFP # DOT200931 (INCLUDES: CONTRACT, EXHIBITS, SOW, ATTACHMENTS) (Oct 2013) Comments: We would like to get a copy of the winning award for the above mentioned RFP. Please let me know if you need any additional information. Thank you.	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the California Department of Transportation (DOT or CalTrans), you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to request records from CalTrans can be found on their site at <a href="http://www.dot.ca.gov/paffairs/cpra/">http://www.dot.ca.gov/paffairs/cpra/</a> .
PRA-2018-00134	Fowler	Chuck	1/5/2018	All criminal records that are in the DOJ database. All cures reports regarding my prescription medication. Any other documentation I am legally entitled to regarding all data that DOJ has of myself. I would also like to have the rules and rights I have to obtain my medical records from my doctor and all notes that have been made regarding my medical condition and treatment.	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. Individuals can request a copy of their own criminal history record and inquire as to the status of their name in the Child Abuse Central Index. For procedures on obtaining this type of information, visit the Attorney General's Internet website at <a href="http://www.oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review">www.oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review</a> and <a href="http://www.oag.ca.gov/childabuse/selfinquiry">www.oag.ca.gov/childabuse/selfinquiry</a> . Individuals seeking their Controlled Substance Utilization Review and Evaluation System records may do so under the Information Practices Act (IP A) as described on the Attorney General's Internet website at <a href="http://www.oag.ca.gov/cures/faq">www.oag.ca.gov/cures/faq</a> s. Note, the only mechanism available for an individual to obtain his or her prescription history report is through the IPA. Attached you will find the authorization form for release of protected health information. Apart from any California state summary criminal history records that may be in its possession, the records that you request are considered confidential law enforcement record data and are accessed exclusively via the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System. Access to the telecommunications system utilized by law enforcement agencies, including the DOJ, is restricted by law. (Gov. Code § 15152). Confidentiality provisions like these are expressly incorporated into the PRA. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (f)). As such, your request for records is denied. In regard to the rules and rights to obtain medical records from your doctor and all notes that have been made regarding your medical condition and treatment, the DOJ does not maintain that information nor is in the position to provide such information.
PRA-2018-00138	Johnson (MuckRock)	Stephen	1/5/2018	Any and all available records related to investigations of Motel 6 or its parent company G6 Hospitality between January 1, 2015 and the date this request is completed. Relevant records may include but are not limited to correspondence (including e-mails), reports and memoranda.	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes and logical places and have been unable to locate records responsive to your request. To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See <i>Dick Williams v. Superior Court</i> (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 ["While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)."].)
PRA-2018-00312	Garcia-Roberts (LA Times)	Gus	1/5/2018	I am seeking copies of correspondence sent to the AG's office from the San Diego County sheriff's office concerning the in-custody death of Tommy Tucker (DOB [REDACTED] DOD [REDACTED])	Completed	Tania Mercado	Thanks for your inquiry. Attached is the requested record.

PRA-2018-00038	Stauff	Deidra	1/8/2018	County of El Centro, Case Number ECM53007, Defendant was Tamara Louise Stauff. I posted bail and I need a record that the case was dismissed so I can get the bail money returned.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. You may wish to contact the court in which the case was heard.
PRA-2018-00039	Lavell	Freddie	1/8/2018	All criminal and family court records for myself, Freddie Lavell.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> . Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with a Family Court, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PRA-2018-00040	Brainerd	Christine	1/8/2018	California Campsite Reservations - Reserve California This is a public records act request for the California Department of Parks and Recreation. Our family plans our summer camping trips in January. The online system Reserve California shows many of the premium spots at the premium coastal sites are already taken before the window opens to the public. I'd like to know 1. who has reserved the campsites and 2. the reason why they were given the opportunity to reserve the sites before the general public. I have screen shots of the spots reserved before the public opening date, if needed.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to State parks, you may wish to direct your request to the California Department of Parks and Recreation. Information on requesting records from their office can be found on their website at <a href="https://www.parks.ca.gov/?page_id=1084">https://www.parks.ca.gov/?page_id=1084</a> .
PRA-2018-00041	Ray	Christina	1/8/2018	Angela Gayle Nesbit- any records you have on her		Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .

PRA-2018-00043	Toomey	Jonathan	1/8/2018	<p>Pursuant to the Public Records Act, this letter serves as a formal request for access to and reproduction of all documents requested herein.</p> <p>The following records are requested:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. All communications, and all documents evidencing any communications, between or among employees of the State of California Department of Justice relating to the Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Fuel Efficiency Standards for Medium- and Heavy-Duty Engines and Vehicles-Phase 2, 81 Fed. Reg. 73, 478 (Oct. 25, 2016) (the "Phase 2 Rules") specifically related to "gliders," "glider kits," "glider kit trucks," or "glider kit vehicles", and the proposed "Repeal of Emission Requirements for Glider Vehicles, Glider Engines, and Glider Kits," 82 Fed. Reg. 53,442, Docket No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2014-0827.</li> <li>2. All communications, and all documents evidencing any communications, between or among employees of the State of California Department of Justice, on one hand and employees of Volvo AB, the Truck &amp; Engine Manufacturers Association, Navistar, Inc., Volvo Trucks, the American Trucking Association, Volvo Group North America, California Air Resources Board, Union of Concerned Scientists, Diesel Health Project, Manufacturers of Emission Controls Association, on the other hand, related to the Phase 2 Rules, specifically related to "gliders," "glider kits," "glider kit trucks," or "glider kit vehicles";</li> <li>3. All communications, and all documents evidencing any communications, between or among employees of the State of California Department of Justice, on one hand and employees of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), on the other hand, relating to the Phase 2 Rules, specifically related to "gliders," "glider kits," "glider kit trucks," or "glider kit vehicles";</li> <li>4. All communications, and all documents evidencing any communications, between or among employees of the State of California Department of Justice, on one hand and employees of Volvo AB, the Truck &amp; Engine Manufacturers Association, Navistar, Inc., Volvo Trucks, the American Trucking Association, Volvo Group North America, California Air Resources Board, Union of Concerned Scientists, Diesel Health Project, Manufacturers of Emission Controls Association, on the other hand, relating to "gliders," "glider kits," "glider kit trucks," or "glider kit vehicles";</li> <li>5. All communications, and all documents evidencing any communications, between or among employees of the State of California Department of Justice, on one hand and employees of the State of New York Environmental Protection Bureau, the State of Connecticut Office of Attorney General, the State of Illinois Office of Attorney General, the State of Maryland Office of Attorney General, the Commonwealth of Massachusetts Environmental Protection Division, the State of New Mexico Office of Attorney General Consumer &amp; Environmental Protection Division, the State of North Carolina Office of Attorney General, the State of Oregon Office of Attorney General Natural Resources Section, the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Office of Attorney General Environmental Protection Section, the State of Vermont Office of Attorney General, the State of Washington office of Attorney General, on the other</li> </ol>	Completed	Megan Hey	<p>To respond to the Request, first, we will clarify how we interpreted several of its vague and ambiguous aspects/terms. You did not specify any timeframe applicable to the Request, making it vague and overbroad as to time. (See <i>Rogers v. Superior Court</i> (1993) 19 Cal.App.4th 469, 481.) We have construed the Request to seek documents after October 25, 2016, the date the final Phase 2 regulations were published in the Federal Register. Additionally, categories 7-9 seek documents "relating to the preparation of the comments filed by [the California Attorney General, et al.]" We have construed these categories as seeking documents prior to the filing of, and excluding, the subject comment letter submitted to <a href="http://www.regulations.gov">www.regulations.gov</a> on January 5, 2018, that became publically available as of January 10, 2018 via: <a href="https://www.regulations.gov/document?D=EPA-HQ-OAR-2014-0827-4829">https://www.regulations.gov/document?D=EPA-HQ-OAR-2014-0827-4829</a>. Finally, categories 10-12 seek documents relating to "Fitzgerald," which is a vague proper noun by itself. We have construed this to mean/refer to "Fitzgerald Glider Kits," "Fitzgerald Kit Trucks," or "Fitzgerald Trucks" in context with the other terms in this category of documents sought.</p> <p>Having provided these clarifications, we respond to the Request as follows: the California Attorney General's Office is providing one non-privileged document in response to category number 5. Additionally, although they are not communications between the California Attorney General's Office and any of the entities referenced in your Request, we are providing the automated e-mail responses from Regulations.gov that we received regarding the online submission of the subject comment letter. To the extent that other responsive documents exist, they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege and/or the attorney work product exception, as follows:</p> <p><b>Attorney-Client Privilege</b></p> <p>Some of the documents sought by the Request are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); <i>Roberts v. City of Palmdale</i> (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363 .) In this case, the attorneys in our department provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees, as well as the California Air Resources Board, and its designees.</p> <p>Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees, the California Air Resources Board and its designees, and the department's attorneys concerning the topics of the Request (e.g., the Phase 2 regulations as they relate to gliders/glider kits and the proposed repeal, gliders/glider kits, Fitzgerald Glider Kits, and the subject comment letter) are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p><b>Attorney Work Product</b></p> <p>The attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc. § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); <i>County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court</i> (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Records such as confidential analyses, memoranda, and drafts of the subject comment letter prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>Under the Public Records Act, government agencies need not provide a privilege log or list of every record withheld along with a statutory justification for withholding. (<i>Haynie v Superior Court</i> (2001) 26 Cal.4th 1061, 1074 [where the California Supreme Court noted that public agencies must articulate the specific PRA exemption pertaining to records withheld. "What section 6255 does not require, however, is for the agency to go further and describe each of the documents falling within the statutory exemption." (Italics in original.)]) Moreover, providing such a log would be unduly time-consuming and burdensome under the public interest balancing test. (<i>Haynie v Superior Court</i> (2001) 26 Cal.4th 1061, 1074-75; Gov. Code § 6255.)</p>
----------------	--------	----------	----------	---	-----------	-----------	--

			<p>hand, relating to "gliders," "glider kits," "glider kit trucks," or "glider kit vehicles";</p> <p>6. All communications, and all documents evidencing any communications, between or among employees of the State of California Department of Justice, on one hand and employees of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), on the other hand, relating to "gliders," "glider kits," "glider kit trucks," or "glider kit vehicles";</p> <p>7. All communications, and all documents evidencing any communications, between or among employees of the State of California Department of Justice, on one hand and employees of Volvo AB, the Truck &amp; Engine Manufacturers Association, Navistar, Inc., Volvo Trucks, the American Trucking Association, Volvo Group North America, California Air Resources Board, Union of Concerned Scientists, Diesel Health Project, Manufacturers of Emission Controls Association, on the other hand, relating to the preparation of the comments filed by the State of California, Attorney General Xavier Becerra, by Megan K. Hey, Deputy Attorney General, California Department of Justice, on the Proposed "Repeal of Emission Requirements for Glider Vehicles, Glider Engines, and Glider Kits," 82 Fed. Reg. 53,442, Docket No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2014-0827;</p> <p>8. All communications, and all documents evidencing any communications, between or among employees of the State of California Department of Justice, on one hand and employees of the State of New York Environmental Protection Bureau, the State of Connecticut Office of Attorney General, the State of Illinois Office of Attorney General, the State of Maryland Office of Attorney General, the Commonwealth of Massachusetts Environmental Protection Division, the State of New Mexico Office of Attorney General Consumer &amp; Environmental Protection Division, the State of North Carolina Office of Attorney General, the State of Oregon Office of Attorney General Natural Resources Section, the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Office of Attorney General Environmental Protection Section, the State of Vermont Office of Attorney General, the State of Washington office of Attorney General, on the other hand, relating to the preparation of the comments filed by the State of California, Attorney General Xavier Becerra, by Megan K. Hey, Deputy Attorney General, California Department of Justice, on the Proposed "Repeal of Emission Requirements for Glider Vehicles, Glider Engines, and Glider Kits," 82 Fed. Reg. 53,442, Docket No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2014-0827;</p> <p>9. All communications, and all documents evidencing any communications, between or among employees of the State of California Department of Justice, on one hand and employees of the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), on the other hand, relating to the preparation of the comments filed by the State of California, Attorney General Xavier Becerra, by Megan K. Hey, Deputy Attorney General, California Department of Justice, on the Proposed "Repeal of Emission Requirements for Glider Vehicles, Glider Engines, and Glider Kits," 82 Fed. Reg. 53,442, Docket No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2014-0827;</p> <p>10. All communications, and all documents evidencing any communications, between or among employees of</p>			
--	--	--	---	--	--	--

				<p>the State of California Department of Justice, on one hand and employees of Volvo AB, the Truck &amp; Engine Manufacturers Association, Navistar, Inc., Volvo Trucks, the American Trucking Association, Volvo Group North America, California Air Resources Board, Union of Concerned Scientists, Diesel Health Project, Manufacturers of Emission Controls Association, on the other hand, relating to "Fitzgerald," "Fitzgerald Glider Kits," "Fitzgerald Kit Trucks," or "Fitzgerald Trucks";</p> <p>11. All communications, and all documents evidencing any communications, between or among employees of the State of California Department of Justice, on one hand and employees of the State of New York Environmental Protection Bureau, the State of Connecticut Office of Attorney General, the State of Illinois Office of Attorney General, the State of Maryland Office of Attorney General, the Commonwealth of Massachusetts Environmental Protection Division, the State of New Mexico Office of Attorney General Consumer &amp; Environmental Protection Division, the State of North Carolina Office of Attorney General, the State of Oregon Office of Attorney General Natural Resources Section, the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Office of Attorney General Environmental Protection Section, the State of Vermont Office of Attorney General, the State of Washington office of Attorney General, on the other hand, relating to "Fitzgerald," "Fitzgerald Glider Kits," "Fitzgerald Kit Trucks," or "Fitzgerald Trucks";</p> <p>12. All communications, and all documents evidencing any communications, between or among employees of the State of California Department of Justice, on one hand and employees of the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), on the other hand, relating to "Fitzgerald," "Fitzgerald Glider Kits," "Fitzgerald Kit Trucks," or "Fitzgerald Trucks."</p>				
PRA-2018-00044	Zabala	Liberty	1/8/2018	A database or list or any equivalent document that includes the following information for San Diego County, California: Date, time and description of all 5150, 5151 and 5152 holds at Naval Medical Center San Diego reported to the California Department of Justice in 2012-2017 (last 5 years).		Completed	Robert Wilson	<p>On January 22, 2018, Robert Wilson responded: California law restricts the disclosure of the information you seek to specific court proceedings and for determining the eligibility of the person to own, possess, control, receive, or purchase a firearm. (Cal. Welfare and Institutions Code§ 8103, subd. (f).) Accordingly, we must decline to respond to this request.</p> <p>On January 17, 2018, Dwayne Freeman sent an extension letter.</p>
PRA-2018-00045	Moon	John	1/8/2018	All documents concerning a Boeing 727 aircraft, registration number N196AJ, owned by Zangeneh Aeronautics Consulting, Inc. and parked at the Chino Airport including but not limited to incident reports, permits, assessments, emails, etc.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to an aircraft, you may wish to direct your request to the owner or the Chino Airport.</p>
PRA-2018-00046	Khundkar	Imran	1/8/2018	<p>1. Any and all documents regarding the Department of Justice's "emergency" rulemaking file submitted to the Office of Administrative Law ("OAL") (or any employee, agent, or representative of OAL) on or about June 29, 2000, adding new article 2 and section 968.20 to title 11 of the California Code of Regulations.</p> <p>2. Any and all documents regarding the Department of Justice's rulemaking file submitted to OAL (or any employee, agent, or representative of OAL) on or about</p>	Michel & Associates	Completed	Robert Wilson	<p>On January 18, 2018, Robert Wilson sent an extension letter.</p> <p>On January 29, 2018, Robert Wilson responded: Please find enclosed the document we have located in response to your request.</p>

				January 19, 2005, adding subsections (s)-(v) to section 928.20 of title 11 of the California Code of Regulations.			
PRA-2018-00047	Combs	Brandon	1/8/2018	<p>Please consider this a formal request for access to public records and information pertaining to a matter of great public concern, unique to California, and important to our members, supporters, many thousands of law-abiding gun owners throughout California, and all California citizens.</p> <p>I request a copy of all public records and information about the proposed "Assault Weapon Definitions" regulations (Title 11, Division 5, Section 5460) (listed at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/firearms/regs">https://oag.ca.gov/firearms/regs</a>) including but not limited to:</p> <p>correspondence, meeting minutes, agendas, comments, hearing transcripts, hearing recordings (audio, video, or other), and attendance records.</p> <p>Given statutory deadlines and the potential for the loss of property and serious criminal liability for a person's failure to timely comply with legal duties, time is of the essence.</p> <p>I request a "rolling production" that provides me with access to records as they are processed by DOJ. Please provide a "privilege log" accompanying every batch of records produced via the rolling production that I've requested. Also, when redacting, please use black ink to avoid confusion as to what is and is not redacted.</p> <p>Please provide all responses to this records request in the form of electronic (.pdf or spreadsheet .xls/.csv) documents delivered via email attachment(s) to <a href="mailto:bcombs@fpchq.org">bcombs@fpchq.org</a> &lt;<a href="mailto:bcombs@fpchq.org">mailto:bcombs@fpchq.org</a>&gt;.</p> <p>This request potentially requires the production of records that exist or are maintained in electronic form, including but not limited to emails. For all electronic records, please take all steps necessary to prevent the intentional or accidental destruction/loss of these records during the pendency of this request. Electronic records are often subject to "retention policies" that require their automatic deletion after a certain period of time (i.e., 30, 60 or 90 days). If any electronic records that are potentially responsive to this request are subject to such a retention policy, please prevent their automatic deletion before you can locate, review and provide me with copies.</p>	Completed	Robert Wilson	<p>As a preliminary matter, we note that under the Public Records Act government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public, unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) Section 6254, subdivision (k), incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law.</p> <p>The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255.</p> <p>In the instant case, records such as analyses, draft language, and memoranda concerning the strengths and weaknesses of a particular proposal would be covered by the deliberative process privilege and, therefore, absent special circumstances would be exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6255.</p>
PRA-2018-00048	Penny	Margaret	1/8/2018	I was told that a fiance has passed. I just want verification.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR).</p> <p>Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2CStill-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2CStill-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-00049	Beckett	Mary	1/8/2018	Obtain records on Inmate Matthew Kane Fodor Inmate ID# 3000445 Case# 17WF1329 Housed; Orange County Santa Ana Jail, 92703; R3 Protective	Completed	Erin Nickless	Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. The Department of Justice cannot produce records that are responsive to your request. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records from another government agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public

				<p>Custody</p> <p>I wish to obtain inmate, Matthew Kane Fodor following records please</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- All phone call records (not taped recordings, just a list of phone numbers and dates the calls were made from periods 6/15/2017-Present Date. Code's used by inmate to make outgoing calls 2992484 and 3000445)</li> <li>-Mail records (All letters that have been written to him and that he has written if accessible. If the full letter is not obtainable, may I please request a list of people he has written, and the people who have written him since 6/15/2017-Present Date)</li> <li>- Visitation records (Name/Date of all people that have visited Matt since 6/15/2017-Present Date)</li> <li>-Record list of people names who have put money on his books since 6/15/2017-Present Date</li> <li>-Copy of commissary lists of what he has bought since 6/15/2017-Current Date</li> </ul> <p>Under the California Public Records Act (Govt. Code 6250-6270) I Mary Beckett, am requesting records on Matthew K. Fodor who is currently incarcerated at the Santa Ana Jail in Orange County, California. I understand that there is a fee for all copies made, I am willing to pay for them along with any mailing fees. If you need anymore information or have questions pertaining to my request, please call me at [REDACTED]. Also, would you please let me know that someone has received my request and is looking into it.</p>			<p>records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c).</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Information on how to contact them can be found at <a href="http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html">http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html</a>. We hope the information we have provided is of assistance.</p>
PRA-2018-00050	Spencer	Cynthia	1/8/2018	<p>There was an attempt to serve something at my parents place of residence in Stockton, CA for me. To my knowledge, I have nothing on public record. Please provide me with any information you may have on file. Thank you! Cynthia R. Spencer</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.</p>
PRA-2018-00079	Fischer	Tony	1/8/2018	<p>The purpose of this request is to find out the status of the review by your office of the potential transfer of the not-for-profit corporation's parcel of real property which is improved with a building at 601 E. Montecito Street in the City of Santa Barbara. I represent a secured creditor in the bankruptcy and we have experienced many delays due to a variety of items usually due to lack of adequate information. The building intending to be transferred is the corporation's headquarters. I also would like to have a copy of documents sent to your office on or about July 5, 2017 which gave notice of the proposed sale. I understand from a proof of service filed in the bankruptcy court that the documents included a "letter", a "resolution" and a "financial statement" in addition to a copy of the notice of motion for sale pending in the bankruptcy court. The letter, resolution and financial statement were not filed with the bankruptcy court and were not copied to interested creditors.</p> <p>As your office may be aware, that sale is still pending. Several issues have surfaced including the fact that the corporation has "members" and those members have been ignored and kept out of the process by the current management. The buyer and the title officer have not accepted the representations that there are no members and, in fact, the corporation's current management and board (several new members have joined and several</p>	Completed	James M. Toma	<p>Please find attached the following documents responsive to your request: (1) Notice of Sale, (2) Resolution, (3) Purchase and Sale Agreement, (4) Appraisal, (5) Motion in BK Chapt 11, (6) Objection to BKChapt. 11, (7) May balance sheet, (8) cash balance sheet 2015, (9) Profit &amp; Loss 2014, (10) Accrual Balance Sheet 2016, (11) Cash P&amp;L 2015, (12) Profit and Loss 2016, and (13)Balance Sheet 2014.</p>



			<p>have left the board) has attempted to limit the number of "members" eligible to vote and required to be notified. A copy of the motion accompanies this letter.</p> <p>As appears from documents which include documents listed on file in your office, the current executive director and the President have taken the position for quite a few years that no members exist and that the selection of the board of directors does not require a vote of the "members". It is noted that records have not been filed showing that the "President of the Board" who signed the earlier documents in July of 2017 was selected by the members to be on the Board.</p> <p>Therefore, he was not duly elected and not a "past President."</p> <p>Many of the violations of the by-laws are now recognized and the current management, which still includes the Executive Director, is seeking to have only a few members vote by mail without a meeting to ratify the prior actions. The actions include selection of board members, election of officers, hiring of attorneys and filing of lawsuits, filing of tax returns, use of funds for payment of expenses and bankruptcy attorney fees, insider loans, filing bankruptcy, submitting a Plan, submitting a proposed sale for approval, etc. None of these issues were presented by the corporation last July. It is noted that the corporation has entered into loan agreements with board members and former board members and they apparently expect to receive payment at the close of the sale.</p> <p>There is a disagreement as to the number of living "members" and persons entitled to vote. When the corporation was formed in 1971, three persons signed the articles. Thereafter, at the first meeting of the corporation in February of 1972, 15 persons were named as board members and became the founding board. That list is part of the founding documents filed with your office sometime thereafter. Several are deceased as are two of the three persons who filed the articles.</p> <p>Also, as indicated by the motion filed in the court, the sale cannot be completed to the satisfaction of the buyer without significant changes in leadership of the seller as requested by the buyer and those persons managing the affairs of the corporation have stated they seek to comply with those requests. It appears that the Buyer and others at a recent gathering convened by the current management, have questioned the make-up and authority of the board of directors and whether the corporation has acted in accord with its articles and by-laws and state law in the past.</p> <p>When your office was sent information back in July 2017, the persons managing the affairs included several persons no longer on the "board". The persons managing the affairs represented that there were no "members" and that it is not a "member" corporation. The prior and current list of "board members," includes several persons expecting to be repaid loans made to the corporation in the past and therefore have a financial interest in the outcome.</p> <p>The persons now handling the affairs in bankruptcy have since acknowledged that "members" exist pursuant to the by-laws and that the election of board members and</p>			
--	--	--	---	--	--	--

				<p>other actions, including filing of the bankruptcy and all other actions, would need to be ratified in accord with state law. These same persons have recently asserted that the by-laws were amended several times between 1972 and 1999 although they have not made public the different versions of by-laws and/or minutes of meetings or other evidence of the actual changes and whether they were submitted to members for approval as is apparently required.</p> <p>The bankruptcy court, On January 2, 2018 the court scheduled an additional hearing for January 24, 2018 in Santa Barbara at 11:15 AM. The court deadlines for submittal of comments is 14 days before the hearing. Your registry indicates that the status of the corporation is "review pending" apparently because proper forms, including the Form 990 for 2016, have not been submitted and are past due.</p>			
PRA-2018-00095	Fay	Bonnie	1/8/2018	<p>I am requesting all public records, with the exception of only those subject to exemption under the California Public Records Act, pertaining to Matthew Jared Babb dob [REDACTED]. This request is not limited in time and is meant to encompass all available records.</p> <p>Comments: If possible, please email the invoice for copies and requested records to B.J.F@dawsonorr.com&lt;mailto:BJF@dawsonorr.com&gt; or mail to Bonnie J. Fay, ACP FRP, Dawson   Orr, 50 N. Laura Street Suite 1675, Jacksonville, FL 32202. Thank you</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-00120	Freeman	Mark	1/8/2018	<p>Please forward a copy of the California Attorney General's Office Dec. 20 report regarding Professor Oscar Monge. Excerpts from the report were published in the UT on 1/5/18 <a href="http://www.sandiegouniontribune.com/news/education/sd-me-monge-report-20180103-story.html">http://www.sandiegouniontribune.com/news/education/sd-me-monge-report-20180103-story.html</a></p>	Completed	Chris Knudsen	<p>1. Attorney-Client Privileged Records Are Exempt From Disclosure</p> <p>The records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.)</p> <p>In the present case, the California State University retained the Attorney General's office to complete an investigation into complaints of discrimination and retaliation. An employment attorney was assigned to complete the investigation and that attorney was required to use her legal expertise to identify, analyze, and evaluate the pertinent facts. The attorney-client privilege extends to situations where an attorney conducts a factual investigation for a client. (City of Petaluma v. Superior Court (2016) 248 Cal.App.4th 1023 (review denied).) The confidential investigation report prepared by the Attorney General's Office constitutes an attorney's work product and was communicated in confidence to California State University, the client. Accordingly, the</p>

						<p>investigation report constitutes a confidential communication pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and is exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. The Attorney General's Office is responding to this request on its own behalf and not on behalf of any other state agency including California State University. As the client, it is up to California State University to decide whether or not to waive the privilege. It is our understanding that discrimination investigations are confidential and not publicly disclosed. If you wish to review records that are in the possession, custody or control of another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to them.</p> <p>2. Attorney Work Product Is Exempt From Disclosure Additionally, the attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc. section 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, section 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. The investigation report is work product of the Deputy Attorney General who prepared the report. Similarly, the exhibits attached and questions asked of witnesses reflect her approach, thought processes, and mental impressions.</p> <p>3. Private Records Are Exempt From Disclosure Government Code Section 6254, subdivision (c) makes exempt personnel, medical, or similar files the disclosure of which would cause an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. The request is also denied to the extent it seeks private and confidential information.</p>
PRA-2018-00051	Northcutt	Lisa	1/9/2018	Trying to find record of someone who was deported. Name : Arturo Franco (Arthur Franco birthday [REDACTED] location was Barstow ca, or la puente ca	Completed	Erin Nickless <p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>. Since you mention the individual was deported, the records you are seeking may also be in the possession of the United States Department of Citizenship and Immigration Services. Their website is <a href="https://www.uscis.gov/history-and-genealogy/genealogy/genealogy-notebook/researching-deportation-records">https://www.uscis.gov/history-and-genealogy/genealogy/genealogy-notebook/researching-deportation-records</a>.</p>

PRA-2018-00052	Evans	Christina	1/9/2018	How do I obtain a copy of By-Laws for a CA Charity organization? I need certified and stamped copy. Thank you, Name of Organization: FAMILY STATIONS, INC. FEIN : 94-1442453		Completed	Tania M. Ibanez, SAAG	This email is in response to your email dated January 9, 2018, wherein you requested information on obtaining certified and stamped copy of the bylaws for Family Station, Inc. The California Secretary of State can provide you with these records. I have provided the link below for your convenience. <a href="http://www.sos.ca.gov/business-programs/business-entities/information-requests/">http://www.sos.ca.gov/business-programs/business-entities/information-requests/</a>
PRA-2018-00053	DelaTorre	Sydney	1/9/2018	court case F07909355		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.
PRA-2018-00054	Hewlett	Iris	1/9/2018	I hereby seek records pursuant to Gov. Code Sec. 6250 et seq., in the possession of CA Attorney General supporting they are the Issuer Counsel relating to CHFFA REVENUE BOND FINANCING PROGRAM EXECUTIVE SUMMARY Applicant: Sutter Health ("Sutter") Principal Amount Requested: \$1,026,000,000 2200 River Plaza Drive Date Requested: July 7, 2016 Sacramento, CA 95833 Requested Loan Term: Up to 40 years Sacramento County Resolution Number: 413 Facility Types: General acute, sub-acute and outpatient care Eligibility: Government Code 15432(d) (1) Prior Borrower: Yes (date of last CHFFA issue, February 2016) Obligated Group: The Sutter Health Obligated Group (the "Obligated Group"),etc.  January 23, 2018 follow-up: So in essence the AG allowed for the refunding in 2016 of the Sutterhealth Bond series 2003/2005/2007 and 2008 under a deregulated CLIA in the State of California. Fieldman Rolapp and Bond Counsel Orrick Herrington Sutcliffe unjustly profitted from the wrongful death of women I ke my sister. Foul play at STO who had my claims from 2015-2017 and after OAG also knew. I'm still searching for responsibilty and accountability.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We interpret your request as inquiring whether the Office of the Attorney General served as issuer counsel for the California Health Facilities Financing Authority (the "Authority") in 2016 when the Authority issued revenue bonds for the benefit of Sutter Health to refund prior indebtedness and to finance the construction of health facilities. We confirm that our office served as issuer counsel to the Authority on the 2016 transaction described above. Our office serves as counsel to the Authority by operation of statute, and no documentation further supporting that role is required. We have records merely identifying our office in that role. For your information, we have enclosed a copy of the agenda for the July 7, 2016 meeting at which the Authority authorized the bonds, which identifies our office as issuer counsel.
PRA-2018-00055	Hopp	Richard	1/9/2018	Under Cal. Gov't Code, § 6253.9 an electronic copy of the Court of Appeal, Fourth Gamestop, Inc. v. The Superior Court; The People Case No. E068701 documents as follows: 01/05/2018 Response to amicus curiae brief filed by: Petitioner: Gamestop, Inc. Attorney: Benjamin Patrick Smith Attorney: Franklin Brockway Gowdy Attorney: Sharon Ruth Smith 01/05/2018 Request for judicial notice filed. By petitioner 01/05/2018 To court. Petitioner's RJN & response to amicus brief filed by petitioner		Completed		Withdrawn by requester via e-mail sent on 1-11-18 and received on 1-12-18
PRA-2018-00056	Cummings	Richard	1/9/2018	Latest State of California EAP bid won by Magellan Healthcare Please send cost of materials to my attention at my email address. Thanks so much.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Based on our research into the matter, you may wish to contact the California Department of

							Human Resources, as the Employee Assistance Program (EAP) is overseen by that Department.
PRA-2018-00057	Calderon	Cricelia	1/9/2018	The information needed for California licensed dairies in 2017: a) Name of Dairy, b) Address c) Number of Cows d) Plant affiliation/or producer/processor My name is Cricelia Calderon and I am conducting research on California licensed dairies. A spreadsheet with the information will suffice.	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to dairy licensure, you may wish to direct your request to the California Department of Food and Agriculture.
PRA-2018-00058	Bowers	Elizabeth	1/9/2018	Could you please provide any records on mr Charles Edwin bowers date of birth [REDACTED]. I have four case numbers from superior court in Ventura but they weren't able to pull from their archive. #5428093, 92M068049, 92M045165, 92M086130.	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-00060	Hawkinson	Nina	1/9/2018	Any and all Employment Development Department (EDD) documents, paper and digital records, regarding Rhonda W. Betz, born [REDACTED], with SSN [REDACTED] from 01/01/1990 to present. All public records of the Employment Development Department (EDD) subject to disclosure under the provisions of the California Public Records Act, Government Code Sections 6250 et seq., are open to inspection.	Completed	Erin Nickless	You are seeking documents from the Employment Development Department. Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the Employment Development Department.
PRA-2018-00061	Hawkinson	Nina	1/9/2018	Any and all Employment Development Department (EDD) documents, paper and digital records, regarding Jeanna Ebel Cash, [REDACTED], with SSN [REDACTED] from 03/23/2006 to present All public records of the Employment Development Department (EDD) subject to disclosure under the provisions of the California Public Records Act, Government Code Sections 6250 et seq., are open to inspection.	Completed	Erin Nickless	You are seeking documents from the Employment Development Department. Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the Employment Development Department.
PRA-2018-00062	Lombardo	Delinda	1/9/2018	State of California, Office of Administrative Hearings, Agency case number: 225519 OAH No. 2017080170. Commercial property in question is located in residential neighborhood at 1773 Ocean Front Street, owners are Bhavesh & Versha Patel. Requesting any and all communications between staff members, City Council, including any communication from Mayor Faulconer, regarding the Hearing and matter contained herein, specifically any and all communication that occurred on the date of the Hearing Oct 3, 2017, and in the 2 years PRIOR to the Hearing-again, requesting any and all communications regarding this matter including, but not limited to communications to/from the opposing party to Code Enforcement members, and from	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. You may wish to inquire with the Office of Administrative Hearings.

				the City of San Diego in response to this matter. The Hearing held on Oct 3, 2017, resulted in a Court Order which is NOT being adhered to, Code Enforcement says "their hands are tied" and have refused to address concerns regarding why they wont take action for violating the Court Order or shut down a Hotel is a residential zone. There was a closed door meeting prior to the Hearing, and I am requesting all communication that occurred in that room between Code Enforcement personnel-including SD Code Enforcement Coordinator Kim Wallace-Ross, and the Defendant and his attorney. Code Enforcement officials told me "not to discuss what went down in that Hearing." Thank you in advance. Sincerely, Delinda Lombardo			
PRA-2018-00063	Snyder	Dana	1/9/2018	Statement of Readiness and Feasibility for Grant Disbursement from California Health Facilities Financing Authority (CHFFA) to Encompass' 2nd Story Respite Program located @ 2884 Estates Drive, Aptos, CA 95003 Date: Most likely this will be found within the dates of June 5-July 7, 2017.	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.
PRA-2018-00112	Frances	Leonie	1/9/2018	Jennifer D Robins, 58 years of age, San Marcos, CA.	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.
PRA-2018-00123	Samson	Adam	1/9/2018	Pursuant to the California Public Records Act (California Government Code §§ 6250), I hereby request the following records: *Any complaints, and related documentation, filed electronically or by regular mail, concerning the company "Coinbase". The search should go back 12 months, ending on January 9, 2018. *Any emails received or sent by department employees containing in the subject or body the word "Coinbase". Please include attachments. *Any other records held electronically by the department containing the word "Coinbase". I am a reporter for the Financial Times, an international news company. The requested documents will be made available to the general public, and this request is not being made for commercial purposes. I request expedited processing as this request is of urgent public interest.	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes and logical places and have been unable to locate records responsive to your request. To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 ["While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)."])
PRA-2018-00317	Taylor	Michael	1/9/2018	I am in state custody at the above left-side address, where I have been for the past 30-years. I have recently went to the Board of Prison Hearings (which is the state parole Board) ( "BPHs") . When at the Parole Board I was questioned as to my having escaped from the Chino Correctional Facility in 1975. I was aware that back in 1988, that the Orange County Register Newspaper (in Orange County, CA) had erroneously alleged that I had escaped from the prison. Because the information was categorically not true, I chalked it off to mere 8th grade journalism. However, now that the BPHs is making their inquiry, I have responded with my denial. In December 1975, I was not incarcerated at the said Chino Correctional Facility. The prison had no escape in that year, though they insist that the information came from a newspaper clipping. According to the newspaper,	Completed	Hilda Estrella	Good Morning Ms. Wilson, Thank you for taking my call earlier today. I work with the Record Review and Challenge Program with the California Department of Justice, Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis. The purpose of this e-mail is to open up a line of communication with your facility to assist inmate Michael Douglas Taylor (E-85025) in obtaining and reviewing his criminal history record (aka: RAP Sheet) per his request. Our agency typically works with the Case Records Dept. to coordinate the efforts in ensuring the inmate obtains the necessary documents to properly submit their request. Thank you for your assistance in this matter. Here are the instructions in order for our Agency to properly process an inmate's request. The inmate would be required to submit the following three documents by mail: 1) An application for indigent record review [aka: fee waiver] (attached) 2) The BCIA 8705 form (attached) 3) A 10-print fingerprint card (FD-258) with the applicant's fingerprint impressions (because this document cannot be scanned or copied, I have placed a few cards in the mail address to you). NOTE: The process of obtaining the inmates fingerprint impressions would need to

				<p>the information materialized from the California Department of Corrections. (hereinafter "CDofC"). Closer scrutiny by the CDofC , the information was recorded in a CLETS rap sheet. However, and regardless of, the CDofC claime that they knew nothing about such an escape , that Warden Daniel Paramo and E. Stelter, CCII Administrative, suggested that I communicate with the California Department of Justice (DOJ) and which if the information cannot withstand DOJ scrutiny, that I have a right to ask the DOJ to remove any reference to it. That the CDofC can then check your CLETS system and if the information has been remove, that the y will have no objections and will correct my prison and BPHs files, accordingly. Where the Department of Justice (DOJ) has made an entry as to the "escape" allegation ( one denied by the CDofC which is not supported by any proof standard) then it should be retracted. Further, while the CLETS report does show an entry that I had escaped the Chino prison, it fails to show the date I was recaptured, brought to trial, or a DA's refusal to prosecute. It doesn't even show any prison disciplinary or corroboration of sorts.</p>			<p>be facilitated by a trained staff to avoid the possibility of the fingerprints being rejected. All three documents must be completely filled out and mailed to our agency at: California Department of Justice Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis Record Review and Challenge Program P.O. Box 903417 Sacramento, CA 94203-4170 Please note that the "BCIA 8705 form" includes instructions to submit a \$25 payment, however the Fee Waiver application will be accepted in place of that payment. I look forward to hearing back from your Department. Thank you for your time and attention to this matter.</p>
PRA-2018-00065	Milloy	Steven	1/10/2018	<p>I am requesting copies of all e-mail to and from the Office of the Attorney General (OAG) related to so-called "glider trucks" between the period July 1, 2017 to January 10, 2018. This request covers all staff in the OAG. This request also covers any work related e-mail about glider trucks that OAG staff has sent or received via personal e-mail accounts.</p>	Completed	Megan Hey	<p>The California Attorney General's Office is providing two non-privileged documents, copies of which are enclosed herewith, in response to the Request. To the extent that other responsive documents exist, t~ey are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege and/or the attorney work product exception, as follows: <b>Attorney-Client Privilege</b> Some of the documents sought by the Request are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code§ 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.) In this case, the attorneys in our department provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees, as well as the California Air Resources Board, and its designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees, the California Air Resources Board and its designees, and the department's attorneys concerning the topic of the Request (e.g., the "so-called 'glider trucks'" ) are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. <b>Attorney Work Product</b> The attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc.§ 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Documents such as those including confidential analyses, memoranda, and drafts of the same prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. Under the Public Records Act, government agencies need not provide a privilege log or list of every record withheld along with a statutory justification for withholding. (Haynie v Superior Court (2001) 26 Cal.4th 1061 , 107 4 [ where the California Supreme Court noted that public agencies must articulate the specific PRA exemption pertaining to records withheld. "What section 6255 does not require, however, is for the agency to go further and describe each of the documents falling within the statutory exemption." (Italics in original.)].) Moreover, providing such a log would be unduly time-consuming and burdensome under the public interest balancing test. (Haynie v Superior Court (2001) 26 Cal.4th 1061 , 1074-75; Gov. Code§ 6255.)</p>

PRA-2018-00066	Borzillieri	Trey	1/10/2018	<p>I am requesting copies or a physical review of files, investigative reports, and materials pertaining to the investigation of the zodiac killer crime cases in California during the years 1966-1975. There are five separate locations within California where homicides occurred and were attributed to the zodiac killer case, they are:</p> <p>Riverside Police Department - victim Cheri Jo Bates - October 30, 1966</p> <p>Solano Co. Sheriff's Office - victims Betty Lou Jensen &amp; David Faraday - December 20, 1968</p> <p>Vallejo Police Dept. - victims Darlene Ferrin and Michael Mageau (survived) - July 4, 1969</p> <p>Napa Co. Sheriff's Office - victims Cecelia Shepard &amp; Bryan Hartnell (survived) - September 27, 1969</p> <p>San Francisco Police Dept. - victim Paul Stine - October 11, 1969</p> <p>This is research for a History Channel television documentary series. Thanks in advance for your help with this request.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that your request seeks investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 ["While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)."].)</p>
PRA-2018-00067	McElhane y	Katherine	1/10/2018	<p>I am requesting records for case number #16-18266 (filed by myself and my husband in December 2016) and any follow-up regarding this case. I have also requested this in writing at the Santa Barbara Sheriff office in Solvang, CA on 1/3/18.</p> <p>Additionally, through the public records act, I would also like a list of people (name and DOB) arrested in the Santa Ynez Valley/Santa Barbara County for child molestation, annoying a child, lewd acts with a child between June 2016 - January 2018.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Unfortunately, we must deny your PRA request for the following reasons: (1) Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) (2)Public access to confidential sex offender registration information is controlled by Megan's Law which, for database integrity reasons, limits such access to real time internet searches on the Megan's Law web site; and (3) Given the constantly-changing nature of the Megan Law's database, the public's interest is best served by limiting public access to confidential sex offender registration information that is accurate and up-to-date.</p> <p>Megan's Law Provides for Internet Access Only</p> <p>Most of the information provided by registering sex offenders during the registration process is confidential (i.e., not open to public inspection). (Pen. Code, § 290.021.) The same is also true for "criminal offender record information" kept in the Department of Justice's California Sex and Arson Registry ("CSAR").</p> <p>However, despite the confidential nature of this information, California provides members of the public with limited access to some of the sex offender registration information via the Megan's Law internet web site. Specifically, Penal Code section 290.46 provides that "the Department of Justice shall make available information concerning persons who are required to register pursuant to Section 290 to the public via an Internet Web site as specified in this section." (Pen. Code, § 290.46, subd. (a)(1), italics added.) The statute further requires the department to "update the Internet Web site on an ongoing basis." (Ibid.) As mentioned in the notes to this code section, the Legislature created the Megan's Law web site with the intent "that the Department of Justice [would] continue to maximize all available resources to ensure the highest degree of accuracy in the sex registration database." (Pen. Code, § 290.46, Stats. 2004. ch 745, §. 2.) Additionally, the Legislature enacted Megan's Law with the intent that "California's infrastructure for collecting, maintaining, and disseminating information about registered sex offenders [would] be retooled to ensure that law enforcement and the public have access to accurate, up-to-date, and relevant information about registered sex offenders." (Pen. Code, § 290.46, Stats 2006 ch. 337, § 2, subd. (c), italics added.) Thus, in order to help ensure and maintain the accuracy of this information, the Legislature limited the public dissemination of sex offender registration information to the Megan's Law web site.</p> <p>Government Code section 6254 sets forth various exceptions to the disclosure requirements under the PRA. Significantly for this matter, section 6254, subdivision (k), exempts from disclosure "Records, the disclosure of which is exempted or prohibited pursuant to federal or state law, including, but not limited to, provisions of the Evidence Code relating to privilege." (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k), italics added.) Thus, the PRA cannot extend the public's right of access to sex offender registration information beyond the limitations and restrictions set forth in Megan's</p>



						<p>Law. Consequently, the public's right of access to this information is limited to accessing the Megan's Law database.</p> <p>The Public Interest is Best Served by Limiting Access to Current and Accurate Information</p> <p>In your request, you state you are looking for current list registrants' addresses to be used in a mobile app. Under Government Code section 6255, a government agency may withhold disclosure of a public record "by demonstrating . . . that on the facts of the particular case the public interest served by not disclosing the record clearly outweighs the public interest served by disclosure of the record." (Gov. Code, §6255, subd. (a).) We believe the circumstances in this case necessitate nondisclosure of the records that you seek from us.</p> <p>The information that appears on the Megan's Law web site is processed through a third-party vendor. The purpose of the web site is to provide members of the public access to the most current sex offender registrant data. Thus, the site, which is updated daily to reflect any new additions, deletions, and modifications to the registrant database, stores and displays only the current day's data.</p> <p>The Offender Registration Application Support (ORAS) Team is the internal unit within the Department of Justice that provides technology support and maintenance for CSAR, the State's repository for sex and arson registration information. Every day, ORAS creates a FTP file which contain a snapshot of the registration data for that day. Our vendor then downloads that file from our secure FTP site and stores the file on the hard drive of the server that creates the files used for the web site. Specifically, our vendor runs a program that takes that day's data and completely recreates the data files that are used by the web servers to provide the data to the public. Our vendor does not employ any SQL database or similar database for this process, only temporary ISAM files. No transaction or other record keeping measures are utilized. The previous day's data on the web servers is overwritten with the new data. The data is also overwritten on the computer where these daily data files are created.</p> <p>On our end, ORAS keeps a backup for seven days of the extract file that is sent to our vendor. However, the information on the backup files is not a mirror image of what appears on the public web site because it contains information that is excluded from public view during our vendor's processing of the information. Additionally, even if our vendor reprocessed the information from one of the backup files, we could not ensure the accuracy of all the information because of the ever-changing nature of the registration database. In other words, because the registration database changes on a daily basis (i.e., newly added registrants, newly removed registrants, and changes to registrant's data) even a delay of one day would result in a report that contained inaccurate data. Such results do not comply with the Legislature's stated intent "that the Department of Justice . . . ensure the highest degree of accuracy in the sex registration database" (Pen. Code, § 290.46, Stats 2004 ch 745, §. 2) and "ensure that . . . the public have access to accurate, up-to-date, and relevant information about registered sex offenders" (Pen. Code, § 290.46, Stats 2006 ch 337, §. 2, subd. (c)). Thus, the public interest certainly is not served by disseminating highly sensitive sex offender registration information that we know contains inaccurate information, especially when we know that accurate, up-to-date information is readily available online.</p> <p>Furthermore, given the highly sensitive nature of sex offender registration information, providing the public with inaccurate information could cause real public safety concerns. For instance, if registration information is disseminated about a person who is no longer required to register or be posted on the web site, that person may be subjected to undue harassment or even danger. Additionally, if a registrant moves, the person who occupies the registrant's old address may be mistakenly harassed or injured. And, as every day goes by, the obsolescence of the report and the inaccuracies contained within it continue to grow. Again, the public interest in receiving inaccurate sex offender registration information is clearly outweighed by non-disclosure.</p> <p>Finally, we receive a multitude of similar requests from members of the public, especially broadcast and print media outlets. Depending on the volume and requested frequency (i.e., daily, weekly, monthly) of such PRA requests if we were required to produce sex offender registration database information, the additional time and work required for responding to the requests could have a dramatic impact</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	---

							on our ability to successfully perform the core functions of CSAR, which in turn could affect public safety.
PRA-2018-00072	Blust	Richard	1/11/2018	Personal Records for myself. I am applying for jobs and I want to provide accurate information.		Completed	Erin Nickless Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-00073	Vree	Nathan	1/11/2018	Mandatory inspections of all residential rental units in the city of Stockton must comply with Stockton Municipal Code 8.32 - Residential Rental Unit Inspection and Maintenance. I would like to access the information regarding the check list regarding 8647 Acapulco Way Stockton, CA 95210 I believe I am being abused as a tenant by my landlord and would like better information regarding the approval for occupancy before going to court to sue this owner.		Completed	Erin Nickless You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides, or the City of Stockton if they are the ones who created the check list that you are seeking.
PRA-2018-00074	Nielsen	Chris	1/11/2018	Pursuant to the California Public Records Act (CPRA) we request copies of the investigation reports on possible violations of the Standard of Identity for Lemon Juice (CFR Title 21 Section 146.114), filed against following company: Lucy's Enterprises 13740 Nelson Avenue, City of Industry, CA 91746 Owner: Miguel Diaz		Completed	Erin Nickless We note that you have directed your request to the California Department of Justice, but you appear to be addressing your request to the California Department of Public Health. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. If you are seeking records from the California Department of Public Health, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to submit a records request to their office can be found on their website at <a href="https://cdph.mycusthelp.com/WEBAPP/_rs/%28S%28c0dxnq1blr41lvabw0tqmko4%29%29/supporthome.aspx">https://cdph.mycusthelp.com/WEBAPP/_rs/%28S%28c0dxnq1blr41lvabw0tqmko4%29%29/supporthome.aspx</a> . To the extent that your request seeks investigative files from the California DOJ, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a

							failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 ["While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)."].)
PRA-2018-00075	Higgins	Shane	1/11/2018	All-time Criminal Records Request	Completed	Nicole Arnaiz	The Record Review and Challenge Program assists individuals who would like to order a copy of their California state criminal history record for their eyes only and/or dispute its material (see California Penal Code 11120-11126). You may order a copy of your California state criminal history record (or "no record") to review and/or dispute the information contained therein. For more information regarding the Record Review process, please visit our website at <a href="http://www.oag.ca.gov/fingerprints">www.oag.ca.gov/fingerprints</a> . Click on the link, "Criminal Records - Request Your Own" and follow the directions listed on the webpage. Your Record Review results will be mailed to you after you submit fingerprints for Record Review. The Department of Justice responds only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of a local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PRA-2018-00077	Roman	Jennifer	1/11/2018	Legal name change filed through State of California office of the Secretary of State on October 8th 1992 my name was Jennifer Marie Martinez and was changed to Jennifer Marie Roman the case number 083455 I have a photocopy of course that was made I'm gathering a few years ago I want an actual copy so it's color I'm trying to get my passport done and I think it'll present a hurdle for me if I don't have the legal one.	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the California Secretary of State's Office, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to contact their office can be found online at <a href="http://www.sos.ca.gov/administration/contact-information/">http://www.sos.ca.gov/administration/contact-information/</a> .
PRA-2018-00078	Rousseau	Katie	1/11/2018	I am doing a public records request for all police reports, narratives, and 911 call logs regarding a: Tumbellina Renee Lindenberger (birth name might be Debbie or Deborah) DOB: [REDACTED] Address [REDACTED] Phone [REDACTED]	Completed	Erin Nickless	Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PRA-2018-00080	Sidhu	Manpreet	1/11/2018	records regarding person: Manpreet Singh Sidhu DOB [REDACTED] SSN [REDACTED] Address same as above	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.
PRA-2018-00081	Goldman	Jeffrey	1/11/2018	All criminal records and court records pertaining to QUINTANA BASTIDA, Guillermo (DOB [REDACTED]). Records could pertain to a domestic violence charge between 1990-1995	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-00102	Hefley	Dr. Bill	1/11/2018	We are proposing a research study to analyze the Data Breach records reported by the Office of the Attorney General. These data breach submissions to OAG have data contained in them that are not reported on the OAG web site listing Data Breach notifications, such as the number of individuals affected, type of incident, etc. Is it	Completed	Lisa Kim	In certain specified circumstances, California Civil Code sections 1798.29(e) and 1798.82(f) require submission of sample copies of security breach notifications, excluding any personally identifiable information, to the Attorney General. These single samples of security breach notifications are public records. Our office publishes these security breach notifications on our website at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/privacy/databreach/list">https://oag.ca.gov/privacy/databreach/list</a> . We provide both a chronological list as well

			<p>possible to obtain an electronic copy of the submissions to OAG, including the data submitted per <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/privacy/databreach/report-a-breach">https://oag.ca.gov/privacy/databreach/report-a-breach</a> to support our analyses?</p> <p>This work is not funded and is going to be done by myself and one of my graduate students. We would be happy to acknowledge the support of the OAG, and provide copies of any analyses completed.</p> <p>January 16, 2018 follow up: Thank you so much for your letter. I am a former member of the CERT/CC and have previously been involved in training for law enforcement personnel of the both the US and Canada, and in supporting prosecutions, so I am sensitive to your concerns.</p> <p>I appreciate your response, and thank you for such a quick response, although it does raise some additional questions. First, the other information (besides the sample breach letter) collected at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/privacy/databreach/report-a-breach">https://oag.ca.gov/privacy/databreach/report-a-breach</a> is not specifically described in the Code at either 1798.82 or 1798.29, so there may be a question as to whether this information should/could be made public. There is no Civil Code requirement in these sections directing the collection of information categorizing the breach letters for the purposes of law enforcement, nor explicitly exempting it from subdivision (f) of Section 6254 of the Government Code, so this may indeed be a gray area. Further, you state is that this is law enforcement data (according to the heading on the Report-A-Breach page), but 1798.82(c) or 1798.29(c) both clearly state that publishing this public information may be delayed if needed for a law enforcement investigation. However, since the OAG has produced these public records and published this breach data, there can be no ongoing law enforcement purpose (otherwise the breach would have been delayed from publication), so I kindly enquire as to whether the other data that is collected (besides the sample breach letter) collected at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/privacy/databreach/report-a-breach">https://oag.ca.gov/privacy/databreach/report-a-breach</a> for those breaches reported at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/privacy/databreach/list">https://oag.ca.gov/privacy/databreach/list</a> can be made available for analysis, as it is clear that the intent of the Codes are that the breach notifications not be subject to subdivision (f) of Section 6254 of the Government Code. Any breach not reported at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/privacy/databreach/list">https://oag.ca.gov/privacy/databreach/list</a> could possibly fall under the law enforcement exemption and may be withheld per 1798.82(c) or 1798.29(c).</p> <p>Given this, I would request for our research purposes the information associated with Part 2 of each breach published by the Office of the Attorney General at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/privacy/databreach/list">https://oag.ca.gov/privacy/databreach/list</a>, as these are no longer under law enforcement hold, having been published.</p> <p>If this is not possible, due to your stated law enforcement concerns, we would request just the descriptive data associated with Part 2 of the Report a Breach Form. This would constitute the questions in Part 2 of the Report-A-Breach form, excluding three questions in Part 2 regarding these law enforcement items that may be excluded from our request:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Name, telephone and email address of company</li> </ol>			<p>as a search function. In the past, our office has analyzed the data breaches reported to the Attorney General and compiled these findings. This information is also available on our website at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/privacy/privacy-reports">https://oag.ca.gov/privacy/privacy-reports</a>.</p> <p>In addition to the public records required by Civil Code sections 1798.29( e) and 1798.82(f), our office collects additional information about security breaches for law enforcement purposes. These records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General, and, therefore, are exempt from disclosure. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts records of complaints to, or investigations conducted by, the Office of the Attorney General and the Department of Justice. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (f).) Accordingly, we respectfully deny your request that we provide these records. For the foregoing reasons, we are unable to provide you with documents in response to your request other than the records available at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/privacy/databreach/list">https://oag.ca.gov/privacy/databreach/list</a>.</p> <p>January 25, 2018 response to follow up: This letter is in response to your correspondence dated January 16, 2018. In addition to taking issue with our January 16, 2018 response to your January 11, 2018 Public Records Act request, you submit a new, alternative request for “the descriptive data associated with Part 2 of the Report a Breach form” submitted per <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/privacy/databreach/report-a-breach">https://oag.ca.gov/privacy/databreach/report-a-breach</a>. We appreciate your positions on California law, but respectfully disagree and decline your request. As set forth in our January 16 response, our office specifically and expressly collects the information in Part 2 of our “Report a Breach” form for law enforcement purposes to investigate security breaches. This information is separate and apart from the required submission of sample copies of security breach notifications to our office, and thus, is exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (f).)</p> <p>You assert that because our Office publishes sample breach notices, there can be no ongoing law enforcement purpose for maintaining confidentiality of the other materials collected by our office. Single samples of security breach notifications are explicitly public records and we endeavor to make these records publicly available without unreasonable delay. Publication of the sample copies of security breach notifications, however, in no way indicates that the Attorney General’s investigation into the data breaches has been completed or that there is no legitimate purpose for maintaining confidentiality of our investigation files.</p> <p>Further, and importantly, investigative records do not lose their exempt status even after conclusion of the investigation. (Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354-355.) Therefore, even if and when our investigations are closed, our investigation files remain exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. Your citation of Civil Code sections 1798.29( c) and 1798.82( c) is also misplaced. Those provisions pertain to whether a business may delay notifying the persons whose information was accessed when a law enforcement agency has determined that notification may impede a criminal investigation. Indeed, both subdivisions (a) and (c) of each statute provide that notice should be made promptly after a determination that it will not compromise the investigation. But notification to persons affected does not indicate that the Attorney General’s investigation into the data breach is complete. Further, as noted above, investigative records do not lose their exempt status after conclusion of the investigation. (Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354-355.)</p> <p>Thus, neither publication of sample breach notices by our office, nor notification of the data breach by the agency, person, or business involved to persons whose information has been accessed, support your position that our Office’s investigative records have lost their exempt status. Accordingly, we respectfully deny your requests for these records exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f).</p>
--	--	--	---	--	--	---

				<p>contact whom the Attorney General may contact for further information</p> <p>2. Whether a law enforcement agency was notified regarding the breach</p> <p>3. Name and contact information of law enforcement agency contacted</p> <p>I have a student who is quite interested in doing a deeper analysis of these data. We would, of course, be happy to provide a copy of any completed research to your office.</p>			
PRA-2018-00128	Johnson	Stephanie	1/11/2018	<p>Any and all correspondence, memoranda, or other document related to or mentioning, casually or as the subject, the individual by the name of Mohammed Aly. Mr. Aly's (DOB [REDACTED])</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-00239	Coats	Francis	1/11/2018	<p>What sort of index do you have for these pre-1943 opinions? Are there any others that relate to Art. I sec. 25 Cal. Const. , rights to fish or reserving fishing rights? If so, I would really like to see them and obtain copies.</p>	Completed	Stephanie Grimes	<p>On January 25, 2018, Stephanie Grimes sent an extension letter.</p>
PRA-2018-00082	Mattson	Benjamin	1/12/2018	<p>I am requesting a list of the names, addresses and phone of all registered establishments that have charitable gambling licenses in the state of California. This can include pull tabs, raffles, etc. designated to raise money for nonprofit partner support.</p>	Completed	Caitlin W. Noble	<p>In response, we have enclosed a list of eligible organizations registered to conduct raffles pursuant to Penal Code section 320.5 as maintained by the Department's Registry of Charitable Trusts (Registry). The Registry does not maintain telephone contact information for eligible organizations registered pursuant to Penal Code section 320.5.</p> <p>We have also enclosed a list of eligible organizations registered to conduct raffles pursuant to Penal Code section 320.6 as maintained by the Department's Bureau of Gambling Control (Bureau). The Bureau does not maintain telephone contact information for eligible organizations authorized to conduct raffles pursuant to the major-league sports raffle program. We have not enclosed a list of nonprofit organizations preapproved by the Department to conduct fundraisers pursuant to California Business and Professions Code section 19986, subdivision (b), because, except as specifically provided in the Gambling Control Act (Bus. &amp; Prof. Code, § 19800 et seq.), the records of the Department maintained under the Gambling Control Act are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. (Bus. &amp; Prof. Code, § 19821, subd. (c); see Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k).) In addition, subdivision (d) of Business and Professions Code section 19821 makes it unlawful for an official or employee of the Department to knowingly disclose or furnish records or information to a person not authorized by law to receive them, except as necessary</p>

								for the administration of the Gambling Control Act. To the extent your request seeks records of nonprofit organizations' fundraisers that are maintained by the Department under the Gambling Control Act, they are statutorily exempt from disclosure. We note that your public records request is directed to the California Department of Justice but may seek records from other California state agencies such as the California Gambling Control Commission. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice responds solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other state agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.
PRA-2018-00083	Jo	Erika	1/12/2018	I would like to be emailed copies of all of the entities that responded to the Request for Proposals of K-12 Educational Online Content issued on Nov. 9 by the Riverside County Office of Education on Behalf of the California State Library. The RFP is available here: <a href="https://rcoe.sharefile.com/share/view/s5d1e12689ce4475a/fo514030-3170-4f...">https://rcoe.sharefile.com/share/view/s5d1e12689ce4475a/fo514030-3170-4f...</a> < <a href="https://rcoe.sharefile.com/share/view/s5d1e12689ce4475a/fo514030-3170-4f55-bea6-47b8b1310b19">https://rcoe.sharefile.com/share/view/s5d1e12689ce4475a/fo514030-3170-4f55-bea6-47b8b1310b19</a> > and all proposals were to be sent to the Riverside County Office of Education. Their email is <a href="mailto:rcoecsrlfp@rcoe.us">rcoecsrlfp@rcoe.us</a> < <a href="mailto:rcoecsrlfp@rcoe.us">mailto:rcoecsrlfp@rcoe.us</a> >.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the California State Library and/or the Riverside County Office of Education, you may wish to direct your request to their offices.
PRA-2018-00084	Menon	Pavithra	1/12/2018	(1) Any and all "Request for Authorization to Receive State Summary Criminal History Information - Contract Employee for Public/Private Schools" documents, a sample of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A, that have been submitted to the DOJ by Early Strides Child Development Center, LLC, located at 2615 Pacific Coast Hwy# 125, Hermosa Beach, CA 90254. (2) Any and all contracts for subsequent arrest notification services (as defined in Penal Code § 11105.2(c) and a version of which is available as "Contract for Subsequent Arrest Notification Service" at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/files/agweb/pdfs/fingerprints/fo rms/subarr.pdf">https://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/files/agweb/pdfs/fingerprints/fo rms/subarr.pdf</a> ) that have been submitted to the DOJ by the California Department of Social Services ("DSS") and/or any of DSS divisions, agents, and/or employees. (3) Any and all contracts for subsequent arrest notification services (as defined in Penal Code § 11105.2(c) and a version of which is available as "Contract for Subsequent Arrest Notification Service" at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/files/agweb/pdfs/fingerprints/fo rms/subarr.pdf">https://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/files/agweb/pdfs/fingerprints/fo rms/subarr.pdf</a> ) that have been submitted to the DOJ by Front Porch Communities & Services, doing business as "Villa Gardens," located at 842 East Villa Street, Pasadena, California, or any of its agents and/or employees.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	Jaimie Tackett sent an extension letter on 1/23/18 Jaimie Tackett responded on February 5, 2018: The California Department of Justice has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents. Note, the documents contain some information that, under the PRA, is exempt from disclosure and have been redacted. (Gov. Code§ 6254 (k), Pen. Code§§ 11075 et seq.)
PRA-2018-00089	Cubeiro	Matthew	1/12/2018	Any and all communications between the California Department of Justice ("DOJ") (or any representative, employee or agent of 001) and any DOJ-Certified Laboratory (or any representative, employee or agent of any DOJ-Certified laboratory) in the last twelve (12) months.	Michel & Associates, P.C.	Completed	Robert Wilson	Robert Wilson sent an extension letter dated January 22, 2018. Robert Wilson sent a status update on February 5, 2018: Our 14-day extension expires today, and I would like to provide you a status of our progress. We have been combing through the information. At this point, we are still gathering the responsive documents. We would like to continue our search and provide documents to you on a rolling basis. We will keep you informed of the progress. On March 27, 2018, Robert Wilson responded: In providing the records requested, we have redacted certain private identifying information, such as non-commercial addresses, email addresses, and telephone numbers, along with other sensitive personal information such as social security numbers and credit card numbers based on considerations of personal privacy. (Cal. Const., art. I, § 1, as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.)

							Enclosed you will find copies of documents we have that are responsive to your request.
PRA-2018-00121	Olfati (January 2018)	Parvin	1/12/2018	<p>1. Any and all communications, payments and/or emoluments to Nationwide Insurance and/or any Nationwide Insurance employee, officer, agent, and/or representative that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any communications regarding any insurance claim or other communication that mentions [REDACTED], including but not limited to any communication intended to interfere with or otherwise affect any payment of any insurance claim.</p> <p>2. In addition, please produce any and all documents, records, and/or writings reflecting fully destruction, alteration, removal, and/or transfer of any of the records requested under Request Item Number 1 herein.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
PRA-2018-00122	Olfati (January 2018)	Parvin	1/12/2018	<p>1. Each and every document, letter, electronic mail message, text message, Internet communication, intra-net communication, attachment, payment, enclosure and/or writing created, transmitted, stored, sent and/or received by DOJ on any DOJ computer or other medium, or on any non-DOJ medium used to transmit, store, create and/or receive content, that constitutes, mentions, refers to, and/or relates to John Dambrausks, [REDACTED], and/or any vacations, vacation payments, vacation reimbursements, financial or other assistance, home improvements, appliances, repairs, jobs, recommendations for employment, payments, vacation, trips, remodeling and/or informant activities (official or unofficial to, from, by, with and/or about John Dambrausks and/or [REDACTED]), including but not limited to assistance as a reward for any acts intended to provide pain and suffering to Parvin Olfati.</p> <p>2. In addition, please produce any and all documents, records, and/or writings reflecting any destruction of any of the records requested under Request Item Number 1 herein.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
PRA-2018-00130	Hui Lan	Kei	1/12/2018	<p>I inquire to obtain a (2) certified copy of Oath of Office for Xavier Becerra.</p> <p>Notice: To Dismiss Case, Release Lily Ko concerning Santa Clara County Court Case stylized as [14526193]/[C1496348]</p> <p>Greetings Xavier,</p> <p>I, woman, believe you are a man who at times acts as the Attorney General for California, and I have a matter that requires your urgent intervention:</p> <p>It has come to my attention that charge P.C. § 166(A)(4) is dropped as of July 6, 2015 along with P.C. § 71; I require and demand case [14526193]/[C1496348] be dismissed as frivolous on grounds that P.C. § 166(A)(4) charged (for violating a restraining order) is defective.</p> <p>1. Restraining Order (RO) in question (dated on/about May 27, 2014) does not state any reason for restraint (an 'omission');</p> <p>2. Absent this charge how can P.C. § 664/278 be founded?</p> <p>3. The 'protected' party (my son and daughter seized (unlawfully) by CPS ('DFCS')) did not want the RO; 'absent exigency.' Also, the woman, Michele McKay McCoy acting as County Judge proceeded on a</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>In regard to your request for copies of Oath of Offices, we are enclosing two copies of the Oath of Office for Attorney General Xavier Becerra. To the extent that you request that we 'certify' documents, we must decline that portion of your request as our office does not certify records produced under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>Additionally, you "require and demand case [14526193]/[C1496348] be dismissed as frivolous," and sought assistance with a restraining order.</p> <p>We were unable to locate these case numbers in our legal indices and must therefore decline your request. To the extent that you are seeking legal advice, the DOJ is not in a position to perform legal research or to provide legal advice to private individuals. You may wish to consult with a private attorney to evaluate your options regarding the remaining portion of your request.</p>

				suspended hearing (on December 6, 2017) to AMEND the P.C. § 1370 Order (to commit Lily Ko) to include 'Involuntary Medication Order' (IMO) which is causing my suffering, harm, injury, distress-from heart pain, etc. I can die from forced ongoing drugging; I require you to dismiss and vacate this case and all judgments (including the IMO), and I require and demand my immediate release. I have exceeded my maximum term of 2 years! I went to jail March 3, 2015-July 6, 2015, March 6, 2017-October 19, 2017, then to NSH prison October 19, 2017-to this day and ongoing. December 28, 2017. I also require the immediate restoration of my son, Ko, Frank (16 year old), and my daughter, Ko, Jaya (10 year old) back under my care and custody. I withdraw the State, Michele McKay McCoy as beneficiary to constructive trust [14526193]; govern yourself accordingly, 28th December, 2017 by Hui Lian, on behalf for Ko, Lily				
PRA-2018-00136	Lautzenhiser	John	1/12/2018	My PRA of 17 Dec 17 has been ignored. No response was made within statutory time limits. No Justification for withholding records was made, nor could one have been made. Personnle in your agency have withheld records in Violation of G.C. 6200, which is a felony. The Check sent for possible statutory charges has not been cashed, returned, or otherwise accounted for. My petition for an administrative rulemaking proceeding, made 30 Oct 17 reiterated 30 Nov 17 and 17 Dec 17 has never been responded to. This violates G.C. 1222 It also raises the issue of whether DOJ intends to obey the law regarding it. After several inquiries, one question remains unanswered. Why is DOJ working so hard at protecting those who violate California's laws from their victims?		Completed	Erin Nickless	Specifically, you state that your request was ignored and that your check was not returned. Our records show that our office mailed a response within ten days of receiving your letter, and enclosed your uncashed check with our response on January 5, 2018. To the extent that your inquiries seek legal advice or opinions, the DOJ is not in a position to perform legal research or to provide legal advice to private individuals.
PRA-2018-00139	Melkhior (WSJ)	Jillian	1/12/2018	"First, copies of any submitted resume, job offer letter, signed contract and other hiring documents pertaining in any way to Taryn Akiyama as a project coordinator for the California Governor's Office of Planning and Research and/or as the Governor's project coordinator for the Under2 Coalition, or for any other role in his office. This includes, but is not limited to, any contracts or grants that provide funding for Ms. Akiyama's position and/or efforts. We further narrow this portion of the request as follows: Responsive records will be dated from May 1, 2016, to Jan. 11, 2018, inclusive. Second, copies of all salary, pay and other compensation records for Taryn Akiyama. Responsive records will be dated between Nov. 11, 2017, and Jan. 11, 2018, inclusive. Third, copies of any submitted resume, job offer letter, signed contract and other hiring documents pertaining in any way to the hiring of Aimee Barnes as a senior adviser to Gov. Brown, or for any other role in his office. This includes, but is not limited to, any contracts or grants that provide funding for Ms. Barnes' position and/or efforts. We further narrow this portion of the request as follows: Responsive records will be dated from March 1, 2017, to Jan. 11, 2018, inclusive. Fourth, copies of all salary, pay and other compensation records for Aimee Barnes. Responsive records will be dated between Nov. 11, 2017, and Jan. 11, 2018,	The Wall Street Journal	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the Governor's Office, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on contacting the Office of Governor Edmund G. Brown Jr. can be located on the Governor's website at <a href="https://govapps.gov.ca.gov/gov39mail/">https://govapps.gov.ca.gov/gov39mail/</a> .



				inclusive. Fifth, copies of all contracts, grants, invoices and/or reimbursement requests involving the State of California and/or the governor's office and the Hewlett Foundation, the World Resources Institute, the Under2 Coalition, the UN Foundation, and/or The Climate Group. Responsive records will be dated between Jan. 11, 2017, and Jan. 11, 2018, inclusive."			
PRA-2018-00086	Patterson	Joe	1/16/2018	<p>I am making a request for public records from the Bureau of Gambling Control. Please provide copies of all approved rules for controlled games at each individual gambling enterprise (hereafter "cardroom") and corresponding GEGA numbers. I request that the information be presented in a way that I can easily view the rules for a specific cardroom.</p> <p>All Records This request for public records encompasses any writing containing information relating to the conduct of the public's business prepared, owned, used, or retained by any state or local agency or official regardless of physical form or characteristics. See California Government Code § 6252(e). As used in this request and consistent with the California Public Records Act, "writing" means any handwriting, typewriting, printing, photostating, photographing, photocopying, transmitting by electronic mail, text message, or facsimile, and every other means of recording upon any tangible thing any form of communication or representation, including letters, words, pictures, sounds, or symbols, or combinations thereof, and any record thereby created, regardless of the manner in which the record has been stored. See California Government Code § 6252(g).</p>	Completed	Riann Kopchak	<p>In response to your request, please be advised that the rules of play of each gaming activity approved for play at each gambling establishment are available to the public on the Attorney General's website, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 19826, subdivision (g), a provision of the Gambling Control Act (Act). The specific web page may be accessed at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/gambling/cardroomlist">https://oag.ca.gov/gambling/cardroomlist</a>. In addition, the rules for gaming activities conducted within a gambling establishment are available to patrons at the gambling establishment itself. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4, § 2017, subds. (c), (f).) The Act also provides that the Bureau "shall maintain a file of all applications for licenses" and that the file is "open to public inspection." (Cal. Bus. &amp; Prof. Code, § 19821, subd. (b).) The Act specifies that except as provided therein, the records of the Bureau are exempt from disclosure under the California Public Records Act. (Cal. Bus. &amp; Prof. Code, § 19821, subd. (c).) Business and Professions Code section 19821, subdivision (d), further specifies that it unlawful for an official or employee of the Department to knowingly disclose or furnish records or information to a person not authorized by law to receive them, except as necessary for the administration of the Gambling Control Act. While the records you requested are made available in the particular manner specified in Business and Professions Code section 19826, subdivision (g), a review of your records request suggests no applicable exception to the general exemption from disclosure under the Public Records Act set forth in Business and Professions Code section 19821, subdivision (c).</p> <p>For the foregoing reasons, your request for the requested records under the Public Records Act is denied.</p> <p>The decisions described herein with respect to the requested records have been made by Associate Governmental Program Analyst Kaylee Forthman and Deputy Attorneys General Robert L. Mukai and Jennifer T. Henderson.</p>
PRA-2018-00087	Reyder	Erica	1/16/2018	<p>I would like to request the public records regarding how many times the police have been called out to the address listed below:</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p>
PRA-2018-00090	Anderson	Lynn	1/16/2018	<p>dissolution of marriage Lynn Ann Anderson vs Micah John Anderson filed [REDACTED]</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth-Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth-Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-00091	Adkins	Shanah	1/16/2018	<p>The People of California vs. Charles Franklin Christian or related arrest record. Murder/ attempted murder trial. He was sent to prison on 4/12/1979. CDCR # B66387. He was granted parole 01/10/18.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to</p>

							obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-00093	Garcia	Pedro	1/16/2018	Reference number: SQ20120423179 La county superior court I need to know what this public record is for I have a lean because of it		Completed	Erin Nickless We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a court case involving a lien, we suggest that you contact the court in which the case was filed, or the local county assessor's office for the county in which the property resides if the lien is property-related. We hope this information has been of assistance.
PRA-2018-00094	Dastrup	Amber	1/16/2018	Any and all applications submitted by Timothy Long, Polvora, Inc., Michael LeBlanc, Gabriel Pattee, or Mark English for a state gambling license or approval of any purchase agreement related to Hemphills Cardroom in Napa, CA.		Completed	Riann Kopchak The California Gambling Control Act, in California Business and Professions Code section 19821, subdivision (b) ), provides that the Bureau "shall maintain a file of all applications for licenses" and that the file is "open to public inspection. The Bureau is therefore enclosing copies of the license applications, maintained in the referenced file, of the entity and the individuals identified in your request. If the following information was included on an application, it has been redacted as authorized by the California Public Records Act, in California Government Code section 6254, subdivision (n), and section 6255: home address, home phone number, personal cellphone number, applicant birth date, social security number, and dollar compensation of principal. This personal information has been redacted because it is protected by the strong public policy set forth in California Constitution article I, section 1, the right of privacy, yet is of minor significance for legitimate uses, and identity theft and similar serious crimes often rely on such personal information for success. To the extent your request seeks documents other than the applications identified above, the Gambling Control Act specifies that except as provided therein, the records of the Bureau are exempt from disclosure under the California Public Records Act. (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code. § 19821, subd. (c).) In addition, to the extent your request is of sufficient breadth to encompass records. if any, that are exempt from disclosure under the attorney-client privilege or the attorney work product doctrine those records are not enclosed. The attorney-client privilege contained in California Evidence Code section 954 protects confidential communications between attorney and client, including confidential communications between an attorney within the Attorney General's Office and that office's governmental clients. The attorney work product doctrine protects from disclosure any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research, or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) California Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k). incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, and exempts from disclosure records covered by the attorney-client privilege and the attorney work product doctrine. (Cal. Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); see County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Records such as confidential analyses, notes, draft language and memoranda prepared by attorneys employed by the Attorney General's Office are consequently exempt from disclosure. For the foregoing reasons, requested records other than the applications identified above are exempt from disclosure, and your request for such records is denied. The decisions described herein with respect to the requested records have been made by Associate Governmental Program Analyst Kaylee Forthman and Deputy Attorney General Ronald Diedrich.
PRA-2018-00097	Colter	Michael	1/16/2018	I am looking for court documents for the conviction of Joe Lewis Smith. Who at the time of his conviction was residing in Moreno Valley, CA. Joe entered Chuckawalla Valley State Prison on 08/20/2014 and his CDCR number is AU3458.		Completed	Erin Nickless We were unable to locate court case records with the information provided. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to

							submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-00098	Goode	Rachel	1/16/2018	I am requesting all criminal records of my father, John Blivin. He was convicted of a felony in 2000 or 2001 in Lassen County for manufacturing and distributing methamphetamine across from a school. I am requesting all restraining order records against my father, John Blivin. Lassen County case # 34334 (Triska, Kathy vs. Blivin, John W.). Restraining order on 5/21/01. Proof of service on 5/22/01. Lassen County 1983-1985 (Beverly Blivin (Kimm) vs. John Blivin) Restraining order. My mother, Beverly Kimm, divorced my father, John Blivin, when I was 5 years old. He threatened to kill her and she was granted a restraining order against him. I have had little contact with him throughout my life due to his violent and unsafe behavior. He has recently attempted to intervene in my life, and I have no records of his criminal history to provide to the Oregon police or courts. I reside in Marion County, Oregon. Any and all records you have concerning my father, John Blivin, would be especially helpful as I attempt to keep myself and my two children safe. Thank you.	Completed	Erin Nickless	In regard to the restraining orders that you are seeking, you appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking restraining orders, you may wish to direct your request to the court that issued the restraining order. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-00099	Willis	Bonnie	1/16/2018	I need a copy of my Divorce record - I was divorce from my husband when I lived in CA i believe in 1996. Really I just need to know the exact date	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA-2018-00103	Geragos	Mark	1/16/2018	This office represents Harry Sassounian, an inmate currently housed in San Quentin State Prison in the state of California. Please accept this as a public records request under the Freedom of Information Act for all records and documentation of all communications, whether written or oral, made between the State Department, the Department of Justice, the Office of the Governor of the State of California, the California Department of Corrections, the California Board of Parole Hearings, any and all representatives of the Republic of Turkey, and any and all other state or federal governmental agencies with regard to (a) inmate Harry Sassounian's parole proceedings which took place on December 13, 2016 and the Board of Parole's decision to grant Mr. Sassounian parole; (b) the Governor's subsequent decision to reverse the Board's decision; and (c) Mr. Sassounian's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus subsequently filed on August 17, 2017. This request is with respect to Governor Brown's May 12, 2017 decision reversing the Board of Parole's grant of parole. Although, this firm sent a public records request on this subject on May, 30, 2017, this follow up request is with respect to records and documentation generated subsequent to Mr. Sassounian's filing of his Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus.	Completed	Philip J. Lindsay	As you note in your letter, you made an identical request for documents in May, which this office responded to on June 16. Therefore, this response is for the period June 17 to present. As stated in this office's June response, the Freedom of Information Act is a federal statute that does not apply to requests for state-agency records. Accordingly, I am treating your request as one made under the California Public Records Act (CPRA), which applies to state-agency records and is codified in the California Government Code at section 6250 et seq. In response to your request, I have attached one email dated November 11, 2017, sent from Deputy Attorney General Jennifer Heinisch to the LA County District Attorney's Office informing that office of the Court's order to show case in case number BH011308. Attached to that email was a copy of the return and exhibits filed in support of the return, which I have not included. I have identified additional emails between my office and the Governor's Office responsive to your request; however, as my office represents the Governor in this litigation, those emails are privileged and protected from disclosure as confidential attorney-client communications. (Govt. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Evid. Code, § 954; Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.) Other than the email to the LA County District Attorney's Office, there are no additional non-privileged documents responsive to your request.

PRA-2018-00104	Lee	Yuri	1/16/2018	<p>Under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq. and the California Constitution, I am requesting copies of public records that pertain to the Consent Judgment entered into by your office and Lender Processing Services, Inc., LPS Default Solutions, Inc., and DOCX, LLC in January 2013. The requested information is in the public interest and will contribute significantly to the public's understanding of the terms and compliance with that consent judgment.</p> <p>Please provide me with any communications and documentation your office has related to the violations of Unfair and Deceptive Acts and Practices Statutes and Homeowners Bill of Rights, and any other conduct or violations of California laws which your office identified that were potentially causing harm to California consumers, which formed or was used as the basis for the consent judgment entered into above including the following:</p> <p>Please see Exhibit A that show a specific example of fabricated assignments, forged documents or unreliable documents created for the purpose of foreclosing that are still polluting the public records of this state:</p> <p>Enclosed documents under Exhibit A shows in summary, Colleen Irby who resides in [REDACTED] according to the Notary Journal record, signed and executed various documents purporting as Officer of JPMorgan Chase Bank, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., U.S. Bank, National Association; all within the same time frame in order to facilitate foreclosures in the State of California as evidenced. These documents are still recorded in the public registry, clouding the titles of California homeowners and harming the California consumers. Servicer such as Select Portfolio Servicing and Specialized Loan Servicing LLC refuses to make corrections to the fabricated, forged or unreliable documents in the State of California despite multiple notices. Despite the consent order and/or Homeowners Bill of Rights, fabricated assignments, forged documents or unreliable documents continue to plague the public registry and harming California homeowners.</p> <p>Please provide me with a list of parties/entities including Servicers and Attorney Firms that are being investigated, charged or prosecuted for fabricating assignments, forged documents or unreliable documents created for the purpose of foreclosing.</p>	Completed	Amos Hartston	<p>We have conducted a search of our records. Enclosed are non-exempt documents we identified responsive to your requests, including: (1) the Complaint for Injunctive And Other Relief against Lender Processing Services, Inc., LPS Default Solutions, Inc., and DOCX, LLC. filed by our office on January 31, 2013; (2) the Stipulated Final Judgment And Injunction entered by the Superior Court of the State of California, County of San Francisco against Lender Processing Services, Inc., LPS Default Solutions, Inc., and DOCX, LLC. on February 1, 2013; (3) the Consent Order entered against Lender Processing Services, Inc., LPS Default Solutions, Inc., and DOCX, LLC by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, and the Office of Thrift Supervision, dated April 13, 2011; and (4) a Case History Report, which lists the monetary award that California received pursuant to the Stipulated Final Judgment and Injunction.</p> <p>We previously provided you with copies of these records, both in electronic and hard copy form, without charge. We are providing you with another electronic copy by email.</p> <p>As explained in more detail in our September 25, 2017 letter, any other responsive records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure records of complaints to and investigations conducted by the Office of the Attorney General and the Department of Justice. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (f).)</p>
PRA-2018-00113	Guardia (BofA)	Edwin	1/16/2018	<p>Under the California Public Records Act (Statutes of 1968, Chapter 1473; currently codified as California Government Code 6250 through 6276.48).I'm requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of public records that pertain to the Consent Judgment entered by your office and BANK OF AMERICA from January 2013 forward. The request information is in the public interest and will contribute significantly to the public's interest understanding of the terms and compliance with that consent Judgment.</p> <p>Please provide me with any communications and documentations your office has related to the violations of deceptive Consumer Sales Act and the California Consumer Legal Remedy Act, Home Loan Practices Act, Home Loan Discrimination against Blacks and Hispanics</p>	Completed	John Killeen	<p>John Killeen sent an extension letter on January 26, 2018.</p> <p>On February 9, 2018, John Killeen sent a response: For the reasons set forth below, we will grant your request in part and deny your request in part.</p> <p>As an initial matter, we understand the term "consent judgment[s]" to mean certain settlement agreements-both national and California-only-that the Attorney General entered into in 2012 with Bank of America and Wells Fargo relating to home mortgages. If we misinterpreted your request, please let us know.</p> <p>The relevant settlement agreements are attached to the accompanying email.</p> <p>Additional documents about the allegations against the banks may be found in the relevant court dockets, which are documents that are publicly available.</p> <p>A significant amount of relevant information about the settlements is posted on our website at: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/legal-opinions/ag-mortgage-settlements">https://oag.ca.gov/legal-opinions/ag-mortgage-settlements</a>.</p> <p>Concerning your request for information about the banks' compliance with the terms of the mortgage settlements, the reports of the monitor of the National Mortgage Settlement are available at: <a href="https://www.jasmitlmonitring.com/omso/">https://www.jasmitlmonitring.com/omso/</a>. We have</p>

				<p>and any other conduct of violations of California laws which your office identified that were potentially causing harm to California Consumers which formed or was used as the basis for the Consent Judgment entered into above .Please provide me any communication and documentation related to the identity and activity of the those employed or contracted by the signatories to the Consent Judgment (BANK OF AMERICA) who was found to have participated in (Potentially participated in) the covered conduct as outline in the Consent Judgment. This activity included but is not limited to practices related to mortgage default servicing, including documentation creation, preparation, and execution, recordation and notarization practices as they related to mortgage loan documents as well any communications and documentation related BANK OF AMERICA relationship with Attorneys representing the servicers and other third parties.</p> <p>Please provide me with any communications and documentation your office holds in relation to the followup and enforcement with the terms of the Consent Judgment, including but not limited to third party reports, reports done for /or provide to your office/in relation to ongoing compliance with the terms of the Consent Judgment.</p> <p>Please provide me with any communications and documentation in relation to the California Consumers that BANK OF AMERICA and its Subsidiaries provide compensation to, and remediation for in regards to its activities covered under the consent judgment and any reports outlining its compliance with providing relief to consumers as provided by the Consent Judgment. The information requested above is not being sought for commercial purposes.</p> <p>Please contact me with information about when I might expected copies or the ability to inspect requested records. If you deny or of this request, please cite specific exemption you feel justifies the refusal to release the information and notify me of the appeal procedures available to me under the law.</p>			<p>attached several of these reports to the accompanying email. Additional information and documents related to the National Mortgage Settlement can be found at: <a href="http://www.nationalmortgagesettlement.com/">http://www.nationalmortgagesettlement.com/</a>. As you may know, the terms of the National Mortgage Settlement sunsetted several years ago. California also appointed its own monitor to track the banks' compliance with the terms of the mortgage settlements. The reports of the California monitor are attached to the accompanying email and can be found at: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/legal-opinions/ag-mortgagesettlements">https://oag.ca.gov/legal-opinions/ag-mortgagesettlements</a>. As the website indicates, the California Monitor Program closed on September 30, 2014.</p> <p>Some otherwise responsive documents are being withheld from production. Some are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act because they are "records of complaints to ... the Attorney General and the Department of Justice." (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (f).) Others are exempt from disclosure because they fall within our office's investigatory files. (Ibid.)</p> <p>Still other documents are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act because they are subject to the attorney-client privilege and/or protections against disclosure of attorney work product, the deliberative process privilege, and the official information privilege. (§ 6254, subd. (k).)</p>
PRA-2018-00114	Guardia (WF)	Edwin	1/16/2018	<p>Under the California Public Records Act (Statutes of 1968, Chapter 1473; currently codified as California Government Code 6250 through 6276.48).I'm requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of public records that pertain to the Consent Judgment entered by your office and WELLS FARGO from January 2013 forward. The request information is in the public interest and will contribute significantly to the public's interest understanding of the terms and compliance with that consent Judgment.</p> <p>Please provide me with any communications and documentations your office has related to the violations of deceptive Consumer Sales Act and the California Consumer Legal Remedy Act, Home Loan Practices Act, Home Loan Discrimination against Blacks and Hispanics and any other conduct of violations of California laws which your office identified that were potentially causing harm to California Consumers which formed or was used as the basis for the Consent Judgment entered into above .Please provide me any communication and documentation related to the identity and activity of the</p>	Completed	John Killeen	<p>John Killeen sent an extension letter on January 26, 2018</p> <p>On February 9, 2018, John Killeen sent a response: For the reasons set forth below, we will grant your request in part and deny your request in part.</p> <p>As an initial matter, we understand the term "consent judgment[s]" to mean certain settlement agreements-both national and California-only-that the Attorney General entered into in 2012 with Bank of America and Wells Fargo relating to home mortgages. If we misinterpreted your request, please let us know.</p> <p>The relevant settlement agreements are attached to the accompanying email.</p> <p>Additional documents about the allegations against the banks may be found in the relevant court dockets, which are documents that are publicly available.</p> <p>A significant amount of relevant information about the settlements is posted on our website at: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/legal-opinions/ag-mortgage-settlements">https://oag.ca.gov/legal-opinions/ag-mortgage-settlements</a>.</p> <p>Concerning your request for information about the banks' compliance with the terms of the mortgage settlements, the reports of the monitor of the National Mortgage Settlement are available at: <a href="https://www.jasmitlnnonitoring.com/omso/">https://www.jasmitlnnonitoring.com/omso/</a>. We have attached several of these reports to the accompanying email. Additional information and documents related to the National Mortgage Settlement can be found at: <a href="http://www.nationalmortgagesettlement.com/">http://www.nationalmortgagesettlement.com/</a>. As you may know, the terms of the National Mortgage Settlement sunsetted several years ago.</p> <p>California also appointed its own monitor to track the banks' compliance with the terms of the mortgage settlements. The reports of the California monitor are attached</p>

				<p>those employed or contracted by the signatories to the Consent Judgment (WELLS FARGO) who was found to have participated in (Potentially participated in) the covered conduct as outline in the Consent Judgment. This activity included but is not limited to practices related to mortgage default servicing, including documentation creation, preparation, and execution, recordation and notarization practices as they related to mortgage loan documents as well any communications and documentation related WELLS FARGO relationship with Attorneys representing the servicers and other third parties.</p> <p>Please provide me with any communications and documentation your office holds in relation to the followup and enforcement with the terms of the Consent Judgment, including but not limited to third party reports, reports done for /or provide to your office/in relation to ongoing compliance with the terms of the Consent Judgment.</p> <p>Please provide me with any communications and documentation in relation to the California Consumers that WELLS FARGO and its Subsidiaries provide compensation to, and remediation for in regards to its activities covered under the consent judgment and any reports outlining its compliance with providing relief to consumers as provided by the Consent Judgment.</p> <p>The information requested above is not being sought for commercial purposes.</p> <p>Please contact me with information about when I might expected copies or the ability to inspect requested records. If you deny or of this request, please cite specific exemption you feel justifies the refusal to release the information and notify me of the appeal procedures available to me under the law.</p>			<p>to the accompanying email and can be found at: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/legal-opinions/ag-mortgagesettlements">https://oag.ca.gov/legal-opinions/ag-mortgagesettlements</a>. As the website indicates, the California Monitor Program closed on September 30, 2014.</p> <p>Some otherwise responsive documents are being withheld from production. Some are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act because they are "records of complaints to ... the Attorney General and the Department of Justice." (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (f).) Others are exempt from disclosure because they fall within our office's investigatory files. (Ibid.)</p> <p>Still other documents are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act because they are subject to the attorney-client privilege and/or protections against disclosure of attorney work product, the deliberative process privilege, and the official information privilege. (§ 6254, subd. (k).)</p>
PRA-2018-00141	Olfati (January 2018)	Parvin	1/16/2018	<p>1. Any and all communications, payments and/or emoluments created, transmitted, and/or received on any DOJ computer or other medium on or after January 1, 2017 that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any tampering, touching, alteration, vandalism, or unauthorized entry to any house believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to tampering, touching, alteration, vandalism or unauthorized entry to or on any light or motion light on the rear door or near the back yard of [REDACTED] and including but not limited to any tampering or other activity designed to affect the operation of any light or motion light.</p> <p>2. In addition, please produce any and all documents, records, and/or writings reflecting any destruction, alteration, removal, or other unavailability of any of the records requested in Request Item Number 1 of this request, together with applicable record retention policies.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
PRA-2018-00142	Olfati (January 2018)	Parvin	1/16/2018	<p>1. Any and all communications, payments and/or emoluments created, transmitted, and/or received on any DOJ computer or other medium on or after January 1, 2017 that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any tampering, touching, alteration, vandalism, or unauthorized entry to any house believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to the roof of [REDACTED]</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.

				and including but not limited to any tarp cutting, vandalism, unauthorized entry, tampering, or other activity. 2. In addition, please produce any and all documents, records, and/or writings reflecting any destruction, alteration, removal, or other unavailability of any of the records requested in Request Item Number 1 of this request, together with applicable record retention policies.			
PRA-2018-00166	Winkler	Paul	1/16/2018	I request information of name changes and/or registered aliases for Paul Lionell Walker.	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records regarding a legal name change, which is typically filed with a court, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PRA-2018-00101	Ryan	Jenna	1/17/2018	Crime report for the city of Vina, CA. Arrests, 911 calls, criminal activity for the past one- two years if possible. I'm considering moving to Vina and would like to have an understanding of what type of criminal activity occurs there.	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	After consulting with various programs within the California Department of Justice (DOJ), the California Justice Information Services Division has determined that it has no responsive records for this request. You may want to contact the Tehama County Sheriff's Office for this information. Additionally, I would like to bring your attention to the California Attorney General's OpenJustice Initiative website, which has summary crime counts of the eight Uniform Crime Reporting crimes and some arrest data for the county of Tehama: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/crime-statistics/arrests">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/crime-statistics/arrests</a> . The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PRA-2018-00105	Her	Koobme ng	1/17/2018	I would like to request any public records for Colette Germaine Jamet. The documents may include bankruptcy filings, lawsuits, etc.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .

PRA-2018-00106	Nybo	Elisabeth	1/17/2018	I have been asked for Prostitution Arrest data for Santa Barbara County for multiple years. I searched the OpenJustice arrest data but it does not provide the same detail as the 2016 Crime in California report Table 28 – Adult Misd Arrest 2011 – 2016 and Table 29 – Juv Misd Arrest 2011 – 2016. Previously the data was available on the county-wide level. Is it possible to get the data for Tables 28 and 29 for Santa Barbara County?	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.
PRA-2018-00107	Allin	Matthew	1/17/2018	I'm an intern from Platinum Advisors and wanted to request a list of the email addresses of the Bureau for Gambling Control's Executive Staff. This is a time sensitive project so I'd greatly appreciate your response, thank you for your time.	Completed	Riann Kopchak	Over the past week, I have left you two phone messages at the number provided in your request to obtain the names of the individuals for whom you are requesting information. I have not received a return call from you. If you provide us more specifics on which email addresses you are seeking, I am happy to provide them to you. I may be reached at (916) 830-9049 should you want to contact me.
PRA-2018-00174	Martinez Gutierrez	Lisette	1/17/2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Custody deaths under the Orange County Sheriff's Department jurisdiction from January 2017- December 2017.</li> <li>o I would specifically like to know the following about each death: full name of person, date of birth, race, sex, custody status, date of death, location where cause of death occurred, facility where death occurred, manner of death, and means of death.</li> </ul>	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents. Note, there are two files: 1) Excel sheet for the Death in Custody forms the DOJ has processed; 2) Death in Custody forms for the incidents not yet entered into the Excel sheet.
PRA-2018-00108	Dokhani	Tahamtan	1/18/2018	A hard copy of divorce document	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA-2018-00109	Stone	Zara	1/18/2018	Pursuant to the state open records act, I request access to and copies of any and all communication, including letters, reports, documents, judgements and medical notes related to Plastic and reconstructive surgery as part of prisoner rehabilitation programs AND any correctional plastic surgery that took place between 1950 and 2000 in the CALIFORNIA prison system, including details about surgeries performed, locations, age, names, crimes of inmate and including any communication about the Sybil Brand Institute or regarding the 'The Surgical and Social Rehabilitation of adult offenders project and I believe this request is in the public interest. The requested documents will be made available to the general public free of charge as part of the public information service at Forbes or an online publication, processed by a representative of the news media/press and is made in the process of news gathering and not for commercial usage.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. The Department of Justice cannot produce records that are responsive to your request. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records from another government agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Information on how to contact them can be found at <a href="http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html">http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html</a> .
PRA-2018-00110	Bartholomew	April	1/18/2018	Received phone call, wanted to ensure it was legitimate and not false.	Completed	Erin Nickless	There was no record description or comment included with your contact information to identify which records you are seeking. As there were no records identified in your request, we are unable to provide records that are responsive. If you can provide more information as to which documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of the documents that are within the control and custody of DOJ.



PRA-2018-00111	Fanucchi	Desiree	1/18/2018	Certified copy of name change. I got married in May of 2007. My maiden name; Golisano, was used on the marriage certificate. With in two months, my social security card and drivers license was changed to reflect my new last name of Fanucchi. Now I need to renew an old passport, but they require a certified copy of the name change. I don't have that, nor do I remember if I had ever filed for one. Please let me know what forms/processes I need to complete, to get a certified copy of my name change: from Desiree Elizabeth Golisano, to Desiree Elizabeth Fanucchi.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking name change records, you may wish to direct your request to the court in which the name change was filed.
PRA-2018-00119	Miller	Cheryl	1/18/2018	I'm writing to see if we can resolve my ongoing request for information about who the attorney general has hired to fill the 31 new positions allocated to the office in last year's budget. As you probably know, I have asked the press office for names of the hires and have received no response.		Completed	Michelle M. Mitchell	The Attorney General has given careful consideration to how best to defend Californians in the areas of Health Care, Immigration, Environment and Consumer Protections. To that end, the AG has focused on building teams, rather than just filling specific positions. In the interim, our current workforce has fulfilled more than 13, 068 hours a month on these issues. We have advertised for 5 Supervising Deputy Attorney General (SDAG) positions and 14 Deputy Attorney General (DAG) positions. The application period for all but one of the attorney positions have now closed. (If you are interested in copies of the attorney position announcements, we can provide those to you.) As you may be aware, state hiring includes elaborate standard processes for assessing candidate merit and ensuring fairness, requiring more time to complete a hire. Our initial focus has been on recruiting stellar candidates for the SDAG positions. Once these key positions are filled, we can turn to filling the DAG and support staff necessary for the success of these teams. To date, we have completed the selection/hiring process for one position. Mike Jorgenson has been selected as an SDAG and has accepted the position. His appointment will be effective on January 25. We anticipate filling the remaining attorney positions by the end of February.
PRA-2018-00143	Brahmbhatt	Mitesh	1/18/2018	Please send whatever details you have on file concerning me.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-00059	Andrews	James	1/19/2018	I am individual requesting information about myself. Full Legal Name James Edward Andrews Date of Birth [REDACTED]		Completed	Melissa Weikel	Pursuant to your request, enclosed please find the following documents: Complaint dated May 19, 2017 Response letter dated May 22, 2017 (PIU 746218) Complaint dated September 7, 2017 Response letter dated September 8, 2017 (PIU 760362)

				<p>Exact Records Requested ANY that references the specific personally identifying information outlined in this table. Specifically any record, document, file, or any information that references my information.</p> <p>Event-Policy-Circumstance of Record ANY that references the specific personally identifying information outlined in this table. Additionally any complaint that I have made and subsequent response by the Office of the Attorney General of the State of California.</p> <p>Time Period of Requested Records: January 1, 2017 - December 31, 2017</p> <p>This request is for any information, documentation, application, or reference to the above personally identifying information located within a system of records maintained by this agency</p>			<p>Two complaints dated September 11, 2017 Response letter dated September 11, 2017 (PIU 760587) Complaint dated October 17, 2017 Response letter dated October 18, 2017 (PIU 765269) Complaint dated December 15, 2017 Response letter dated December 18, 2017 (PIU 772667) Complaint dated December 17, 2017 Response letter dated December 18, 2017 (PIU 772774) Complaint dated December 27, 2017 Response letter dated December 27, 2017 (PIU 773676)</p>
PRA-2018-00116	BondGraham	Darwin	1/19/2018	<p>This is a California Public Records Act request. Please provide the following records:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Copies of all agreements (MOUs, MOAs, contracts, etc.) between the California Department of Justice and US Immigration and Customs Enforcement, including, but not limited to, the Secure Communities Program and the Priority Enforcement Program.</li> <li>2. Copies of all records used to terminate agreements between the California Department of Justice and US Immigration and Customs Enforcement.</li> <li>3. Any and all memorandums, emails, policies, guides, instructions, or other general communications circulated within the CA Dept of Justice regarding SB 54.</li> <li>4. Any and all analyses or discussions of 8 U.S.C. 1373 (Section 1373).</li> <li>5. Any and all analyses or discussions of the US DOJ's efforts to have local law enforcement agencies sign "certifications" pertaining to 8 U.S.C. 1373 (Section 1373).</li> </ol>	Rolling Production	Sarah E. Belton	<p>We have carefully evaluated your request and diligently searched for responsive documents, and our specific responses to each category of records requested are below.</p> <p>Response to Request 1: Our office identified divisions, sections, and individuals who were likely to have responsive records. Searches were conducted where responsive documents are or could reasonably be located. As a result of these searches, our office has located documents responsive to this request and will provide them to you.</p> <p>Response to Request 2: Our office identified divisions, sections, and individuals who were likely to have responsive records. Searches were conducted where responsive documents are or could reasonably be located. As a result of these searches, our office has not located documents responsive to this request.</p> <p>Response to Request 3: SB 54 is the recently adopted California Values Act, Government Code section 7284 et seq., which became effective in January 2018. Our office identified divisions, sections, and individuals who were likely to have responsive records. Searches were conducted where responsive documents are or could reasonably be located. As a result of these searches, we have identified non-exempt records relating to SB 54, as enacted in the Values Act and will provide them to you. We have also identified records in this category that are exempt from disclosure for the reasons discussed below, and we will not be producing those records.</p> <p>The Attorney-Client Privilege The PRA exempts the following from disclosure: "Records, the disclosure of which is exempted or prohibited pursuant to federal or state law, including, but not limited to, provisions of the Evidence Code relating to privilege." (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363, 370; see also Evid. Code, § 954.) The attorney-client privilege is absolute and disclosure may not be compelled, regardless of relevance, necessity, or any particular circumstances in a given case. (Costco Wholesale Corp. v. Superior Court (2009) 47 Cal.4th 725, 732; see also Palmer v. Superior Court (2014) 231 Cal.App.4th 1214, 1227.) "Although exercise of the privilege may occasionally result in the suppression of relevant evidence, the Legislature of this state has determined that these concerns are outweighed by the importance of preserving confidentiality in the attorney-client relationship." (Mitchell v. Superior Court (1984) 37 Cal.3d 591, 599; see also Kerner v. Superior Court (2012) 206 Cal.App.4th 84, 111.) The attorney-client privilege protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, as well as communications made to others in advancement of the attorney-client relationship. (Barber v. Municipal Court (1979) 24 Cal.3d 742, 753-754; STI Outdoor v. Superior Court (2001) 91 Cal.App.4th 334, 340-341.) Documents are exempt from disclosure under the PRA pursuant to the attorney-client privilege under Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k), which incorporates confidentiality provisions contained in other laws. (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>The Attorney Work Product Doctrine The attorney work product doctrine protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research, or legal</p>

						<p>theories, which is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) The attorney work product doctrine creates a qualified privilege against discovery of general work product, and an absolute privilege against disclosure of writings containing the attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, or legal theories. (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court, supra, 82 Cal.App.4th at p. 833, quoting BP Alaska Exploration, Inc. v. Superior Court (1988) 199 Cal.App.3d 1240, 1250; see also Caito v. Superior Court (2012) 54 Cal.4th 480, 488.) Documents are exempt from disclosure under the PRA pursuant to the attorney work product doctrine under Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k), which incorporates confidentiality provisions contained in other laws. (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court, supra, 82 Cal.App.4th at p. 833.)</p> <p>There is no waiver of the privilege when attorney work product is provided to a party with a common interest who has an interest in maintaining the confidentiality of a significant part of the work product when the parties have a reasonable expectation that the work product will remain confidential, and the disclosure is "reasonably necessary for the accomplishment of the purpose for which the lawyer was consulted" as set forth in Evidence Code section 912, subdivision (d). (OXY Resources California LLC v. Superior Court (2004) 115 Cal.App.4th 874, 890-891.)</p> <p><b>The Deliberative Process Privilege</b></p> <p>The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision-making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency, and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325, 1342-1343, 1346.) Any documents containing statutory interpretation, analyses, draft language, and memoranda concerning the strengths and weaknesses of a particular proposal would be covered by this privilege. Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected from disclosure pursuant to a PRA request through application of Government Code section 6255. If the public interest in nondisclosure clearly outweighs the public interest in disclosure, the deliberative process privilege applies. (California First Amendment Coalition v. Superior Court, supra, 67 Cal.App.4th at pp. 172-173.)</p> <p><b>The Pending Litigation Exemption</b></p> <p>Agencies may withhold the disclosure of records pertaining to pending litigation to which the public agency is a party until the pending litigation has been finally adjudicated or otherwise settled. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (b).) The pending litigation exemption does not duplicate the attorney work product exemption, but is broader and covers documents prepared by the agency in anticipation of, or for use in, litigation. (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court; supra, 82 Cal.App.4th at p. 831; Fairley v. Superior Court (1998) 66 Cal.App.4th 1414, 1422.) The pending litigation exemption also does not duplicate the attorney-client privilege because it exempts "litigation records generally," and not only those documents that are privileged. (Fairley v. Superior Court, supra, 66 Cal.App.4th at p. 1422 fn. 5.)</p> <p><b>Application of Exemptions to Request 3</b></p> <p>The attorneys in the CA DOJ provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys concerning SB 54, as enacted in the Values Act, are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k), incorporating Evid. Code, § 954.)</p> <p>In addition, it is common practice for CADOJ to be involved in the state legislative process. To the extent that our office was involved in the legislative process for the Values Act, our internal communications and deliberations, including any internal bill analyses, and any work on behalf of a client agency are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the deliberative process privilege, and the attorney work product exception. (Evid. Code, § 954; Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030; Gov. Code, § 6255.) However, we are producing the department's fiscal impact analysis relating to SB 54. Further, the Values Act requires the Attorney General to "publish model policies" implementing the statute by October 1, 2018. (Gov. Code, § 7284.8, subd. (a).) Also by that date, the Attorney General must "publish guidance, audit criteria, and training recommendations" aimed at ensuring that databases used by state and local law enforcement agencies operate in a manner consistent with the Values Act. (see id., subd. (b).) Internal records relating to the ongoing work of our office to craft these</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

						<p>required policies and other guidance are protected by the attorney-client privilege and the attorney work product exception. (Evid. Code, § 954; Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) These internal records are also exempt under the deliberative process privilege because under the facts here, the public interest in nondisclosure is clearly outweighed by the public interest in disclosure. The benefit to the Attorney General and other decisionmakers of receiving complete and candid analyses regarding the strength and weaknesses of certain arguments relevant to determining the appropriate policies and guidance to implement the Values Act is paramount given the freedoms and responsibilities implicated by the law, and this benefit clearly outweighs the public's general interest in access. (Gov. Code, § 6255.)</p> <p>The Values Act is also at issue in ongoing litigation brought by the Attorney General against the federal government to prohibit the attachment of certain immigration related enforcement conditions to Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grants (JAG) and Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS) grants. (See State a/California v. Sessions (N.D. Cal., filed Aug. 14, 2017) No. 3:17-cv-4701.) Records related to litigation are protected by the attorney-client privilege and the work-product privilege. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k), incorporating Evid. Code, § 954; Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) In addition, certain responsive documents are being withheld because of the pending litigation exemption. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (b).)</p> <p>Response to Request 4: Our office identified divisions, sections, and individuals who were likely to have responsive records. Searches were conducted where responsive documents are or could reasonably be located. As a result of these searches, we have identified non-exempt records relating to Section 1373 that we will produce. As discussed below, we have also identified records in this category that are exempt from disclosure.</p> <p>We incorporate by reference the legal background relating to the claimed PRA exemptions detailed above in the Response to Request 3.</p> <p>As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is currently in litigation over the federal government's attempt to turn Section 1373 into a sweeping mandate requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essentially all information about their residents to federal immigration authorities. (See State of California v. Sessions, supra, No. 3: 17-cv-4701.) The attorneys in CADOJ provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys concerning the possible filing of legal action related to Section 1373, including the attachment of Section 1373 as a funding condition for JAG and COPS, are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis. (Evid. Code, § 954.)</p> <p>Correspondence among CADOJ attorneys and other employees regarding the possible filing of legal action related to Section 1373, including but not limited to analyzing the bases and viability of such litigation, are also exempt from PRA disclosure based on the attorney work product doctrine. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) Records related to litigation, including legal research and drafts of briefs and other filings, are also exempt from PRA disclosure based on attorney-client privilege and the attorney work product doctrine. (Evid. Code, § 954; Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) Records responsive to this request are also being withheld under the pending litigation exemption. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (b).)</p> <p>In addition, it is also common practice for state Attorneys General and their staffs across the country to communicate with one another concerning the desirability of acting collaboratively to develop legal analyses, draft briefs, and file cases. When CADOJ deliberates internally or corresponds with another Attorney General or a group of Attorneys General, or their staffs, these communications constitute confidential communications protected by the attorney-client privilege and the attorney work product exception. (Evid. Code, § 954; Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030; see also ST! Outdoor v. Superior Court, supra, 91 Cal.App.4th at p. 340-341.)</p> <p>Request 5: Any and all analyses or discussions of the U.S. Department of Justice's efforts to have local law enforcement agencies sign "certifications" pertaining to Section 1373.</p> <p>Response to Request 5: Our office identified divisions, sections, and individuals who were likely to have responsive records. Searches were conducted where responsive documents are or could reasonably be located. As a result of these searches, we have identified non-exempt records relating to Section 1373 that we will produce. We</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	---

						<p>have also identified records in this category that are exempt from disclosure. Because certifications of compliance with Section 1373 are at issue in the litigation described above, we hereby incorporate by reference the bases for withholding detailed above in the response to Request Number 4.</p> <p>Please be advised that because this PRA request required the search for, collection, and examination of a voluminous amount of separate and distinct records, consultation with other sections having a substantial interest in the determination of this request, and redaction of partially exempt records, we anticipate a rolling production of responsive, non-exempt documents. We anticipate making our first production of documents identified thus far to you on February 16, 2018.</p> <p>We appreciate your patience in this matter.</p> <p>Response dated February 16, 2018: As planned, enclosed on a CD is our office's first production of documents.</p> <p>During our continued review of responsive documents, we identified a document that is responsive to your Request 2. Accordingly, we modify the response in our February 12, 2018 letter. And, included in this production is an August 5, 2011 letter to Governor Brown in which U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement notified California of the agency's decision to terminate all existing Secure Communities memorandums of agreement.</p> <p>As previously indicated, we anticipate a rolling production of responsive, non-exempt documents. Our next production of documents will be on March 2, 2018.</p> <p>March 6, 2018 response letter: Enclosed on a CD is our office's second production of documents.</p> <p>In providing the records requested, we have redacted certain private identifying information, such as non-commercial addresses, email addresses, and telephone numbers, along with other sensitive personal information such as social security numbers and credit card numbers based on considerations of personal privacy. (Cal. Const., art. I, § 1, as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.)</p> <p>We are working diligently to provide you with our next production. Given the scope of your request and the volume of records that must be reviewed, we anticipate sending out the next production on March 16, 2018.</p> <p>March 20, 2018 production letter: Enclosed on a CD is our office's third production of documents.</p> <p>Included in this production is the California Justice Information Services Information Bulletin entitled Secure Communities: Identification and Removal of Criminal Aliens dated November 4, 2009. This bulletin was completed after the Department entered into a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) with the U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) in 2009. ICE later terminated all existing Secure Communities MOAs, as indicated in a letter date stamped August 5, 2011, which was produced in the first production of documents on February 16, 2018.</p> <p>In providing the records requested, we have redacted three types of information. First, private identifying information, such as non-commercial addresses, email addresses, and telephone numbers, along with other sensitive personal information such as social security numbers and credit card numbers based on considerations of personal privacy. (Cal. Const., art. I, § 1, as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.) Second, we have also redacted any portions of email trails that are exempt attorney client communications or attorney work product. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k), incorporating Evid. Code, § 954; Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) Lastly, we have also redacted the call-in number and participant code used for conference calls. (Gov. Code, § 6255.)</p> <p>We are working diligently to provide you with our next production. Given the scope of your request and the volume of records that must be reviewed, we anticipate sending out the next production on or around March 30, 2018.</p> <p>March 30, 2018 response: In providing the records requested, we have redacted private identifying information, such as non-commercial addresses, email addresses, and telephone numbers, along with other sensitive personal information such as social security numbers and credit card numbers based on considerations of personal privacy. (Cal. Const., art. I, § 1, as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.)</p> <p>We are working diligently to provide you with our next production. Given the scope of</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	---

							your request and the volume of records that must be reviewed, we anticipate sending out the next production on or around April 13, 2018. We appreciate your patience in this matter.
PRA-2018-00117	Raso	Angel	1/19/2018	My name is Angel Raso I am requesting CPS reports that myself and my 2 children were involved in my childrens name: Phoenix [REDACTED] born [REDACTED], Draven [REDACTED] born [REDACTED]. I am requesting all copies myself and my children were in. My daughter Phoenix being kicked in the stomach. Draven (son) being hit in the back with a plastic bat. Dravens infected hand. I believe there is a few more but I cannot remember what they were about but they do involve my children. I would like all reports that involve me and my children. I am unsure on all of the topics that were related.	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to Child Protective Services, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PRA-2018-00118	Raso	Nicole	1/19/2018	My name is Nicole [REDACTED] or my maiden Nicole [REDACTED]. I am requesting all records that include myself and my children Jonathan [REDACTED] born [REDACTED] and Angelina [REDACTED] born [REDACTED]. I am unsure on what the basis of the report was about. I believe my 2 children being malnourished, and maybe abuse. Report is less then 5yrs ago.	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to Child Protective Services, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PRA-2018-00140	Olfati (January 2018)	Parvin	1/19/2018	1. Each and every electronic mail message, text message, memorandum, letter, document, writing and/or record that mentions, refers to, and/or relates to any communications to, from, with, and/or about Advanced City Computer, Advance City System, Tony Yee, and/or 5607 Freeport Boulevard (Sacramento, California 95822) that mention, refer to, and/or relate to Parvin Olfati and/or any computer, computer hard drive, computer peripherals, and/or computer parts believed to be used by Parvin Olfati. 2. Any contract, agreement, and/or understanding with Advanced City Computer, Advance City System, Tony Yee, and/or any person or entity at 5607 Freeport Boulevard, Sacramento, California 95822. 3. For any document in Request Items 1 and/or 2 above that DOJ claims has been destroyed or is otherwise unavailable, please provide all records of any destruction or other reasons for non-availability, together with applicable records retention policies.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
PRA-2018-00150	Olfati (January 2018)	Parvin	1/19/2018	1. Each and every electronic mail message, text message, memorandum, letter, document, writing and/or record that mentions, refers to, and/or relates to any theft, taking, deletion, removal, or activity of any kind regarding or relating to any photographs, cellphone video, flash memory device data, and/or computer data of any pieces of tarp on any portion of any house or house yard believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to [REDACTED] 2. For any document in Request Item 1 above that DOJ claims has been destroyed or is otherwise unavailable, please provide all records of any destruction or other reasons for non-availability, together with applicable records retention policies.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.

PRA-2018-00167	Craig	Akilah	1/19/2018	Public records relating to, regarding, referencing, or in connection with the complaint filed on or about December 19, 2017, on behalf of Richard Campbell to the Department of Fair Employment and Housing, DFEH Matter Number 201712-004944520 (the "DFEH Matter"). This request includes all documents and information submitted to the Department of Fair Employment & Housing regarding the DFEH Matter, all documents and information sent by the Department of Fair Employment & Housing to any person regarding the DFEH Matter, and all documents and information relating to any investigation conducted by the Department of Fair Employment and Housing regarding the DFEH Matter."	Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the Department of Fair Employment and Housing, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to contact them can be found on their website at <a href="https://www.dfeh.ca.gov/contact-us/">https://www.dfeh.ca.gov/contact-us/</a> .
PRA-2018-00173	Rios-Ortiz	Aurelia	1/19/2018	I am credentialing a provider of the name of Thomas Maree Buse. He mentioned that he had a malpractice on October 2006. To be able to continue with his credentialing process I need to have the information of his case available to be presented to the Medical Board at out facility.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have searched our legal indices and consulted knowledgeable persons and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to medical credentialing, you may wish to direct your request to the California Medical Board and/or the Department of Managed Health Care.
PRA-2018-00124	Worms	Andy	1/22/2018	I want an export of the Facebook Insight files for the Facebook page of Jerry Brown the governor of California: <a href="https://www.facebook.com/jerrybrown/">https://www.facebook.com/jerrybrown/</a> I am requesting the files for the last three months of 2017.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the Governor's Office, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on contacting the Office of Governor Edmund G. Brown Jr. can be located on the Governor's website at <a href="https://govapps.gov.ca.gov/gov39mail/">https://govapps.gov.ca.gov/gov39mail/</a> .
PRA-2018-00125	Calderon	Jasmine	1/22/2018	I am seeking a copy of the police report 17-25204, where I contacted the Santa Ana Police Department to assist me when Miguel Angel Calderon became verbally abusive, date should be within 09-01-2017 to 09-18-2017. Thank you.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PRA-2018-00126	Little	Kevin	1/22/2018	Your complete files related to Case Nos. CV-15-006390-0001 and CV-15-006390-0002, including all documentation received from the Merced Police Department, all documents received from the Merced Police Department requesting the testing of Ms. Cohen's blood sample, all correspondence with the Merced Police Department, all test results, all chain of custody documents, and all supporting documents pertaining to the testing of Ms. Cohen's blood sample (i.e., the litigation packets).		Completed	Shannon Patterson	We must decline your request for the reasons set forth below. You have requested investigative records from this office. To the extent that these records exist, they are investigative files of the Attorney General and the DOJ that are expressly exempt from disclosure pursuant to Government Code section 6254, subdivision (J). In the case of Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354 the California Supreme Court held that investigative records in the possession of law enforcement agencies are exempt from disclosure. The Court also ruled that investigation documents did not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355.)
PRA-2018-00127	Loveall	Briana	1/22/2018	Under the Freedom of Information Act, I'm looking for records regarding Donald Scott McMyne DOD [REDACTED] He was a member of the Middle Side Gang in Santa Ana and had several arrests. He was also tried/convicted of homicide (I'm not sure what degree) in 2000 of another male, named Michael. He served time in prison for		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	Unfortunately, the Department of Justice (DOJ) is unable to comply with your request. The California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to California Penal Code section 11076, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records. The only exception to this rule is an individual requesting his or her own records. California Penal Code sections 11120

				several years before being released on parole. I'm looking for anything pertaining to his arrests, but most specifically the court case regarding the homicide.			through 11127 afford an individual the opportunity to obtain a copy of his or her own California state summary criminal history information to review for accuracy and completeness. If you have further questions or concerns regarding a record review, please direct your correspondence to the Bureau of Criminal Information & Analysis, Record Review Unit at P.O. Box 903417, Sacramento, CA 94203-4170. For additional information, the following is the Record Review website: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review">https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review</a> . The DOI responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PRA-2018-00129	Rebollar	Gilbert	1/22/2018	In October 2017, the Imperial County Sheriff's Office submitted a case to the California Attorney General's San Diego office. I am seeking information on this case on Juanita Salas on the alleged violation of California Education Code 7054.	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, and contacted knowledgeable persons, and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.
PRA-2018-00131	Ponicki	William	1/22/2018	In prior years, we were able to use an online tool to get CJSC arrest counts at a micro level for a period of up to 10 years. These were requested with a search tool similar to this one currently available here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/crime-statistics/arrests">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/crime-statistics/arrests</a> Unfortunately, this current request tool only seems to create aggregated tables combining all agencies within a county. It also aggregates crime counts into five broad felony categories (violent, property, sex, drug, other) and provides no detailed categories for misdemeanors. In previous years, the tool allowed us to get agency-specific data for the whole state for 10 defined years. The downloadable table had separate rows for each combination of YEAR, NCIS_NAME, GENDER, RACE, AND AGE GROUP, and had separate columns with counts of arrests for each arrest individual category (SCO01_sum through SCO76_sum). We need agency-level data for our studies of city-level crime risks. Is it still possible to get detailed agency-level data with detailed crime categories I ke what used to be available? How can we get it?	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents. Note, the 2017 data is not yet available for release. It will be available in July of 2018 when the California Attorney General releases the Criminal Justice Statistical Center Publications.
PRA-2018-00145	Lopez	Laura	1/22/2018	My name is Laura and I am looking for information regarding 1950's drug offenses, racial profile of drug law violators and sentencing. The intent is to compare the 1950's sentencing differences by race. I tried looking for this information online but was unable to find anything. I know that the first attempt to gather demographic information in the states regarding drug violations happened in California in 1959. Would it be possible to obtain this type of information?	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	Unfortunately, the crime statistics data that you requested is not available online on the Department of Justice's (DOJ) OpenJustice Initiative website, therefore is not available through the Criminal Justice Statistical Center. However, some data related to the request may be available. The DOJ discloses statistical data to bona fide research bodies and public agencies pursuant to Penal Code sections 11105 and 13202. If you are a research body, then your request would fall under the Department's research request process. In order to request the data through this process, complete the attached packet regarding policies and procedures that relate to accessing criminal offender record information. The document, Conditions for Release of Criminal Offender Record Information, must be reviewed and signed by the project lead/requester. When completed, email all required documentation to <a href="mailto:Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov">Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov</a> . Once the packet is received, it will be reviewed and you will be notified if it is approved. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PRA-2018-00175	Minassian	Arthur	1/22/2018	I filed a complaint against Sandals Resorts (Unique Vacations) last year. I am writing to inquire about the disposition of the enforcement action taken by your department against this company. Are your records public, and if so, can I obtain documents regarding the disposition of this case?	Completed	Steven De Salvo	As an initial matter, we note that on June 6, 2017, we responded to your complaint regarding Unique Vacations, Ltd .. Specifically, we noted that our Office was not able to determine appropriate handling of your complaint based on the information you provided, and if you wished for our Office to examine your complaint further we would need additional information from you. I have attached a copy of our June 6, 2017 letter.



							We also have construed your January 20, 2018 inquiry as a request for records pursuant to the California Public Records Act, Government Code section 6250 et seq., and we have concluded that the records, to the extent they exist, would be exempt from disclosure. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory files of the Attorney General. We hope we have satisfactorily addressed your inquiry.
PRA-2018-00178	Nava	Jessica	1/22/2018	Please send to our attention the Divorce Decree, certified, for Alicia Luevano-Garcia.	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA-2018-00265	Bailey	Howard	1/22/2018	I was arrested 1967/ 11/23 San Diego, CA/or auto theft and I need the records for a lawyer in San Diego to help me get this expunged.	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The Record Review Challenge Program within the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis assists individuals who would like to order a copy of their California state criminal history record for their eyes only and/or dispute its material (see California Penal Code 11120-11126). You may order a copy of your California state criminal history record ( or "no record") to review and/or dispute the information contained therein. Please see enclosed the necessary forms and instructions on how to do so. Your Record Review results will be mailed to you after you submit fingerprints for Record Review. For more information regarding the Record Review process, please visit our website at <a href="http://www.oag.ca.gov/fingerprints">www.oag.ca.gov/fingerprints</a> . Click on the link, "Criminal Records - Request Your Own." The Department of Justice responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PRA-2018-00135	McCannel I	Jared	1/23/2018	The Emergency System for Advance Registration of Volunteer Health Professionals (ESAR-VHP). A private corporation Intermedix was granted a contract to execute the functions outlined in the ESAR-VHP interim guidelines (a federal program from the Assistant Secretary of Preparedness). There seems to be only one vendor out there serving this niche. I am interested in learning about pricing per contract at each state in the US.	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to healthcare professionals, you may wish to direct your request to one of the agencies in California that oversees public health matters, such as the California Department of Public Health.
PRA-2018-00137	Nelson	Young	1/23/2018	<p>□ 2017 Gun Violence Restraining Order statistics, which includes the total number of restraining orders by agency, restraining order type, status (active, inactive, violations, served, unserved), and petitioner (family member, law enforcement)</p> <p>□ A sample of 50 case files between January 2016 and present, across various counties from individuals who served with GVROs</p>	Completed	Robert Wilson	Please find enclosed the statistics we have in response to your first request. In regards to your second request, the Department has no documents responsive to your request. The Department only maintains statistical information on Gun Violence Restraining Orders.
PRA-2018-00149	Olfati (January 2018)	Parvin	1/23/2018	1. Each and every electronic mail message, text message, memorandum, letter, document, writing and/or record that mentions, refers to, and/or relates to any contamination of any kind and/or any placement of toxics, contaminants, poisons and/or substances at, near, regarding or relating to any house, building and/or residence believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to [REDACTED] and/or any place where Parvin Olfati is believed to stay at night, including but not limited to a full	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.

				scientific and/or medical description of each contaminant, toxic, poison and/or substance. 2. For any document in Request Item 1 above that DOJ claims has been destroyed or is otherwise unavailable, please provide all records of any destruction or other reasons for non-availability, together with applicable records retention policies.			
PRA-2018-00151	Olfati (January 2018)	Parvin	1/23/2018	1. Each and every electronic mail message, text message, memorandum, letter, document, writing and/or record that mentions, refers to, and/or relates to any theft, taking, deletion, removal, or activity of any kind regarding or relating to any letters, correspondence, and/or communications between Parvin Olfati and Dr. Tony Lai, including but not limited to any letters, correspondence and/or communications regarding any medical services, medical service experience, treadmill test, and/or any medical procedure. 2. For any document in Request Item 1 above that DOJ claims has been destroyed or is otherwise unavailable, please provide all records of any destruction or other reasons for non-availability, together with applicable records retention policies.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
PRA-2018-00177	Nicholson	Jonathon	1/23/2018	I would like my record of addresses used for the past 10 years for employment purposes. I would like my record of all court cases in the past 10 years. I would like my record of all charges outstanding.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-00144	Olson	Nathaniel	1/24/2018	Name, date of birth, social security number, addresses. I noticed inaccurate information on my background checks, so I'd like to pull my records to verify their inaccuracy.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital

								<p>Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-00146	Hoxie	David	1/24/2018	I'm writing to request transcript of Administrative Appeal before Admin Judge Amy Lahr Feb 20, 2009, in Los Angeles, CA. Office of Administrative Hearings #2008120518 Agency # AO5088185 Proposed decision 3/23/09 Amy Lahr Decided 6/3/09 James Humes Please advise if this is not the correct place to request transcripts of OAH Hearings, in this case on Spring St. in Los Angeles, CA.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. You may be able to get these records from the Office of Administrative Hearings.
PRA-2018-00148	Hill	Jill	1/24/2018	Requesting business licensing information for: Offices of David L. Schram, Inc., dba 740 Plus Credit Repair Services. The office is located at: 2901 Sycamore Ave., La Crescenta, Ca 91214.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Our research into the matter shows that business licensure records may be related to the California Secretary of State's Office, and you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to contact their office can be found online at <a href="http://www.sos.ca.gov/administration/contact-information/">http://www.sos.ca.gov/administration/contact-information/</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-00152	Godinez	Frank	1/25/2018	traffic accident back in Jan 02 1970 in I-10 going east close to Indio California. A ford pick up truck filled with 11 passengers flipped over and one death of a 11 year old girl resulted, multiple people hurt. Benigno Gomez Aldecoa was the driver. He was a California resident, from Pico Rivera. Would like a copy of the traffic report to see what caused this accident. I was 7 years old and was hurt in this accident.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place. Because it was a traffic report, you may also wish to contact the Department of Motor Vehicles.</p>
PRA-2018-00153	Edwards	Kenneth	1/25/2018	Looking for death records of my wife's father Joseph Robert O'Donnell		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .

PRA-2018-00154	Huerta	Jesus	1/25/2018	<p>I am requesting my own complete and thorough Master File from each and every single legislative, judicial, and executive agency of the STATE OF CALIFORNIA, the State of California, the California State Republic, and the territories within the state's boundaries ALLOWED UNDER ALL APPLICABLE LAWS. Here is my information. Again, I am requesting my own records.</p> <p>Jesus Huerta</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>Phone: [REDACTED]</p> <p>Born: Doctors Hospital of East Los Angeles</p> <p>Date Of Birth: [REDACTED]</p> <p>CA Driver's License: [REDACTED]</p> <p>Member of Ca National Guard: 2003-2009</p> <p>118th Maintenance Company, Stockton, CA</p> <p>40th Infantry Division, Los Angeles, CA</p> <p>County Residence: Los Angeles</p> <p>Superior Court Case: 7EM04276</p> <p>Currently Bonded by Aladdin Bail Bonds</p> <p>Mother's Maiden Name: [REDACTED]</p> <p>Father's Last Name: Huerta</p> <p>SSN: [REDACTED]</p> <p>Other previous addresses:</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>Attended: East Los Angeles College, Mt. San Antonio College and Rio Hondo College.</p> <p>Comments: Primarily looking all public records civil and commercial in nature (Liens, Lawsuits, Financial Instruments, Contracts, etc.)</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We note that your request seeks records from "each and every single legislative, judicial, and executive [sic] agency of the STATE OF CALIFORNIA;" however, your request was made using the California Department of Justice online submission form, which is for the California Department of Justice only. Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth-Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth-Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-00155	Pearl	Leah	1/25/2018	<p>I am in need of my marriage and divorce date from Matthew James Monson. His DOB [REDACTED], my DOB [REDACTED]. I believe we were married [REDACTED] in Amador County. I need this information to complete my application for my passport.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth-Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth-Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-00156	Bertram	Timothy	1/25/2018	<p>Mr. Dolida, on 12-14-2017, the Orange County Superior Court admitted that they made a mistake when they changed my " misdemeanor" conviction into a "felony" and forwarded that "felony" information, IE: sentencing transcripts, abstract of Judgement, JUS 8715 to the Dept. of Justice in case# C- 67205. I have enclosed a copy of the courts recent ruling (minute order) The reason I am writing to you, as you will see from the other minute order enclosed, is because the courts have destroyed or refuse to turn over the sentencing transcripts, abstracts and any other document in case# C- 67205. To be very specific Mr. D, I am looking for the sentencing transcripts in case# C- 67205??? in all</p>	Completed	Michael Dolida	<p>The records of all our case files are organized and maintained electronically in a case management software application called Pro law. After an exhaustive search of Pro law, we were unable to locate any records pertaining to either Timothy K. Bertram or case# C-67205. Thus, we have no responsive records for your request.</p>

				<p>actuality, I would like to receive a copy of every single document that exists in your (D.O.J.) file pertaining to case# C-67205.</p> <p>I am really hoping that you (D.O.J.) still have the sentencing transcripts ? But I will be extremely grateful for anything you can provide me.</p>			
PRA-2018-00168	Olfati (January 2018)	Parvin	1/25/2018	<p>1. Any and all communications, payments and/or emoluments that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any communications to, from, with, and/or about Alhambra Dental Plaza and/or any Alhambra Dental Plaza tenants, dental service providers, dentists, nurses, staff, receptionists, dental assistants, dental hygienists, dental providers, employees, agents, medical professionals, or representatives, that mention, refer to, and/or relate to any medical services and/or dental services, sought, requested, and/or experienced by Parvin Olfati.</p> <p>2. Any and all communications, payments and/or emoluments that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any communications to, from, with, and/or about Alhambra Dental Plaza and/or any Alhambra Dental Plaza tenants, dental service providers, dentists, nurses, staff, receptionists, dental assistants, dental hygienists, dental providers, employees, agents, medical professionals or representatives, that mention, refer to, and/or relate to insurance coverage or lack of insurance coverage for any dental services or medical services sought, requested and/or experienced by Parvin Olfati.</p> <p>3. In addition, please produce any and all documents, records, and/or writings reflecting any destruction, alteration, removal, and/or transfer of any of the records requested under Request Item Numbers 1 and/or 2 herein.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
PRA-2018-00169	Olfati (January 2018)	Parvin	1/25/2018	<p>1. Any and all communications, payments and/or emoluments that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any communications to, from, with, and/or about Delta Dental and/or any Delta Dental employees, staff, office managers, receptionists, agents, nurses, billers, dental assistants, dental hygienists, dental providers, medical professionals or representatives, that mention, refer to, and/or relate to any medical services and/or dental services, sought, requested, and/or experienced by Parvin Olfati.</p> <p>2. Any and all communications, payments and/or emoluments that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any communications to, from, with, and/or about Delta Dental and/or any Delta Dental employees, staff, office managers, receptionists, agents, nurses, billers, dental assistants, dental hygienists, dental providers, medical professionals or representatives, that mention, refer to, and/or relate to insurance coverage or lack of insurance coverage for any dental services or medical services sought, requested and/or experienced by Parvin Olfati.</p> <p>3. In addition, please produce any and all documents, records, and/or writings reflecting any destruction, alteration, removal, and/or transfer of any of the records requested under Request Item Numbers 1 and/or 2 herein.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.

PRA-2018-00185	Koo	Stuart	1/25/2018	<p>Please consider this a request to the California Department of Justice - False Claims Unit, pursuant to California's Public Records Act. I would like to obtain copies of the following documents (electronic/digital copies are preferred):</p> <p>Information dating back to 2008, including but not limited to: referrals for investigation, records indicating mistreatment of patients, records of warning, past complaints, investigations of wrongdoing, records of citation, suspensions of Medicaid or Medicare payments, and other indicators of impropriety or fraudulent behavior for the following entities and facilities located in your state:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Acadia Healthcare Company, Inc. or any of its known affiliates and subsidiaries</li> <li>2) Aspen Education Group, Inc.</li> <li>3) Aspen Youth, Inc.</li> <li>4) Bayside Marin, Inc.</li> <li>5) California Treatment Services, LLC</li> <li>6) Milwaukee Health Services System, LLC</li> <li>7) San Diego Health Alliance</li> <li>8) San Diego Treatment Services, LLC</li> <li>9) Sober Living by the Sea, Inc.</li> <li>10) The Camp Recovery Center, LLC</li> <li>11) Transcultural Health Development, Inc.</li> <li>12) Treatment Associates, Inc.</li> <li>13) Vista Behavioral Hospital, LLC</li> <li>14) WCHS, Inc.</li> <li>15) CRC Health, LLC</li> <li>16) Azure Acres Treatment Center – 2264 Green Hill Road, Sebastopol, CA</li> <li>17) Bayside Marin Treatment Center – 718 4th Street, San Rafael, CA</li> <li>18) The Camp Recovery Center – 3192 Glen Canyon Road, Scotts Valley, CA</li> <li>a. Possible other names</li> <li>i. Camp Recovery Center</li> <li>ii. Camp Recovery Centers Health Corporation</li> <li>iii. The Camp Recovery Centers, LP</li> <li>iv. Sdhael Cajon Treatment Center</li> <li>19) Sunrise Recovery Ranch – 6690 Limonite Frontage Road, Riverside, CA</li> <li>a. Aka SLBTS – Sunrise Ranch</li> <li>20) Sober Living by the Sea – 2800 Lafayette Avenue, Newport Beach, CA</li> <li>a. Possible other names</li> <li>i. SLBTS – The Landing</li> <li>ii. The Landing – Sober Living by the Sea</li> <li>iii. The Landing</li> <li>iv. Sober Living by the Sea, inc.</li> <li>21) The Rose – 6111 Seashore Drive, Newport Beach, CA</li> <li>a. Aka SLBTS – The Rose</li> <li>22) Colton Comprehensive Treatment Center - 2275 E Cooley Drive, Colton, CA</li> <li>23) Desert Comprehensive Treatment Center – 1330 N. Indian Canyon, Palm Springs, CA</li> <li>24) El Cajon Comprehensive Treatment Center -234 Magnolia Avenue, El Cajon, CA</li> <li>a. Aka El Cajon Treatment Center</li> <li>25) Coastal Recovery Comprehensive Treatment Center</li> </ol>	Completed	Aviva Burmas	<p>A. No Responsive Records</p> <p>Our office was not able to locate any responsive records related to many of the entities listed above. To the extent that we were able to locate responsive records, all such records are exempt as explained below.</p> <p>B. Records Exempt from Disclosure</p> <p>a. Investigatory Records - Government Code 6254, subdivision (I)</p> <p>Some of the records that you seek are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (J) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)</p> <p>Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision(f).].)</p> <p>b. Records Subject to Privilege - Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k)</p> <p>Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k), incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in the law that may also apply to any such records you seek. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k), incorporates the following protections that prohibit disclosure:</p> <p>False Claims Act Cases Under Seal</p> <p>Some of the records you seek are records in cases filed pursuant to the Federal False Claims Act (31 U.S.C. § 3729 et seq.) and/or the California False Claims Act (Gov. Code § 12650 et seq.). Such cases are filed under seal and may remain under seal for varying lengths of time. (Gov. Code § 12652.) To the extent your request seeks records that remain under seal pursuant to Government Code section 12652, such records are exempt from disclosure.</p> <p>Attorney Work Product and Attorney-Client Privilege:</p> <p>The attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc. § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 83 3.)</p> <p>Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. See also the Attorney-Client Privilege.</p> <p>Official Information Privilege</p> <p>Pursuant to Evidence Code section 1040, which is incorporated in Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k), any reports or findings about the requested individuals or company not covered by the attorney-client privilege or the attorney work product doctrine that are acquired in confidence by a public employee in the course of his/her duty that are not open, or officially disclosed, to the public are further protected by the official information privilege. As such, those records are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. Therefore, we deny your request for any such records.</p> <p>Deliberative Process Privilege and Balancing Test</p> <p>The public interest balancing test exempts from disclosure materials where the societal interest in nondisclosure clearly outweighs the societal interest in disclosure. The deliberative process privilege is a subset of the general balancing test and focuses specifically on protecting the decision-making process</p>
----------------	-----	--------	-----------	--	-----------	--------------	--

			<p>a. Possible other names</p> <p>i. Southern California Comprehensive Treatment Centers</p> <p>ii. Transcultural Health Dev Inc.</p> <p>iii. Coastal Recovery</p> <p>iv. Coastal Recovery Center</p> <p>v. Coastal Recovery Clinic</p> <p>26) Capalina Comprehensive Treatment Center – 1560 Capalina Road, San Marcos, CA</p> <p>a. Possible other names</p> <p>i. Capalina</p> <p>ii. San Diego Comprehensive Treatment Centers</p> <p>27) Serenity Knolls Treatment Center – 145 Tamal Road, Forest Knolls, CA</p> <p>a. Aka Serenity Knolls</p> <p>28) Montecatini Eating Disorder Treatment Center - 2524 La Costa Ave, Carlsbad, CA</p> <p>a. Possible other names</p> <p>i. Montecatini</p> <p>ii. Montecatini Center for Eating Disorders</p> <p>iii. Montecatini Eating Disorder Center</p> <p>29) Third Avenue Comprehensive Treatment Center - 1161 Third Avenue, Chula Vista, CA 91911</p> <p>a. Possible other names</p> <p>i. Third Avenue Clinic</p> <p>ii. San Diego Treatment Services, LLC</p> <p>30) Temecula Comprehensive Treatment Center - 40700 California Oaks Road, Murrieta, CA 92562</p> <p>a. Possible other names</p> <p>i. Temecula Valley Treatment Center</p> <p>ii. WCHS, Inc.</p> <p>31) Santa Ana Comprehensive Treatment Center - 2101 E First Street, Santa Ana, CA 92705</p> <p>a. Possible other names</p> <p>i. Southern California Treatment Centers</p> <p>ii. Coastal Recovery – in Wilmington, CA</p> <p>b. Former address: 1155 Third Avenue, Chula Vista, CA 91911</p> <p>32) Sacramento Comprehensive Treatment Center - 7225 E. Southgate Drive, Sacramento, CA 95823</p> <p>a. Possible other names</p> <p>i. Sacramento Treatment Clinic</p> <p>ii. Treatment Associates, Inc.</p> <p>33) Fashion Valley Comprehensive Treatment Center - 7545 Metropolitan Drive, San Diego, CA 92108</p> <p>a. Aka Fashion Valley Clinic</p> <p>34) Riverside Comprehensive Treatment Center - 1021 W La Cadena Drive, Riverside, CA 92501</p> <p>a. Possible other names</p> <p>i. Riverside Treatment Center</p> <p>ii. WCHS, Inc.</p> <p>Please include all exh bits, notes, interviews, schedules, public comments, and other attachments filed concurrently with each disclosable document.</p>		<p>so as not to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.)</p> <p>In the instant case, records such as analyses, draft language, and memoranda concerning the strengths and weaknesses of a particular proposed action, prosecution, or lawsuit would be covered by the balancing test and the deliberative process privilege and, therefore, absent special circumstances would be exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6255.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	---

PRA-2018-00187	Humphrey, Sr.	Julius	1/25/2018	A copy of the recently submitted initiative to amend the Three Strikes Law.		Completed	Emily Gargiulo	Enclosed is a copy of Initiative 17-0046A1, "The People's Fair Sentencing and Public Safety Act of 2018." This completes our response to your request.
PRA-2018-00190	Solis	David	1/25/2018	I would like to request the records (e.g. case name, case number, document description, etc) on behalf of Alex Cortez. The following is information that might be useful and speed up the process for identification purposes. Name: Alex Cortez CDCR #: G28913 Admission Date: 08/07/2008 Current Location: Community Correctional Facility Parole Eligible Date: 03/2019		Completed	Erin Nickless	We were unable to locate responsive records with the information provided. In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-00202	Gendreau	Henri	1/25/2018	I would like to search tax-exempt charitable organizations / nonprofits by the name of the owner / registerer. Is this possible online? (I couldn't find the function.) If not, I would put in a request to see if several individuals are listed as associated with any nonprofit organizations.		Completed	Caitlin W. Noble	We understand your request to seek an online search form for information on charities, charity fundraising professionals, and raffle registrants as maintained by the California Registry of Charitable Trusts. That online search form is accessible here: <a href="http://rct.doj.ca.gov/Verification/">http://rct.doj.ca.gov/Verification/</a> On January 26, 2018, you also provided a list of twenty-four individuals and sought information as to any association between the individuals and nonprofit organizations. The California Registry of Charitable Trusts has searched its records of nonprofit organizations, updated through August 2016, for exact matches of the names you provided. We enclose a copy of those search results. We note that your public records request is directed to the California Department of Justice but may seek records from other California state agencies such as the California Secretary of State and the California Franchise Tax Board. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice responds solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other state agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.
PRA-2018-00208	Kim	Ann	1/25/2018	I am trying to figure out which unit of the DOJ to direct a public records request to. I am looking for an old Megan's Law CD-ROM from July or August 1997, which is after then-Attorney General Dan Lungren announced such CDs would be made available to the public. Did any office of the DOJ retain these old CDs? Any direction you could provide would be much appreciated.	Habeas Corpus Resource Center	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	After consulting with various programs within the California Department of Justice (DOJ), the California Justice Information Services Division has determined that it has no responsive records for this request as the DOJ did not maintain such CDs. I should note, the above mentioned CD-ROM would not be available to the public as the CDs were only disseminated to law enforcement agencies. A member of the public could request to view limited information contained on the CDs; however, pursuant to Penal Code section 290.46, the releasable information would be found on the California Attorney General's Megan's Law website at: <a href="https://www.meganslaw.ca.gov/">https://www.meganslaw.ca.gov/</a> . The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PRA-2018-00157	Kroupa	Daniel	1/26/2018	This is a request for all records pertaining to Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County (including NHMLAC Foundation, attorneys, agents, representatives, and employees) and its communications with the Department of Justice/Attorney General's Office pertaining to Daniel R. Kroupa. Please be advised that my request includes relevant communications made on personal communications devices and email/messaging accounts. City of San Jose v. Superior Court, 2 Cal. 5th 608 (March 2017)		Completed	Sonja K. Berndt	We have conducted a diligent search of the Department of Justice's legal indexes, knowledgeable people, and logical places for documents responsive to your request. This office has no responsive records to your request.
PRA-2018-00158	Smith	Michelle	1/26/2018	Requesting for the will of Janina Katz, married to Israel Katz. Born on [REDACTED] and died on [REDACTED]		Completed	Erin Nickless	Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice, therefore we must deny your request. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). Please note that our office is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but



								seeks records regarding a probate matter. You may wish to contact the local court in which the probate matter would be heard. If you are seeking unclaimed property linked to an estate, you may wish to contact the State Controller's office.
PRA-2018-00159	Martin	Jessica	1/26/2018	Criminal Court Records AND Prison Records on: -Robert Carl Hohenberger (DOB abt [REDACTED] in Indiana; Died: [REDACTED] in Tacoma, WA) -Criminal Court records: Orange County, California -Served time at San Quentin from about 1971-1974 -Possible California Prison inmate number: B34916 Additional info: -Robert Carl Hohenberger (DOB abt [REDACTED] 942 in Indiana; Died: [REDACTED] in Tacoma, WA) -SS#: [REDACTED] (issued in CA) -Served time in and out from about 1966-1977 (and possibly before) -Criminal Court records: Orange County, California -Escaped San Quentin in 1974 -Possible California Prison inmate number: B34916		Completed	Erin Nickless	Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. The Department of Justice cannot produce records that are responsive to your request. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records from another government agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Information on how to contact them can be found at <a href="http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html">http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html</a> . To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-00160	Mercer	Eric	1/26/2018	Any information related to the Wells Fargo/World Saving under the Settlement and Assurance Agreement including, but not limited to, regular reports to the Attorney General's office regarding which borrowers do and do not get a modification and the reasons why. Any information related to Mr. Paul Schrupp. Wells Fargo failed to provide my client, Mr. Paul Schrupp, a permanent modification after he paid all three trial period plan payments to the bankruptcy trustee in charge of his bankruptcy.		Completed	Amos Hartston	As an initial matter, we note that on May 2, 2012, we received a consumer complaint against Wells Fargo from Mr. Schrupp. A copy of the complaint along with our letter to Mr. Schrupp is enclosed. Also, our Office provides information about the December 2010 settlement with Wells Fargo, World Savings, and Wachovia on our website, including a copy of the settlement agreement and answers to frequently asked questions. This information is available here: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/consumers/content/faqs_wellsfargo">https://oag.ca.gov/consumers/content/faqs_wellsfargo</a> . Additional information about the settlement is available on the National Mortgage Settlement website at <a href="http://www.nationalmortgagesettlement.com/">http://www.nationalmortgagesettlement.com/</a> . Further, our Office created the California Monitor Program to enforce the National Mortgage Settlement. The California Monitor Program, which closed as of September 2014, released a series of reports that can be found here: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/legal-opinions/ag-mortgage-settlements">https://oag.ca.gov/legal-opinions/ag-mortgage-settlements</a> We respectfully deny the remainder of your requests. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts records of complaints to, or investigations conducted by, the Office of the Attorney General and the Department of Justice from disclosure under the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (f).) Additionally, your inquiry raises other confidentiality and privacy issues.
PRA-2018-00180	Khundkar (Ammunition Laws)	Imran	1/26/2018	Any and all communications between the California Department of Justice and any agency, employee, and/or representative of a city, county, city and county, state or federal agency, regarding California's recently enacted ammunition laws and/or regulations, including but not limited to, the requirements, exceptions, and federal supremacy laws preempting the requirements.	Michel & Associates	Completed	Robert Wilson	As a preliminary matter, we note that under the Public Records Act government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public, unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) Section 6254, subdivision (k), incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law. As currently drafted, your request for information is overly broad and unduly burdensome. Unspecific and unfocused requests for government records under the Public Records Act are contrary to the purposes of the Public Records act, and requesters should provide specific, focused requests in order to give agencies a reasonable opportunity to respond. General, unfocused requests compel agencies to deny them thereby leading to litigation. The request to the agency must itself be focused and specific. (Rogers v. Superior Court (1993) 19 Cal.App.41 h 469, 481.) Specifically, a search of Department communications under these parameters would be unworkable. Furthermore, it is unduly burdensome to request the Department of Justice to search all emails without reference to a particular ammunition law or regulation. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation

								v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.) If there is a specific ammunition law or regulation that you are referencing, let us know and we can refine the search to determine if there are responsive documents. For these reasons, we must decline your request for records as it is currently cast. However, we are willing to consult with you to determine if a more specific request would be likely to generate records. (Gov. Code, section 6253.1.)
PRA-2018-00100	Hoisington-Bingham	Maria	1/29/2018	Any and all documents relating to any consumer complaints and/or investigations regarding Olympia Law PC, Entity Number C3707174, and/or any of its attorneys, including Steve Saeed Gohari - Bar Number 189339, Deepa Talwar - Bar Number 277358, and Bryan Naddafi - Bar Number 298264. Relevant records include those relating to any complaints or investigations concerning nonjudicial foreclosures, recoupment of surplus funds in nonjudicial foreclosures, and other similar services and/or products. The relevant time period for these documents is January 2012 to the present.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 ["While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)."].)
PRA-2018-00161	Crawford	Joey	1/29/2018	All Santa Barbara County Court Records		Completed	Erin Nickless	We were unable to locate records based on the information provided, as the request did not provide case names and/or numbers. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. If you are seeking records filed with Court, you may wish to direct your request to their office. In the event that you are seeking criminal history records under the Public Records Act, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-00162	Conn	Silinda	1/29/2018	Please send complete investigation file including, police reports, officers notes, witness statements, incident report, colored photographs and videos Accident 1/12/18 Police incident # CAS18012-0132		Completed	Erin Nickless	Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PRA-2018-00163	Leonard	Donavan	1/29/2018	I would like a copy of the judges scorecards from boxing in Inglewood, CA from 1/28 for Lucas Matthyse vs Tewa Kiram and for Jorge Linares vs Mercita Gesta. If there is anything else I need to do, please let me know. Thank you. If this is the wrong place to request the record, please let me know so that I can contact the proper persons.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to boxing event scores, you may wish to direct your request to whatever organization hosted the event.
PRA-2018-00164		Ashley	1/29/2018	Perhaps it's a child sex abuse case? I'm not sure where to start...I was involved in a case against my father when I was 4 so I remember only bits of what went on. I'm now 26 and hoping to get some closure on what happened to		Completed	Erin Nickless	We were unable to search our databases based on the information provided. If you can provide more specifics, such as the parties' names, case name, or case number, we can conduct a search of the records within our custody and control. In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are

				my father after that day. Any direction at all is appreciated. Thank you so much.			exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-00165	Duran	Ana	1/29/2018	property records, liens, deeds on 3303 Prospect Ave. Glendale, CA 91214 Bankruptcy CALIFORNIA FEDERAL COURT-LOS ANGELES Docket# 1154102	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides.
PRA-2018-00170	Velasquez	Yahaira	1/29/2018	311 S 3rd St Richmond CA 94801 House information : - Landlord/Owner of the property. I need to contact the owner to the property for negotiations	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides.
PRA-2018-00171	Donnelly	Debora	1/29/2018	I was just recently informed that my Father Emil Leon Cross wages were garnished for child support in 1982. Case # 78586 FSD 11119. My Mothers name is Sandra Kay Cross. I am wondering if there is an account that money is sitting in regarding this case. Please let me know if this is not the correct place to obtain this information. My mother moved to Arizona in 93 and I am concerned that there may be money garnished we did not receive. If so how do I go about getting it? I am the oldest daughter in this case, Debora N Cross/married name Donnelly [REDACTED] thank you.	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking child support and/or custody records, you may wish to direct your request to the court that issued the child support or custody order, or the California Department of Child Support Services (DCSS). Information on how to contact DCSS can be found on their website at <a href="http://www.childsup.ca.gov/home/dcscscontactinformation.aspx">http://www.childsup.ca.gov/home/dcscscontactinformation.aspx</a> .
PRA-2018-00172	Cunningham (Ashanti)	Lorenzo (Askia S.)	1/29/2018	“(1) ATTN: Dr. Xavier Becerra, J.D.)...(PERSON: James Andrews Brooks)(PERSON: Roshanna Franklin )...and ATTN: Department of Justice (DOJ/AG)...(DOCUMENTS): *Criminal Arrest Records/Files (All-Arrest)... *Criminal Conviction Records/Files (All-Convictions)... (2) ATTN: Office-of District Attorney...)...(DOCUMENTS): State/Federal f Prison-Files) & (Probation Files)... (3) ATTN: Dr. Jackie Lacey, JD., Esq.,... (D.A.)...(A)DA-INTERVENTION:... (4) ATTN: Keith Marshall Bowman. Esq.*...(DOCUMENTS): (X) Attorney/Client Files-Records... (5) ATTN: Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI)...(DOCUMENTS): (D FBI File No#93-822-G (James Brooks)... (6) ATTN: Claremont Police Department...*(DOCUMENTS): CD 1/29/1996 Police Report (James Brooks)... (7) ATTN: Pomona Police Department...*(DOCUMENTS): (1) 7/9/1995 Police	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	Regarding item (1), unfortunately, the Department of Justice (DOJ) is unable to comply with your request. The California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to California Penal Code section 11076, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records. The only exception to this rule is an individual requesting his or her own records. California Penal Code sections 11120 through 11127 afford an individual the opportunity to obtain a copy of his or her own California state summary criminal history information to review for accuracy and completeness. If you have further questions or concerns regarding a record review, please direct your correspondence to the Bureau of Criminal Information & Analysis, Record Review Unit at P.O. Box 903417, Sacramento, CA 94203-4170. For additional information, the following is the Record Review website: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review">https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review</a> . Regarding items (2) through (12), after consulting with various programs within DOJ, the California Justice Information Services Division has determined that it has no responsive records for this request. You may want to contact the respective recipient of each request for responsive records. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.

				Report (James Brooks)... (8) ATTN: Pomona City Hall Administration...*(DOCUMENTS): *Marriage Records/Certificate (James Brooks)... (9) ATTN: Federal Bureau of Prison (BOP)...*(DOCUMENTS): (1) Prison Files/Records.. (10) ATTN: Office of Probation Department...*(DOCUMENTS): (J) Probation Files/records... (11) ATTN: Scott Kernan (Secretary)...*(DOCUMENTS): (1) list of all Campaign-Contributions to any Entities/Parties/Individuals concerning Prop# 184 (1994) 3-Str kes Ballot-Initiative... (12) ATTN: Calif. Dept. Corrections. Rehabilitation...*(DOCUMENTS): (1) CDCR/Central Prison Files..."			
PRA-2018-00183	Olfati (January 2018)	Parvin	1/29/2018	<p>1. Any and all communications, payments and/or emoluments that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any communications to, from, with, and/or about Barb Andres, Barbara Andres, Cecil Taylor, and/or [REDACTED], [REDACTED] that mention, refer to, and/or relate to Parvin Olfati, [REDACTED] and/or any persons believed to be visiting or present at [REDACTED]</p> <p>2. Any and all communications, payments, and/or emoluments that mention, refer to, and/or relate to any communications to, from, with, and/or about any person known or believed to be a relative of Barb Andres or Barbara Andres, a husband of Barb Andres or Barb Andres, or the children of the husband of Barb Andres or Barbara Andres, including but not limited to any resident of New Hampshire.</p> <p>3. In addition, please produce any and all documents, records, and/or writings reflecting any destruction, alteration, removal, and/or transfer of any of the records requested under Request Item Numbers 1 and/or 2 herein.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
PRA-2018-00192	Ybarra	Simon	1/29/2018	Any public records concerning myself. Simon Nicholas Ybarra. My wallet was stolen a few years ago and my social security card was inside. I would like to confirm that there has been no activity that wasn't me.	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records related to fraud or identity theft. Information on how to report fraud and identity theft can be found at <a href="https://www.justice.gov/criminal-fraud/report-fraud">https://www.justice.gov/criminal-fraud/report-fraud</a> ).
PRA-2018-00204	Renaud	Kevin	1/29/2018	<p>Please provide the hourly rate for the Attorney General as it would be used in calculating fee awards or Bill of Costs.</p> <p>February 5, 2018 response to request for clarification: Apologies, "Bill of Costs" was my shorthand for "motion to tax costs". I am really looking for the hourly rate that would be listed for the Attorney General should the state, or a state agency, prevail in a matter and be awarded attorneys' fees.</p>	Completed	Stacy Heinsen	<p>Public Records sent a request for Clarification on February 5, 2018: We are in the process of gathering the requested information, and are seeking clarification to better facilitate our search. We request that you please clarify what you mean by a "Bill of Costs," in your February 2, 2018 request.</p> <p>Stacy Heinsen responded: A. follow up email from our Public Request Act Unit on February 5, 2018, sought clarification specifically of "Bill of Costs." Your email response the same day indicated that you were looking for the hourly rate that would be listed for the Attorney General should the state, or a state agency, prevail in a matter and be awarded attorney's fees.</p> <p>Attached is a copy of the Department's Administrative Bulletin 17-09 regarding DOJ Billing Rates which includes hourly rates for attorney services.</p>
PRA-2018-00217	Arata	George	1/29/2018	Any and all records, permits, applications, certificates, licenses, insurance policies, or other documents pertaining to VANG PRODUCE (Certificate #39-10-245-0). VANG PRODUCE also goes by YANG PRODUCE and/or YANG PRODUCE FARMS.	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.

PRA-2018-00218	Wagner	Linsey	1/29/2018	From the California State University: 1) Hiring Committee files on top candidates; on ALL candidates that were interviewed for the position of Assistant Professor of Fiction Writing in 2015 and 2016, including hiring committee members notes on said candidates. 2) Hiring Committee Members personal notes that were taken during interview process.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We note that your request is for records from the California State University, but was submitted to the California Department of Justice. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to one or more schools within the California State University (CSU) System, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to contact the offices of CSU can be found at <a href="https://www2.calstate.edu/Pages/contact.aspx">https://www2.calstate.edu/Pages/contact.aspx</a> . We hope this information has been of assistance.
PRA-2018-00176	Hill	Makele	1/30/2018	eviction records		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking eviction records, you may wish to direct your request to the local superior court in which the case was filed.
PRA-2018-00179	Falzon	Marc	1/30/2018	My name is Marc Falzon, and I am an MFA candidate within the University of Hartford International Limited-Residency Photography Masters Program under director Robert Lyons, Alec Soth of Magnum Photos, Dr. Jörg Colberg of Conscientious and other contemporary artists and educators. Through the University of Hartford I am researching materials for my photography masters thesis on mass violence in the United States. To that end, I would like to inquire I could see & or photograph any physical evidence, files, or photographs related to the bellow case: Name: PATRICK PURDY Toxicology Number: [REDACTED] As a reminder, Patrick Purdy was the perpetrator in the 1989 Cleveland Elementary schoolyard shooting. Would your department be able to point me in the direction of where I could find the case evidence? Thank you for your time & consideration,		Completed	Shannon Patterson	We must decline your request for the reasons set forth below. You have requested investigative records from this office. To the extent that these records exist, they are investigative files of the Attorney General and the DOJ that are expressly exempt from disclosure pursuant to Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f). In the case of Dick Williams v. Superior Court ( 1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354 the California Supreme Court held that investigative records in the possession of law enforcement agencies are exempt from disclosure. The Court also ruled that investigation documents did not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355.)
PRA-2018-00181	Estep	Daniel	1/30/2018	8/16/2007, PEOPLE VS ESTEP, DANIEL LYN, Case#SCR62347, Court records and arrest records		Completed	A. Kay Lauterbach	We have located two case files for a Daniel Lyn Estep. The releasable substantive documents contained in the case file are listed below in paragraph five. We cannot, however, provide you with copies of the reporter's transcripts which are also contained in the case file because these transcripts are exempt from disclosure under the PRA. Specifically, California Government Code section 69954, subdivision ( d ) provides as follows: (d) Any court, party, or person who has purchased a transcript may, without paying a further fee to the reporter, reproduce a copy or portion thereof as an exhibit pursuant to court order or rule, or for internal use, but shall not otherwise provide or sell a copy or copies to any other person or party. Thus, a person who has not purchased the reporter's transcript, but who wishes to obtain a copy of the reporter's transcript for a particular court proceeding must purchase it directly from the court reporting agency that transcribed the proceeding. Public agencies in possession of such transcripts are prohibited from providing a copy of the transcript to a requesting party. This statutory directive is incorporated into the PRA by California Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k). Accordingly, this office cannot provide you with copies of the reporter's transcripts of

							<p>the oral court proceedings contained within these files.</p> <p>The Attorney General is also prohibited by Penal Code section 11105 from releasing criminal arrest record information. However, an individual who wishes to review their own criminal arrest records, may submit a personal request for that information in accordance with a prescribed protocol. You can find information on how to obtaining criminal history records on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>. I have also enclosed a copy of the instructions on how to obtain a copy of your criminal history records as well as information on how to request Live Scan services. The Attorney General is, however, able to provide you with the following listed documents:</p> <p>Case No. C053984</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The Clerk's Transcript consisting of two volumes (451 pages)</li><li>2. Appellant's Opening Brief (78 pages)</li><li>3. Respondent's Brief (36 pages)</li><li>4. Appellant's Reply Brief (27 pages)</li><li>5. Petition for Review (30 pages)</li><li>6. Unpublished Decision of the California Court of Appeal (24 pages)</li></ol> <p>Case No. C060690</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The Clerk's Transcript consisting of one volume (57 pages)</li><li>2. Appellant's Opening Brief (12 pages)</li><li>3. Respondent's Brief (15 pages)</li><li>4. Appellant's Reply Brief (8 pages)</li><li>5. Petition for Review (11 pages)</li><li>6. Unpublished Decision of the California Court of Appeal on Remand (13 pages)</li></ol> <p>The number of pages making up each item is provided above because there is a charge for photocopying and mailing these items to you. The documents listed total 762 single sided pages.</p> <p>Pursuant to Government Code section 6253, subdivision (b), our office charges \$0.10 per page for duplication costs of public records. Accordingly, the cost of photocopying the requested records is \$76.20. An additional charge of \$12.00 is also due in order to pay the postage fee (regular U.S. ground mail) for mailing the documents. Thus, the total cost for copying and mailing the documents you have requested is \$88.20. If you wish to receive copies of the aforementioned records, please remit a check in the appropriate amount made payable to the "California Department of Justice." You may mail or deliver the check to the following address:</p> <p>California Department of Justice Public Records Ombudsman 1300 I Street Sacramento, CA 95814</p> <p>Upon receipt of your check in the appropriate amount, we will promptly mail to you copies of the disclosable records responsive to your request.</p>
PRA-2018-00182	Hewlett	Iris	1/30/2018	Per Gov. Code Sec. 6250 et seq., I seek records which provides transparency to why the Sutterhealth Bonds series for 2003/2005/2006/2007/ 2008 the revenues were refunded in 2016. The request is relevant to my January 9, 2016 PRA.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We interpret the above as a request for records showing why the California Health Facilities Financing Authority (CHFFA) board approved a request from Sutterhealth to refund prior bonds through the issuance of the 2016 Bonds, and why the Attorney General, as issuer's counsel, made a legal determination that the 2016 Bonds were valid.</p> <p>Regarding records showing why the CHFFA board approved the issuance of the 2016 Bonds, we do not have records responsive to your request. Nonetheless, for your information, we have enclosed a report prepared by CHFFA staff that was distributed to the board members for the July 7, 2016 meeting at which the 2016 Bonds were approved, as well as the minutes of that meeting. These records reflect recommendations from CHFFA staff regarding the issuance of the 2016 bonds. While these records were part of the deliberations that eventually concluded with CHFFA approval of the 2016 Bonds, we make no representation as to whether or which portions of the staff recommendations any given CHFFA board member incorporated into his or her judgment as reflected in his or her vote. We offer these records only as a courtesy because they may relate to your request.</p> <p>Regarding records showing why our office, as issuer's counsel, made a legal determination that the 2016 Bonds were valid, such records are not disclosable as attorney work product. The attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions,</p>

							opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc. section 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, section 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) This completes our response to your request.
PRA-2018-00184	Cobos	Manuel	1/30/2018	I not remember		Completed	Erin Nickless There was no record description or comment included with your contact information to identify which records you are seeking. As there were no records identified in your request, we are unable to provide records that are responsive. If you can provide more information as to which documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of the documents that are within the control and custody of DOJ.
PRA-2018-00219	Arciniega	Maite	1/31/2018	Requesting copy. Victim of a Domestic Violence in the state of California, year 2014 through 2015. Occured in the address 342 w 75th st los angeles, ca 90003 Requesting copy as soon as possible please.		Completed	Erin Nickless We were unable to locate records based on the information provided. Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PRA-2018-00221	Hurst	Marla	1/31/2018	Please send information regarding case State Of Idaho vs. Fred Martinez		Completed	Erin Nickless We were unable to locate records based on the information provided. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed by the State of Idaho, you may wish to direct your request to the office of the court in which the case is filed or the agency that filed the law suit.



## PRA Requests

Received From: 2/1/2018

Received To: 2/28/2018

Total: 214

Request ID	Requestor Last Name	Requestor First Name	Received Date	Request Description	Requestor Business Name	Request Status	Responder	Response Summary
PRA-2018-00186	Hopp	Richard	2/1/2018	1. Any and all documents, writings, recordings or combination thereof evidencing, identifying and in regards to the request for a California Attorney General Opinion No. 17-701, Question: What are the proper uses of civil enforcement penalty funds collected under the Unfair Competition Law?		Completed	Stephanie Grimes	The Department of Justice is authorized to charge the direct cost of duplication for providing copies of records in response to public records requests. (Gov. Code § 6253 subd. (b).) The cost for making a photocopy of a paper record is \$0.10 per page. An additional charge is due to pay the postage fee (regular U.S. ground mail) for mailing the documents. Your request for records has generated 62 pages of discloseable records resulting in a duplication fee of \$6.20. Discloseable records will be transmitted electronically per your request. The total cost in this instance is \$6.20. Please make the check payable to the California Department of Justice and send your check to: California Department of Justice Public Records Coordinator 1300 I Street, Room 1710-6 Sacramento, CA 95814 Upon receipt of your check in the above-stated amount, we will promptly forward to you the discloseable records responsive to your request. If we do not receive your payment within 20 days of this letter, we will consider the request for records withdrawn and the matter closed. On February 23, 2018, Stephanie Grimes attempted to e-mail the documents. There were issues with the attachments, so on February 26, 2018, she mailed out the response, stating: Responsive documents are attached.
PRA-2018-00220	Berg	Nicole	2/1/2018	public record		Completed	Erin Nickless	There was no record description or comment included with your contact information to identify which records you are seeking. As there were no records identified in your request, we are unable to provide records that are responsive. If you can provide more information as to which documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of the documents that are within the control and custody of DOJ.
PRA-2018-00223	Dyer	Jomeka	2/1/2018	I would like to request a print out of payments dating back to 2013 made to the state of California for Africare. Registration Number: CT=066711		Completed	Scott Chan	We have searched our records and we are producing the enclosed documents.
PRA-2018-00479	Rivero	Carlos	2/1/2018	Clerk's Transcripts pages 1 to 220 Volume 1 of Volumes and all other court reporters transcripts filed in direct appeal.		Completed	Irene Chavez	As per your records request, enclosed please find copies of the Clerk's Transcript - Vol. 1 of 1 - 231 pgs.; and Reporter's Transcripts - Vol. 1 of 1 - 48 pgs in People v. Carlos Diaz Rivero, California Court of Appeal Case No. B267288. Please be advised that our file does not contain police reports or statements from police.
PRA-2018-00188	Brown	Tiffany	2/2/2018	<a href="https://transparentcalifornia.com/">https://transparentcalifornia.com/</a> How do I get my personal information removed for online viewing and from public records to the point anybody can view it?		Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Our research into the matter shows that the content published on the



							Transparent California website is provided by a group known as Nevada Policy Research Institute. Since your request relates to records in the control of Nevada Policy Research Institute, you may wish to direct your request to them.
PRA-2018-00189	Fielding	Anastasia	2/2/2018	Case #LW010033PEA Case #48132MN Miguel Angel Negron B [REDACTED]		Completed	Erin Nickless  We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any disclosable records based on the information provided. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-00191	Fagone (SF Chronicle)	Jason	2/2/2018	<p>-- All available data about non-roster handguns registered during the calendar year 2017 under state exemptions for authorized peace officers specified in Pen. Code, § 32000. This would include information about the type of firearm and the department of the peace officer.</p> <p>-- The number of non-roster firearms registered by peace officers in the last 10 years (i.e. since 1/1/2008), broken down by type of firearm, year, and, if possible, the department of the peace officer.</p> <p>-- The number of large-capacity magazines registered by peace officers in the last 10 years (i.e. since 1/1/2008), broken down by type of magazine, year, and, if possible, the department of the peace officer. When possible, I would like this information in spreadsheet format. The total date range for this request is 1/1/2008 to 12/31/2017.</p> <p>Fagone followed up on 02-26-18 to get the response, and on 02-27-18 regarding the fees: Is there any way to get the \$970 cost down? Perhaps by narrowing the request? I'm open to suggestions</p> <p>Fagone followed up on 03-08-18: This is a response to your follow-up letter of March 5, saying that you can provide information on the total number of non-roster firearms and large capacity magazines sold to peace officers in the last 10 years for a cost of \$161.76. Thank you for telling me the cost for those documents. Can I ask how much it would cost for you to also provide the information in the first bullet item of my original request? "All available data about non-roster handguns registered during the calendar year 2017 under state exemptions for authorized peace officers..." I am not asking for a detailed breakdown of the 2017 data, only a spreadsheet file (or another format) of available data for that calendar year.</p>		Completed	Robert Wilson  On February 12, 2018, Robert Wilson sent at extension letter. On February 20, 2018, Robert Wilson sent a fees letter: The requested information is not a report that the Department prepares in the ordinary course of business. Special programming will be required to generate the requested information, and the Department is allowed by law to charge for this programming. (See Gov. Code, §6253.9, subd. (b); see also California Department of Justice Guidelines for Access to Public Records [When the Department must compile electronic data, extract information from an electronic record, or undertake computer programming to satisfy a request, the Department may require the requester to bear the full costs, not just the direct cost of duplication.]) In this case, it will take a Senior Programmer Analyst a minimum of 24 hours to compile this information at a cost of \$970.66. If you still desire this information, please make a check payable to the California Department of Justice in the amount of \$970.66 and send it to: California Department of Justice Public Records Coordinator 1300 I Street, Room 1710-6 Sacramento, CA 95814 If we do not receive payment within 20 days, we shall consider the request for records withdrawn and the matter closed. On March 5, 2018, Robert Wilson sent a fees letter: We are writing in response to your follow-up inquiry about limiting the scope of your request to reduce the cost of production. We can provide you with information on the total number of non-roster firearms and large-capacity magazines sold to peace officers in the last 10 years, without the individualized specifics, for a cost of \$161.76 (four hours of work for a Senior Programmer Analyst). If you still desire this information, please make a check payable to the California Department of Justice in the amount of \$161.76 and send it to: California Department of Justice Public Records Coordinator 1300 I Street, Room 1710-6 Sacramento, CA 95814 If we do not receive payment within 20 days, we shall consider the request for records withdrawn and the matter closed. On April 2, 2018, a revised fee letter was sent: We are writing in response to your follow-up inquiry about limiting the scope of your request to reduce the cost of production. The requested information is not a report

							that the Department prepares in the ordinary course of business. Special programming will be required to generate the requested information, and the Department is allowed by law to charge for this programming. (See Gov. Code, § 6253.9, subd. (b); see also California Department of Justice Guidelines for Access to Public Records [When the Department must compile electronic data, extract information from an electronic record, or undertake computer programming to satisfy a request, the Department may require the requester to bear the full costs, not just the direct cost of duplication.]) We can provide you with information on the total number of non-roster handguns sold to police officers during the 2017 calendar year, without the individualized specifics, for a cost of \$80.88 (two hours of work for a Senior Programmer Analyst). If you still desire this information, please make a check payable to the California Department of Justice in the amount of \$80.88 and send it to: California Department of Justice Public Records Coordinator 1300 I Street, Room 1710-6 Sacramento, CA 95814 If we do not receive payment within 20 days, we shall consider the request for records withdrawn and the matter closed.
PRA-2018-00193	Acoba	Vanesa	2/2/2018	1990-1994 any public records filed against David Gutierrez and Maria Rosa Gutierrez any domestic violence or divorce	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking a domestic violence police report, police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report, and you would need to contact them directly. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth-Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth-Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-00194	Luther	Ryan	2/2/2018	<p>Under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq., I am writing to request copies of the following records:</p> <p>All police records (including arrest reports, calls to service, blotters, or similar documents) concerning the following locations and dates:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• [REDACTED] (1990–2003)</li> <li>• [REDACTED] CA (All of 1990)</li> </ul> <p>All police records (including arrest reports, calls to service, blotters, or similar documents) concerning the following individuals:</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p>

				<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Ken or Kenneth Romley (DOB: [REDACTED])</li><li>• Ditas Romley (DOB: [REDACTED])</li></ul>				
PRA-2018-00195	Hindin	Devin	2/2/2018	All records that include my name / person . Devin Alyce Hindin ,Dee Alyce, Devin A. Hindin , Hindin,Devin etc.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .	
PRA-2018-00196	McCracken	Jason	2/2/2018	<p>This is an interesting request. But here goes. My fathers name was Robert Ivan McCracken. He left us when I was 3 years old and we were told by family members that he had passed away in the late 1980's in San Francisco. So, we put that away in our minds and moved on. To our surprise, I got a call from the San Diego Medical Examiners Office in December telling me that in-fact, my Father had been alive this whole time, and was a transient, living on the streets of San Diego for decades. WOW! It turns out that the person who died back in the late 80's that they said was my father, just had his wallet and personal property on him at the time of death, so they thought it was him! Crazy, right?</p> <p>So, I am on a hunt to try and piece together as much of my fathers life as possible. Not for any other reason but to just trace where his life took him and maybe try and make some sense of his life over the years.</p> <p>How can you help? Well, I have been able to find that he was arrested a few times in San Diego for public intoxication. So, I was hoping that you may be able to send me any further arrest record details throughout the State, and possibly mugshots? It would really be a great piece of closure if we could lay eyes on him, even if in a mugshot. I think that through this process I will be able to make sense of his life, and possibly come to closure with his disappearance from the family. I was sent his ashes from the Mortuary a few weeks ago, and it would really be a blessing to spread his ashes near my home on Mt. Rainier knowing his story and giving him a fitting and peaceful end. Please help in any way you can. It would mean the world to myself, and our family.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. To the extent that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal	

							history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .	
PRA-2018-00197	Heo	Elizabeth	2/2/2018	Documents served on the Attorney General's Office pursuant to California Insurance Code section 10134, et seq. from 01/01/2018-01/31/2018. Please contact me to arrange a time to inspect and copy these records.		Completed	Howard Wayne	We will provide you with such documents. Please contact Nancy Quach at 415-703-5648 to schedule time for you to review these records. If you wish to copy these records, you will need to provide your own scanning equipment.
PRA-2018-00232	Olfati (February 2018)	Parvin	2/2/2018	1. Each and every electronic mail message, text message, memorandum, letter, document, writing and/or record that mentions, refers to, and/or relates to any placement of or release of any nerve agent, nerve gas, toxic, contaminant, poison or substance directed at the spine or intended to cause spinal injury or spinal damage to or on the person of Parvin Olfati; directed at or in any residence believed to be used by Parvin Olfati; directed at or in any place where Parvin Olfati has believed to have escaped to get away from being poisoned, becoming ill, or otherwise affected; and/or directed at or in any automobile believed to be used by Parvin Olfati. 2. For any document in Request Item 1 above that DOJ claims has been destroyed or is otherwise unavailable, please provide all records of any destruction or other reasons for non-availability, together with applicable records retention policies.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
PRA-2018-00240	Moon	David	2/2/2018	Going back several years...to when G. Davis was the California Attorney General... I need to know the date that WESTERN TECHNICAL COLLEGE - 5434 Van Nuys Blvd - Van Nuys, CA was shutdown / closed by Attorney General G. Davis.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. Please note that Gray Davis has never been the Attorney General of the State of California, and we have therefore interpreted your request to mean that your search was intended for the years in which Gray Davis was the Governor of California.
PRA-2018-00241	Adams	Cynthia	2/2/2018	I am requesting copies of applicant records from: Oakland Police Department (OPD), Background & Recruitment Unit, 250 Frank Ogawa Plaza, Suite D, Oakland, CA, 94612; and OPD Personnel Records Dept., 455 7th Street, Oakland, CA 94612, for the following applicant, Cynthia L. Adams aka, Cindi Adams, as follows: Police Records Specialist - denied employment on 11/16/2017 The specific records for Police Records Specialist are as follows: 1. Dates and times of any writings recorded or stored on paper, computers, email, audio or visual tapes. Including telephone conversation, comments, written notes made by the Background Investigator, and the communication with Sgt. Ryan Derespini, Lt. Anthony Munoz, or any other employee of the Alameda Police Department that made comments about the applicant. 2. In reference to the 10 Post Dimensions; any writings recorded or stored on paper, computers, email, audio or visual tapes. Including dates and times of telephone conversation, comments, written notes made by the Background Investigator, and the communication with Sgt. Ryan Derespini, Lt. Anthony Munoz, or any other employee of the Alameda Police Department that made comments about the applicant. Specifically to points #6 Consciousness, #7 Interpersonal Skills, and Other: discovered. 3. Dates and times of any writings recorded or stored on paper, computers, email, audio or visual tapes of telephone conversation, comments, written notes made by the Background Investigator, and the communication with the References supplied by the applicant, and a list of which references were contacted. 4. The specific determining decision made by the Background Investigator, as to why applicant would not be hired. Intake Technician - applicant was not hired, applied on 03/17/2016		Completed	Erin Nickless	Police department hiring records are maintained by the local department that conducted the hiring. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the Oakland Police Department.

				<p>1. Dates and times of any writings recorded or stored on paper, computers, email, audio or visual tapes. Including telephone conversation, comments, written notes made by the reviewer that determined why applicant was not contacted for an interview.</p> <p>2. Qualifications and age of the person(s) that were hired for the position.</p>				
PRA-2018-00379	Orozco	Kevin	2/2/2018	I would like my discoveries along with my police reports.		Completed	Maria E. Silva	Maria responded via telephone to this request.
PRA-2018-00586	Rocks	Melanie	2/2/2018	<p>I volunteer at Bay Park Elementary school and we recently received a violation notice related to our raffle operations. It is unclear to me which fundraiser there was a issue about (or why there was a problem). I would like to request copies of any external correspondence regarding our registration and operations during the past 3 years (not including registration applications or correspondence letters from DOJ). Please consider this a formal request under the Freedom of Information Act. Any copies may be emailed or mailed to me, whichever is easiest, and I would be happy to pay for copies as needed.</p>		Completed	Tania M. Ibanez, SAAG	<p>Your public records request was sent to me. I apologize for the delay in responding to your original request. Unfortunately your first email requesting records was misunderstood by my staff as they are not familiar with the term of FOIA which is the acronym for federal information requests.</p> <p>Your request sought copies of any external correspondence regarding your organization's registration and operations during the past 3 years (not including registration applications or correspondence letters from DOJ).</p> <p>I attach the records filed by your organization related to raffles. You may also download other records filed by your organization by following these directions:  Visit <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/charities">oag.ca.gov/charities</a>  Under Resources &amp; Tools  Click on Registry Verification Search  Enter the FEIN number (numbers only)  Click Search and then click on the name of your organization to find all records filed with this office.  Please note that your organization is current in its raffle filing requirements.</p>
PRA-2018-00198	Ridimann	Alyanna	2/5/2018	All incarceration and public records on Kevin Ridimann, circa late 2000's. Drug charges. That's all I know.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have searched our legal indices and disclosable documents and found no records that are responsive to your request.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at:  <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-00199	Farrell	Patrick	2/5/2018	Criminal and DOC records for Adam Rabb, AKA David Green. DOB [REDACTED] White 6'2 160 Heavily Tattooed		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at:  <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking "DOC records," your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. The Department of Justice</p>



							cannot produce records that are responsive to your request. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records from another government agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Information on how to contact them can be found at <a href="http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html">http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html</a> .
PRA-2018-00200	Gaudette	Melissa	2/5/2018	I would like to obtain my divorce record. I filed for divorce at the courthouse in downtown Los Angeles 3 years ago. The divorce was granted but my ex spouse has the documents and we are no longer in contact. His name is Joshua J Anderson and Mine is Melissa Gaudette.	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA-2018-00201	Lett	Gary	2/5/2018	1-Divorce record approximate date January 1974 while residing in Sunnyvale or Mountain View CA; spouse was Linda 2-Marriage record [REDACTED] in Mountain View CA to Georgia M Peterson Would like a copy of documents	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA-2018-00203	Blonien	Jarhett	2/5/2018	Pursuant to my rights under the California Public Records Act. (Government Code Section 6250 et seq., the California Constitution, as amended by passage of Proposition 59 on November 2, 2004), and Business and Professions Code Section 19826. I am writing to request a copy of the following Gaming Activity records, which I have been advised are in the possession of the Bureau of Gambling Control. The Gaming Activities are referred to by the GEGA Number provided by the Bureau of Gambling Control. 1.) Racing for Dollars GEGA-001751 2.) GEGA-001902, 3.) GEGA-001163, 003400, 003403 4.) GEGA-004154	Completed	Riann Kopchak	In response to your request, please be advised that the collection rates and rules of play of each gaming activity approved for play at each gambling establishment are available to the public on the Attorney General's website, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 19826, subdivision (g), a provision of the Gambling Control Act (Act). The specific web page may be accessed at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/gambling/cardroomlist">https://oag.ca.gov/gambling/cardroomlist</a> . In addition, the rules for gaming activities conducted within a gambling establishment are available to patrons at the gambling establishment itself. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 11, § 2070, subds. (c), (f).) The Act also provides that the Bureau "shall maintain a file of all applications for licenses" and that the file is "open to public inspection." (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19821, subd. (b).) The Act specifies that except as provided therein, the records of the Bureau are exempt from disclosure under the California Public Records Act. (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19821, subd. (c).) Business and Professions Code section 19821, subdivision (d), further specifies that it unlawful for an official or employee of the Department to knowingly disclose or furnish records or information to a person not authorized by law to receive them, except as necessary for the administration of the Gambling Control Act. While the rules of play and collection rates of each gaming activity are made available in the particular manner specified in Business and Professions Code section 19826, subdivision (g), a review of your records request suggests no applicable exception to the general exemption from disclosure under the Public Records Act set forth in Business and Professions Code section 19821, subdivision (c). For the foregoing reasons, your request for the requested records under

								the Public Records Act is denied. The decisions described herein with respect to the requested records have been made by Associate Governmental Program Analyst Kaylee Forthman and Deputy Attorney General Derry L. Knight.
PRA-2018-00205	Cheuvront	Tiffany	2/5/2018	Any and all communications between the California Department of Justice (DOJ) and any agency, employee, and/or representative of a city, county, city and county, state or federal agency, elected official or elected official's designee, regarding the enforcement of California "assault weapon" laws relating to completed forms for registering assault weapons. This request includes, but is not limited to, discrepancies between submitted photos and descriptions of the firearms in the registration forms, registration of firearms lacking "bullet buttons," and/or registration of firearms that should have been registered during prior registration periods. This also includes suggestions by DOJ to the above referenced agencies and requests by DOJ that these agencies file these violations as felony criminal charges and/or suggestions not to provide own recognizance ("O.R.") release for violation of "assault weapon" laws.	Michel & Associates, P.C.	Completed	Robert Wilson	Under the Public Records Act, government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) Although in some cases limited criminal investigative information may be publically released, even that information is properly withheld when release would endanger the successful completion of the investigation or a related investigation. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (f).) In this case, the registration process to which you refer has only recently commenced and we read this request as one for documents pertaining to ongoing investigations. Inasmuch as the release of any such information may endanger the successful completion of an investigation, the Department declines to respond to your request.
PRA-2018-00206	Hicken	Melanie	2/5/2018	I am writing to request digital copies of any emails to or from members of the California Justice Department from the past year that reference the following terms: "Brius," "Shlomo Rechnitz," "Rechnitz," "Rockport," "Steven Stroll." These emails should include but not be limited to all emails to and from Mr. Rechnitz, his attorneys and/or related entities. I request that these documents be provided in digital format, ideally transported electronically if possible. We ask that this request be expedited if possible because there is an urgent public need for this information, since Mr. Rechnitz is the largest nursing home operator in the state and currently provides care hundreds of elderly residents.		Completed	Kenneth Wang	On February 22, Aviva Burmas e-mailed the requester: To summarize our conversation, you kindly agreed that insofar as your request is for records of the Bureau of Medi-Cal Fraud and Elder Abuse (BMFEA), you will limit the request to external emails. Internal emails within the California Department of Justice will be categorically excluded from BMFEA's review. On March 1, 2018, Kenneth Wang responded: In response to your PRA request, enclosed is a CD that contains documents that are not subject to the exemptions or privileges listed below. Investigatory Record Exemption - Government Code 6254, subdivision (t) Some of the records that you seek are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Williams, supra, 5 Cal.4th at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).]) Pending Litigation Exemption - Government Code 6254, subdivision (b) Agencies may withhold the disclosure of records pertaining to pending litigation to which the public agency is a party until the pending litigation has been finally adjudicated or otherwise settled. (Gov. Code, § 6254 subd. (b).) The pending litigation exemption does not duplicate the attorney work product exemption but is broader and covers documents prepared by the agency in anticipation of, or for use in, litigation. (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (Axelrad) (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819,831; Fairley v. Superior Court (1998) 66 Cal.App.4th 1414, 1422.) Records Subject to Privilege - Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k) Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k), incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in the law that may also apply to any such records you seek. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k), incorporates the following protections that prohibit disclosure: False Claims Act Cases Under Seal Some of the records you seek are records in cases filed pursuant to the Federal False Claims Act (31 U.S.C. § 3729 et seq.) and/or the California False Claims Act (Gov. Code, § 12650 et seq.). Such cases are filed under seal and may remain

							<p>under seal for varying lengths of time (Gov. Code, § 12652). To the extent your request seeks records that remain under seal pursuant to Government Code section 12652, such records are exempt from disclosure.</p> <p><b>Attorney-Client Privilege</b> Some of the records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.)</p> <p><b>Attorney Work Product and Attorney-Client Privilege</b> The attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p><b>Official Information Privilege</b> Pursuant to Evidence Code section 1040, which is incorporated in Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k), any reports or findings about the requested individuals or company not covered by the attorney-client privilege or the attorney work product doctrine that are acquired in confidence by a public employee in the course of his/her duty that are not open, or officially disclosed, to the public are further protected by the official information privilege. As such, those records are exempt from disclosure under the PRA.</p> <p><b>Deliberative Process Privilege and Balancing Test</b> The public interest balancing test exempts from disclosure materials where the societal interest in nondisclosure clearly outweighs the societal interest in disclosure.</p> <p>The deliberative process privilege is a subset of the general balancing test and focuses specifically on protecting the decision-making process so as not to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.)</p> <p>In the instant case, records such as analyses, draft language, and memoranda concerning the strengths and weaknesses of a particular proposed action, prosecution, or lawsuit would be covered by the balancing test and the deliberative process privilege and, therefore, absent special circumstances would be exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6255.</p>	
PRA-2018-00207	BondGraham	Darwin	2/5/2018	<p>This is a California Public Records Act request. On January 31, 2018 the Office of the Attorney General's Charitable Trust Section sent a letter to a public charity, Oakland and the World Enterprises (CT0211087), notifying multiple deficiencies including, (1) a failure to file an IRS Form 990 for FY ending 2014 and, (2) an assessment of late fees.</p> <p>Please provide me with the following:</p> <p>1. Any and all correspondence between Oakland and the World Enterprises and the OAG's office discussion this notification.</p> <p>2. Any and all documents submitted by Oakland and the World Enterprises to address the deficiencies identified by the OAG, including, but not limited to, the IRS Form 990 for FY ending in 2014.</p>		Completed	Elizabeth S. Kim	<p>All documents that are matters of public record regarding Oakland &amp; The World Enterprises are available for viewing at the public pages of the Registry of Charitable Trusts website: <a href="http://rct.doj.ca.gov/MyLicenseVerification/Search.aspx?facility=Y">http://rct.doj.ca.gov/MyLicenseVerification/Search.aspx?facility=Y</a>. You could search by using the "Organization Name" (Oakland &amp; The Enterprises, Inc.) or by using "State Charity Registration Number" (CT0211087).</p>



				3. Any and all other IRS form 990s submitted to the OAG that are not currently posted on your charities registration web site, including a 990 for the fiscal years ending in 2016 and 2017, if available.			
PRA-2018-00209	Hylton	Richard	2/5/2018	public documents; video documents. Please post the video of the December 19, 2017 RIPA Meeting, or tell me where I can find it.		Completed	Kathleen Radez Our office has no video in its possession responsive to your request. The Bagley Keene Open Meeting Act does not require a video recording of the Racial and Identity Profiling Advisory Board meetings, and no video was recorded for the multi-city teleconference/videoconference December meeting.
PRA-2018-00210	Wildee	Bernard	2/5/2018	I the above person is forwarding you this epistle in regards to amended measure "The People's Fair Sentencing & Pubic Safety Act 2018." I would like to know how this bill is doing? Is there enough names? Also what is the likelihood of this bill receiving enough names to be placed on the ballot? And or being passed. I know we can't be sure. I am attempting to find out the status of this initiative and bill. Many prisoners and our family did not know or was aware of this bill. We saw it on news briefly and received a copy of initiative. If possible please inform me of any updated status about enclosed initiative.		Completed	Emily Gargiulo A copy is enclosed. Additionally, you sought information regarding the status of this initiative. This initiative is currently in circulation. This completes our response to your request.
PRA-2018-00252	Olfati (February 2018)	Parvin	2/5/2018	1. Any and all communications, payments and/or emoluments created, transmitted, and/or received on any DOJ computer or other medium on or after January 1, 2017 that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any tampering, touching, alteration, vandalism, or unauthorized entry to any automobile believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to any white Ford Fusion automobile with the California license plate [REDACTED], including but not limited to any placement of any substance, toxic, or poison in such automobile or in any automobile believed to be used by Parvin Olfati. 2. Any and all communications, payments, and/or emoluments that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any continuations regarding any placing or hiding of any poison, substance, or toxic in any automobile believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to any white Ford Fusion automobile with the California license plate [REDACTED]. 3. In addition, please produce any and all documents, records, and/or writings reflecting any destruction, alteration, removal, or other unavailability of any of the records requested in Request Items Number 1 and/or 2 of this request, together with applicable record retention policies.		Completed	Erin Nickless Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
PRA-2018-00257	Flomina	Zinaida	2/5/2018	Everything you have		Completed	Erin Nickless We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows: -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. It is the policy of the Department to provide access to all public records upon request unless the law provides an exemption from mandatory disclosure. Examples of records exempt from mandatory disclosure under the California Public Records Act include: certain personnel records, investigative records, drafts, confidential legal advice, records

							prepared in connection with ongoing litigation, and information that may be kept confidential pursuant to other state or federal statutes.
PRA-2018-00259	Davis	Jason	2/5/2018	<p>All documents that refer or relate to the application of Proposition 64 (2016) to firearm purchases, including but not limited to any policies, procedures, rules, memorandum, e-mails, correspondence, or other writings (as that term is defined in Evidence Code section 250) that instruct, advise, or mandate those reviewing firearm purchase applications to delay the release of firearms to applicants who have received a reduction of a felony to a misdemeanor pursuant to Proposition 64 (2016). This request is limited to time between January 1, 2017 through January 31, 2018.</p> <p>On March 14, 2018, Mr. Davis followed up: This letter is in response to your letter dated February 15, 2018, regarding the records we sought pursuant to the California Constitution and the California Public Records Act. Specifically, on February 5, 2018, I submitted a request for the following documents (not "information" as you state in your letter):</p> <p>All documents that refer or relate to the application of Proposition 64 (2016) to firearm purchases, including but not limited to any policies, procedures, rules, memorandum, e-mails, correspondence, or other writings (as that term is defined in the Evidence Code section 250) that instruct, advise, or mandate those reviewing firearm purchase applications to delay the release of firearms to applicants who have received a reduction of a felony to a misdemeanor pursuant to Proposition 64 (2016). This information is limited to time between January 1, 2017 through January 31, 2018.</p> <p>In response to this request, you responded by claiming the statutory 14-day extension pursuant to Government Code section 6253 (c). That extended the statutory time for your office to respond to the request from February 15, 2015 to March 1, 2018.</p> <p>At this time, it is now March 14, and I have still not received the requested documents. Please note, we are not requesting that you prepare a legal opinion in response to our request, but we are seeking the responsive documents. If we do not receive a response within the next 10 business days, we will seek judicial intervention pursuant to Article 1, § 3(b) of the California Constitution and Government Code § 6258.</p> <p>If you have any questions or concerns, do not hesitate to contact me at the number above.</p>	Completed	Robert Wilson	<p>An extension of time letter dated February 15, 2018 was mailed out by Robert Wilson.</p> <p>On February 26, 2018, Rob Wilson responded: As a preliminary matter, we note that under the Public Records Act (PRA) government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public, unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) Section 6254, subdivision (k), incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law.</p> <p>The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255.</p> <p>In the instant case, records such as analyses, draft language, and memoranda concerning the strengths and weaknesses of a particular proposal would be covered by the deliberative process privilege and, therefore, absent special circumstances would be exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6255.</p> <p>Furthermore, the attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc. section 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, section 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>Some of the records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.)</p> <p>In the present case, the attorneys in our department provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys concerning this subject are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>Accounting for these exemptions, the Department does not have any non-privileged documents to disclose.</p> <p>On March 21, 2018, BOF re-sent the February 26 response via e-mail: Please find attached the response letter that was mailed to your attention on February 26th.</p> <p>We received your follow up letter indicating you that have not received it. The letter was mailed on the date listed in the letter, but we have attached it here in the hopes that this method of communication works better.</p>
PRA-2018-	Payne	Myron	2/5/2018	<p>(1) The available programs and services that your agency provides to the citizens of the U.S.A., and especially in California.</p> <p>(2) A listing of documents and/or pamphlets you have available to</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>February 15, 2018 response: In response to Items #1 and 2, to assist you in narrowing your search, we are enclosing a print out of our "About the attorney general" page from our website, which has general</p>

00313				<p>inform the public of the "Modus Operendi" of your agency; [i.e., what is the purpose of your agency, and how does it properly, adequately and meaningfully benefit the citizenry?].</p> <p>(3) The rules and procedures [e.g., your agency's internal non-confidential] outline as to your agency's acceptance and processing of "CA Public Records Act Request [CPRA]," and/or "Freedom of Information Act (FOIA); and your agency's policy and procedures on the available [internal, non-judicial/court] appeal process if a request is denied.</p> <p>(4) A generalized listing of documents available to the public, pursuant to a "CPRA" and/or "FOIA" request.</p> <p>I further request the following document. *Amended Measure, The People's Fair Sentencing &amp; Housing Public Safety Act of 2018...</p>			<p>information about the functions of our office.</p> <p>In response to Item #3, we are enclosing our pamphlet regarding the Public Records Act.</p> <p>In response to Item #4, our office does not maintain a list like the one described. The Public Records Act does not require that we create documents that do not presently exist, and we must therefore decline your request.</p> <p>Pursuant to Government Code section 6253, the department generally charges 10 cents per page for direct cost of duplication. For this specific instance, the department waives charges in this matter. We reserve our right to request fees be paid for future requests for records.</p> <p>As to the remaining portion of your request, we must decline for the following reasons.</p> <p>Unduly Burdensome</p> <p>There are Offices of the Attorney General in six different cities and additional offices for DOJ's law enforcement divisions. A search for records responsive to your request as it is currently cast would require each division of the DOJ (including each section, branch, unit or bureau) to be canvassed, requiring staff or each individual deputy attorney general to review every individual assigned matter to determine if they have any "documents concerning" a specific statute. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code, § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.)</p> <p>Request Lacks Specific Identifying Information</p> <p>We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office.</p> <p>In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>-Providing the actual name or title of the record.</li><li>-Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record.</li><li>-Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record.</li><li>-Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created.</li><li>-If related to a case, providing the case name or number.</li><li>-Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record.</li><li>-Indicating the city in which the record was created.</li></ul> <p>It is the policy of the Department to provide access to all public records upon request unless the law provides an exemption from mandatory disclosure. Examples of records exempt from mandatory disclosure under the California Public Records Act include: certain personnel records, investigative records, drafts, confidential legal advice, records prepared in connection with ongoing litigation, and information that may be kept confidential pursuant to other state or federal statutes.</p> <p>February 20, 2018 response: In our response dated February 15, 2018 to your request for records, we may have inadvertently left out documents responsive to your request for the amended measure, "The People's Fair Sentencing &amp; Public Safety Act of 2018." We apologize for the delay and are enclosing the documents related to that initiative.</p>
PRA-2018-00211	Yanchunis	John	2/6/2018	<p>In the Spring of 2017, your office was notified by either Ogletree Deakins or Norton Rose Fu bright, law firms representing Solera Holdings, Inc. and its affiliated companies (hereinafter Solera), that a data security incident had resulted in the release of personal identification information consisting of name, wage, deduction information and social security numbers of its present and former employees. As a result of the data security incident, our law firm filed a</p>	Completed	Jeffrey Steele	<p>We have conducted a search of our records. Enclosed is a March 31, 2017 letter regarding notice of data breach by Solera Holding, Inc. We respectfully deny the remainder of your request. Any additional records that you seek would be confidential Jaw enforcement records of the Attorney General, and, therefore, exempt from disclosure.</p> <p>Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts from disclosure records of complaints to, or investigations conducted by, the</p>

				lawsuit seeking damages and equitable relief for our client and a class of present and former employees of Solera.			Office of the Attorney General and the Department of Justice. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (f).)
PRA-2018-00212	Mohnani	Marie	2/6/2018	Lawsuit against Andrew Jaquet and Gregg Johnson of Sonny Construction I would like to request if there's any lawsuit against contractors mentioned above.	Completed	Erin Nickless	We were unable to locate any cases based on the information provided. You may be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. If you are seeking records filed in a private lawsuit, you may wish to direct your request to the court in which the case was heard. If you have additional details as to why you believe our Department would have been involved in this case, such as a case number, we can conduct a more directed search of the cases within our custody and control.
PRA-2018-00253	Olfati (February 2018)	Parvin	2/6/2018	1. Each and every electronic mail message, text message, memorandum, letter, document, writing and/or record that mentions, refers to, and/or relates to any corruption or unauthorized activity of any kind regarding or relating to any photographs, cellphone video, flash memory device data, and/or computer data believed to be used, stored, created, received and/or transmitted by Parvin Olfati. 2. For any document in Request Item 1 above that DOJ claims has been destroyed or is otherwise unavailable, please provide all records of any destruction or other reasons for non-availability, together with applicable records retention policies.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
PRA-2018-00213	Bergum	Brandon	2/7/2018	Mono County Sheriff reports about Brandon Bergum submitted to Mono County District Attorney. <a href="https://monocountydistrictattorney.org/da">https://monocountydistrictattorney.org/da</a> < <a href="https://protect2.fireeye.com/url?k=99435c7a48777a9c.99435c7a-25f653ee585b2a8f&amp;u=https://monocountydistrictattorney.org/da">https://protect2.fireeye.com/url?k=99435c7a48777a9c.99435c7a-25f653ee585b2a8f&amp;u=https://monocountydistrictattorney.org/da</a> >	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records from the District Attorney's Office or the Mono County Sheriff's Department, you would need to direct your request to one or both of their offices.
PRA-2018-00214	Roane	Emory	2/7/2018	Under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq., I am requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain digital copies of public records that refer to data breach notification reports or letters that your office has received. We are requesting information your office is readily collecting relating to data breach notification reports that your office has received from January 1, 2018 until today, February 7th, 2018 including: (1) Digital copies (or, alternatively, hyperlinks to the digital copies) of the data breach notification forms or letters that your office receives; (2) The name of any organization that has submitted a data breach notification report (3) The date that the report was submitted (4) The date that the breach occurred (5) The total number of records affected by the breach (6) If your office is tracking or organizing this data in any way, including for example taking note of the type of business affected, the method of the breach or the remedial action taken by the breached organization, copies of such digital databases for the date range described above. If there are any fees for searching or copying these records, please inform me if the cost will exceed \$1. However, I would also like to request a waiver of all fees in that the disclosure of the requested information is in the public interest and will contribute significantly to the	Completed	Lisa Kim	Our Office publishes security breach notifications that we receive pursuant to California Civil Code sections 1798.29(e) and 1798.82(f), excluding any personally identifiable information. These single samples of security breach notifications are public records. Our Office publishes these security breach notifications on our website at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/privacy/databreach/list">https://oag.ca.gov/privacy/databreach/list</a> . We provide both a chronological list as well as a search function. In the past, our office has analyzed the data breaches reported to the Attorney General and compiled these findings. This information is also available on our website at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/privacy/privacy-reports">https://oag.ca.gov/privacy/privacy-reports</a> . In addition, our office collects other information about security breaches for law enforcement purposes. These records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General, and, therefore, are exempt from disclosure. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts records of complaints to, or investigations conducted by, the Office of the Attorney General and the Department of Justice. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (f).) Accordingly, we respectfully deny your request to the extent it relates to these additional records.

				public's understanding of consumer safety, privacy, and digital security. As a consumer-based nonprofit, Privacy Rights Clearinghouse has worked in the public interest for nearly two decades, and the information being sought is not being sought for commercial purposes. The California Public Records Act requires a response within ten business days. If access to the records I am requesting will take longer, please contact me with information about when I might expect copies or the ability to inspect the requested records. If you deny any or all of this request, please cite each specific exemption you feel justifies the refusal to release the information and notify me of the appeal procedures available to me under the law.				
PRA-2018-00215	Lowe	Emiliano	2/7/2018	<p>My name is Emiliano Raphael Lowe, and I am a United States citizen and a California resident. I'm seeking information to obtain a certificate of marriage that would allow me to get married in a foreign country (aka destination wedding). My fiancée is a Germany citizen and the marriage certificate will need to be ready to send to the Apostille at the Secretary of State in California.</p> <p>We are planning on getting married in Tonder, Denmark. One of their requirements is that the marriage certificate needs have been issued within the last four months. However, I've already bought my plane ticket to Europe at the end of this month and so I need to know if and how my mother would be able to procure and send me the marriage certificate if I'm not present.</p> <p>Any and all information would much appreciate as this is short notice.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Your request has not identified a record in the possession of the California Department of Justice, and we must therefore decline your request. Our research into the matter shows that, since you are seeking documents that require an apostille involving marriage in a foreign country, you may be able to find more information from the Secretary of State's office or at one of the following links: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/locations/international-apostille-services-inc">https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/locations/international-apostille-services-inc</a> <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/visaimmigration">https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/visaimmigration</a></p>	
PRA-2018-00216	Lamb	Walter	2/7/2018	<p>The Land Trust is seeking a copy of a pre-litigation settlement agreement (and any related correspondence) which we believe was provided to the Department of Justice by a private party to that settlement. Specifically, we believe a settlement agreement was provided to Deputy Attorney General Kurt Weissmuller by Tracy Egoscue, attorney for the Santa Monica Bay Restoration Foundation, sometime around or after April of 2017. The document would have been provided in relation to Los Angeles Superior Case No. BS165860, in which the Department of Justice was representing the Santa Monica Bay Restoration Commission, a state agency whose Executive Director at the time was also the Executive Director of the Santa Monica Bay Restoration Foundation.</p> <p>Please note that our organization, as a party to the settlement, is in possession of the settlement itself, but not any related correspondence. We seek disclosure of the settlement from the Department of Justice pursuant to CALDECOTT v. SUPERIOR COURT OF ORANGE COUNTY (2015). In other words, disclosure of the document by Department of Justice will affirm that the document in question is a public record.</p>	Completed	Kurt Weissmuller	<p>On February 14, an extension letter was sent. On March 6, 2018, The records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.)</p> <p>In the present case, the attorneys in our department provide legal advice to state agencies and the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys and clients concerning the Settlement Agreement you have requested are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>Further, contrary to the assertion in your request, the Settlement Agreement that is referenced in your request was not received by the Justice Department from Ms. Tracy Egoscue.</p>	
PRA-2018-00255	Kroll	Ryan	2/7/2018	<p>The name and contact information of the current owner of VIN#JS1GT76A752106885 (2005 Suzuki GSX-R1000).</p> <p>The name and contact information for the person who purchased VIN# JS1GT76A752106885 (2005 Suzuki GSX-R1000).</p> <p>Any and all information regarding the current owner of VIN#JS1GT76A752106885 (2005 Suzuki GSX-R1000).</p> <p>Any and all information regarding the person who purchased VIN# JS1GT76A752106885 (2005 Suzuki GSX-R1000) on or about December 27, 2017.</p> <p>Information is necessary because the subject motorcycle was involved in an accident for which a claim is pending and evidence must be preserved.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We note that your request seeks motor vehicle records. You appear to be seeking records that are in the custody of the Department of Motor Vehicles. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). Information on requesting driver records can be found at <a href="http://www.dmv.org/ca-california/driving-records.php">http://www.dmv.org/ca-california/driving-records.php</a>.</p>	
PRA-2018-00256	McLarney	Andrew	2/7/2018	<p>My Mother, Teresa Hutto, told me that she had filed a lawsuit against the foster agency, Aspira and the city of Sacramento and cps( on behalf of myself and my sister Mandi McLarney). But the proceedings took place out here in Hawaii where we live supposedly, with my mom</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely</p>	

				<p>handling things with her therapist , Nancy Sallee of orchid isle psychotherapy in Kealahakua, Hawaii. This was well over a year ago, and she said the case was settled in the neighborhood of \$400k plus for each of us. To date I've seen no money, and no proof of said lawsuit. I never had to testify and was never ta ked to by anyone regarding the matter. I'm 28 years old and thought if this was true and money awarded to me I would know about it by now. I'm very suspicious my mom is hiding something from me but I don't know how to go about searching to find out if it's true or not. Could you please help?</p> <p>My moms name is Teresa Hutto          Birthdate [REDACTED]          SSN [REDACTED]</p> <p>Andrew McLarney          [REDACTED]</p>			<p>on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed within the court system, you may wish to direct your request to the court in which the lawsuit was filed.</p>
PRA-2018-00311	Hewlett	Iris	2/7/2018	<p>Original request: Per CA Gov. Code Sec. 6250 et seq., I seek AG records relating to Antitrust Health settled cases under which Venable LLP would have acted as the respondent attorney from 2007-2017. February 20, 2018 response: There can't be pending litigation for the year 2007/ 2008/ /2009/ 2010 therefore those records can not be exempt. Please kindly release those records per my PRA.</p>	Completed	Kenneth Wang	<p>On February 20, 2018, Kenneth Wang responded: Pursuant to the pending litigation exemption to the PRA request, as provided by Government Code section 6254, subdivision (b), there are no responsive documents to your request.</p> <p>On February 21, 2018, Public Records Responded to her follow up request: In regard to your request below, we have no records that are responsive to your request.</p> <p>Additionally, public records clarified via telephone on February 22, 2018 and via e-mail: Good afternoon Ms. Hewlett, This e-mail is in response to the Public Records Act Requests you submitted to our office between the dates of February 7 through February 16, 2018 and your request to the Public Inquiry Unit regarding our application of exemptions cited in our response to your February 7, 2018 request.</p> <p>On February 7, 2018, you requested: "Per CA Gov. Code Sec. 6250 et seq., I seek AG records relating to Antitrust Health settled cases under which Venable LLP would have acted as the respondent attorney from 2007-2017."</p> <p>Our office responded on February 20, 2018, that the records we had would be exempt as pending litigation, as the case was a 2014 case that was still pending. As discussed on the phone, the pending litigation referenced is not an Antitrust Health case. Upon reevaluating your request, we therefore determined that we have no responsive records to your request.</p> <p>On February 12, 2018, you sent 3 requests via e-mail:</p> <p>1) "[R]ecords relating to any resolved investigations including settlements between: Clariant Inc., Clariant Pathology Services and Clariant Diagnostic from 2000-2010 further tied to Quest Laboratories and LabCorp in California.</p> <p>2) Any and all resolved investigation/settlement between CA AG and: Oak Investment, Safeguard Scientific Inc., Chrome Vision Inc., ACIS Cellular digital image analysis through DAKI/AS.</p> <p>3) I hereby seek the following record from SEC and DOJ filed complaints/resolved settlements involving: Oak Investment, Safeguard Scientific Inc., Clariant Inc., largest stockholder per their 2009 10 K filings. ACIS Cellular Digital Image, DAKI/ AS, Applied Genomics, Quest Diagnostics, LabCorp, Chrome Vision Inc., Clariant Pathology Services.</p> <p>Additionally, we discussed on the phone per our conversation on February 22, 2018 at approximately 4:00 pm that to the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and</p>



							<p>security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [“While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).”].)</p> <p>A search of the records within our custody and control determined that there were no responsive records to these requests.</p> <p>On February 16, 2018, you requested: Records which supports collaboration with Center of Medicare and Medicaid Services in the issuance and refunding of the Bonds identified per my PRA.</p> <p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ’s legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records to your February 16, 2018 request.</p> <p>We hope that this clarification has been helpful. This completes our response to your requests dated February 7-16, 2018.</p>
PRA-2018-00222	Mitchell	Will	2/8/2018	Stockton Housing Code Violation List		Completed	Erin Nickless <p>To the extent that you are seeking records from the City of Stockton, you appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking legal advice, the DOJ is not in a position to perform legal research or to provide legal advice to private individuals. You may wish to consult with a private attorney to evaluate your options regarding your records request.</p>
PRA-2018-00225	Sciaky	Enrico	2/8/2018	CLETS inquiry of my name. I am seeking to find out if any law enforcement agency has used CLETS to access information. Is this possible? My information is in the comments below. If this is not possible, is there another avenue to determine this information? Enrico Sciaky DOB [REDACTED] City: San Jose.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett <p>Access to the telecommunications system utilized by law enforcement agencies, including the Department of Justice (DOJ), is restricted by law (Gov. Code § 15152). Access to records relating to California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS) inquiries is similarly restricted. Confidentiality provisions like these are expressly incorporated into the PRA (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k)). As such, your request for records is denied.</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>
PRA-2018-00226	Curtan	Jessica	2/8/2018	I need any and all available public records concerning an individual by the name of Charles G Giannotti III, born [REDACTED]. He has lived in Modesto, Sacramento, and Stockton. The aforementioned person is my soon to be spouse and I feel it necessary to check into his background before finalizing our marriage.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett <p>Unfortunately, the Department of Justice (DOJ) is unable to comply with your request.</p> <p>The California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to California Penal Code section 11076, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records. The only exception to this rule is an individual requesting his or her own records. California Penal Code sections 11120 through 11127 afford an individual the opportunity to obtain a copy of his or her own California state summary criminal history information to review for accuracy and completeness. However, pursuant to PC section 290.46, the California Attorney General is required to make available limited information concerning persons who are required to register pursuant to PC section 290 to the public via an Internet Web site, which can be found here: <a href="https://www.meganslaw.ca.gov/">https://www.meganslaw.ca.gov/</a>.</p> <p>If you have further questions or concerns regarding a record review, please direct your correspondence to the Bureau of Criminal Information &amp; Analysis, Record Review Unit at P.O. Box 903417, Sacramento, CA 94203-4170. For additional information, the following is the Record Review website: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review">https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review</a>.</p> <p>Apart from any California state summary criminal history records that may be in the DOJ’s possession, the records that you request are considered confidential law enforcement record data and are accessed exclusively via the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System.</p>

							<p>Access to the telecommunications system utilized by law enforcement agencies, including the DOJ, is restricted by law. (Gov. Code § 15152). Confidentiality provisions like these are expressly incorporated into the PRA. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (f)). As such, your request for records is denied.</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>
PRA-2018-00227	Mitchell III	Oliver	2/8/2018	<p>In light of an upcoming and ongoing investigation by the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing and continued litigation against you in the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, the following request is made.</p> <p>Volunteers of America of Los Angeles collects information on program participants. This information is used to provide quality care and to comply with certain legal requirements.</p> <p>VA's Homeless Providers Grant and Per Diem Program is offered annually (as funding permits) by the Department of Veterans Affairs Health Care for Homeless Veterans (HCHV) Programs to fund community agencies providing services to homeless Veterans. The purpose is to promote the development and provision of supportive housing and/or supportive services with the goal of helping homeless veterans achieve residential stability, increase their skill levels and/or income, and obtain greater self determination. Only programs with supportive housing (up to 24 months) or service centers (offering services such as case management, education, crisis intervention, counseling, services targeted towards specialized populations including homeless women veterans, etc.) are eligible for these funds. The program has two levels of funding: the Grant Component and the Per Diem Component.</p> <p>Recently, the Homeless Providers Grant and Per Diem (GPD) Program under went some changes. The transformation involved implementing a competitive, time-limited grant process that will require currently funded GPD community providers to reapply for funding so that GPD grantees are located where their services are needed most by homeless Veterans.</p> <p>The Volunteers of America of Los Angeles is a recipient of the VA's Homeless Providers Grant and Per Diem Program.</p> <p>In accordance with the Volunteers of America of Los Angeles records request and under the California Public Records Act 6250 et seq., I am requesting copies of all records pertaining to my participation in the Volunteers of America of Los Angeles VS-21 Veterans Transitional Program.</p> <p>In responding please provide the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Copies of the Grant and Per Diem contract signed between the Volunteers of America of Los Angeles and the VA.</li> <li>2. Copies of all health and billing records.</li> <li>3. Copies of all records and notes contained within the veterans case management file to include any publically releasable information contained within the VOALA "service point bowman system" and/ or any system thereof.</li> <li>4. Copies of all acts or incidents of non-compliance with VS-21 program rules.</li> <li>5. Copies of all admonishments to include complaints made against veteran from program staff, participants or others.</li> <li>6. Copies of all records disclosed and/or authorized for federal officials to include intelligence, counterintelligence and other national security activities authorized by law.</li> </ol> <p>Please be advised, this records request and all records requested are publically allowed as indicated on the VS 21/VS 65 Forms, Soft File, Notice of Privacy Practices.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking records that are in the control of an organization known as the "Volunteers of America of Los Angeles" and/or the United States Department of Veteran Affairs, we must decline your request as you appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p>



PRA-2018-00228	Lumawig	Fred Eric	2/8/2018	Case Number: 2PY01906 Filing Date: 04/03/2012 Filing location: Van Nuys Courthouse West (LAV)	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records based on the information provided. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-00229	Lacher	Daren	2/8/2018	I would like any public record information pertaining to an unlawful detainer or stipulated judgement associate with my legal name. Daren John Lacher.	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to an unlawful detainer case, you may wish to direct your request to the local superior court in which the case was filed.
PRA-2018-00261	Hobbs	Jennifer	2/8/2018	Robert Richards/dob: [REDACTED] Information System Spec I began employment in June 2016 to present. I am looking for employment and salary records for the entire 2017 year.	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have searched our files and were unable to locate a California Department of Justice employee whose description matches the information provided. Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.
PRA-2018-00274	[REDACTED]	Patricia	2/8/2018	I am seeking a record from my childhood. I a survivor of severe child abuse and through a LOT of therapy I am trying to heal and in order to do that I need to sort out some details. I have a memory of the following event and I would like a copy of the record for myself. Place: North Hollywood Ca Year: 1992-1994 People involved: Mary E. Rapp (mother born [REDACTED]-deceased [REDACTED]) (AKA Mary E. Stanford and Mary E. Sofranko) Gary G. Rapp (DOB [REDACTED]) Russell E. Smith (DOB [REDACTED]-brother) Glenn M. Rapp (DOB [REDACTED]-deceased [REDACTED]) Patricia L. Rapp (DOB [REDACTED]-self) Robert P. Rapp (DOB [REDACTED]-brother) Incident (to the best of my memory): Someone was throwing rocks at us and my mother called the police, once the police arrived the rock throwers informed the police that my mother had been physically abusing us. Specifically saw her hitting us with a red serving spoon. We had to wait for the police to get a car seat for Robert and a booster seat	Completed	Erin Nickless	Specifically, you are seeking police reports and family court records described in your below request. Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. Family court matters such as custody arrangements are maintained by the court which handled the family court case. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department and court which handled the described incidents.



				for myself. Once we were the police station, we (my brothers and I) were separated. I remember seeing Glenn in his underwear having pictures taken of his bruises. I don't remember much else except a police officer giving us baseball cards to play with. I believe my father had to pick us up from the police station. I would also like to request the court documents or transcripts regarding my father, Gary G. Rapp, receiving custody of myself and Robert P. Rapp. The final court date for that was 11/19/2001, I believe it was in Los Angeles. My mother's legal name at the time was Mary. E Sofranko.				
PRA-2018-00276	Avila	Michelle	2/8/2018	Looking for properties under Evelyn C. Ortega, [REDACTED], S.S.# [REDACTED] [REDACTED] she has several alias's and different family members she put her properties under. Here are her alias's names: Evelyn Banuelos Evelyn Ramano Evelyn Flores Evelyn Esmael I have found a property contract in Las Vegas she bought in 5/15 under Evelyn C. Ortega worth \$285,000. She was married at this time but never disclosed it on the divorce declaration. I have also found several properties in AZ, CA, under Evelyn & Carlo Ramano & with her daughter Ashlee N. Flores. I am needing this info to prove perjury & keeping info from a divorce that I know is illegal. Any help would be appreciated.	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides.	
PRA-2018-00224	Essex	Jason	2/9/2018	I have repeatedly invoked "California Disclosure Request," not realizing that I should have invoked California Public Records Act 6250-6276.48. I have been ignored legally, morally, ethically and stolen from in regards to Google, Inc./Alphabet, Inc. failing to pay for my "bug bounty" report or for my blogging with them; Ken & Georgi, "RTSD Social Mediz" and "All Things San Diego;" 2D Design Agency and Todd Meisler, who charged me \$5,000 [handwriting difficult to read—this could be \$15,000] to build a for profit blog website of <a href="http://www.jasondouglassex.com">http://www.jasondouglassex.com</a> , but has not given me access to it in over 3 years time; meetup inc. taking away my groups, such as Go To Events Now because they did not cash checks and Costco Wholesale Membership and Sheppard Mullin LLP. Most of those listed *do not* identify their legal sources/counsel; who has asked for my records, such as Paul Layler, attorney, located at 77 Chula Vista, California.	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. Therefore, we must decline your request. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) When the Legislature enacted the Public Records Act in 1968, it provided several judicial remedies for persons who believed that they had been wrongfully denied records to which they were entitled. These remedies include the right to seek injunctive or declaratory relief or a writ of mandate in the Superior Court. (See Government Code sections 6258-6259.) Absent special circumstances, the Legislature did not envision an enforcement role for the Attorney General in connection with noncompliance under the Act. However, you may wish to consult with a private attorney to evaluate your options under the judicial remedies discussed above.	
PRA-2018-00230	Peterson	Cindy	2/9/2018	Public civil record	Completed	Erin Nickless	We were unable to locate records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows: -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. It is the policy of the Department to provide access to all public records upon request unless the law provides an exemption from mandatory disclosure. Examples of records exempt from mandatory disclosure under the California Public Records Act include: certain personnel records, investigative records, drafts, confidential legal advice, records	

							prepared in connection with ongoing litigation, and information that may be kept confidential pursuant to other state or federal statutes.
PRA-2018-00231	Gilles	Mark	2/9/2018	<p>Official Oaths pursuant to: 28 U.S.C § 453; &amp; CA- CONS, ART. XX § III; for the following Superior Court Magistrates &amp; Commissioners: 1) Joseph P. Brannigan; 2) Steven E. Stone; 3) Michael T. Smyth; 4) Richard S. Whitney 5) Ronald L. Styn; 6) Yvonne E. Campos; 7) Sandra L. Berry; 8) Tamila E. Ipema;</p> <p>And; Official Oaths pursuant to: CA- CONS, ART. XX § III; for the following Deputy, Assistant, and City Attorneys: 1) Mara W. Elliot [CASBN: 175466]; 2) John C. Hemmerling [CASBN: 218797]; 3) Steven K. Hansen [CASBN: 134774]; 4) Christina D. Hess [CASBN: 306415]; 5) Honora L. Smyth [CASBN: 163876]; 6) Mary M. Ryle [CASBN: 292190]; Also; any and all State Licensing information, and Indemnity/Surety Bond information, for all 14 of the above named and proposed defendants, for future action In Quo Warranto.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records for court officials, you would need to direct your request to the Court. Since you are seeking records for City Attorneys, you would need to direct your request to the City Attorney's office.</p>
PRA-2018-00237	Hogue	Brian	2/9/2018	<p>I write inquiring into any available information you or your office may possess regarding the cyber security breach of Equifax's data servers that was discovered on July 29th, 2017, to wit Phyllis Summer of King &amp; Spalding acting on behalf of Equifax, Inc., notified your office of in a letter dated September 7th, 2017. Pursuant to your state's public records disclosure laws, undersigned does hereby request any and all public records within the possession of or under the control of your office or any agents acting on your behalf. This request is deemed to include, but not limited to: letters, notes, memoranda, investigative reports, complaints filed with your state by individuals, reports, statements, and any other documents regarding the aforementioned data security breach affecting Equifax that is subject to public records disclosure.</p>	Completed	Tit Nguyen	<p>Your request is broad enough that it may encompass confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files compiled by the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. Thus, those materials are not included in this response.</p> <p>We are providing, however, the following non-exempt documents in response to your request:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Submitted Breach Notification Samples from Equifax, dated September 7, 2017 and October 13, 2017;</li> <li>• Office of the Attorney General, "Attorney General Becerra Issues Consumer Alert Following Equifax Data Breach," Press Release (Sep. 10, 2017);</li> <li>• Office of the Attorney General, "Attorney General Becerra Continues Efforts to Address Equifax Data Breach, Urges Consumers to Take Action to Protect Against Identity Theft," Press Release (Sep. 15, 2017);</li> <li>• Office of the Attorney General, "In Wake of Equifax Data Breach, Attorney General Becerra Urges Credit Agencies to Provide Free Credit Freezes," Press Release (Oct. 10, 2017);</li> <li>• Office of the Attorney General, "Attorney General Becerra: In Wake of Equifax Data Breach, All Californians Should Sign Up for Free Credit Monitoring by Wednesday's Deadline," Press Release (Jan. 29, 2018);</li> <li>• Online Request Form from Brem1a D. Nelinson (Dec. 20, 2017); and</li> <li>• Letter to Brenna D. Nelinson (Jan. 2, 2018).</li> </ul>
PRA-2018-00254	Olfati (February 2018)	Parvin	2/9/2018	<p>1. Any and all contracts, agreements, payments, home improvements, sprinklers, landscaping, painting, electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, memoranda, letters, documents, records, and/or writings that constitute, mention, refer to and/or relate to any DOJ or other entity or agency or person contract, agreement, communications, and/or payments to, from, and/or about [REDACTED] resident Linda T. Field, and/or any of her family members, and/or any entity believed by DOJ to be owned, partially owned and/or used by Linda T. Field and/or any of her family members and/or any person believed by DOJ to be any Linda T. Field tenant and/or family member.</p> <p>2. Any and all electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, memoranda, letters, documents, records and/or writings that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any communications regarding any job, personnel action, and/or promotions for Linda T. Field and/or any of her tenants and/or any of her family members at any employer or entity.</p> <p>3. Any and all electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, memoranda, letters, documents, records and/or writings that constitute, mention, refer to and/or relate to any communications to, from, and/or about Linda T. Field regarding Parvin Olfati, Patty Olfati, Ms. Olfati</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.

				and/or Olfati and/or any residence believed to be used by her, including but not limited to any monitoring, eavesdropping or other surveillance of anyone named Olfati and/or [REDACTED], including but not limited to any use of any portion of the property at [REDACTED] for any of these activities. 4. For any documents, records and/or writings requested herein that DOJ claims have been destroyed or are otherwise unavailable, please provide any all records reflecting the claimed destruction or other reason for nonavailability, together with applicable records retention policies.			
PRA-2018-00267	Johnson	Steve	2/9/2018	<p>"1. Any documents, including contracts, purchase orders, specifications which pertain or relate to construction activities at this site during the relevant time period. 2. Any and all documents that identify the insurance coverage, insurance carrier Of policies of insurance, including Insurance certificates, Insurance questionnaire responses or correspondence for Atlas Mechanical, Inc: CA Dept. of Justice Phase 2."</p> <p>Mr. Johnson called again on 12/14/18 to ask about work order numbers.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have searched our files for the documents you are seeking and consulted knowledgeable persons. Though the 4949 Broadway is currently utilized by the Department of Justice, it is owned by the Department of General Services. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records for a building owned by DGS, you may wish to direct your request to their office by visiting their website at <a href="https://www.dgs.ca.gov/dgs/Home/feedback.aspx">https://www.dgs.ca.gov/dgs/Home/feedback.aspx</a>. On February 16, 2018, Public Records responded that again, these are DGS records, not DOJ.</p>
PRA-2018-00314	Gemmrig Jr.	Arthur	2/9/2018	I am requesting a copy of all records concerning Kenneth Ray Thomas, DOB [REDACTED]. It is my belief he is a felon from California. Possible date is in 1988. SS Number [REDACTED]. If possible please email these records. If not Mail would be acceptable.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>

PRA-2018-00234	Reid	Maria	2/12/2018	California foia request form		Completed	Erin Nickless	The Freedom of Information Act is a federal law applicable to federal, not state, governmental agencies. Therefore, we are construing your request to be for a California Public Records Act Request form. There is not a standard form that all California agencies use to process records pursuant to the California Public Records Act. If you are seeking records in the control of the California Department of Justice, you may do so by e-mail or by submitting an online request form such as the one you filled out below, which is located at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/contact/publicrecords_form">https://oag.ca.gov/contact/publicrecords_form</a> . If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a specific state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, if you are seeking driving records, you should contact the Department of Motor Vehicles.
PRA-2018-00235	Aguayo	Sarahi	2/12/2018	My driving record because I think My identity has been hacked & I would Like to see if they have gotten any tickets in my name		Completed	Erin Nickless	We note that your request seeks driving records. You appear to be seeking records that are in the custody of the Department of Motor Vehicles. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). Information on requesting your driver records can be found at <a href="http://www.dmv.org/ca-california/driving-records.php">http://www.dmv.org/ca-california/driving-records.php</a> . You appear to be seeking records related to fraud or identity theft. Information on how to report fraud and identity theft can be found at <a href="https://www.justice.gov/criminal-fraud/report-fraud">https://www.justice.gov/criminal-fraud/report-fraud</a> ).
PRA-2018-00236	Harding	Michael	2/12/2018	Marriage and divorce records to Kimberly Anne.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA-2018-00238	Zabala (NBC)	Liberty	2/12/2018	Gross numbers of all 5150, 5151 and 5152 holds at Naval Medical Center San Diego reported to the California Department of Justice in 2012-2017 (last 5 years). Follow up dated February 27, 2018: I received a letter signed by deputy Attorney General Robert D. Wilson in response to my Public Records Act Request. I requested the "Gross numbers of all 5150, 5151 and 5153 holds at Naval Medical Center San Diego reported to the California Department of Justice in 2012 – 2017 (last 5 years)". His response letter is attached to this email. In the letter, the Deputy AG writes that "The Department does not retain historical data for the information you requested. As such, we cannot compile a database or list to satisfy your request. The Department can only report how many 5150 holds are currently active." But Welfare and Institutions Code – WIC Division 8. Miscellaneous [8050 – 8257] Chapter 3. Firearms 8103 (f) (1) states: (f) (1) No person who has been (A) taken into custody as provided in Section 5150 because that person is a danger to himself, herself, or to others, (B) assessed within the meaning of Section 5151, and (C) admitted to a designated facility within the meaning of Sections 5151 and 5152 because that person is a danger to himself, herself, or others, shall own, possess, control, receive, or purchase, or attempt to own, possess, control, receive, or purchase any firearm for a period of five years after the person is released from the facility. A person described in the preceding sentence, however, may own, possess, control, receive, or purchase, or attempt to own, possess, control, receive, or purchase any firearm if the superior court has, pursuant to paragraph		Completed	Robert Wilson	The Department does not retain historical data for the information you requested. As such, we cannot compile a database or list to satisfy your request. The Department can only report how many 5150 holds are currently active. To obtain historical data, you may wish to consider contacting the institution itself.

				<p>(5), found that the people of the State of California have not met their burden pursuant to paragraph (6).</p> <p>If the California Department of Justice does not maintain historical data on these 5150, 5151 and 5152 holds, how is it able to ensure or determine these individuals are not able to own, possess, control, receive or purchase, or attempt to own, possess, control, receive or purchase any firearm for a period of five years after the person is released from the facility?</p> <p>Is the statement in Deputy AG Wilson's response letter accurate?</p> <p>I need a response to this question by Friday, March 2, 2018 which is my deadline.</p>			
PRA-2018-00242	McCartney	Ty	2/12/2018	<p>State Air Resources Board Purchase document #:17RD009</p> <p>Geofencing Studies contract start 01/01/2018 end 12/31/2019</p> <p>\$300,224.00 0000004712 UC RIVERSIDE N/A NON-IT Services_UC, CSU, Community Colleges, and foundations / auxiliaries Exempt by Policy - Other (buyer must indicate policy) - NON-COMPETITIVELY BID N/A Sharon Flores</p> <p>sharon.flores@arb.ca.gov&lt;mailto:sharon.flores@arb.ca.gov&gt; Active</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records related to the Air Resources Board, and as such we must deny your request as these are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the Air Resources Board, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to contact the Air Resources Board can be found at <a href="https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/contact-us">https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/contact-us</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-00243	Panarra	Mary	2/12/2018	<p>I need any and all info on Huelon Usrey and Mikayla Walker-criminal history, liens, lawsuits, previous address huelon clifton usrey, date of birth, [REDACTED], drivers [REDACTED], ssi # [REDACTED] and Mikayla Walker, date of birth, [REDACTED], drivers license# [REDACTED] ssi [REDACTED]</p> <p>I need information on Huelon Usrey and Mikayla Walker.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-00244	Queally	James	2/12/2018	<p>Please provide me with all available data tracked by the California Department of Justice related to Citizen's Complaints Against Peace Officers, for the years 2016 and 2017. This data set should include the following categories: the total number of non-criminal complaints</p>	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>



				brought by citizens against peace officers, the total number of misdemeanor complaints brought by citizens against peace officers, the total number of felony complaints brought by citizens against peace officers, and the total number of those complaints which were sustained in each category. This information should be broken down for each agency which reports such data to the state Department of Justice. This information should also be provided on an annual basis. Please be aware this office has previously complied with a similar CPRA request, for the same information from 2004 to 2015.				The data that you requested can be found online on the DOJ's OpenJustice Initiative website. In particular, I direct your attention to the "Citizens' Complaints Against Peace Officers" section at <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/data">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/data</a> . A dataset at the agency level as well as a codebook for the data entries are available at the far right of the page. Please note, the 2017 data will be available after the annual "Crime in California" publication is published in July 2018.
PRA-2018-00245	Johnson	William	2/12/2018	I like to receive any packet of copy's of any information about any new laws that go to be petition. (or) anything about the law that suppose to go in effect.		Completed	Emily Gargiulo	Your request for "any packet of cop[ies] any information about any new laws" and "anything about the law that [is] suppose[d] to go in effect" is extremely broad and unfocused. Any active initiative measure, ballot measure, and/or legislative bills and/or related documentation could potentially fall within the scope of this request. Unspecific and unfocused requests for government records under the Public Records Act are contrary to the purposes of the Public Records Act, and requestors should provide specific, focused requests in order to give agencies a reasonable opportunity to respond. General, unfocused requests compel agencies to deny them thereby leading to litigation. The request to the agency must itself be focused and specific. (Rogers v. Superior Court (1993) 19 Cal.App.4th 469, 481.) For these reasons, we must decline your request for records as it is currently cast. The courts have held that Public Records Act requests should be "focused and specific" so the responding agency has an opportunity to promptly comply. (Rogers v. Superior Court (1993) 19 Cal.App.4th 469, 481.) If there is a more narrowly defined category of records you would like to request, please let us know. This completes our response to your request.
PRA-2018-00248	Guardia	Edwin	2/12/2018	I'm writing to request you if you can please send me documentation in regards the File # U654916. Your office received back in December 2015 I'm attaching the document. I received both emails last week again.		Completed	John Killeen	Here are the documents our office was able to locate regarding file #654916 and file #429800. Please note that we have not been able to locate any communications between the California Monitor and the banks or you, if such communications occurred before the Monitor wound down her activities in 2014. I have forwarded your complaint regarding the banks' litigation conduct to our public inquiry unit.
PRA-2018-00249	Kaste	Kebron	2/12/2018	Seeking divorce records		Completed	Erin Nickless	Referred requester to Vital Records and local court via telephone
PRA-2018-00251	Olfati (February 2018)	Parvin	2/12/2018	1. Each and every document, letter, electronic mail message, text message, Internet communication, intra-net communication, attachment, payment, enclosure and/or writing created, transmitted, sent and/or received by DOJ on any DOJ computer or other medium, or on any non-DOJ medium used to transmit content, that constitutes, mentions, refers to, and/or relates to any communications or payment to, from, and/or about any person or entity using the telephone number (209) 268-0594, including but not limited to any communications that mention, refer to, and/or relate to Parvin Olfati, Patty Olfati, and/or Olfati. 2. Any information under the possession, custody, and/or control of DOJ that mentions, refers to, and/or relates to any telephone number (209) 268-0594 3. Any information under the possession, custody, and/or control of DOJ that mentions, refers to, and/or relates to any telephone number with only zeroes, including but not limited to any number "000000000." 4. Each and every document, letter, electronic mail message, text message, Internet communication, intra-net communication,		Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.

				<p>attachment, payment, enclosure and/or writing created, transmitted, sent and/or received by DOJ on any DOJ computer or other medium, or on any non-DOJ medium used to transmit content, that constitutes, mentions, refers to, and/or relates to any communications or payment to, from, and/or about any person or entity using telephone number 000000000</p> <p>5. Each and every document, letter, electronic mail message, text message, Internet communication, intra-net communication, attachment, payment, enclosure and/or writing created, transmitted, sent and/or received by DOJ on any DOJ computer or other medium, or on any non-DOJ medium used to transmit content, that constitutes, mentions, refers to, and/or relates to any communications or payment to, from, and/or about any person or entity using any automobile to stalk, follow, conduct surveillance of, monitor, and/or inform on Parvin Olfati, including any stalking, following, conducting surveillance, monitoring and/or informing on February 11, 2018.</p> <p>6. In addition, please produce any and all documents, records, and/or writings reflecting any destruction of any of the records requested under Request Item Numbers 1, 2, 3, 4 and/or 5 herein.</p>				
PRA-2018-00271	Medlin	Thomas	2/12/2018	<p>On behalf of my clients who live in North Carolina, I am requesting an investigation into the business practices of First Business Flights, Inc., a registered Seller of Travel in California. For background information, please see the attached file (Demand Letter). I would like to know if any other complaints have been filed against this company with your office. Also, I want to ensure that this company is not treating other consumers of travel with reckless disregard to written instructions for acquisition of airline travel tickets, and blatantly lying about efforts to resolve complaints. I have written confirmation and a recorded phone conversation by the owner of the company that the money would be refunded. But that never happened and now there is no communication. This is unjustly unfair to consumers, especially those outside the state of California, who use the internet to book airline travel with companies in California. Thank you for your consideration of this complaint.</p>	SPARROW DENNIS & MEDLIN PA	Completed	Steven De Salvo	<p>We responded to your complaint via letter to you dated February 20, 2018.</p> <p>We have construed your inquiry regarding prior complaints as a request for records under the California Public Records Act, Government Code section 6250 et seq.</p> <p>The records of complaints that you seek are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure records of complaints to, and investigations conducted by, the Office of the Attorney General and the Department of Justice. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (f)). Accordingly, the information you seek is exempt from disclosure.</p>
PRA-2018-00275	Wood	Benjamin	2/12/2018	ALL COURT RECORDS FOR BENJAMIN ADAM WOOD.		Completed		<p>We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with a court, you may wish to direct your request to the court's office.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-	Lakota	Matthew	2/12/2018	February 12, 2018 a voice mail was received. On February 13, 2018, it was clarified by phone requester is seeking: Pawn transactions for any entity within Butte County submitted to the Attorney General's Office for		Completed	Nicole Arnaiz	February 15, 2018, Nicole Arnaiz sought clarification: Can you please confirm if you are looking for statistical data or specific transaction data? If the latter, what timeframe are you looking for?



0028 7				<p>the CAPS program.</p> <p>February 15, 2018 response to clarification: This is not a 2nd request or an amended request, the time for response is 3 days past due. My request is not statistical. The request is for the exact info on a pawn transaction as generated and received by DOJ. I do not need birthdates or social security numbers or drivers license numbers. My request would include the name, the address, the pawn financial info, the date of the transaction, the pawn broker info, the item pawned, the pertinent dates of the transactions. The request would be for the period from 8-1-17 to this date. The request would be for all transactions that occurred within Butte County. Again, your period for objection has passed, but I do have a court case specifically on point to the info I request.</p> <p>I left 4 separate messages on your telephone that accepts CPRA requests over a period of 10 days."</p>			<p>February 16, 2018, Nicole Arnaiz responded to follow up: Apologies for any inconvenience. After contacting the CPRA unit to inquire when the request came in, it was confirmed that the only audible message was received on Monday, February 12, 2018. The following day, the CPRA office contacted you to get clarification on your request. As your request was received on February 12, 2018, the time established for the response is February 22, 2018.</p> <p>Thank you for providing the below information as well as speaking with Linda yesterday. Let me know if you have any additional questions/concerns.</p> <p>A second e-mail was sent February 16, 2018, amending the first: I was made aware that on February 13, 2018, you had contacted the Public Inquiry Unit/CPRA Office, not the other way around. We're working on your request and will get back to you shortly.</p> <p>On February 21, 2018, an extension letter was sent by Jaimie Tackett.</p> <p>On March 6, 2018, This office extended the date to respond to your request to March 8, 2018. For the reason explained below, we are denying your request and will not provide the requested information.</p> <p>The Department of Justice (DOJ) keeps a record of "pawned property in any city or county of California, as part of the Attorney General's duty under Penal Code section 11106, subdivision (a)(1), to keep certain records that will "assist in the investigation of crime, the prosecution of civil actions by city attorneys ... the arrest and prosecution of criminals, and the recovery of lost, stolen, or found property." (See id., subd. (a)(1)(I).) Penal Code section 11106, subdivision (a)(2), limits the disclosure of the information collected under Penal Code section 11106, subdivision (a)(1), by specifying to whom the DOJ can provide that information. The information can be provided "upon proper application therefor ... to the officers referred to in [Penal Code section] 11105."</p> <p>Members of the public making California Public Records Act requests are not identified on the list of officers in Penal Code section 11105.</p> <p>Because the Legislature has chosen to limit to whom the DOJ can provide its records of pawned property in Penal Code sections 11105 and 11106, and because requestors under the California Public Records Act are not one of the classes of persons to whom the information can be provided, those records are exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k), which provides an exemption from disclosure under the California Public Records Act for "[r]ecords, the disclosure of which is exempted or prohibited pursuant to federal or state law."</p> <p>The DOJ responds only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of a local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>
PRA-2018-00337	SHE	Guoman	2/12/2018	<p>List of Public Listed Companies that are subjected to the California Supply Chain Transparency Act (SB 657), and whether or not they comply with the Act.</p> <p>Ideally the list is updated every year (2012 to 2017).</p> <p>I am a PhD student. I hope to use the data to evaluate the effectiveness of the California Supply Chain Transparency Act. Note that the gross receipt of Public Listed Companies is not proprietary.</p>	Completed	Anthony V. Seferian	<p>The Act added (among other provisions) section 19547.5 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, which requires the Franchise Tax Board (FTB) to provide the Attorney General's Office with a list of retail sellers and manufacturers that are required to disclose efforts to eradicate slavery and human trafficking, as specified. Under this section, the FTB was required to submit this list to this office by November 30, 2012, and every November 30 thereafter.</p> <p>We have carefully evaluated your request and have come to the conclusion that we cannot provide you with the lists you have requested, as they contain confidential taxpayer information, the disclosure of which is prohibited under state law. As such, the lists are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k).) Specifically, pursuant to Revenue and Taxation Code section 19542, except for reasons not applicable to your request, "it is a misdemeanor for the Franchise Tax Board or any member thereof: or any deputy, agent, clerk, or other officer or employee of the state ... , who in the course of his or her employment or duty has or had access to returns, reports, or documents required to be filed [with the FTB] under this part, to disclose</p>

							or make known in any manner information as to the amount of income or any particulars (including the business affairs of a corporation) set forth or disclosed therein." Similarly, pursuant to Revenue and Taxation Code section 19552, information furnished to the FTB "shall be used solely for the purpose of administering the tax laws or other laws administered by the person or agency obtaining it. Any unwarranted disclosure or use of the information by the person or agency, or the employees and officers thereof, is a misdemeanor." With respect to the lists the FTB has provided to the Attorney General's Office, a company's inclusion on the list necessarily means that the company has filed a tax return with the Franchise Tax Board that states that the company had annual worldwide gross receipts that exceed one hundred million dollars (\$100,000,000). (See Civ. Code, § 1714.43, subd. (a)(l); Rev. & Tax. Code, § 19547.5, subd. (a)(l).) Thus, were the Attorney General to publicly disclose the list in response to a Public Records Act request, the Attorney General would be disclosing those companies with receipts exceeding \$100 million, income information derived from the companies' tax returns. As such, in the absence of any authorization for the Attorney General to make the list public, Revenue and Taxation Code sections 19542 and 19552 prohibit the Attorney General from disclosing the names of the companies on the list.	
PRA-2018-00340	Luna	Thomas	2/12/2018	To Whom at Public Records - Coordinator: Pardon my error in 'demoting' Governor Gray Davis to the office of Attorney General for the state of California. That error aside, would anyone in your office know of an office / service that might have the "Opening and Closing Dates of WESTERN TECHNICAL COLLEGE - 5434 Van Nuys Blvd - Van Nuys, CA Without those dates...I have a fear that the STUDENT LOAN PROGRAM - LENDERS ( Now requesting the date of the closure of the school, WILL CONTINUE BEING AFTER THEIR 'POUND OF FLESH'... When Western Technical College was CLOSED/Shut down by the State of California... My son should have been able to have his STUDENT LOAN 'FORGIVEN'... (That is according to one of 'their rules'...) But now, it seems the burden of proof has been placed on my son's shoulders...in as much as one of the questions on the form that they insist on being filled in / supplied...to date he has no DATE OF CLOSURE... Thank you for any assistance you might be able to provide. David T. Moon		Completed	Erin Nickless	My research into the matter of closing dates was unsuccessful, as I have been unable to locate records related to this matter. I did find a website for a school by the name Western Technical College at <a href="https://www.westerntc.edu/">https://www.westerntc.edu/</a> . You may wish to contact them directly.
PRA-2018-00342	Hewlett (Clariant)	Iris	2/12/2018	I seek records relating to any resolved investigations including settlements between: Clariant Inc., Clariant Pathology Services and Clariant Diagnostic from 2000-2010 further tied to Quest Laboratories and LabCorp in California.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Public records clarified via telephone on February 22, 2018 and via e-mail: Good afternoon Ms. Hewlett, This e-mail is in response to the Public Records Act Requests you submitted to our office between the dates of February 7 through February 16, 2018 and your request to the Public Inquiry Unit regarding our application of exemptions cited in our response to your February 7, 2018 request. On February 7, 2018, you requested: "Per CA Gov. Code Sec. 6250 et seq., I seek AG records relating to Antitrust Health settled cases under which Venable LLP would have acted as the respondent attorney from 2007-2017." Our office responded on February 20, 2018, that the records we had would be exempt as pending litigation, as the case was a 2014 case that was still pending. As discussed on the phone, the pending litigation referenced is not an Antitrust Health case. Upon reevaluating your request, we therefore determined that we have no responsive records to your request. On February 12, 2018, you sent 3 requests via e-mail: 1) "[R]ecords relating to any resolved investigations including settlements between: Clariant Inc., Clariant Pathology Services and Clariant Diagnostic from 2000-2010 further tied to Quest Laboratories and

							<p>LabCorp in California.</p> <p>2) Any and all resolved investigation/settlement between CA AG and: Oak Investment, Safeguard Scientific Inc., Chrome Vision Inc., ACIS Cellular fitigal image analysis through DAKI/AS.</p> <p>3) I hereby seek the following record from SEC and DOJ filed complaints/resolved settlements involving: Oak Investment, Safeguard Scientific Inc., Clariant Inc., largest stockholder per their 2009 10 K filings. ACIS Cellular Ditigal Image, DAKI/ AS, Applied Genomics, Quest Diagnostics, LabCorp, Chrome Vision Inc., Clariant Pathology Services.</p> <p>Additionally, we discussed on the phone per our conversation on February 22, 2018 at approximately 4:00 pm that to the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 ["While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)."].)</p> <p>A search of the records within our custody and control determined that there were no responsive records to these requests.</p> <p>On February 16, 2018, you requested: Records which supports collaboration with Center of Medicare and Medicaid Services in the issuance and refunding of the Bonds identified per my PRA.</p> <p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records to your February 16, 2018 request.</p> <p>We hope that this clarification has been helpful. This completes our response to your requests dated February 7-16, 2018.</p>
PRA-2018-00343	Hewlett (Oak Investment)	Iris	2/12/2018	Any and all resolved investigation/settlement between CA AG and: Oak Investment Safeguard Scientific Inc., Chrome Vision Inc., ACIS Cellular fitigal image analysis through DAKI/AS.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Public records clarified via telephone on February 22, 2018 and via e-mail: Good afternoon Ms. Hewlett, This e-mail is in response to the Public Records Act Requests you submitted to our office between the dates of February 7 through February 16, 2018 and your request to the Public Inquiry Unit regarding our application of exemptions cited in our response to your February 7, 2018 request.</p> <p>On February 7, 2018, you requested: "Per CA Gov. Code Sec. 6250 et seq., I seek AG records relating to Antitrust Health settled cases under which Venable LLP would have acted as the respondent attorney from 2007-2017."</p> <p>Our office responded on February 20, 2018, that the records we had would be exempt as pending litigation, as the case was a 2014 case that was still pending. As discussed on the phone, the pending litigation referenced is not an Antitrust Health case. Upon reevaluating your request, we therefore determined that we have no responsive records to your request.</p> <p>On February 12, 2018, you sent 3 requests via e-mail:</p> <p>1) "[R]ecords relating to any resolved investigations including settlements between: Clariant Inc., Clariant Pathology Services and Clariant Diagnostic from 2000-2010 further tied to Quest Laboratories and LabCorp in California.</p> <p>2) Any and all resolved investigation/settlement between CA AG and: Oak Investment, Safeguard Scientific Inc., Chrome Vision Inc., ACIS Cellular fitigal image analysis through DAKI/AS.</p> <p>3) I hereby seek the following record from SEC and DOJ filed complaints/resolved settlements involving: Oak Investment, Safeguard Scientific Inc., Clariant Inc., largest stockholder per their 2009 10 K filings. ACIS Cellular Ditigal Image, DAKI/ AS, Applied Genomics, Quest</p>

							<p>Diagnostics, LabCorp, Chrome Vision Inc., Clariant Pathology Services. Additionally, we discussed on the phone per our conversation on February 22, 2018 at approximately 4:00 pm that to the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 ["While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)."].)</p> <p>A search of the records within our custody and control determined that there were no responsive records to these requests.</p> <p>On February 16, 2018, you requested: Records which supports collaboration with Center of Medicare and Medicaid Services in the issuance and refunding of the Bonds identified per my PRA.</p> <p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records to your February 16, 2018 request.</p> <p>We hope that this clarification has been helpful. This completes our response to your requests dated February 7-16, 2018.</p>
PRA-2018-00347	Hewlett (Oak Investment)	Iris	2/12/2018	Per the Freedom of Information Act I hereby seek the following record from SEC and DOJ filed complaints/resolved settlements involving: Oak Investment, Safeguard Scientific Inc., Clariant Inc., largest stockholder per their 2009 10 K filings. ACIS Cellular Digital Image DAKI/ AS Applied Genomics Quest Diagnostics LabCorp Chrome Vision Inc., Clariant Pathology Services	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>This e-mail is in response to the Public Records Act Requests you submitted to our office between the dates of February 7 through February 16, 2018 and your request to the Public Inquiry Unit regarding our application of exemptions cited in our response to your February 7, 2018 request.</p> <p>On February 7, 2018, you requested: "Per CA Gov. Code Sec. 6250 et seq., I seek AG records relating to Antitrust Health settled cases under which Venable LLP would have acted as the respondent attorney from 2007-2017."</p> <p>Our office responded on February 20, 2018, that the records we had would be exempt as pending litigation, as the case was a 2014 case that was still pending. As discussed on the phone, the pending litigation referenced is not an Antitrust Health case. Upon reevaluating your request, we therefore determined that we have no responsive records to your request.</p> <p>On February 12, 2018, you sent 3 requests via e-mail:</p> <p>1) "[R]ecords relating to any resolved investigations including settlements between: Clariant Inc., Clariant Pathology Services and Clariant Diagnostic from 2000-2010 further tied to Quest Laboratories and LabCorp in California.</p> <p>2) Any and all resolved investigation/settlement between CA AG and: Oak Investment, Safeguard Scientific Inc., Chrome Vision Inc., ACIS Cellular digital image analysis through DAKI/AS.</p> <p>3) I hereby seek the following record from SEC and DOJ filed complaints/resolved settlements involving: Oak Investment, Safeguard Scientific Inc., Clariant Inc., largest stockholder per their 2009 10 K filings. ACIS Cellular Digital Image, DAKI/ AS, Applied Genomics, Quest Diagnostics, LabCorp, Chrome Vision Inc., Clariant Pathology Services.</p> <p>Additionally, we discussed on the phone per our conversation on February 22, 2018 at approximately 4:00 pm that to the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and</p>

								security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 ["While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)."].) A search of the records within our custody and control determined that there were no responsive records to these requests. On February 16, 2018, you requested: Records which supports collaboration with Center of Medicare and Medicaid Services in the issuance and refunding of the Bonds identified per my PRA. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records to your February 16, 2018 request. We hope that this clarification has been helpful. This completes our response to your requests dated February 7-16, 2018.
PRA-2018-00368	Cassano	Robert	2/12/2018	1. Reducing Crime and Keeping California Safe Act of 2018 [17-0044] 2. The People's Fair Sentencing and Public Safety Act of 2018 [17-0046]		Completed	Emily Gargiulo	Enclosed are copies of both initiatives. This completes our response to your request.
PRA-2018-00246	Molitor	Justin	2/13/2018	I am looking to obtain my driving and criminal records from California from 2005-present.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We note that your request seeks driving records. You appear to be seeking records that are in the custody of the Department of Motor Vehicles. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). Information on requesting your driver records can be found at <a href="http://www.dmv.org/ca-california/driving-records.php">http://www.dmv.org/ca-california/driving-records.php</a> . To the extent that you are seeking criminal records, they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> . We hope the information we have provided is of assistance.
PRA-2018-00247	Mack	Alex	2/13/2018	All Statements of Economic Interest (Form 700) filed by former Deputy Attorney General Virginia "Gina" Papan, who served between 1994 to 2013, according to her public biography. Please also provide the dates of her employment. I was directed to submit here by the FPPC. Electronic delivery is preferred.		Completed	Stacy Heinse	Department records show Ms. Papan employed with the Department of Justice during the following periods: • March 1, 1993 to April 18, 1999 • May 1, 2001 to June 30, 2002 • April 9, 2004 to current Ms. Papan is not a designated filer; therefore, the Department does not have responsive records pertaining to Statements of Economic Interest (Form 700) for Virginia "Gina" Papan.
PRA-2018-00250	Imm	Samantha	2/13/2018	I would like to review my public records for accuracy.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For

								example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-00258	Trujillo	Veronica	2/13/2018	04/02/2002 State of california vs Trujillo, joseph patrick alias : charles harris joseph trujillo [REDACTED] 1981 or 1982 or [REDACTED] 1981 or 1982. Can i recieve public information on this individual any public info thank you		Completed	Dawn Stott	Unfortunately, we are unable to provide you with copies of the requested records at this time. The documents you seek are all part of a pending criminal matter and, thus, are expressly exempt from disclosure under the PRA. (Gov. Cod:} 6254, subd. (b).)
PRA-2018-00260	Johnson	Kirsten	2/13/2018	i would like to check the qualifications of everyone that has been involved in my case here in salinas, my public defender as well as the DA's county clerk, officers, ect		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to employees of the Public Defender's Office, the District Attorney's Office, and Court officials, you may wish to direct your request to their office. You may also find information on attorneys' status with the California State Bar by looking them up at <a href="http://www.ca-bar.ca.gov/">http://www.ca-bar.ca.gov/</a> .
PRA-2018-00277	Ceniceros	Matt	2/13/2018	Looking for any records related to Gilbert Cortez Ceniceros dob [REDACTED] or Wendy Elizabeth Ceniceros (Glaser) dob [REDACTED] My parents. Specifically any family court records or any criminal offenses in the 1970s through 1980s. Including but not limited to the cities of San Bernardino, Bishop, Ridgecrest, Hisperia.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> . To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .



							Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with a family court, you may wish to direct your request to their office for family court records.
PRA-2018-00262	Reichert	Derek		Speeding ticket - any records, facsimile, or information. Late in the evening of 12FEB1976 Joseph Edgar Reichert II Today is my 42nd birthday. I learned that my father (who passed away when I was a child), received a speeding ticket while rushing from Santa Cruz to Napa to be with my mother in the hospital for my delivery. Thankfully he made it. I'd love to have a copy of that ticket.	Completed	Erin Nickless	We note that your request seeks driving records. You appear to be seeking records that are in the custody of the Department of Motor Vehicles. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). Information on requesting your driver records can be found at <a href="http://www.dmv.org/ca-california/driving-records.php">http://www.dmv.org/ca-california/driving-records.php</a> .
PRA-2018-00263	Martinez	Margarita	2/14/2018	Public records	Completed	Erin Nickless	We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows: -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. It is the policy of the Department to provide access to all public records upon request unless the law provides an exemption from mandatory disclosure. Examples of records exempt from mandatory disclosure under the California Public Records Act include: certain personnel records, investigative records, drafts, confidential legal advice, records prepared in connection with ongoing litigation, and information that may be kept confidential pursuant to other state or federal statutes.
PRA-2018-00264	Skolnick (Garcia)	Suzanne	2/14/2018	I am seeking Use of Force (URSUS data) information pertaining to my client's decedent, Phillip Soto Garcia, Jr.. It is our understanding that Phillip Soto Garcia, Jr. sustained serious bodily injury and ultimately death when the use of force was used against him by both (1) employees of the Riverside County Sheriff's Department; and (2) officers of the Cathedral City Police Department. Mr. Garcia's death occurred in March of 2017. We understand that County and City officials are required to report the Use of Force against individuals to the California Attorney General. Therefore, we request copies of any and all reports, records, correspondence or data transmitted to the California Department of Justice pertaining to the use of force against Phillip Soto Garcia, Jr. from any and all entities or persons. Below are some specifics on the incident: Decedent: Phillip Soto Garcia, Jr. Decedent Date of birth: [REDACTED] Date of Death: [REDACTED] Place of Death: Riverside University Health System Medical Center Custodial Entity at Time of Death: Riverside County Sheriff's Department Date of Arrest by Cathedral City Police Department: March 22, 2017  Thank you for your attention to this matter.	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	After consulting with various programs within the California Department of Justice (DOJ), the California Justice Information Services Division has determined that it has no responsive records for this request as the names of persons are not submitted to the DOJ as part of the Use of Force "URSUS data" that the DOJ receives. All of the available URSUS data can be found online at the OpenJustice data portal here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/data">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/data</a> . The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.

				Skolnick Law Group Suzanne Skolnick, Esq. 2888 Loker Avenue East Suite 110-F Carlsbad, CA 92010 Tel: 760-585-7092 Fax: 760-585-4676 Email: suzanne@skolnicklawgroup.com<mailto:suzanne@skolnicklawgroup.com>				
PRA-2018-00266	Woolley	Thomas	2/14/2018	I would like the license applications (and when they were approved) for all medical marijuana dispensaries currently or ever authorized to operate in the state of California since medical marijuana was legalized.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. Since you are seeking documents related to marijuana dispensary licensure, you may be able to find more information at <a href="https://cannabis.ca.gov/">https://cannabis.ca.gov/</a> .
PRA-2018-00268	Cheuvront	Tiffany	2/14/2018	Any and all communications within the last three years between the California Department of Justice and any agency, employee, and/or representative of a city, county, city and county, state or federal agency, public official and/or public official designee (whether on personal accounts and devices or on official government accounts and devices), any member of the public, Ammunition Vendor, federal firearm Licensee, Ammunition Distributor, Retail Chain, or anyone else regarding California's ammunition laws and/or regulations, including but not limited to, the requirements, exceptions, and federal supremacy laws preempting the requirements.	Michel & Associates, P.C.	Completed	Robert Wilson	As a preliminary matter, we note that under the Public Records Act government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public, unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) Section 6254, subdivision (k), incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law.  As currently drafted, your request for information is overly broad and unduly burdensome. Unspecific and unfocused requests for government records under the Public Records Act are contrary to the purposes of the Public Records Act, and requestors should provide specific, focused requests in order to give agencies a reasonable opportunity to respond. General, unfocused requests compel agencies to deny them thereby leading to litigation. The request to the agency must itself be focused and specific. (Rogers v. Superior Court (1993) 19 Cal.App.4th 469, 481.) Specifically, a search of all communications between the Department of Justice and any entity or person regarding all state ammunition laws and regulations over the last three years is unduly burdensome. Furthermore, your request requires the Department of Justice to search all emails without reference to a particular state ammunition law or regulation. A Westlaw search of the term "ammunition" in the Penal Code (not including regulations) provided approximately 258 hits. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.) If there is a specific ammunition law or regulation that you are referencing, let us know and we can refine the search to determine if there are responsive documents.  For these reasons, we must decline your request for records as it is currently cast. However, we are willing to consult with you to determine if a more specific request would be likely to generate responsive records. (Gov. Code, section 6253.1.)
PRA-2018-00269	Skolnick (Cortina)	Suzanne	2/14/2018	I am seeking information pertaining to any Use of Force reports ("URSUS" data) pertaining to my client's decedent, Angel Cortina, who died while in the custody of the Riverside County Sheriff's Department. The death occurred on July 8, 2017. It is our understanding that force was used against Angel Cortina which led to serious bodily injury and ultimately, death, while he was in the custody of County of Riverside authorities (specifically, Riverside County Sheriff's Department employees). We understand that the County is required to report the use of force to the California Attorney General, and that therefore, there should be some documentation in the DOJ's possession concerning		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	After consulting with various programs within the California Department of Justice (DOJ), the California Justice Information Services Division has determined that it has no responsive records for this request as the names of persons are not submitted to the DOJ as part of the Use of Force "URSUS data" that the DOJ receives. All of the available URSUS data can be found online at the OpenJustice data portal here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/data">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/data</a> .  The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.



				<p>forced used on Angel Cortina. If possible, we would like copies of any and all reports, records or documents transmitted to the California Attorney General concerning the Use of Force against Angel Cortina. Below are some specifics on the incident:</p> <p>Decedent: Angel Cortina Decedent Date of birth: [REDACTED] Date of Death: [REDACTED] Place of Death: Riverside Community Hospital (after transfer from Robert Presley Detention Center, Riverside, CA)</p>				
PRA-2018-00350	Hayrikian	Arsham	2/14/2018	DATE, CASE NUMBER, DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION, RESULT	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office.</p> <p>In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>-Providing the actual name or title of the record.</li><li>-Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record.</li><li>-Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record.</li><li>-Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created.</li><li>-If related to a case, providing the case name or number.</li><li>-Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record.</li><li>-Indicating the city in which the record was created.</li></ul> <p>It is the policy of the Department to provide access to all public records upon request unless the law provides an exemption from mandatory disclosure. Examples of records exempt from mandatory disclosure under the California Public Records Act include: certain personnel records, investigative records, drafts, confidential legal advice, records prepared in connection with ongoing litigation, and information that may be kept confidential pursuant to other state or federal statutes.</p>	
PRA-2018-00353	Dennis	Erin	2/14/2018	Department of Justice records filed against John Dennis DOB [REDACTED] Records filed against him for child abuse, domestic violence, or criminal activity.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105.</p> <p>Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>	
PRA-2018-00587	Wilson	Taylor	2/14/2018	<p>02-14-18: Records for case: 18-0007169 - I am the victim of a physical and potential sexual assault. I need my records for my legal counsel. I need all records pertaining to this case, please. I do know the perpetrator was booked - 245(a) (4) PC -- but do not know if he was charged with the crime yet. Through Marsy's Law I am entitled to gain this information and to be informed of public and court proceedings.</p> <p>02-15-18: This happened in Santa Monica, in Los Angeles County. The offender's name is - Satwinder Singh DOB - [REDACTED] I have attached the booking record.</p>	Completed	Cindy McGirr	<p>02-15-18 clarification request: We understand you are looking to obtain records regarding an assault. From the case number you submitted, it appears this may be a case with your local law enforcement agency and/or the local District Attorney's office, so I wanted to confirm a few details so I may further assist you. Please inform me of the county in which the crime occurred and do you happen to know the name of the offender?</p> <p>02-15-18 response: You are certainly welcome; we are happy to assist in any way we can.</p> <p>Thank you for providing the information. According to our database, we do not have the offender (nor the case) in our records, so we are not the investigating or prosecuting agency. Therefore, we do not have any</p>	

							<p>records you are looking to obtain. For any records or reports, you will need to contact the Santa Monica Police Department. Below is their contact information: Santa Monica Police Department Attn: Records 333 Olympic Drive Santa Monica, CA 90401 Ph: (310) 458-8431 Website: <a href="https://santamonicapd.org/">https://santamonicapd.org/</a> To obtain a copy of a police report, you will need to follow the following steps: 1. Complete and print a Request form (<a href="https://santamonicapd.org/uploadedFiles/Police/FAQ/Report%20Request.pdf">https://santamonicapd.org/uploadedFiles/Police/FAQ/Report%20Request.pdf</a>) 2. Fill out a check or money order for the following fees: a. Crime Report: \$2.00 deposit b. Accident Report: \$3.00 deposit Police reports are \$1.00 per page. If the report is longer than two pages for a crime report or longer than three pages for a traffic accident report, the Santa Monica Police Department will notify you of the additional costs to obtain the entire report. 3. Mail the completed Request Form and check/money order to their department. You may also contact the Los Angeles District Attorney's Office to find out if the case has been forwarded to their office yet. Below is their contact information: Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office 211 West Temple Street, Ste. 200 Los Angeles, CA 90012 Ph: (213) 974-3512 You may also contact the local Victim Witness Office for assistance in obtaining case information, for more information about your rights as a victim and local resources available to you, for assistance with filing a Victim Compensation Claim with the California Victim Compensation Board (CalVCB) or a temporary restraining order (TRO), and victim advocacy. Below is their contact information as well: Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office Airport Victim Services Branch Rebecca Archer, Victim Advocate 11701 South La Cienega Blvd., Ste. 601 Los Angeles, CA 90045 Ph: (310) 727-6516 I have attached our Marsy's Card as a resource, which provides you with a list of your rights as a victim of crime. If the local District Attorney's office prosecutes this case and the defendant appeals his sentence/conviction, the case would then move to our office to handle the appeal. Please feel free to reach out to us in that event as our advocates would then be able to provide you with case status notifications and assistance.</p>	
PRA-2018-00270	Blutstein	Allan	2/15/2018	<p>1. Emails sent by Amanda Renteria on February 13, 2018 and February 14, 2018 that mention or refer to her intention to run for governor. 2. Emails sent by Sean McCluskie, Chief Deputy Attorney General, that mention or refer to Amanda Renteria on February 14, 2018.</p>		Completed	Nancy Doig	<p>On February 26, 2018, we extended our time to respond to your request by 14 days. As to the first item in your request, we identified three emails and we have enclosed them with this letter. It appears Ms. Renteria forwarded two of these emails to her personal email account. In providing these emails, we have redacted her private email address based on considerations of personal privacy. (Cal. Const., art. I, §1, as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.) As to the second item in your request, no responsive records were identified.</p>

PRA-2018-00272	Martinez	Carol	2/15/2018	I need to obtain copies of my divorce records. Records from my divorce from Richard L Grissom and also records from my divorce from Terry Piles. Can I request them online?		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA-2018-00273	Danso	Charles	2/15/2018	I was arrested in 2006 for traffic offence, and do not have the case number, and date for now. Could you please help me with court document, as to how this case ended? I was given an option of commuunal labor to be completed at Pomona First Baptist Church, and I sent the proof of completion to the court thereafter.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>The Record Review and Challenge Program assists individuals who would like to order a copy of their California state criminal history record for their eyes only and/or dispute its material (see California Penal Code 11120-11126).</p> <p>You may order a copy of your California state criminal history record ( or "no record") to review and/or dispute the information contained therein. For more information regarding the Record Review process, please visit our website at <a href="http://www.oag.ca.gov/fingerprints">www.oag.ca.gov/fingerprints</a>. Click on the link, "Criminal Records - Request Your Own" and follow the directions listed on the webpage.</p> <p>Your Record Review results will be mailed to you after you submit fingerprints for Record Review.</p> <p>If you have further questions or concerns regarding a record review, please direct your correspondence to the Bureau of Criminal Information &amp; Analysis, Record Review Unit at P.O. Box 903417, Sacramento, CA 94203-4170. For additional information, the following is the Record Review website: <a href="http://www.oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review">www.oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review</a>.</p> <p>Please note, the California Department of Justice (DOJ) does not maintain or provide certified copies of California Local and/or Superior Court Records and as such, does not provide these source documents. To obtain a transcript, you will need to contact the court with jurisdiction over your particular case(s) for certified documents.</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>
PRA-2018-00278	Millard (LA County ERA)	Jenny	2/15/2018	Under the California Public Records Act§ 6250 et seq., I am requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of public records that relate to the Board elections for the Los Angeles County Employees Retirement Association, including any Request for Proposal, Questions and Answers as well as the Proposal Responses provided by interested vendors.	ELECTION SERVICES CO.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We note that your request is directed to the California DOJ, but seeks records from other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to San Francisco City &amp; County Retirement System, San Diego County Employees Retirement Association, California State Teachers' Retirement System, California Public Employees Retirement System (CalPERS), and Los Angeles County Employees Retirement Association, you may wish to direct your request to their individual offices.</p> <p>Information on making Public Records Requests from CalPERS can be found on their website at <a href="https://www.calpers.ca.gov/page/contact/public-records-requests">https://www.calpers.ca.gov/page/contact/public-records-requests</a>. Information on making Public Records Requests from CalSTRS can be found on their website at <a href="https://www.calstrs.com/sites/main/files/file-attachments/prg_guidelines_2015.pdf">https://www.calstrs.com/sites/main/files/file-attachments/prg_guidelines_2015.pdf</a>.</p>

PRA-2018-00279	Millard (CalPERS)	Jenny	2/15/2018	Under the California Public Records Act§ 6250 et seq., I am requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of public records that relate to the Board elections for the California Public Employees Retirement System, including any Request for Proposal, Questions and Answers as well as the Proposal Responses provided by interested vendors.	ELECTION SERVICES CO.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We note that your request is directed to the California DOJ, but seeks records from other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to San Francisco City &amp; County Retirement System, San Diego County Employees Retirement Association, California State Teachers' Retirement System, California Public Employees Retirement System (CalPERS), and Los Angeles County Employees Retirement Association, you may wish to direct your request to their individual offices.</p> <p>Information on making Public Records Requests from CalPERS can be found on their website at <a href="https://www.calpers.ca.gov/page/contact/public-records-requests">https://www.calpers.ca.gov/page/contact/public-records-requests</a>. Information on making Public Records Requests from CalSTRS can be found on their website at <a href="https://www.calstrs.com/sites/main/files/file-attachments/praguidelines_2015.pdf">https://www.calstrs.com/sites/main/files/file-attachments/praguidelines_2015.pdf</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-00280	Millard (CalSTRS)	Jenny	2/15/2018	Under the California Public Records Act§ 6250 et seq., I am requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of public records that relate to the Board elections for the California State Teachers' Retirement System, including any Request for Proposal, Questions and Answers as well as the Proposal Responses provided by interested vendors.	ELECTION SERVICES CO.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We note that your request is directed to the California DOJ, but seeks records from other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to San Francisco City &amp; County Retirement System, San Diego County Employees Retirement Association, California State Teachers' Retirement System, California Public Employees Retirement System (CalPERS), and Los Angeles County Employees Retirement Association, you may wish to direct your request to their individual offices.</p> <p>Information on making Public Records Requests from CalPERS can be found on their website at <a href="https://www.calpers.ca.gov/page/contact/public-records-requests">https://www.calpers.ca.gov/page/contact/public-records-requests</a>. Information on making Public Records Requests from CalSTRS can be found on their website at <a href="https://www.calstrs.com/sites/main/files/file-attachments/praguidelines_2015.pdf">https://www.calstrs.com/sites/main/files/file-attachments/praguidelines_2015.pdf</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-00281	Millard (SD County ERA)	Jenny	2/15/2018	Under the California Public Records Act§ 6250 et seq., I am requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of public records that relate to the Board elections for the San Diego County Employees Retirement Association, including any Request for Proposal, Questions and Answers as well as the Proposal Responses provided by interested vendors.	ELECTION SERVICES CO.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We note that your request is directed to the California DOJ, but seeks records from other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to San Francisco City &amp; County Retirement System, San Diego County Employees Retirement Association, California State Teachers' Retirement System, California Public Employees Retirement System (CalPERS), and Los Angeles County Employees Retirement Association, you may wish to direct your request to their individual offices.</p>

								Information on making Public Records Requests from CalPERS can be found on their website at <a href="https://www.calpers.ca.gov/page/contact/public-records-requests">https://www.calpers.ca.gov/page/contact/public-records-requests</a> . Information on making Public Records Requests from CalSTRS can be found on their website at <a href="https://www.calstrs.com/sites/main/files/file-attachments/prg_guidelines_2015.pdf">https://www.calstrs.com/sites/main/files/file-attachments/prg_guidelines_2015.pdf</a> .
PRA-2018-00282	Millard (SF City & County ERA)	Jenny	2/15/2018	Under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq., I am requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of public records that relate to the Board elections for the San Fran. City & County Retirement System, including any Request for Proposal, Questions and Answers as well as the Proposal Responses provided by interested vendors.	ELECTION SERVICES CO.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We note that your request is directed to the California DOJ, but seeks records from other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to San Francisco City &amp; County Retirement System, San Diego County Employees Retirement Association, California State Teachers' Retirement System, California Public Employees Retirement System (CalPERS), and Los Angeles County Employees Retirement Association, you may wish to direct your request to their individual offices.</p> <p>Information on making Public Records Requests from CalPERS can be found on their website at <a href="https://www.calpers.ca.gov/page/contact/public-records-requests">https://www.calpers.ca.gov/page/contact/public-records-requests</a>. Information on making Public Records Requests from CalSTRS can be found on their website at <a href="https://www.calstrs.com/sites/main/files/file-attachments/prg_guidelines_2015.pdf">https://www.calstrs.com/sites/main/files/file-attachments/prg_guidelines_2015.pdf</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-00283	Brisbin	Michael	2/15/2018	all records and affidavits on Glen Thomas Kauffman d.o.b. [REDACTED] Case Detail Case Number: 17HF1240 Printable Version OC Pay Number: 9263245 Originating Court: Harbor - Newport Beach Facility		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Our research into the matter shows that this may have been a matter that was handled by a local District Attorney, rather than DOJ. Since you are seeking records from the District Attorney's Office, you would need to direct your request to their office.</p>
PRA-2018-00284	Hartzog	Cassie	2/15/2018	<p>We are requesting statewide agency-level arrest data from 2000-2016 for marijuana-related arrests (which may include, but may not be limited to offense codes 11357(a) HS, 11357(b) HS, 11357(c) HS, 11357(d) HS, 11357(e) HS, 11357.5(a) HS, 11358 HS, 11358(c) HS, 11358(d) HS, 11359 HS, 11359(b) HS, 11359(c) HS, 11359(d) HS, 11360(a) HS, 11360(b) HS, 11361(a) HS, 11361(b) HS, 11362.4(c) HS, 11362.4(d) HS, 11362.77(a) HS, 34014(a) BP, 34016(b) BP, 34016(d) BP, 34016(e) BP, 23222(b) VC).</p> <p>We would like the following information for each arrest:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- sex, age, and race of the offender</li> <li>- offense level (misdemeanor or felony) and offense code</li> <li>- year of arrest</li> <li>- location of arrest (geocodable to legislative district)</li> </ul> <p>One of the provisions of Proposition 64 which passed in November 2016 is that the Governor's Office of Business and Economic Development (GO-Biz) is designated as the primary administrator to implement a community reinvestment grant program that would fund certain services (such as job placement assistance and substance use disorder treatment) in communities most affected by past drug policies. These data are requested by Public Health</p>		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>The California Department of Justice (DOJ) discloses statistical data to bona fide research bodies and public agencies pursuant to Penal Code sections 11105 and 13202. If you are a research body, then your request would fall under the Department's research request process. In order to request the data through this process, complete the attached packet regarding policies and procedures that relate to accessing criminal offender record information. The document, Conditions for Release of Criminal Offender Record Information, must be reviewed and signed by the project lead/requester. When completed, email all required documentation to <a href="mailto:Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov">Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov</a>. Once the packet is received, it will be reviewed and you will be notified if it is approved. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency</p>



				Advocates and UC Davis Center for Regional Change who are collaborating with William Koch, Chief Deputy Director of GO-Biz, to conduct this analysis to enumerate the communities affected by past drug policies and quantify the affect to guide the applicant criteria.				
PRA-2018-00285	Lopez	Jocelyn	2/15/2018	Seeks information about record of a ticket for Michael Nauta in 2001		Completed	Erin Nickless	Responded via telephone that tickets would be through the local court and if this is a CH request, CH is exempt.
PRA-2018-00372	Lhevan	Michael	2/15/2018	The County of Orange got a \$1.3 settlement from 24 Hour Fitness, which I believe I suffered collateral damage. To deal with the OCDA's office is impossible, but if this is a civil case, I want an intervention. The City Irvine, (detective Joe Monroe), did a criminal investigation crossing into San Diego. While he serves on law enforcement, he is not the CHP, and 24 Hour Fitness was engaging which is safe to say has been proven. I was victim of this fraud. I am the one who reported to American Arbitration, AA told me that 24 Hour Fitness has never honored one claim and demand to be taken out of every contract. 24 Hour Fitness has since been sold but did pay damages to the old management fraud. Since selling the company, a lot father bad reputation has disappeared. I got displayed as a violent man on picture, and to call the police if seen. It cost me chances with woman and basically hurt my life, and since my IT career has ended. Had this been handled differently a lot my criminal history probably wouldn't have happened. If this is a criminal case, I would the information. The point, if I am entitled to anything and the County of OC got paid, I have a section 1983 and want to add it as a cause of action. The County Of OC is claiming out of statute of limitation but the financial part just got settled. The claims administrator has already tried claim I threatened her. I please for your office assistance. here is obviously going to always bad feelings me and the County of Orange, but yet I was victimized in this case, which later led to 24 Hour Fitness paying a steep fine. All this information is no secret. The OCDA's office is going to claim I said stuff, which they feel threatened. There is obviously bad feelings, and to deal with them is impossible for me. OCDA's office prosecuted a case against involving 24 Hour Fitness and they insist that I needed to be prosecuted to fullest extent of the law, but yet turn around and go after 24 Hour Fitness for a situation which happened to me. If you ask them, you will receive a one-sided investigation where they isolate the situation. For all I know, maybe the former OCDA Cari Ibsen or Stephen Fraley are claiming losses, while I lost my career and almost ended up dead. OCDA's claims they do civil, but I know for a fact that County Counsel would have settle this case		Completed	Erin Nickless	We were unable to run a search of our records based on the information provided. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) To the extent that you are seeking legal advice, the DOJ is not in a position to perform legal research or to provide legal advice to private individuals. You may wish to consult with a private attorney to evaluate your options regarding your records request.
PRA-2018-00383	Liggins	Oriental	2/15/2018	[S]tatistical data of lifers that paroled with a Master's degree that returned to prison (reoffended) convicted of murder within the last 5 to 10 years.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	Unfortunately, the Department of Justice (DOJ) does not have the data specific to Master's degrees or persons paroled that you request. However, the DOJ does have re-offenses after murder convictions data within the past 10 years. The DOJ discloses statistical data to bona fide research bodies and public agencies pursuant to Penal Code sections 11105 and 13202. If you are a research body, then your request would fall under the Department's research request process. In order to request the data through this process, complete the attached packet regarding policies and procedures that relate to accessing criminal offender record information. The document, Conditions for Release of Criminal Offender Record Information, must be reviewed and signed by the project lead/requester. When completed, send all required documentation to: California Department of Justice, Bureau of Criminal Identification and Investigative Services Data Analysis Program, PO Box 903417, Room C-115, Sacramento, CA 94203-4170. Once the packet is received, it will be reviewed and you will be notified if it is approved. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If

								you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PRA-2018-00469	Davis	Clarence	2/15/2018	1) I need the coversheet, procedural history, and last page of the "report and recommendation" filed in Clarence Davis v. E. Roe, Warden. This matter involved a habeas petition filed by myself in the United States District for the Central District of California. This report was authored by Magistrate Judge Robert Block. I cannot remember the case no. of this case, but my superior court case no. was BA152570 and my appellate court case no was B. As I recall the report and recommendation was decided in 2000 or 2001. 2. I need the cover sheet, procedural history and back page of the Respondent's "Answer" which was filed in the matter described in the preceding paragraph.		Completed	Irene Chavez	Please review the enclosed documents, and let me know should you need any further documents. If I do not hear from you, I will assume that the documents our office provided you with are sufficient and I will close out your public records act request.
PRA-2018-00286	Goode	James	2/16/2018	Jeff Toland filed a UCC statement with his birth certificate I would like a copy of his UCC statement. Thank you		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Our research into the matter shows that the records you are seeking might be filed with the California Secretary of State's Office, and you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to contact their office can be found online at <a href="http://www.sos.ca.gov/administration/contact-information/">http://www.sos.ca.gov/administration/contact-information/</a> .
PRA-2018-00288	Ber	Eric	2/16/2018	All CEQA review petitions filed with the Attorney General's Office between January 1, 2014 and December 31, 2017, pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21167.7. I'm happy to talk with someone in the agency about my request to clarify any questions you might have, or to make it easier for you to provide the records to me. I'm also happy to file a letter with more details about this request if that is preferable. Thank you very much.		Completed	Scott De Medeiros	Enclosed, please find copies of all documents responsive to your request formatted onto three CD-ROM discs. Each disc also contains a list of all documents that were responsive to your request based on the contents of the Environment Section's tracking database
PRA-2018-00289	Quist	Brian	2/16/2018	Looking for any and all HCIDLA records pertaining to: 244 Columbia Pl - Los Angeles, CA 90026. This would include (1) any Capital Improvements made under the Capital Improvement Program. (2) Any renovations made under the Primary Renovation Program. (3) Any attempts to relocate tenants currently or previously living in the building. (4) any additional documents pertaining to this building in particular. Hello - my name is Brian Quist. I am a 38 yr old filmmaker currently living in Los Feliz. I am under contract to purchase the building 244 Columbia Pl, Los Angeles, CA 90026. My hope is to make one unit my primary residence. This building is subject to the Rent Stabilization Ordinance. I am trying to get as much information about the building as I can in advance of my purchase. Please contact me with any questions. Thank you for your help.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the Los Angeles Housing and Community Investment Department, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PRA-2018-00290	Hewlett	Iris	2/16/2018	Records which supports collaboration with Center of Medicare and Medicaid Services in the issuance and refunding of the Bonds identified per my [January 9, 2018] PRA.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any records that are responsive to your request.
PRA-2018-00291	Micik	Ryan	2/16/2018	Follow up to November request: Hi, thanks again for this. Could you please clarify a few things about the airfare records in the attachment: -Do the entries represent round trips or one-way trips? -The destinations are provided, but not the origins. Are the origins available? -Is the type of cabin purchased available (i.e., coach or premium cabin)?		Completed	Nancy Doig	Mr. Micik – The person I needed to speak with is in today. I have inserted responses to your follow-up questions below. Do the entries represent round trips or one-way trips? The trips are one-way trips. -The destinations are provided, but not the origins. Are the origins available? Actually, in most of the entries in the destination column, the entry reflects

							<p>both as [departure city]/[destination city]. It appears this convention for entering the information was not used at the time the first three entries were made. After further research, we determined those entries would, using the convention in the other entries, read: DCA/LAX/SMF – From (DC/LAX layover/SMF) SMF/BUR LAX/SMF -Is the type of cabin purchased available (i.e., coach or premium cabin)? When flying at the Department's expense, the Attorney General flies economy (coach) class.</p>
PRA-2018-00292	Longaker	Patricia	2/16/2018	Copy of Approval Letter as Custodian of Record. ORI #AJ278. Mail Code: 19730. Billing number: 154418	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.</p>
PRA-2018-00293	Pennington	Patricia	2/16/2018	Bonnie Racheal Barnard. Born [REDACTED]	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you have more specific information regarding the records you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within our office. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-00301	Martin	Tai	2/16/2018	<p>there is an ex employee that has expected money and the task of killing me Via my daughter father Joe Profit and Sommer Zhurko he name is Noah Winchester as well he spreading across law enforcement that my family wants me dead. completely untrue and I LOVE MY LIFE, I AM A GOOD PERSON , I AM HAPPY .. I LOVE LIVING .can you please help me. my children have been taken as there were several attempts to kill me .. thank god I am still her but can you please help me Joe Profit Annette Profit Sohipa Thorpe</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be reporting a crime, rather than requesting public records. If this is an emergency, please dial 9-11 or your local emergency line for assistance. The Public Records Unit is unable to process crime reports. If you wish to report a crime in your area, please contact your local law enforcement agency, such as city police department or sheriff's department. Additional information on how to report a crime to our office or another law enforcement agency can be found at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/report-crime">https://oag.ca.gov/report-crime</a>.</p>



				Sinacy Thorpe Jasmine Paxton Jessica wILLIams deidre Williams David Williams crystal ficher Donisha bishop davette isom			
PRA-2018-00326	Olfati (February 2018)	Parvin	2/16/2018	1. Any document, letter, record or writing (including but not limited to electronic mail messages) endorsing, recommending, and/or referring Jeff McGuire for any position at the Department of General Services, including but not limited to the position of Chief Deputy Director. 2. Any electronic mail messages, text messages, memoranda, documents, letters, writings and/or records that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any recommendation, endorsement and/or referral of Jeff McGuire for any position at the Department of General Services, including but not limited to communications to, from, with and/or about the California Government Operations Agency. 3. If any of the records requested in Request Items Number 1 and/or 2 have been destroyed or are otherwise unavailable, please provide the records of their destruction or unavailability, together with applicable records retention policies.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
PRA-2018-00327	Conner	Jamie	2/16/2018	I'm submitting a California Public Records Act request –mandated under Cal. Gov't Code § 6252 to be available to the public – for the following public records: • Copies of all correspondence (including but not limited to, all letters, memos, emails, text messages, instant messages, voicemails) between Attorney General Xavier Becerra and Deputy Attorney General Amanda Renteria since March 1, 2017. • Copies of all correspondence (including but not limited to, all letters, memos, emails, text messages, instant messages, voicemails) between Attorney General Xavier Becerra and any individual known to be a professional political consultant, including but not limited to, Ace Smith, Sean Clegg, Gavin Newsom, and Peter Ragone, since January 24, 2017. • Copies of all correspondence (including but not limited to, all letters, memos, emails, text messages, instant messages, voicemails) between Deputy Attorney General Amanda Renteria and any individual known to be a professional political consultant, including but not limited to, Ace Smith, Sean Clegg, Gavin Newsom, and Peter Ragone, since March 1, 2017. • Copies of Attorney General Xavier Becerra's daily schedule/calendar and phone logs for the days between February 1, 2018 and February 15, 2018. • Copies of Deputy Attorney General Amanda Renteria's schedule/calendar and phone logs for the days between February 1, 2018 and February 15, 2018. • Copies of all emails and text messages sent or received by Attorney General Xavier Becerra between February 1, 2018 and February 15, 2018, related to Amanda Renteria's exit from the Attorney General's office and eventual campaign for Governor • Copies of all emails and text messages sent or received by Deputy Attorney General Amanda Renteria between February 1, 2018 and February 15, 2018, related to Amanda Renteria's exit from the Attorney General's office and eventual campaign for Governor • Copies of all correspondence (including but not limited to, all letters, memos, emails, text messages, instant messages, voicemails) sent or received by Attorney General Xavier Becerra since January 24, 2017 that referenced California gubernatorial candidates Gavin Newsom, Antonio Villaraigosa, John Chiang,	Completed	Stepan Haytayan	On February 23, 2018, Gisele Rainer sent an extension letter March 16, 2018 response from Stepan Haytayan: In responding to your request letter, we have assumed your requests' references to Deputy Attorney General Amanda Renteria mean the Department of Justice's former Chief of Operations Amanda Renteria. Also, we have assumed your request letter's references to Delanie Estin mean Delaine Eastin. Also, please note that, as high-level state officials, Lieutenant Governor Gavin Newsom and Treasurer John Chiang are clients of the Attorney General's Office. As to your request number 1, we have identified responsive records. The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255. In the instant case, records such as analyses, draft language, and memoranda concerning the strengths and weaknesses of a particular proposal would be covered by the deliberative process privilege and, therefore, absent special circumstances would be exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6255. All of the records we identified that are responsive to your request number 1 are exempt under the deliberative process exemption described above, and we decline to provide them. As to your requests number 2 and 3, we deny those requests in part. Your request seeks, in part, correspondence with "any individual known to be a professional political consultant." Unspecific and unfocused requests for government records under the California Public Records Act are contrary to the purposes of the Act, and requestors should provide specific, focused requests in order to give agencies a reasonable opportunity to respond. General, unfocused requests compel agencies to deny them thereby leading to litigation. The request to the agency must itself be focused and specific. (Rogers v. Superior Court (1993) 19 Cal.App.4th 469, 481.)

			<p>Delanie Estin, Amanda Renteria, or the 2018 campaign for California governor.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Copies of all correspondence (including but not limited to, all letters, memos, emails, text messages, instant messages, voicemails) sent or received by Deputy Attorney General Amanda Renteria since March 1, 2017 that referenced California gubernatorial candidates Gavin Newsom, Antonio Villaraigosa, John Chiang, Delanie Estin, or the 2018 campaign for California governor.</li> </ul>			<p>The phrase "any individual known to be a professional political consultant" is not specific or focused. In addition to the meaning being indefinite, the Department does not organize or index its records on that basis nor is it able to search its records on that basis. For these reasons, we must decline that portion of your request for records as it is currently cast.</p> <p>However, we are willing to consult with you to determine if a more specific request would be likely to generate additional records. (Gov. Code, § 6253.1.) If you wish to discuss this matter further, please contact me.</p> <p>As to the remaining portion of your requests number 2 and 3, we have identified no responsive records.</p> <p>As to your requests number 4 and 5 of your request, without waiving any rights, we agree to provide appointment calendar records that reflect non-exempt information for the Attorney General in his official capacity and for Ms. Renteria in her official capacity, respectively, during the time period from February 1, 2018, through February 15, 2018.</p> <p>It may be helpful to have some background information about the records we will produce. Neither the Attorney General's nor Ms. Renteria's official calendar is (or, in Ms. Renteria's case, was) maintained in a traditional appointment book or calendar, but is kept in electronic format and is subject to constant revision. Typically, only meetings and other matters scheduled in advance are reflected on the calendar. The calendar may or may not be corrected after the fact if a scheduled meeting does not take place, or last minute appointments are added. And because much of the Attorney General's and Ms. Renteria's daily work does not involve scheduled meetings, not all of their activities and meetings appear on their calendars.</p> <p>Information regarding the Attorney General's, Ms. Renteria's, or staff's personal activities is not a public record and will not be produced. Moreover, entries on the Attorney General's and Ms. Renteria's calendars may contain information regarding legal advice, litigation, complaints, and criminal and civil investigations. This type of information is exempt from disclosure, and we will redact information that reflects attorney work product, attorney client privileged information, deliberative process privileged information, or investigatory matters will not be disclosed on produced calendar pages. (Gov. Code, §§ 6254, subds. (a), (b), (f) and (k), 6255.)</p> <p>In order to protect the personal security of the Attorney General and his staff, we will not disclose certain travel information and will not produce documents or information about the Attorney General's security detail or other Department of Justice security-related information. (Gov. Code, §§ 6254, subds. (f); 6255.) Information to protect the security of the Attorney General will be redacted from documents that contain other non-exempt information. In recognition of privacy concerns, we will not disclose information that is personal or related to personnel matters because that information is also exempt from disclosure under the Act. For example, will redact information regarding personal phone numbers, social security numbers, and contact information for the Attorney General, Ms. Renteria, and their staff, among other personal information. (Cal. Const., art. I, § 1; Gov. Code, §§ 6254, subds. (c), (k), 6254.3, 6255.)</p> <p>There may be information in the calendars protected by exemptions not listed above. If we encounter them during our review, we reserve our right to exclude that information as well, but will let you know if we invoke an exemption we have not previously identified.</p> <p>Also as to requests 4 and 5, neither the Attorney General nor Ms. Renteria kept a phone log, thus, we have no records responsive to that portion of your requests number 4 and 5.</p> <p>Subject to the above, we expect to complete our review and provide records in response to your requests 4 and 5 in about two weeks.</p> <p>As to your request number 6, we have identified no responsive records.</p> <p>As to your request number 7, we have identified responsive records, and they are attached to the email message transmitting this letter. One or</p>
--	--	--	---	--	--	--

							<p>more of these records contains private contact information, like private email addresses and phone numbers. Also, one correspondent discussed personal, medical information. Based on considerations of personal privacy, we have redacted private contact information, as well as personal, medical information and identifying information about the person to which that information pertained. (Cal. Const., art. I, § 1, as incorporated into the California Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.)</p> <p>We have also redacted information that could be used to identify any Department peace officer. This office employs peace officers, as provided in Penal Code section 830.1(b). The Department's primary law enforcement function is to conduct covert and overt criminal investigations in such areas as narcotics, organized crime, elder abuse, Medi-Cal fraud, illegal gaming, firearms, sexual offenders, and other major crimes. As part of their duties, Department agents work undercover assignments to develop sources of information and intelligence to accomplish organizational objectives.</p> <p>The Department's overriding concerns are (1 ) preserving the safety of our sworn personnel, and (2) preserving the Department's ability to conduct undercover assignments as necessary to fulfill the Department's law enforcement functions.</p> <p>We withhold the identifying information of om peace officers because the public interest served by not disclosing such records clearly outweighs the public interest in disclosing such records. (Gov. Code §§ 6255, 6254, subd. (k), incorporating Pen. Code §§ 832.7, 832.8 [peace officer personnel records are confidential] .) The Department has applied a balancing approach to the public's right to open government and the Department's obligation to ensure officer safety. (See Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training v. Superior Court (2007) 42 Cal.4th 278.)</p> <p>As to your request number 8, we identified one responsive record, and it is attached to the email message transmitting this letter.</p> <p>As to your request number 9, we have identified responsive records. Some of the records we identified that are responsive to your request number 9 are exempt under the del berative process exemption described above (see response to request number 1), and we decline to provide them. The nonexempt records are attached to the email message transmitting this letter. We have redacted information regarding personal phone numbers and personal contact information, among other personal information. (Cal. Const., art. I, § 1; Gov. Code, §§ 6254, subds. (c), (k), 6254.3, 6255.)</p>
PRA-2018-00373	Waters	Jennifer	2/16/2018	Public record		Completed	<p>Erin Nickless</p> <p>We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office.</p> <p>In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Providing the actual name or title of the record.</li> <li>-Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record.</li> <li>-Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record.</li> <li>-Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created.</li> <li>-If related to a case, providing the case name or number.</li> <li>-Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record.</li> <li>-Indicating the city in which the record was created.</li> </ul> <p>It is the policy of the Department to provide access to all public records upon request unless the law provides an exemption from mandatory disclosure. Examples of records exempt from mandatory disclosure under the California Public Records Act include: certain personnel</p>

							records, investigative records, drafts, confidential legal advice, records prepared in connection with ongoing litigation, and information that may be kept confidential pursuant to other state or federal statutes.
PRA-2018-00374	Gomez	Yesenia and Allen	2/16/2018	We request the letter that you [Paramount City Clerk] sent to the RR/CC requesting another review of the NOI signatures or the letter you received from the RR/CC.		Completed	Erin Nickless You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you appear to be seeking records from the City Clerk's Office for the City of Paramount, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PRA-2018-00294	Perez	Erick	2/20/2018	I am requesting any record(s) pertaining to my divorce with Nearra Socorro Chavez D.O.B [REDACTED], I am also requesting a case number if there is any.		Completed	Erin Nickless To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA-2018-00295	Williams	Mark	2/20/2018	Marriage info, divorce info....		Completed	Erin Nickless To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA-2018-00296	Mehta	Rajesh	2/20/2018	The records I seek are of the police department camera that is set near the cross streets of W El Camino and Western Avenue in Sacramento, CA 95815. This camera is NOT a traffic light camera. This camera is set on a lamppost on a bridge that ends right before the railroad track intersection on W El Camino. This camera faces west of Western Street and of the railroad track intersection. The nearest address is 2 W El Camino Ave Sacramento, CA 95815. I am seeking the records from this camera of January 9th, 2018 at the time of 3:50 pm. I need the records from this camera because of an accident that took place with me and my family right in front of this camera. It was a hit and run case in which a drunk driver hit my car and then took off without giving me any of his information or without me being able to obtain it from him. If the records of this camera can be obtained then I will have evidence of what happened. My car and the drunk driver's car were parked right in front of this camera before the accident happened because a train was passing the intersection and all cars were stopped.  [© 2017 Department of Justice]		Completed	Erin Nickless We note that your request seeks records from a police department, but was submitted to the California Department of Justice. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department which controls the camera you are interested in.

PRA-2018-00297	Tokuyoshi	Catherine	2/20/2018	James d Moellman and Peggy sue Moellman, murder/suicide, investigative reports by lassen county sheriffs and susanville police department, sept 2, 2009	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes and logical places and have been unable to locate records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department and Sheriff's Department whose records you are seeking.</p> <p>To the extent that your request seeks investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 ["While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)."].)</p>
PRA-2018-00298	Hart	Christina	2/20/2018	<p>I request all public records for the following 2 individuals:</p> <p>Background Info</p> <p>Marriage Certificate</p> <p>Banking Institution</p> <p>Any Businesses Info</p> <p>Criminal records</p> <p>Employment Info-</p> <p>Any info that would assist. See Comments Below</p> <p>Minim Choe [REDACTED] CDL [REDACTED]</p> <p>Last Know Address nim Chung (Married Name: Choe)</p> <p>Address: [REDACTED]</p> <p>Husband: Paul Young Choe DOB: [REDACTED]</p> <p>The court awarded a judgement to me the creditor Case # SC125593 for damage due to my business-related to Criminal Santa Monica Police Incident Report #150096962. I also request the status of this case with DOJ.</p> <p>It has come to my knowledge that Mrs. Chung has several judgments against her. She has not cooperated during the debtor exam. All records such that would be helpful in collecting would be greatly appreciated. Thank you, Christina Hart</p> <p>Ms. Hart also submitted the second form with additional information: Please see previous request for records for Minim Choe-Please note legal name for Minim Choe is "Chung" all other info was provided in the previous request.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no disclosable records that are responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth-Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth-Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p> <p>In regards to case information, you may wish to contact the court in which your case was heard.</p>
PRA-2018-00299	BondGraham	Darwin	2/20/2018	<p>Pursuant to the California Public Records Act and CA Gov Code § 12525 please provide the following records in possession of the Office of Attorney General and the California Department of Justice:</p> <p>1. All notifications, written reports, letters, emails, or any other types of records fulfilling CA Gov Code § 12525 requirements for the Alameda</p>	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>March 6, 2018 status update: This is to update you on the status of the response to your attached Public Records Act request. Apologies for the delay, you should be receiving responsive documents in the next couple of days.</p> <p>March 13, 2018 response: For item (1), attached are the entries the</p>



				<p>County Sheriffs Office, for the years 2005 through 2018. Please include all documentation provided by the Alameda Sheriff's office to the DOJ regarding in-custody deaths within this date range. Please include any and all attachments to these notifications, including any supplemental materials provided by the sheriff. I am asking for the complete file for each in-custody death notification. If you determine any part of any record is exempt, please redact the portion and release the remainder of the record within the complete file.</p> <p>2. Any and all reports, memos, letters, briefs, emails, or other documents of correspondence between the CA DOJ and the Alameda County Sheriff's Office regarding all CA Gov Code § 12525 notifications for any in-custody deaths from 2005 through 2018.</p> <p>3. Any and all requests submitted to the California Attorney General and CA DOJ requesting an audit, inspection, review, or other type of investigation or examination of jail conditions in Alameda County. Please search for records sent to or received by your agency within the past 4 years (starting Jan. 2014).</p>			<p>California Department of Justice (DOJ) has for the time period requested. The DOJ has not yet received any forms for 2018 from the Alameda County Sheriffs Office. Note, the DOJ only receives one form for Death in Custody reporting, which is entered into a database, and not retained. For item (2), the DOJ does not have responsive documents as the DOJ does not receive police reports or other files or documentation apart from the Death in Custody forms as mentioned in the response for item (1). For item (3), to the extent that your request seeks complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 ["While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)."].)</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>
PRA-2018-00300	Brady	Kevin	2/20/2018	<p>Please provide the last 10 years ( January 2008 to January 2018) of personnel separations from California State University, Los Angeles resulting in a severance being paid to the departing employee. Provide the following information: Personnel Title/Classification; Date of Separation; Years of State Service at Separation; and Severance Period Being Paid to separating employee (i.e. 3 months, 6 months, 1 year, etc...).</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to one or more schools within the California State University (CSU) System, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to contact the offices of CSU can be found at <a href="https://www2.calstate.edu/Pages/contact.aspx">https://www2.calstate.edu/Pages/contact.aspx</a>. We hope this information has been of assistance.</p>
PRA-2018-00302	Hopp	Richard	2/20/2018	<p>A copy of the Los Angeles County Superior Court complaint filed on August 11, 2016, case titled Chad Conley v. David Jones, Case No. BC630383.</p>	Completed	Diane Spencer Shaw	<p>There is one document responsive to your request. That document is attached as a PDF to this email.</p>
PRA-2018-00303	Sayles	Heather	2/20/2018	<p>people vs. Nicholas lee Roy Graham 62-157813 and if possible any previous history (same as or similar to this case).</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have searched our legal indices and found no cases that match the information provided.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-00304	Rochester	Mark	2/20/2018	<p>Pursuant to the state open records law Cal. Gov't Code Secs. 6250 to 6277, I write to request access to and a copy of documents and correspondence related to any Medicaid fraud complaints submitted against Centria Healthcare, a Michigan-based healthcare company.</p>	Completed	Aviva Burmas	<p>February 28, 2018 response: We have conducted a diligent search and have been unable to locate any diclosable records responsive to your request.</p>

				<p>Requesting any supporting court records and documentation, such as findings to regulatory agencies for Medicaid reimbursement and/or results from fraud investigations, as well as any other complaints against Centria investigated by the office. If your agency does not maintain these public records, please let me know who does and include the proper custodian's name and address. Seeking information regarding and Medicaid fraud or other complaints again Centria Healthcare, a Michigan-based company that is under investigation in Michigan and has operations in California.</p> <p>Follow up dated February 28, 2018: Appreciate the prompt reply. Not trying to be clever or anything, but as a point of clarity, does that mean there are records that exist that simply cannot be disclosed under the statute?</p> <p>When we've requested these documents in other states where the company operates, Michigan for example, was able to tell us there were 980 pages of documents from active investigations that couldn't be disclosed.</p> <p>Not looking for a number, necessarily, but confirmation of the existence of investigative documents would be helpful.</p>			<p>March 5, 2018 response: Given the nature of the records requested, we are not able to confirm or deny such records exist.</p>
PRA-2018-00305	Long	Brittany	2/20/2018	<p>I am looking to obtain a copy of my aunt's Kelly Ann Long who passed away in Palmdale, CA on [REDACTED]. Her DOB is [REDACTED].</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request based on the information provided. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-00306	Lee	Adrian	2/20/2018	<p>Copy of Performance and Payment Bond for contract with the Sacramento City Unified School District. Job name - Sutter MS HVAC Replacement located at 3150 I Street, Sacramento, CA 95816.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to a contract with the</p>

							Sacramento City Unified School District, you may wish to direct your request to the Sacramento City Unified School District office.
PRA-2018-00307	Hillman	Gary	2/20/2018	<p>Under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq., I am seeking the following records; I am requesting: A copy of all arrest reports, officer narratives, videography, booking or intake photography, calls for service or any other documents related to the following individuals, and addresses of Brian W. Jones</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p>	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>Unfortunately, the Department of Justice (DOJ) is unable to comply with your request.</p> <p>The California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to California Penal Code section 11076, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records. The only exception to this rule is an individual requesting his or her own records. California Penal Code sections 11120 through 11127 afford an individual the opportunity to obtain a copy of his or her own California state summary criminal history information to review for accuracy and completeness.</p> <p>If you have further questions or concerns regarding a record review, please direct your correspondence to the Bureau of Criminal Information &amp; Analysis, Record Review Unit at P.O. Box 903417, Sacramento, CA 94203-4170. For additional information, the following is the Record Review website: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review">https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review</a>.</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>
PRA-2018-00308	Munoz	Luis	2/20/2018	Case #382277 Any other open cases for me.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records based on the description provided.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-00309	Paul	Jomon	2/20/2018	<p>I am a research faculty at the Coles College of Business, Kennesaw State University located in Kennesaw, GA. I am working on a project that aims to analytically evaluate resource allocation strategies to minimize social costs due to cybercrime. One of the parameters I am looking for to help with my analysis is the likelihood of a cybercriminal getting caught.</p> <p>While data on individuals cleared or prosecuted for other crimes and data on number of data breaches is readily available, data on number of individuals/firms that are prosecuted due to their involvement in cyber crime is hard to come by. Additionally, only the notable cases are highlighted on your website (<a href="https://oag.ca.gov/c4">https://oag.ca.gov/c4</a>). As one of the states that is at the frontier in terms of measures taken to reduce such crime, I am relaying this request to you and hope that you will be able to help me.</p> <p>In particular, if you can share information (or direct me to a website that I might have missed) on number of data breaches and prosecutions or arrests made in connection to these breaches in the past year (or five years), I will really appreciate it.</p> <p>I will be glad to answer any followup questions in relation to my request.</p>	Completed	Robert Morgester, SAAG	<p>On March 2, 2018, Robert Morgester sent an extension letter.</p> <p>On March 15, 2018, Robert Morgester responded: For the reasons set forth below, we must decline your request in part.</p> <p>A part of the records that you seek are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, <i>Dick Williams v. Superior Court</i> (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).])</p> <p>We also note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice but seeks records from other departments. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>Data pertaining to California government entities executing a warrant, or obtaining information in an emergency from an electronic communication service provider or from an electronic device where no target is identified is available on our public web page at <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/data">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/data</a>. California law requires any government entity that executes a warrant, or</p>



							obtains information in an emergency from an electronic communication service provider or from an electronic device shall notify the identified targets of the warrant or emergency request that information about them has been compelled or obtained. The law requires that the government entity provide specific information about the investigation under which the information is sought and provide a copy of the warrant or a written statement setting forth facts giving rise to the emergency. (California Penal Code s. 1546.2) If no target is identified then notice is made to the California Department of Justice. This information in turn is required to be published. (See <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/data">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/data</a> ) As a courtesy the following is a breakdown of case types of the search warrants submitted during 2017 pursuant to this statute...
PRA-2018-00310	Racino	Brad	2/20/2018	<p>Pursuant to the state open records law, Cal. Gov't Code Secs. 6250 to 6277, I write to request the following records in electronic format from the California Office of the Attorney General:</p> <p>-Any and all files regarding HiCaliber Horse Rescue, a nonprofit organization operating out of Spring Valley, CA. Documents should include but not be limited to emails, narratives, case files, findings, fines, citations, arrests or correspondence with other agencies. Its Secretary of State entity number is C3611833, its FEIN is 46-3960722.</p> <p>-Any and all files regarding Misner &amp; Sons Rodeo Company, an organization operating out of Ontario, CA. Documents should include but not be limited to emails, narratives, case files, findings, fines, citations, arrests or correspondence with other agencies. Please search records from January 2013 to present.</p> <p>If this information is exempt from disclosure, please cite the specific exemption. If a case is still under investigation, I understand that it cannot be included. In those instances, I'd ask the material be redacted (or in digital format, deleted with reference to the deletion). Please let me know if I can provide more information or help with this request.</p>		Completed	<p>Caroline Hughes</p> <p>For the reasons set forth below, we decline to provide records in response to your request. There are public records on the Attorney General's website that relate to your request, which you may find at <a href="http://rct.doj.ca.gov/Nerification/">http://rct.doj.ca.gov/Nerification/</a>, click the link to search for an Organization, and then type in "Hicaliber" under the "Organization Name". Any additional records that may exist would be classified as confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts investigatory and security files of the Attorney General, including complaints about unlawful practices. We do not comment on complaints received or ongoing investigations nor do we affirm or deny the receipt of any complaints or investigations. In the case of Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, the California Supreme Court held that investigative records in the possession of law enforcement agencies are exempt from disclosure. In that decision, the Supreme Court stated:</p> <p>"Subdivision (f) does not require the disclosure of 'investigatory or security files compiled by .... [a] state or local police agency' ... ." (Id. at p.354.)</p> <p>The Court also ruled that investigation documents did not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the dose of an investigation. "We conclude that the exemption for law enforcement investigatory files does not end when the investigation ends. While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of [Government Code section 6254.] subdivision (f)." (Id. at p. 355.)</p> <p>To the extent that your request is for any other documents or information related to these records, Code of Civil Procedure section 2018.030 exempts from disclosure the work product of an attorney. The attorney work-product exemption exempts from disclosure any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that are maintained as confidential. It is also incorporated into the Public Records Act by Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k). (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Under the attorney work-product exemption, records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office would be subject to this exemption, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p>
PRA-2018-00315	Innis	Charles	2/20/2018	<p>Case number: B1259370 Date: 4/3/2012 Party ID: [REDACTED]</p> <p>Case number: B1045748 Date: 2/3/2010 Party ID: [REDACTED]</p>		Completed	<p>Erin Nickless</p> <p>We have searched our legal indices and found no records based on the information provided. If you have more information, such as case names and type of proceeding, we may be able to conduct a more focused search for records.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the</p>

				<p>Case number: B1051371 Date: 12/21/2010 Party ID: [REDACTED]</p> <p>Case number: B1152835 Date: 3/15/2011 Party ID: [REDACTED]</p>				<p>Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-00316	Dummett	Tonya	2/20/2018	I need a copy of my divorce records. Divorce records Christopher James Dummett and Tonya Alisha Dummett		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-00322	Amster (Douglas)	Seymour	2/20/2018	<p>1. The Record on appeal of the above referenced matter, including the Clerks Transcript, the Reporters Transcript, all briefs filed in this matter on behalf of the People of the State of California and all briefs received that were filed by the Petitioner.</p> <p>2. All other records that are appropriate to be released pursuant to a public records request that pertain to this matter.</p>		Completed	Janita Rembert-Austell	<p>As per your request, enclosed please find a list of documents that are included in our direct appeal file in People v. Tyrone Douglas, California Court of Appeal Case No. B165097.</p> <p>Your request for the Reporter's Transcript for this case must be denied, per Government Code section 69954, subdivision ( d ), which states: Any court, party, or person who has purchased a transcript may, without paying further fee to the reporter, reproduce a copy or portion thereof as an exhibit pursuant to court order or rule, or for the internal use, but shall not otherwise provide or sell a copy or copies to any other person or party.</p> <p>We understand this statutory provision to require members of the public who seek reporter's transcripts of court proceedings to acquire them only from the reporter, and not from public agencies that may acquire them in the course of litigation. This statutory directive is incorporated into the Public Records Act by Government Code section 6253, subdivision (k). The Clerk of the Court of Los Angeles County Superior Court in which the legal proceedings were conducted may be able to provide information concerning how to obtain transcripts of the proceedings.</p> <p>The documents that are both responsive to your request and which we are permitted by law to provide to you comprise a total of 793 pages. As we indicated previously to you in our February 23, 2018 letter, we are authorized to charge \$0.10 per page for copying and administrative expenses, so the total due for documents themselves is \$79.30. You indicated that you would like to pick up the documents and thereby avoid additional charges for mailing. Should you desire to have the documents mailed to you, that would be an additional charge. Please make the check or money order in the amount of \$79.30, payable to: Department of Justice.</p>
PRA-2018-00335	Anderson	Lisa	2/20/2018	<p>I would like to request the Police Report for Court Case Number 18WM00879.</p> <p>My sister, Erin Micala Patton, was arrested on January 23, 2018. She attempted to use my name during the arrest and was additionally charged with providing false information. Her booking number was 3036375.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p>
PRA-2018-	Garcia	Robert	2/20/2018	Not part of the U.S. Armed Forces. Would it be possible to receive a detailed report showing the statistics of arson within the state of		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request

00409				California for the past five years? Can it further be broken down by individual city within the all fifty-eight counties?				for records to that agency. The data that you requested can be found online on the DOJ's OpenJustice Initiative website. In particular, I direct your attention to the "Crimes and Clearances (including Arson)" section at <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/data">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/data</a> . The dataset is available at the county and city level, and a context book titled "READ ME" for the data entries is available at the far right of the page. Please note, the 2017 data will be available after the annual "Crime in California" publication is published in July 2018.
PRA-2018-00318	Tavrovsky	Maria	2/21/2018	Timmy Mardirossian v. Ronald L Sires et al State Civil Lawsuit Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC247253		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have searched our legal indices and were unable to locate this case in our database with the information provided. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with Los Angeles County Superior Court, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PRA-2018-00319	Llosa	Johanna	2/21/2018	Hi, I am trying to find information on my birth parents. I was born in the LA County and hoped that if I provide my name you may be able to provide me with some information on my biological parents. My name is Johanna Carmen Llosa.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, such as the names of your parents and the types of documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office. Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-00320	Bloom	Peter	2/21/2018	All public records for Misha Fifer of Oakland, California relating to welfare fraud (Welfare and Institutions Code 10980). I have provided as much information as I have at this time. Please call me and I might be able to provide more information. Also please let me know if this process would be expedited by issuing a subpoena. Thank you.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.

PRA-2018-00321	Weber	Kyle	2/21/2018	I am writing to request the Affiliation Agreement entered into between Lodi Health and Adventist Health. I believe this Affiliation Agreement was entered into in the summer of 2015. Please let me know if you need more information.		Completed	Jami L. Cantore	A copy of the Affiliation Agreement is attached via electronic enclosure.
PRA-2018-00323	Guzman Santiago	Cesar	2/21/2018	All records		Completed	Erin Nickless	We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows: -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created.
PRA-2018-00324	Dina	Nathalie	2/21/2018	City of Los Angeles, California ORDER TO COMPLY Form LADBS CE-41, Inspector Name: Ben Mathias, Date: 2/20/2018, Telephone: (213) 252-3033 We are in the process of building our house on [REDACTED] in the Hancock Park area of Los Angeles. Yesterday, Ben Mathias came to the property with an Order to Comply Form that which commented " Provide approval form historical preservation board for the work. Provide valid current plans, permits, and inspection record." We are in full compliance with HPOZ and DWP, and Ben Mathias saw as such, was content, and left with no issues. However, I have the legal right to inspect the origin of this record and would like to know who made the claim that created this order.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides. Since the record description indicates that there may have been an Order to Comply from the City of Los Angeles involved, you may wish to contact the City of Los Angeles directly.
PRA-2018-00325	Veach	David	2/21/2018	Original request: I am requesting records for a future employee so I am clear to begin working. Follow-up, 12-21-18: I actually just need a request for public records.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Response to original request: Your attachment is blank. However, we received an online form submission under the name David Beach in a separate e-mail that appears to be a criminal history request, rather than a request for Public Records. We will forward your criminal history request to the record review unit. Criminal History is exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act, so our unit would have to deny your request. We will forward the form you submitted as a criminal history request to the record review unit. Response to follow up: Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, the California Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. I searched our legal indices and logical places and found no records related to the name "David Veach." If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you would need to direct your request to that agency.
PRA-2018-00328	Michalk	Brandi	2/21/2018	Log of 911 calls including 4/26/2017, 5:30am to 6:30am, North Highlands and any other publicly available details for all calls place between 5:30am and 6:30am on 4/26/17 for the North Command of the Sacramento County Sheriff's Dept. 9-1-1 calls 911 calls in North Highlands on April 26, 2017 5:30am-7:30am-please		Completed	Erin Nickless	Specifically, you are seeking a records of a 9-11 call. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PRA-2018-00329	Feliciano	Anna	2/21/2018	I would like know what information of mine is available through my public record.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or

							<p>custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-00330	Nelson	Westin	2/21/2018	All cases associated with the California Driver's Identification numbered [REDACTED] more specifically Westin James Nelson. Additionally there has been a name change, so some information may be under Dwayne Kerry Nelson.		Completed	Erin Nickless <p>We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records based on the information provided.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-00333	Cummings	Peter	2/21/2018	<p>1. How many people were arrested, prosecuted and/or imprisoned in California for age-of-consent violations (statutory rape) with 16 AND 17 YEAR OLDS only.</p> <p>2. Please provide the statistics broken down per year, for as many years as possible, and ALSO, by male-male, female-female, and male-female.</p> <p>3. Please provide the number of people currently incarcerated, and the number of people currently on the sex offender registry for age-of-consent violations with 16- and 17-year-olds.</p>		Completed	Jaimie Tackett <p>For items (1) and (2), the Department of Justice (DOJ) discloses statistical data to bona fide research bodies and public agencies pursuant to Penal Code sections 11105 and 13202. If you are a research body, then your request would fall under the Department's research request process. In order to request the data through this process, complete the attached packet regarding policies and procedures that relate to accessing criminal offender record information. The document, Conditions for Release of Criminal Offender Record Information, must be reviewed and signed by the project lead/requester. When completed, email all required documentation to <a href="mailto:Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov">Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov</a>. Once the packet is received, it will be reviewed and you will be notified if it is approved.</p> <p>For item (3), the California Sex and Arson Registry (CSAR), the State's repository for sex offender registration information, does not have the ability to provide statistical data at this granular level for the offense requiring registration when the offense is a court ordered offense. Additionally, data fields regarding age of victim and registrant are optional data fields: therefore, they are not populated for most CSAR records. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If</p>

							you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PRA-2018-00334	Agyepong	Michelle	2/21/2018	Any and all public records or reports for: Charles Lee Hunt II DOB: [REDACTED] Race: Black Sex: Male Record requested: I am specifically looking for any records involving an assault case involving a female in the mid to late 1990s or early 2000s. Please include police report, charges, convictions, etc. Thank you!	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-00336	Smith	Patricia	2/21/2018	I am applying for a Notary Commission through the Secretary of State of Arizona. They have requested the following documents to proceed with my application: A copy of court documents reflecting the original charge/indictment & proof of restoration of my civil rights. Original copies of Certificate of Rehabilitation and Pardon. I was granted a CERTIFICATE OF REHABILITATION May 3, 2001 and a PARDON December 24th 2013. The certificate Case Number is BA109694 and the Criminal Case Number A920343. My name was Patricia Charrise Gray at that time. I have until 3-19-18 to send these documents to the Secretary of State of Arizona or my Bond of Notary will expire. My telephone number is [REDACTED], [REDACTED]<mailto:[REDACTED]>. If you have any questions please feel free to contact me at the number listed above. Follow up dated March 2, 2018: Dear Sir or Madam, I want to thank you for your time in researching for the information that I was seeking. I am not sure where to search for the information. I know that the archives records of the criminal records have them but it will take four to eight weeks for me to get the records. I was tied and convicted in Torrance, CA. I served my time on a 90 opp at CIW and went to CRC. I am not sure if they would be with the DOJ or not. Thank you for any information that you could assist me with.	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have searched our legal indices and logical places and were unable to locate responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with the court, you may wish to direct your request to their office. March 12, 2018 response to follow up: To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-00338	She	Guoman	2/21/2018	I would like to know, as of Feb 22 2018, the number of firms that are subjected to the Supply Chain Act (the Act), SB 657. In addition, how many firms have complied with the Act, and how many firms are subjected to but have not complied with the Act, i.e., do not have a supply chain transparency statement on their website.	Completed	Anthony V. Seferian	Response to Request 1: The most recent list that the Franchise Tax Board provided to the Attorney General's Office, of retail sellers and manufacturers that are required to disclose efforts to eradicate slavery and human trafficking under the Act, contained 2,245 companies. Response to Request 2: The Attorney General's office will not be producing any documents or information responsive to this request, as it seeks information concerning this office's enforcement of the Act, and such records are exempt from Public Records Act disclosure, for several reasons. The Public Records Act exempts these records from disclosure as they are protected by the attorney work product doctrine, attorney-client privilege, deliberative process privilege, and official information privilege. In addition, the Attorney General's office will not produce any confidential investigatory records or information in the possession of the Attorney General's office, because the Public Records Act exempts such records. Records and information in this category are exempt from Public Records Act disclosure under the work product doctrine and the attorney-client privilege. The attorney work product doctrine protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research, or legal theories, that is maintained as confidential. (Code

							<p>Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) The attorney work product doctrine creates a qualified privilege against discovery of general work product, and an absolute privilege against disclosure of writings containing the attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, or legal theories. (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (Axelrad) (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833, quoting BP Alaska Exploration, Inc. v. Superior Court (1988) 199 Cal.App.3d 1240, 1250; see also Coito v. Superior Court (2012) 54 Cal.4th 480, 488.) Similarly, the attorney-client privilege authorizes a client to "refuse to disclose, and to prevent another from disclosing, a confidential communication between client and lawyer." (Evid. Code, § 954.) The attorney-client privilege is absolute, and disclosure may not be ordered, without regard to relevance, necessity, or any particular circumstances peculiar to the case. (Costco Wholesale Corp. v. Superior Court (2009) 47 Cal.4th 725, 732; see also Palmer v. Superior Court (2014) 231 Cal.App.4th 1214, 1227.)</p> <p>"Although exercise of the privilege may occasionally result in the suppression of relevant evidence, the Legislature of this state has determined that these concerns are outweighed by the importance of preserving confidentiality in the attorney-client relationship." (Mitchell v. Superior Court (1984) 37 Cal.3d 591, 599; see also Kerner v. Superior Court (2012) 206 Cal.App.4th 84, 111.)</p> <p>The work product privilege and the attorney-client privilege are incorporated into the Public Records Act as exemptions from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); see County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court, supra, 82 Cal.App.4th at p. 833.) Documents that refer or relate to the list and that contain the office's attorneys' impressions, conclusions, opinions, and legal theories, as to whether companies have complied with the Act, prepared by the attorneys employed by the Attorney General's office, are subject to the work product exemption and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. Similarly, documents prepared by deputy attorneys general containing confidential communications with the Attorney General and his staff, discussing the list, are exempt from PRA disclosure under the attorney-client privilege. Documents in this category are also exempted from Public Records Act disclosure under the official information privilege (Evid. Code, § 1040), incorporated into the Act through Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k), and under the terms of Government Code section 6255 itself, independent of the deliberative process privilege. Official information means information acquired in confidence by a public employee in the course of his or her duty and not open, or officially disclosed, to the public prior to the time the claim of privilege is made. (Evid. Code, § 1040, subd. (a).) A public entity has a privilege to refuse to disclose official information if disclosure of the information is against the public interest because there is a necessity for preserving the confidentiality of the information that outweighs the necessity for disclosure in the interest of justice. (Evid. Code, § 1040, subd. (b).) Also, documents prepared by, or under the direction of, the Attorney General's office, discussing the list and a company's compliance with the Act's disclosure requirements are covered by the deliberative process privilege. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325, 1342-1343, 1346.) Any documents containing statutory interpretation, analyses, draft language, and memoranda concerning the strengths and weaknesses of a particular proposal would be covered by this privilege. The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision-making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated, or if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Ibid.; see also Wilson v. Superior Court (Los Angeles Times) (1996) 51 Cal.App.4th 1136, 1142-1143; California First Amendment Coalition v. Superior Court</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

								(1998) 67 Cal.App.4th 159, 171; City of San Jose v. Superior Court (1999) 74 Cal.App.4th 1008, 1021-1025; American Civil Liberties Union of Northern Cal. v. Superior Court (2011) 202 Cal.App.4th 55, 75.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255. If the public interest in nondisclosure clearly outweighs the public interest in disclosure, the deliberative process privilege applies. (California First Amendment Coalition v. Superior Court, supra, 67 Cal.App.4th at pp. 172–173.) Finally, the Public Records Act, Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts from disclosure, without limitation, investigatory records of the Attorney General. In Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354, the California Supreme Court held that investigative records in the possession of law enforcement agencies are exempt from disclosure. The Court also ruled that investigation documents did not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the closure of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355; see also Haynie v. Superior Court (2001) 26 Cal.4th 1061, 1069-1070; Rackauckas v. Superior Court (2002) 104 Cal.App.4th 169, 176-178.) Response to Request 3: As discussed above in response to Request number 2, which response is incorporated herein by reference, the Attorney General's office cannot produce records or information in response to Request number 3. For the reasons set forth above, records and information in this category are protected by the attorney work product doctrine, attorney-client privilege, deliberative process privilege, and the official information privilege, and are confidential investigatory records of the Attorney General.
PRA-2018-00339	Knight	Nicole	2/22/2018	185995 is the case number, filed Oct. 18th 2016?		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.
PRA-2018-00341	Charleston	Rick	2/22/2018	Any and all documents (emails, telephonic, hard copy et al) related to PETITION FOR STAY OF CEASE AND DESIST ORDER, held before the California Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery (Telephonic Hearing) on February 14, 2017; at 2:00 PM, location Cal/EPA Headquarter Bldg. 1001 I Street, Room 2550, Sacramento, CA 95814. in the Matter of: American Industrial Services, Inc. and South Street Properties, LLC. Petitioner, Scott Smithline, Executive Director of the California Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery (CalRecycle) and Real Party of Interest, The State of California, and the Los Angeles County Department of Public Health, Solid Waste Management Program Office. Further, any and all documents pertaining to this matter and associated agencies including but not limited to Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC); AQMD, SAQMD, Los Angeles Department of Public Health LEA. Any and all Documents pertaining to Peace Officer Stephen Hardy (email, telephonic, hard copy et al) pertaining to State of California - County of Los Angeles; Search Warrant and Affidavit. Warrant executed on August 24, 2017, 3:45 PM by Gustavo N. Sztraicher, Magistrate at American Industrial Services, Incorporated, located at 5626 Cherry Avenue, Long Beach, CA 90805 and 2060 East South Street, Long Beach, CA 90805. Request all documents in all forms to facilitate search warrant request to Magistrate. Property or things were used as the means of committing a felony; Property or things to be seized consist of any item or constitute any evidence that tends to show a felony has been committed, or tends to show that a particular person has committed a felony.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records from the California Department of Public Health, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to submit a records request to their office can be found on their website at <a href="https://cdph.mycusthelp.com/WEBAPP/_rs/%28S%28c0dxnq1blr41lvabw0tqmko4%29%29/supporthome.aspx">https://cdph.mycusthelp.com/WEBAPP/_rs/%28S%28c0dxnq1blr41lvabw0tqmko4%29%29/supporthome.aspx</a> . Information on submitting Public Records Act request to CalRecycle can be found on their website at <a href="http://www.calrecycle.ca.gov/ContactUs/PubRecords/">http://www.calrecycle.ca.gov/ContactUs/PubRecords/</a> . Information on submitting Public Records Act request to DTSC can be found on their website at <a href="http://www.dtsc.ca.gov/ContactDTSC/index.cfm">http://www.dtsc.ca.gov/ContactDTSC/index.cfm</a> . To the extent that you are seeking records from local city offices and air quality management districts, you will need to submit your requests to them.
PRA-2018-	Picard	Alexis	2/22/2018	Hi, I'm requesting the number of arrests for property crimes (i.e. motor vehicle theft, larceny-theft, burglary, etc.) for California cities (as		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody



00344				opposed to counties) for the year 2016. OpenJustice provides a wealth of information for California counties, but I was wondering if there are any records discussing information for California cities (specifically metropolitan cities like Los Angeles, San Francisco, San Diego, etc.)				or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.
PRA-2018-00345	McLean	Terry	2/22/2018	I would request by e-mail the records, reports, internal memorandums and correspondence between the California AG and the County of Merced regarding the reported assault of a amateur news reporter and the District Attorney of Merced County, Larry Morse. The incident took place on or about April 15, 2015. I would wish the requested records be e-mailed to me.		Completed	Michael Dolid	Unfortunately, we must deny your request. The documents you seek are part of an investigation and, thus, are expressly exempt from disclosure under the PRA. (Gov. Code§ 6254, subds. (a) & (f); Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) No time limit exists for this exemption, so it continues to apply even after the investigation at issue has concluded. (Williams v. Superior Court, supra, at p. 355.)
PRA-2018-00346	Bonner	Matt	2/22/2018	Calling to access records from a DOJ investigation into Oakland Police Department, in particular a sergeant named Derwin Longmire and I believe it's protected, so we need to prepare a subpoena. It's for the year 2009.	Bonner & Bonner	Completed	Erin Nickless	Responded via telephone that investigative records are exempt from disclosure.
PRA-2018-00348	Lundstrom	Marjie	2/22/2018	1. All settlement agreements involving defendant Dennis B. Kellogg, including but not limited to: - Settlement of Sacramento Superior Court case # 2010-00089974. Dianne Chapin, Tammy Helie v California Department of Parks and Recreation and Dennis Kellogg - Settlement of Sacramento Superior Court case # 07AS01211 – Annette Unruh v. State of California and Dennis Kellogg - Settlement of Sacramento Superior Court case #06AS02106 - James R. Covington v. California Department of Rehabilitation and Dennis Kellogg 2. All settlement agreements involving defendant Jerry A. Smith, including but not limited to: - Settlement of Sacramento Superior Court case # 05AS03244 – Elizabeth Schlie v. Medical Board of California, Jerry Smith, et al - Settlement of Sacramento Superior Court case # 00AS06630 – Christopher Wilkinson v Dept. of Consumer Affairs, Jerry Smith, et al 3. All settlement agreements involving defendant Manuel T. Lopez, including but not limited to: - Settlement of Sacramento Superior Court case #34-2012-00126610-CU-OE-GDS – Adure R. Velazquez v California Department of Parks and Recreation and Manuel Lopez - Settlement of Sacramento Superior Court case #05AS03003 – Gloria Moore v California Department of Transportation and Manuel Lopez - Settlement of Sacramento Superior Court case #98AS00092 – Lesli Ann McClung v State of California EDD and Manuel Lopez		Completed	Chris Knudsen	Although your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, it potentially seeks records in the possession, custody, or control of other state departments and agencies. The Department of Justice does not handle all litigation matters for the State of California and therefore does not possess all the settlement agreements relating to litigation against state agencies or state employees. As such, the Department will respond on its own behalf and only in regard to matters for which we have been retained, and not on behalf of other state agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state agency or constitutional officer, we suggest that you direct your request to them. My initial inquiry has indicated that we have some information responsive to your request. There may be other responsive information in storage and we are attempting to determine whether we have additional information by seeking to obtain those files. Unfortunately, because some of the cases are so old (for example, the McClung case was filed in 1998) the files may have been destroyed pursuant to the Department's retention policy. Once we have identified the responsive settlement agreements in our possession, we will provide them to you. March 16, 2018 response: As promised in my letter dated March 5, 2018, enclosed please find the responsive settlement agreements.
PRA-2018-00349	Brown	Philip	2/22/2018	Colusa County Superior Court 521 Oak Street Colusa, CA 95932 Trial by written declaration Citation # A025255 Case number: TR206511 Judicial officer: Jeffrey A Thompson Date: Feb 2018 After submitting a several page statement plus several pictures in a written trial by declaration, all I received back was a statement that I'd been found guilty, with no explanation of why. I would like a record of the court's findings and the officer's statement.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records based on the information provided. In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> . You may also wish to contact Colusa County Superior Court for the records you are seeking.

PRA-2018-00413	Imm	Samantha	2/22/2018	<p>My Identity/personal information was stolen in recent breaches and I was identity theft victim. I was advised to check my records for accuracy. To my knowledge I am not aware of any accuracy issues or records pertaining to me other than vital records etc. Does this mean I do not need to fill out DOJ form and go to Scan for finger printing?</p> <p>Follow up dated 3-5-18: Sorry for the confusion but no I am not seeking to report fraud / identity theft at this time. My personal information was taken during recent breaches and my identity was stolen previously in unrelated event to recent corporate breaches. I recently became aware there was information on-line regarding my identity that was inaccurate. I was advised to run credit checks and background check etc on myself to make sure there weren't any errors in my record. This would clarify if the information being reported by the public company on-line providing background information is inaccurate or if there is an error in my record. I hope that makes better sense. I am attempting to access my own personal records to verify accuracy. Sorry I have never done this before so I am unclear on the process.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records related to fraud or identity theft. Information on how to report fraud and identity theft can be found at <a href="https://www.justice.gov/criminal-fraud/report-fraud">https://www.justice.gov/criminal-fraud/report-fraud</a>). To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking vital records such as birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, the records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>.</p> <p>Response to 3-5-18 follow up: We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview.</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a credit report, we suggest that you contact the credit reporting agency directly.</p> <p>Information on how to dispute a credit report can be found at <a href="https://www.consumer.ftc.gov/articles/0151-disputing-errors-credit-reports">https://www.consumer.ftc.gov/articles/0151-disputing-errors-credit-reports</a>. We hope this information has been of assistance.</p> <p>If you are attempting to check criminal history, please refer to <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> as stated in my previous e-mail.</p>
PRA-2018-00417	Sullivan	Michael	2/22/2018	<p>I am requesting the following information in the possession of your California Sex Offender Registry.</p> <p>Please provide me with the following data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Total number of registrants (per PC 290) in Sacramento County who list their address as homeless, or any other designation that would indicate homelessness. This would be limited to all registrations within the 2017 calendar year.</li> <li>- Total number of registrants (per PC 290) in the City of Sacramento who list their address as homeless, or any other designation that would indicate homelessness. This would be limited to all registrations within the 2017 calendar year.</li> </ul> <p>This submission does not include a request for names or other identifying information that may, in certain circumstances, be protected by law. The information requested constitutes a public record pursuant to California Government Code Section 6250 et seq.</p> <p>It is preferred that the requested information be e-mailed directly to [REDACTED]mailto:[REDACTED]</p>		Completed	Michael Dolida	<p>In the calendar year 2017, there are 522 total registrants registered as transient in Sacramento County and 377 total registrants registered as transient in Sacramento City pursuant to Penal Code section 290. Transient is the designated classification for homelessness within the California Sex and Arson Registry.</p>

PRA-2018-00331	Johnson	Lundi	2/23/2018	<p>"Please provide as much detailed information as possible regarding the records you seek (e.g., date, case name, case number, document description, etc.)</p> <p>Dennis James Parkinson:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>09-11-2003 criminal misdemeanor Shasta County Superior Court Case #MCRDCRM 030006884002</li> <li>10-26-2004 criminal felony Shasta County Superior Court Case #MCRDCR F 040007768002</li> <li>05-10-2005 criminalfelony Shasta County Superior Court Case #MCRDCR F 050003492002</li> <li>03-04-2005 criminal felony Shasta County Superior Court Case #MCRDCR F 050001433003</li> <li>05-24-2005 criminal misdemeanor Shasta County Superior Court Case #MCRDCRM 050003689002</li> <li>09-20-2006 criminal traffic Shasta County Superior Court Case #MCRDCRTR06000733 7001</li> <li>10-20-2008 criminal misdemeanor Shasta County Superior Court Case #MCRDCRM 080008488003</li> <li>12-23-2008 criminal felony Shasta County Superior Court Case #MCRDCR F 080010648003</li> <li>12-14-2011 criminalfelony Shasta County Superior Court Case #MCRDCR FI 10007272002</li> <li>08-01-2012 criminal traffic Shasta County Superior Court Case #MCRDCRTRJ 20004867001</li> <li>09-20-2012 criminal misdemeanor Shasta County Superior Court Case #MCRDCRM 120006600002</li> <li>02-01-2013 criminalfelony Shasta County Superior Court Case #MCRDCR F 130000331002</li> <li>09-03-2015 criminal felony Shasta County Superior Court Case #MCRDCR F 150005394002</li> <li>07-14-2015 criminal traffic Shasta County Superior Court Case #MCRDCRTRJ 50004123001</li> <li>06-08-2015 criminalfelony Shasta County Superior Court Case #MCRDCR F 150003003002</li> <li>12-22-2015 criminalfelony Shasta County Superior Court Case #MCRDCR F 150008074002</li> <li>06-03-2016 criminal felony Shasta County Superior Court Case #MCRDCR F 160003466002</li> </ol> <p>Would like information in regards to this charge, whether it be an incident report, a summary of the charges or any other information you can provide. "</p>		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>Unfortunately, the Department of Justice (DOJ) is unable to comply with your request.</p> <p>The California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to California Penal Code section 11076, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records. The only exception to this rule is an individual requesting his or her own records. California Penal Code sections 11120 through 11127 afford an individual the opportunity to obtain a copy of his or her own California state summary criminal history information to review for accuracy and completeness.</p> <p>If you have further questions or concerns regarding a record review, please direct your correspondence to the Bureau of Criminal Information &amp; Analysis, Record Review Unit at P.O. Box 903417, Sacramento, CA 94203-4170. For additional information, the following is the Record Review website: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review">https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review</a>.</p> <p>Note, the DOJ does not maintain or provide certified copies of California local and/or Superior Court records and as such, does not provide these source documents. To obtain a transcript, you will need to contact the court with jurisdiction over your particular case(s) for certified documents. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>
PRA-2018-	Royer	Dean	2/23/2018	The Attorney General's report to the Milpitas City Council regarding an investigation of a Milpitas South Main Street project (senior housing/low-income development). The investigation was requested by		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a thorough search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the

0035 1				the Milpitas City Council. The report was made in 2018 prior to February 6, 2018. I am an attorney representing Tom Williams. I am making this request on behalf of my client. The report concerns my client's actions when he was the City Manager of Milpitas.				documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.
PRA-2018-0035 2	Bye	Denise	2/23/2018	I am requesting the names and job descriptions and times of dispatch all El Dorado Sheriff's Operation personnel that were sent to my property on the dates of September 3rd. and 4th. 2014. As one of the victims/witness of the murder of my friend and employee Richard L. Kriewitz that occurred at 5023/5025 Bucks Bar Rd. Placerville, Ca. 95667 I have the right to have this information. I was denied this information by Michael Lensing Sargeant Backgrounds/IA of the EDSO. He said I could not have this because of the "personal information" of the officers. I did not want personal information but, public. The case name is People VS Jeffrey Allen White case# P14CRF0551 I thank you in advance for your assistance.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records from the El Dorado Sheriff's Department, and no records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the El Dorado Sheriff's Department.	
PRA-2018-0035 4	Lubetzky	Richard	2/23/2018	I am trying to verify if Charles Spencer dba Platinum Express Credit is registered as a credit repair service with the Office of the Attorney General, and if he has posted the required bond with the Secretary of State. Thank you for any assistance you may be able to provide.	Completed	Amos Hartston	We have interpreted your request as a request for records pursuant to the Public Records Act as set forth in Government Code section 6250 et seq. We did not identify any responsive records. We do not have information related to bonds filed with the Secretary of State. We can confirm that Charles Spencer dba Platinum Express Credit is not registered with our office under the Credit Services Act, and no registration is pending.	
PRA-2018-0035 5	Taylor	Anthony	2/23/2018	This letter serves as a request for public (non-privileged) records in the possession, custody or control of the California Attorney General's Office under the Public Records Act, Government Code Sections 6251 et seq. Please provide copies of any complaints filed by your office for any litigation concerning the alleged failure to mitigate farmland conservation under the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), including, but not limited to, Public Resources Code Section 21095(a).	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.	
PRA-2018-0036 4	Amster (Villalobos)	Seymour	2/23/2018	1. The Record on appeal of the above referenced matter, including the Clerks Transcript the Reporters Transcript all briefs filed in this matter on behalf of the People of the State of California and all briefs received that were filed by the Petitioner. 2. All other records that are appropriate to be released pursuant to a public records request that pertain to this matter.	Completed	Cindy Koenitzer	An extension letter was sent on February 28, 2018. On March 12, 2018, our office responded: Your request to obtain copies of the reporter's transcripts for this case must be denied, per Government Code section 69954, subdivision (d), which states: (d) Any court, party, or person who has purchased a transcript may, without paying further fee to the reporter, reproduce a copy of portion thereof as an exhibit pursuant to court order or rule, or for internal use, but shall not otherwise provide or sell a copy or copies to any other person or party. We understand this statutory provision to require members of the public who seek reporter's transcripts of court proceedings to acquire them only from the reporter, and not from public agencies that may acquire them in the course of litigation. This statutory directive is incorporated into the Public Records Act by Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k). The Clerk of the Court of Los Angeles County Superior Court, in which the legal proceedings were conducted, may be able to provide information concerning how to obtain transcripts of the proceedings. As to the balance of your records request, enclosed please find a list of documents that are included in our file for the appeal in People v. Johnny Villalobos, Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. MA040107. The cost for a copy of all these records is \$51.10, at 10 cents per page. Please make the check or money order payable to California Department of Justice, and send your check to: California Department of Justice Public Records Ombudsman 1300 I Street, Room 1710-6 Sacramento, CA 95814 Upon receipt of your check for \$51.10, we will schedule a date and time for you to pick up the documents from our Los Angeles office.	

								If payment is not received within 30 days of this letter, we will assume you are not interested in obtaining these records and will consider this request abandoned.
PRA-2018-00365	Tesoriero	Sarah	2/23/2018	I am requesting all Skilled Nursing Facilities Inspections from 2012 through current. If the website no longer publishes this information, and/or has no intention of publishing them again in the future, please let me know.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Our research into the matter shows that you may be seeking records from the California Department of Public Health, in which case you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to submit a records request to their office can be found on their website at <a href="https://cdph.mycusthelp.com/WEBAPP/_rs/%28S%28c0dxnq1blr41lvabw0tqmko4%29%29/supporthome.aspx">https://cdph.mycusthelp.com/WEBAPP/_rs/%28S%28c0dxnq1blr41lvabw0tqmko4%29%29/supporthome.aspx</a> .
PRA-2018-00367	Waltman (MuckRock)	Curtis	2/23/2018	The date range on all portions of this request is from 10/1/15 to the date this request is processed. Threat assessments, field reports, intelligence updates, and any other reports which make mention of the Neo-Nazi group Atomwaffen Division, I also request an email search using the following keywords; -Atomwaffen Division -Samuel Woodward -Brandon Russell -John Cameron Denton -Michael Lloyd Hubsky -Sean Michael Fernandez The group's prominent leaders include Brandon Russell of Florida, John Cameron Denton of Montgomery, Texas, Michael Lloyd Hubsky of Nevada, Kaleb Cole of Washington, and Sean Michael Fernandez of Texas, Atomwaffen Division has been implicated in five killings within the last year and a half, including the recent murder of Blaze Bernstein by Atomwaffen Division member Samuel Woodward. The public has a right to know about this public safety threat.	MuckRock	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	On 3-5-18, Jaimie Tackett sent an extension letter On March 19, 2018, Jaimie Tackett sought clarification: I am working on the email search piece of your request and have come across a number of emails that contain one or more of the five names you listed, but have nothing to do with the Neo-Nazi group Atomwaffen Division. Do you wish to have those included in our response or do you only want emails that pertain to the Atomwaffen Division? Feel free to give me a call if you would like to discuss.
PRA-2018-00356	Luong Nguyen	Holly	2/26/2018	i wanted to see if i had any records because it said i had one on mylife.com and i wanted to verify if it was true		Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to

							disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-00357	Dean	Denia	2/26/2018	The case of Joseph Gi bert Longoria, he is now age 29, I believe, and he was incarcerated for lewd and lascivious act with a minor under the age of 14. He is now incarcerated again. I would like access to the original case and the new one for his recent incarceration. I am requesting access to the trial.		Completed	Michael Dolida The records of all our case files are organized and maintained electronically in a case management software application called Prolaw. After an exhaustive search of Prolaw, we were unable to locate any records pertaining to Joseph Gi bert Longoria. Thus, we have no responsive records for your request. If you have not done so already, you may try contacting the local District Attorney's Office and/or local state courthouse where the offenses were committed. These public agencies may have additional information in case files or court files that can be disseminated to members of the public.
PRA-2018-00358	Marie	Joan	2/26/2018	Marriage and Divorce Certificates Maiden Name: Joan Marie Goularte Married Larry Lewis Bonincontri [REDACTED] - San Jose, CA Divorce: 1991 - Carmichael, CA		Completed	Erin Nickless To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA-2018-00359	Yen	Steven	2/26/2018	properties		Completed	Erin Nickless We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows: -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides if you wish to inquire about a specific parcel.
PRA-2018-00360	Pennington	Sarah	2/26/2018	Domestic violence reports filed by Nicholas White or about Nicholas White from the past year. The incidents occurred in Sacramento, California involving Carissa Masten. I need a copy of these reports for child custody court. I need proof that domestic violence has taken place and been reported in the household.		Completed	Erin Nickless Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that



							agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PRA-2018-00361	Mumma	Justin	2/26/2018	I want to obtain the University of California at Berkely total budget for the 2016-17 year. I wasn't able to find it on their website.		Completed	Erin Nickless You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to one or more schools within the University of California (UC) System, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to contact the offices of the UC System can be found at <a href="https://www.universityofcalifornia.edu/uc-system/contact-us">https://www.universityofcalifornia.edu/uc-system/contact-us</a> .
PRA-2018-00362	Morlan	Kinsee	2/26/2018	I'm looking for any public records related to an investigation of this business: HiCaliber Horse Rescue 11223 Calle Oro Verde, Valley Center, CA 92082 Valley Center, CA 92082		Completed	Caroline Hughes For the reasons set forth below, we decline to provide records in response to your request. There are public records on the Attorney General's website that relate to your request, which you may find at <a href="http://rct.doj.ca.gov/Nerfication/">http://rct.doj.ca.gov/Nerfication/</a> , click the link to search for an Organization, and then type in "Hi caliber" under the "Organization Name". Any additional records that may exist would be classified as confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision(f) expressly exempts investigatory and security files of the Attorney General, including complaints about unlawful practices. We do not comment on complaints received or ongoing investigations nor do we affirm or deny the receipt of any complaints or investigations. In the case of Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, the California Supreme Court held that investigative records in the possession of law enforcement agencies are exempt from disclosure .. In that decision, the Supreme Court stated: "Subdivision (f) does not require the disclosure of 'investigatory or security files compiled by ... [a] state or local police agency' ... ." (Id. at p. 354.) The Court also ruled that investigation documents did not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. "We conclude that the exemption for law enforcement investigatory files does not end when the investigation ends. While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of [Government Code section 6254,] subdivision (f)." (Id. at p. 355.) To the extent that your request is for any other documents or information related to these records, Code of Civil Procedure section 2018.030 exempts from disclosure the work product of an attorney. The attorney work-product exemption exempts from disclosure any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that are maintained as confidential. It is also incorporated into the Public Records Act by Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k). (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Under the attorney work-product exemption, records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office would be subject to this exemption, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.
PRA-2018-00363	Gallagher	Stacey	2/26/2018	The less onerous and cheaper of either: (1) A list of all businesses entities which were registered as 'Telephonic Sellers' (i.e. telemarketers) with the California Attorney General's Office pursuant to Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17511.3, as of December 31, 2017; or (2)		Completed	Amos Hartston We have conducted a search of our records, and elect option two. Enclosed is the Telephonic Seller Registration application for Vivint Solar Developer, LLC, received December 2017, with exhibits and related



				Copies of all 'Telephonic Seller' Registration applications submitted to the California Attorney General's Office pursuant to Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17511.3, between January 1, 2015 and December 31, 2017, including all supporting documents submitted therewith, pertaining to the following businesses: SunRun a/k/a Clean Energy Experts; Solar City a/k/a Tesla; Code Green; Trinity Solar f/k/a Trinity Solar Heating; Vivint; Sungevity; and Horizon Solar.				correspondence. The documents have been redacted for privacy issues. We did not identify other responsive records.
PRA-2018-00366	Reynolds/Oppegaard	Lila/Martin	2/26/2018	Lila Reynolds and Martin Oppegaard request: Under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq., we are requesting copies of public records including all documents maintained by Steven Myers or the California Department of Justice Laboratory in connection with his examination of the T-shirt for the purpose of EDTA testing and DNA testing conducted in 2004 in relation to case H067-83, the 1983 murders of Douglas and Peggy Ryen, their 10-year-old daughter Jessica, and 11-year-old Christopher Hughes in Chino Hills, California.	The Medill Justice Project	Completed	Shannon Patterson	We must decline your request for the reasons set forth below. The DOJ is unable to comply with your request for documents relating to a CAL-DNA Data Bank Program forensic DNA profile. California Penal Code section 299.5(a) exempts DNA Data Bank Program records from disclosure under the California Public Records Act. If this is a discovery request made in the context of a case, whether a state court criminal trial or appeal, or a federal habeas or other post-conviction matter, the request should be directed to opposing counsel for response under the controlling authority. DOJ is an investigative agency, and the flow of investigative information and test results takes place through attorney(s) representing the State. (See, e.g., Pen. Code § 1054 et seq.)
PRA-2018-00369	Amster (Jones)	Seymour	2/26/2018	1. The Record on appeal of the above referenced matter, including the Clerks Transcript the Reporters Transcript all briefs filed in this matter on behalf of the People of the State of California and all briefs received that were filed by the Petitioner. 2. All other records that are appropriate to be released pursuant to a public records request that pertain to this matter.		Completed	Janita Rembert-Austell	On March 8, 2018, an extension letter was sent. On March 27, 2018, Janita Rembert-Austell responded: As per your request, enclosed please find a list of documents that are included in our direct appeal file in People v. Timothy Jones, California Court of Appeal Case No. B086736. Your request for the Reporter's Transcript for this case must be denied, per Government Code section 69954, subdivision (d), which states: Any court, party, or person who has purchased a transcript may, without paying further fee to the reporter, reproduce a copy or portion thereof as an exhibit pursuant to court order or rule, or for the internal use, but shall not otherwise provide or sell a copy or copies to any other person or party. We understand this statutory provision to require members of the public who seek reporter's transcripts of court proceedings to acquire them only from the reporter, and not from public agencies that may acquire them in the course of litigation. This statutory directive is incorporated into the Public Records Act by Government Code section 6253, subdivision (k). The Clerk of the Court of Los Angeles County Superior Court in which the legal proceedings were conducted may be able to provide information concerning how to obtain transcripts of the proceedings. The documents that are both responsive to your request and which are permitted by law to provide to you comprise a total of 217 pages. As we indicated previously to you in our March 8, 2018 letter, we are authorized to charge \$0.10 per page for copying and administrative expenses, so the total due for documents themselves is \$21.70. You indicated that that you would like to pick up the documents and thereby you avoid additional charges for mailing. Should you desire to have the documents mailed to you, that would be an additional charge. Please make the check or money order in the amount of \$21.70, payable to: Department of Justice. If payment is not received within 30 days of this letter, we will assume you are not interested in obtaining these records and will consider this request abandoned.
PRA-2018-00370	Alexander	Jessica	2/26/2018	Murder/Homicide case between 1987-1990 involving a bludgeoned truck driver near the Lompoc, CA, area. Truck driver had attacked a woman (Cindy Lee Hart) that had been hitchhiking and the driver was murdered by the woman's minor child during the event.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.
PRA-2018-	Khundkar (GVRO)	Imran	2/26/2018	1. Any and all information or records obtained by the Department of Justice from 2016 to the present concerning Gun Violence Restraining Orders (i.e. restraining orders issued pursuant to Division 3.2 of Title 2,	Michel &	Completed	Robert Wilson	The Department only maintains statistical information on Gun Violence Restraining Orders. Please find enclosed the statistics we have in response to your request.

0037 1				Part 6 of the Penal Code) this includes but is not limited to law enforcement agencies seeking temporary orders and California courts issuing these orders. 2. Any and all information or records provided to the Sacramento Bee concerning Gun Violence Restraining orders within the last 3 years. This includes but is not limited to information provided to the newspaper, employee thereof, and/or Alexei Koseff for an article entitled See Where California is Taking Guns with Restraining Orders, August 01, 2017, <a href="http://www.sacbee.com/news/politics-government/capitol-alert/article164667177.html">http://www.sacbee.com/news/politics-government/capitol-alert/article164667177.html</a>	Associates			
PRA-2018-00375	Vanderlaan	Lisa	2/26/2018	I am seeking any and all records on Lisa Kay Vanderlaan Please. There have been some things brought to my attention and I need to know if I need to address them. Thank you for your assistance on this matter.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-00388	Ortiz	Irren	2/26/2018	I am writing to you on behalf of your report I received that have you signature from the Department of Justice Bureau of forensic services: Agency case number 6489 Dated 8-29-17. What I received is only a summary of your testing result. It only states that only heroin, morphine and codein residue contains. It doesn't give any amount of weight of the liquid contained or any percentage of the Controlled Substance found. I am in need of your readings found in your testing to help prove my innocence in this matter.		Completed	Shannon Patterson	We must decline your request for the reasons set forth below. You have requested laboratory testing results from this office. To the extent that these records exist, they are investigative files of the Attorney General and the DOJ that are expressly exempt from disclosure pursuant to Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f). In the case of Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354 the California Supreme Court held that investigative records in the possession of law enforcement agencies are exempt from disclosure. The Court also ruled that investigation documents did not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355.)
PRA-2018-00428	Triano	Laurie	2/26/2018	I continue to receive Identity fraud alerts about someone associated with my social security number & I am seeking how I can determine what public record is being reported with this person using or erroneously having my SS# as this has been occuring for quite some years. The names associated with my SS# are Lenora L Desilets, Leanore M Desilets, Lenora M Desilets, Lenore M Freid, Lenore F Desilets. All note her date of birth as [REDACTED].		Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens

				Is there any way to research who is reporting this public record & determine if this is just a typo or something so I can resolve these years of notices informing me someone else is associated with my SS#? I can provide my Drivers License, passport & SS card to prove that my # belongs to me, whatever is needed, so please just let me know.			is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Your request appears to be related to fraud or identity theft. Information on how to report fraud and identity theft can be found at <a href="https://www.justice.gov/criminal-fraud/report-fraud">https://www.justice.gov/criminal-fraud/report-fraud</a> ).
PRA-2018-00445	MacDanial	Robert	2/26/2018	"Please send me informaiton regarding me: Robert Yule MacDanial . . . regarding what is posted on my Meaghan Laws profile: 1) Current Risk Level 2) Criminal information that's released/posted 3) Any and all agencies that my information is released to and for what purpose. 4) The specific information regarding why I'm a registrant 5) And any information regarding appeals or challenges to posted information.	Completed	Michael Dolida	(1) Current Risk Level. Your current risk level- Static 99R is a 4, moderate-high risk. The assessment date was done on April 29, 2016. (2) Criminal information that's released/posted. Enclosed please find a print out of the information as posted on the Megan's Law website. (3) Any and all agencies that my information is released to and for what purpose. The Department of Justice does not release criminal history and/or sex offender registration information to other public agencies. Instead, your information is contained in confidential databases and only agencies with proper authority may access the data therein pursuant to California law. (4) The specific information regarding why I'm a registrant. As indicated on the Megan's Law public web site, you are required to register due to your Penal Code section 261, subdivision (2), conviction of rape accomplished against a person's will by force or violence. Pursuant to Penal Code section 290, subdivision (b), your conviction for a violation of Penal Code section 261 requires you to register. Additionally, Penal Code section 290.46 dictates which conviction(s) require a sex offender registrant to be displayed on the Megan's Law public web site. The Department of Justice displays sex offenders on the Website in strict accordance with Penal Code section 290.46 and has no discretion to exclude offenders on a case by case basis. The offense for which you were registered, not the surrounding factual circumstances of the conviction, determine whether you will be posted, pursuant to Penal Code section 290.46. (5) Any information regarding appeals or challenges to posted information. The California Sex Offender Registry is responsible for determining if any sex offender registrant who applies for exclusion from the Megan's Law public web site qualifies for exclusion. Registrants whose only registrable sex offenses are for the following offenses may apply for exclusion: (1) felony sexual battery by restraint (Pen. Code § 243.4, subd. (a)); (2) misdemeanor child molestation (Pen. Code § 647.6), or former section 647(a); (3) any offense which did not involve penetration or oral copulation, the victim of which was a child, stepchild, grandchild, or sibling of the offender, and for which the offender successfully completed or is successfully completing probation; or 4) felony child pornography convictions (Pen. Code § 311.1, 311.2 subd. (b), (c) or (d), 311.3, 311.4, 311.10, or 311.11) if the victim was at least 16 years of age or older and that fact is documented in an official court document which you must submit to DOJ. DOJ determines whether a person meets the requirements for exclusion, based on official court documents. Registered sex offenders who are granted exclusion from the Megan's Law public web site are still required to register as sex offenders.
PRA-2018-00376	Hong	Eric	2/27/2018	L A SUPERIOR COURT DEPT 134 Case number : BA44587702 Daniel Cho Date Of Birth: [REDACTED] I would like to get any public information available on this case.	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.

PRA-2018-00377	Cook (formerly Hursell)	Penny	2/27/2018	<p>I am unaware of what documents you may have on file that are considered a "public record" that I may have access to. I have put a quick case history in the comments section as the internet does list the entire, correct, data. Here is the information you need to access the record on file:</p> <p>Case #P873512, David Robert Hursell  Date of birth: [REDACTED] (not April 8th as listed on the Internet)  Caucasian, with mixed American Native heritage (Also not on websites and may make a DNA difference if skeletal remains are found)  Missing, presumed dead due to confession by Robert Charles Comer  David was killed by Robert Charles Comer on January 19 (not 12th), 1987 in Greenwood, CA, in the county of El Dorado. Robert admitted to killing him and dumping his body in the woods.  In interviews with EDC detectives, Robert gave descriptions of where he dumped David, as well as sharing information with my mother via correspondence. When Robert was executed in May 2007, the case pretty much went cold from there. Last year was the 30th anniversary of David's death. I am trying to spark life back into this case as I would like to find some shred of evidence that we have even a portion of David's remains to bury.  I am trying to access information that you may have that may be pertinent. I would like to have access to whatever you are willing to share with our family.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	
PRA-2018-00378	Sacchette	Edward	2/27/2018	<p>I would like copies of complaints filed under the Ralph Act (Civil Rights) that involve complaint based on political affiliation or perceived political affiliation or involvement in union labor matter.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>In general, we do not maintain, index or categorize our files based on the criteria provided in your request. I have conducted a search of my databases and consulted knowledgeable persons, and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information that can help us identify the documents you are seeking, such as case names, case numbers, and a specific time frame, we can conduct a more focused search of the records within the care and custody of our office.</p>
PRA-2018-00380	Hall	Edith	2/27/2018	<p>Sequoia Financial Services (Plaintiff) Address: 28632 Roadside Dr Suite 110 Agoura Hills, Ca 91301-6074  Edith S Garcia or Edith Garcia (defendant) Address: [REDACTED]  [REDACTED]  Dates: 2009/2011 Maybe  No case #  This case was an vehicle accident, while I was out of the country in 2000, my 30 years old daughter came to my house and got my car. Car was not insured while I was gone. Vehicle registered on my name. I recently saw hard inquiries 91/19/18) in my credit report by Sequoia Financial, I called them and they claimed to have a Judgement against me for that accident. This is the first time I have knowledge of this. I need to know if a judgement exists and what to do to dispute it. It has been 17 years since the accident. I'm 62 years old now and disable.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.  Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with a court, you may wish to direct your request to the office in which the judgment was entered.</p>
PRA-2018-00381	Ford	Larry	2/27/2018	<p>"Could you issue me a copy of the initiative called People's Fair Sentencing and Public Safety Act 0(2018? Also, could you give me a number of signatures that has been gathered and how many is needed to get on the ballot?"</p>		Completed	Emily Gargiulo	<p>Enclosed is a copy of Initiative 17-0046, "The Peoples Fair Sentencing &amp; Public Safety Act of 2018." This measure is currently in circulation. Our office does not have information regarding signature count. This completes our response to your request.</p>
PRA-2018-00382	Zabala (NBC)	Liberty	2/27/2018	<p>• A database or list or any equivalent document that includes the following information for San Diego County, California:  ○ Gross numbers of all 5150, 5151 and 5152 active holds at Naval Medical Center San Diego reported to the California Department of Justice.  Follow up on March 2, 2018: I am following up once again with this email I sent on Tuesday, February 27. 2018.  I'm working on a story today and I am on deadline (as mentioned in previous email).  Please see below.  Please send me a response from your office as soon as possible.</p>		Completed	Robert Wilson	<p>There are 498 active holds from the Naval Medical Center San Diego. Please note that a request for gross numbers for specific mental health prohibitions requires a specialized report to be ran by the Department. This is not information that is kept in the Department's ordinary course of business. Future requests for this information will only be produced upon payment of the appropriate fees. The Department has waived the fee in this instance.</p>

PRA-2018-00403	Olfati (February 2018)	Parvin	2/27/2018	<p>1. Any and all contracts, agreements, payments, emoluments, electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, memoranda, letters, documents, records, and/or writings that constitute, mention, refer to and/or relate to any DOJ communications and/or payments to, from, with, and/or about VCA Mueller Pet Medical Center (7626 Freeport Boulevard, Sacramento, CA 95882) and/or any of its' employees, veterinarians and/or technicians, including but not limited to any contracts, agreements and/or memoranda of understanding in force or in effect at any time in 2017 and/or 2018.</p> <p>2. Any and all contracts, agreements, payments, emoluments, home improvements, electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, memoranda, letters, documents, records and/or writings that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any communications to, from, with and/or about VCA Mueller Pet Medical Center and/or any of its' employees, veterinarians or technicians (including but not limited to Keidy Gropp, DVM; technician A. Martin; employee V. Rosa) regarding any Chihuahua dog or any Chihuahua dog named Kiko, including but not limited to any communication that charcoal should not be used to treat or diagnose Kiko.</p> <p>3. Any and all contracts, agreements, payments, emoluments, home improvements, electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, memoranda, letters, documents, records and/or writings that constitute, mention, refer to and/or relate to any communications to, from, and/or about VCA Mueller Pet Medical Center and/or any of its' employees, veterinarians or technicians (including but not limited to Keidy Gropp, DVM; technician A. Martin; employee V. Rosa) regarding Parvin Olfati, Patty Olfati, Ms. Olfati and/or Olfati and/or any dog believed to be cared for by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to any communication that charcoal should not be used to diagnose or treat any dog.</p> <p>4. For any documents, records and/or writings requested herein that DOJ claims have been destroyed or are otherwise unavailable, please provide any all records reflecting the claimed destruction or other reason for nonavailability, together with applicable records retention policies.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
PRA-2018-00446	Johnson	Miles	2/27/2018	<p>Raiublai Patel or Raiubhai Patel. Owner of property at [REDACTED]. Property owner at [REDACTED]. Also owner of [REDACTED].</p> <p>I request the correct spelling of his name for court purposes.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. Since you are seeking records related to property ownership, the records you seek may also be available through the County Recorder.</p>
PRA-2018-00447	Byczkiewicz	Donna	2/27/2018	<p>1. All Records Pertaining To Covert, Experimental Medical &amp; Psychological Treatment Of Homeless/ "Indigent" Persons In Pacific Beach &amp; San Diego During The Time Period Of 2013 To The Present.</p> <p>2. The Name Of The Doctor[S], Military Official, "Regents," Business Partners, Groups, Or Any And All Other Individuals And Entities Who Made The Decision To Medicate The Homeless Populations In San</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own

			<p>Diego With Lithium And Other Psych Drugs Without Their Permission And Consent, And Which Was Placed In Our Community Foods Where Elderly, Vets, And Children Were Eating, 3 Times A Week, And Was Manufactured At An Unmarked USDA Processing Facility Run By The Military That Packaged Food For Trader Joe's Homeless Program, Which Supplied Food For The 3 Homeless "Feeds" That Were Responsible For The Poisonings (We Have Blood Test Proof.)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The Name Of The Doctor Who Prescribed Lithium And Any Other Psych Drugs For Use On Unsuspecting And Vulnerable Homeless Populations In Pacific Beach And San Diego.</li> <li>- The Name Of The Military Commanding Officer In Charge Of The Jade Helm 15 Exercises In Pacific Beach, San Diego, And Any Reports Produced As A Product Of The Exercise There, Which Was Designated As A "Hostile Zone" For Purposes Of The Exercise, And Any And All Reports, Data, Or Relevant Documents From CIA, FBI, DOJ, DHS, NSA, NGA Or Other Wtf Alphabet Agency That Was Involved With Jade Helm 15 Hostile Exercises In San Diego.</li> <li>- All Information From The San Diego Fusion Center, Their Activities, Their Collected Data, And Especially Any And All Records About Their Collaborations Against Peaceful, Non-Violent Activists From The Occupy Wall Street Movement, Black Lives Matter, Idle No More, And Other Groups Fighting Against Massive Corruption And Crimes Against Humanity Via Targeting The Public In Secret, Or In Drugging Them For Purposes Of Covert Operations Or Experimentation Of Drugs With The Intention To Harm, Disrupt, Or Attempt To Cease The Activities Of These First Amendment Protected Activists</li> <li>- All Police Records Regarding The Policies Of The Homeless, Especially Any Programs Or Instructions On How To "Deal With" Homeless People, And Any And All Document Relating To The Perceived "Mental Health" Of The Homeless "As A Group" Or As Individuals, As Well As All Records Of Stingray Use On Obama Phones Related To The Homeless,</li> <li>- All Military Reports, Records, Recommendations Or Other Documents Related In Any Way To The Treatment Of Homeless In San Diego</li> <li>- Names Of All Decision-Makers For Homeless Policy In San Diego</li> <li>- Names Of All Doctors, Nurses, Medical Students, Health Care Workers, Psychiatrist, And Others In The Health Field In San Diego, And Especially Those Working Through The UCSD Homelessness Program That Offered Free Services To Homeless In The Pacific Beach Area, And Any Reports, Research, Papers, Documents, Recommendations Or Other That Were Produced Through Any USCD "Health System" Programs Or Individuals That Were "Treating" The Homeless,</li> <li>- Records Pertaining To Russian Doctor Olga Caplin, Psychiatrist At North Central Region Clinic, Who Trained During The Time Of The Infamous Russian Dissident Program That Considered Activists As "Mentally Ill," And Who Was Personally In Charge Of 3 Occupy Wall Street Activists Without Disclosing This Conflict Of Interest, And Any And All Records Pertaining To Reports On Myself, Donna "Piranha" Byczkiewicz, Eva Roufe, Or Nicole Gochmanosky, Who Are All Victims Of Her Treatment And Have Been Harmed And Are Direct Friends Of Mine In The Occupy Wall Street Movement,</li> <li>- Any And All Information Related To The Genocide, Drugging, Experimental Testing And Torture Of Any Activists And Homeless In San Diego, Including All Causes Of Death, Disappearances, And Surveillance, Especially Gang-Stalking And Other Unconstitutional Covert Operations By The Insane CIA FBI DHS NSA Or Any Other WTF Alphabet Agency That Had Anything To Do With Activists Or Homeless in the San Diego region, especially plans, proposals, and decisions made at the San Diego Fusion Center at 4181 Ruffin Rd in San Diego (which may no longer be operational since it appears the main operation is done)</li> </ul>			<p>behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the military, the city of San Diego, and other City, County, State, or Federal agencies, you may wish to direct your request to their respective offices.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- All correspondence, plans, &amp; decisions regarding the Homeless made by all City, County, State, Federal, or Military personnel</li> <li>- All emails from all parties involved</li> <li>- Anything not mentioned here that may arise and be correlative</li> </ul>				
PRA-2018-00533	Elinson (WSJ)	Zusha	2/27/2018	<p>Hi there - I wanted to find out how many gun violence restraining orders have been issued in California since the law went into effect. Last year, you sent me the totals for 2016 so I would just need the numbers for 2017. Thanks!</p>	Wall Street Journal	Completed	Audrey Durfor	Please find attached the 2017 gun violence restraining order statistics you requested.
PRA-2018-00332	Xu	Jennifer	2/28/2018	<p>By this letter, I am inquiring to have all of the copies, of the files and records from my staff and my kin niece Rixing Xu, the Math Department 3rd year student of the University of Washington since she was accepted. Her case is suspected involving with those overseas Chinese criminal females/males sexual sadism murders kidnapping gangs who need to be brought up to the JUSTICE for any harrasing/damages on my niece Rixing Xu and her family.</p> <p>I am my niece Rixing Xu's legal automatic guardian, petitioner, legal counselor, functioning as her personal attorney, and officially started two weeks ago after the legal announcement made in Newspaper of Daily People's News of P. R China due to her biological mother Yingmei Feng's mental disability to cooperate for my niece and my youth lead's well-being although Rixing Xu is an adult by her chronological age, she is still a child for our family as long as she is not married yet and she never left her parents to live alone or with others. She is an excellent student and fidelity, child for her parents and her aunts. I would like to pick up the copies in person.</p> <p>On March 13, 2018, the requester followed up: Thanks for your email and the attachment.</p> <p>I believe there is something very wrong with your responding letter that your office may need to pay the heavy price of the response for what you sent to us about my niece Rixing Xu DOB [REDACTED], a Canadian, born in Toronto, Canada (her biological parents are Kefan Xu (my kin brother) and Yingmei Feng), who is a Home girl (not the wild girl), who has not dated with no one yet because her priority for her life is the study and finishing her study degree in the college, who never left her parents and her family to stay by herself alone outside of her home with her young sister and her parents, who is a University tennis winner with all excellence in her all academic studies and her health relationship with her department and her schoolmates and her families and her friends. I have no ideas what your letter talked about. I believe your letter is misplaced to put on the wrong person with the wrong record because the online cyber computer crime is very aggressive today by those Chinese cold blood online criminals who stole money online, who stole other people's personal information online, who attacked slandering on other people online, who stole other people's properties online by manually changing all information, who kidnapping other people online, who made the pornography online, who watched the pornography online, who damaged all of the websites of the world online, etc..</p> <p>Please check into the letter you sent to us.</p> <p>I am asking for my niece's student record and educational record not any one's criminal record at all as her Chinese traditional culture heritage legal family kinship guardian who has her rights for her family members next generation's comprehensive care and protection under my care and protection from all crimes and any criminals' attacks regardless of what laws fall on what category because the Humanism and Family laws of the Confucianism are above all laws but the violence is beyond the laws. I just need my niece's update information and since she was admitted to the UW. Even if my niece were raped or sexually assaulted by any violence sons of the bitches Chinese or Blacks or</p>		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>March 1, 2018 clarification: The California Department of Justice has attempted to call you but was unsuccessful.</p> <p>This is in response to the attached request. To help me determine the appropriate program to handle this request, can you confirm if you are an attorney? If so, we will send you a packet to be completed as part of the verification process.</p> <p>March 12, 2018: After consulting with various programs within the California Department of Justice (DOJ), the California Justice Information Services Division has determined that it has no responsive records for this request. You may want to seek the requested documents from the University of Washington, as listed as a recipient of the request.</p> <p>In the event that you are requesting criminal offender record information maintained by the DOJ, your request would be denied. The California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to California Penal Code section 111076, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records. The only exception to this rule is an individual requesting his or her own records. California Penal Code sections 11120 through 11127 afford an individual the opportunity to obtain a copy of his or her own California state summary criminal history information to review for accuracy and completeness.</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p> <p>March 13, 2018 response from Nicole Arnaiz: The California Department of Justice (DOJ) does not receive or maintain student and/or educational records. As suggested in the response letter, you may want to seek these records from the University, as it would likely have student/education records in its possession.</p> <p>If you wish to report a crime, please contact your local law enforcement agency, such as city police department or sheriff's department. Additional information on how to report a crime to the DOJ office or another law enforcement agency can be found at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/report-crime">https://oag.ca.gov/report-crime</a>. The Public Records Unit is unable to process crime reports.</p>



				Whites, she is still our children next generation and she is still a girl who is damaged, harmed, injured, and destructed physically mentally emotionally socially spiritually by the demons regardless of how old she who is also one of my staff as the Youth Lead. My tolerance on any criminals or demons' attacking on my nieces is 0. I am forwarding this letter to the Superior Court of the Seattle King County for the slandering from your email on my niece Rixing Xu. The attached photo is one of my niece Rixing Xu's phone with her friend for the tennis. That female girl is my niece Rixing Xu iin 2014 the student of the Department of Math.				
PRA-2018-00384	Clayton	Lisa	2/28/2018	I would like any & all public records that come up when anyone searches me, whether it be for credit, employment or rentals for housing, please.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We note that your public records request is directed to the DOJ but seeks records from other departments, agencies, or private entities. Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. We do not have records fitting the description provided, and must therefore decline your request as we do not have custody or control over the subject matter records. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information that could be found on a credit report, we suggest that you contact the credit reporting agency directly.
PRA-2018-00385	Zuber	Michael	2/28/2018	I would like to request the list of properties and their owners that received Code Enforcement Violations between Sept 1, 2017 and Jan 31, 2018 for the Zip Code 93701. I appreciate your help in this request. Not sure how to structure the request so it is easy to perform so let me share my goal. My hope is I can find a list of properties in specific zip codes that are consistent violators of Code Enforcement. Let me apologize if my request is unclear or perhaps not specific enough. If you could send me an email with an outline or the major variables that make up a proper request that would be very beneficial. Thanks for your time		Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking property-related records for enforcement codes, you may wish to direct your request to the local agency that enforces property codes in that area.
PRA-2018-00386	Taylor	Daniel	2/28/2018	Marriage and divorce records for both of my marriages. First wife maiden name Karen Pulford, Second wife maiden name Rachel Wobrock.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA-2018-00387	Iyer	Ekta	2/28/2018	2018 franchise and sales tax permit records. Specifically I'm looking for new business permits from this year.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. The Department of Justice cannot produce records that are responsive to your request. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records that may be from another government agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that

							<p>agency. Our research into the matter shows that you may wish to contact the Board of Equalization. Information on how to request public records from their office can be found at <a href="http://www.boe.ca.gov/info/publicrecords.htm">http://www.boe.ca.gov/info/publicrecords.htm</a>. We hope the information we have provided is of assistance.</p>
PRA-2018-00389	Strugar	Matthew	2/28/2018	<p>Pursuant to the California Public Records Act, codified at Gov't Code §§ 6250-6277, I am requesting copies of any documents reflecting information of and for anyone in who is registered or has at any time been registered, whether active, inactive, or absconder, under California's Megan's Law (Penal Code § 290.46 et seq.) for a conviction of Sodomy (Penal Code § 286).</p> <p>I am not seeking all records that the Department has on any such individual, but simply the information that is available on the publicly-accessible registry, such as name, address, and date of last conviction. A print out of the registration page for any such registered individuals will suffice.</p> <p>I attempted to access this information from the registry itself, but it does not allow users to search by offense.</p> <p>If any of the documents described above are considered to be exempt from release, please: 1) redact the portions to which you are asserting an exemption and provide access to all reasonably segregable non-exempt portions; 2) specify the specific legal authority exempting such record(s) from disclosure; and 3) state with particularity the reasons that such records are deemed exempt in the location where any such redaction is made. See Cal. Gov't Code § 6253(a).</p>	Completed	Michael Dolidia	<p>Unfortunately, we must deny your PRA request for the following reasons: (1) Public access to confidential sex offender registration information is controlled by Megan's Law which, for database integrity reasons, limits such access to real time internet searches on the Megan's Law web site; and (2) Given the constantly-changing nature of the Megan Law's database, the public's interest is best served by limiting public access to confidential sex offender registration information that is accurate and up-to-date.</p> <p><b>Megan's Law Provides for Internet Access Only</b></p> <p>Most of the information provided by registering sex offenders during the registration process is confidential (i.e., not open to public inspection). (Pen. Code, § 290.021.) The same is also true for "criminal offender record information" kept in the Department of Justice's California Sex and Arson Registry ("CSAR").</p> <p>However, despite the confidential nature of this information, California provides members of the public with limited access to some of the sex offender registration information via the Megan's Law internet web site. Specifically, Penal Code section 290.46 provides that "the Department of Justice shall make available information concerning persons who are required to register pursuant to Section 290 to the public via an Internet Web site as specified in this section." (Pen. Code, § 290.46, subd. (a)(l), <i>italics added</i>.) The statute further requires the department to "update the Internet Web site on an ongoing basis." (<i>Ibid.</i>) As mentioned in the notes to this code section, the Legislature created the Megan's Law web site with the intent "that the Department of Justice [ would] continue to maximize all available resources to ensure the highest degree of accuracy in the sex registration database." (Pen. Code, § 290.46, Stats. 2004, ch 745, §. 2.) Additionally, the Legislature enacted Megan's Law with the intent that "California's infrastructure for collecting, maintaining, and disseminating information about registered sex offenders [ would] be retooled to ensure that law enforcement and the public have access to accurate, up-to-date, and relevant information about registered sex offenders." (Pen. Code, § 290.46, Stats 2006 ch. 337, § 2, subd. (c), <i>italics added</i>.) Thus, in order to help ensure and maintain the accuracy of this information, the Legislature limited the public dissemination of sex offender registration information to the Megan's Law web site.</p> <p>Government Code section 6254 sets forth various exceptions to the disclosure requirements under the PRA. Significantly for this matter, section 6254, subdivision (k), exempts from disclosure "Records, the disclosure of which is exempted or prohibited pursuant to federal or state law, including, but not limited to, provisions of the Evidence Code relating to privilege." (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k), <i>italics added</i>.) Thus, the PRA cannot extend the public's right of access to sex offender registration information beyond the limitations and restrictions set forth in Megan's Law. Consequently, the public's right of access to this information is limited to accessing the Megan's Law database.</p> <p><b>The Public Interest is Best Served by Limiting Access to Current and Accurate Information</b></p> <p>In your request, you state you are looking for current list of registrants' convicted of sodomy. Under Government Code section 6255, a government agency may withhold disclosure of a public record "by demonstrating ... that on the facts of the particular case the public interest served by not disclosing the record clearly outweighs the public interest served by disclosure of the record." (Gov. Code, § 6255, subd. (a).) We believe the circumstances in this case necessitate nondisclosure of the</p>

							<p>records that you seek from us.</p> <p>The information that appears on the Megan's Law web site is processed through a third-party vendor. The purpose of the web site is to provide members of the public access to the most current sex offender registrant data. Thus, the site, which is updated daily to reflect any new additions, deletions, and modifications to the registrant database, stores and displays only the current day's data.</p> <p>The Offender Registration Application Support (ORAS) Team is the internal unit within the Department of Justice that provides technology support and maintenance for CSAR, the State's repository for sex and arson registration information. Every day, ORAS creates a FTP file which contain a snapshot of the registration data for that day. Our vendor then downloads that file from our secure FTP site and stores the file on the hard drive of the server that creates the files used for the web site. Specifically, our vendor runs a program that takes that day's data and completely recreates the data files that are used by the web servers to provide the data to the public. Our vendor does not employ any SQL database or similar database for this process, only temporary ISAM files. No transaction or other record keeping measures are utilized. The previous day's data on the web servers is overwritten with the new data. The data is also overwritten on the computer where these daily data files are created.</p> <p>On our end, ORAS keeps a backup for seven days of the extract file that is sent to our vendor. However, the information on the backup files is not a mirror image of what appears on the public web site because it contains information that is excluded from public view during our vendor's processing of the information. Additionally, even if our vendor reprocessed the information from one of the backup files, we could not ensure the accuracy of all the information because of the ever-changing nature of the registration database. In other words, because the registration database changes on a daily basis (i.e., newly added registrants, newly removed registrants, and changes to registrant's data) even a delay of one day would result in a report that contained inaccurate data. Such results do not comply with the Legislature's stated intent "that the Department of Justice ... ensure the highest degree of accuracy in the sex registration database" (Pen. Code, § 290.46, Stats 2004 ch 745, §. 2) and "ensure that ... the public have access to accurate, up-to-date, and relevant information about registered sex offenders" (Pen. Code, § 290.46, Stats 2006 ch 337, §. 2, subd. (c)). Thus, the public interest certainly is not served by disseminating highly sensitive sex offender registration information that we know contains inaccurate information, especially when we know that accurate, up-to-date information is readily available online.</p> <p>Furthermore, given the highly sensitive nature of sex offender registration information, providing the public with inaccurate information could cause real public safety concerns. For instance, if registration information is disseminated about a person who is no longer required to register or be posted on the web site, that person may be subjected to undue harassment or even danger. Additionally, if a registrant moves, the person who occupies the registrant's old address may be mistakenly harassed or injured. And, as every day goes by, the obsolescence of the report and the inaccuracies contained within it continue to grow. Again, the public interest in receiving inaccurate sex offender registration information is clearly outweighed by nondisclosure.</p> <p>Finally, we receive a multitude of similar requests from members of the public, especially broadcast and print media outlets. Depending on the volume and requested frequency (i.e., daily, weekly, monthly) of such PRA requests if we were required to produce sex offender registration database information, the additional time and work required for responding to the requests could have a dramatic impact on our ability to successfully perform the core functions of CSAR, which in turn could affect public safety.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

PRA-2018-00390	Fisch	Karl	2/28/2018	<p>Pursuant to the California Public Records Act, Allied Progress requests access to and copies of certain correspondence between the Office of the Attorney General and the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB).</p> <p>Specifically, we request copies of all emails sent to, sent by, or carbon copying ("CC") any officials or employees in the Office of the Attorney General which were sent to, sent by, or carbon copied any of the following CFPB officials, employees, and offices between and including November 27, 2017 and the present:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>" Mick Mulvaney, Acting Director</li> <li>" Brian Johnson, Senior Advisor</li> <li>" Christopher D'Angelo, Associate Director of Supervision, Enforcement &amp; Fair Lending</li> <li>" Paul Sanford, Assistant Director of Supervision Examinations</li> <li>" Peggy Twohig, Assistant Director of Supervision Policy</li> <li>" Kristen Donoghue, Assistant Director of Enforcement</li> <li>" David Si berman, Associate Director of Research, Markets &amp; Regulations</li> <li>" John McNamara, Assistant Director of Consumer Lending, Reporting &amp; Collection Markets</li> <li>" Zixta Martinez, Associate Director of External Affairs</li> <li>" Cheryl Parker Rose, Assistant Director of Intergovernmental Affairs</li> <li>" Mary McLeod, General Counsel</li> <li>" John Coleman, Deputy General Counsel</li> <li>" Richard Lepley, Principal Deputy General Counsel</li> <li>" Edwin Chow, West Regional Director</li> <li>" The Office of Supervision Examinations - West Region</li> </ul> <p>Emails from the CFPB should be indicated by addresses ending in "@consumerfinance.gov" or "cfpb.gov."</p>	Allied Progress	Completed	Michelle M. Mitchell	We have conducted a search and have been unable to locate any responsive records.
PRA-2018-00391	Coburn	Jamie	2/28/2018	<p>All records searched/reviewed related to me (SSN [REDACTED]-DOB [REDACTED] and/or CA DL [REDACTED]) from the day of September 2016 through February 2018 and who requested them each time searched.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>

PRA-2018-00392	Jones	Kimberly	2/28/2018	Well, this is a shot in the dark. My great-grandfather, a native American in Northern California (Klamath, Shasta, Redding area) was attacked and blinded by a man in the late 1800's. His name was Andrew Jackson Draper/Davis (adopted). I know the man who attacked him was held in Folsom Prison. My cousin recently passed away and had a copy of either the court records or the prison records of this man. Her daughter has not gotten back to me with this information (if she even found it). I am researching family history and this is quite the conundrum within our clan. Any help you might be able to give me would be greatly appreciated.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Based on the time frame given in your request and description of the records sought, your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. Our office does not typically retain records that are that old. The Department of Justice cannot produce records that are responsive to your request. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records from another government agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Information on how to contact them can be found at <a href="http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html">http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html</a> . To the extent that you are seeking court records, you would need to contact the court.
PRA-2018-00393	Cabrera	Sophie	2/28/2018	1. A copy of all emails and correspondence between the CA Attorney Generals Office and El Dorado County between July 1, 2015 and December 31, 2016 regarding the investigation into the El Dorado County Grand Jury report 2014-2015 and the conduct of Auditor-Controller Joe Harn. 2. Copies of all reports or summaries by the CA Attorney General Office into the El Dorado County Grand Jury Report 2014-2015 and the conduct of Auditor-Controller Joe Harn. I understand that the 2014-2015 Grand Jury report was submitted to the California Attorney Generals Office for investigation, and that an investigation was conducted.		Completed	Jim Root	We must respectfully decline your request. The requested communications are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files and conclusions of the Attorney General, which do not lose their exempt status. (Raukukas v. Superior Court (2002) 104 Cal. App. 4th 169, 171-178; Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354-355.)
PRA-2018-00394	Tucker	Michelle	2/28/2018	I am a Public Contract Code compliance investigator with the Construction Industry Force Account Council (CIFAC). CIFAC is a non-profit organization devoted to Public Contract Code compliance and education. I came across a project that I have a few general questions on. See below. Do these types of projects have to go through a competitive bid process? Can you explain and provide a copy of the policy on these types on non-IT projects and how they are procured? Department of Justice 16-5061 N/A Re-Carpeting 9th and 13th Floors 06/01/2017 12/31/2017 \$277,177.00 0000000015 D & D FLOORS MBJSB NON-IT Services_Services are specifically exempt by statute Exempt by Law - Other (buyer must indicate law) - NON-COMPETITIVELY BID		Completed	Stacy Heinse	On March 2, 2018, Stacy Heinse sought clarification: I am in receipt of your request for information (on attached) and need to clarify which one of our offices this project was for? On March 12, 2018, Stacy Heinse responded: (D&D Floors for \$277,177.00) for re-carpeting the 9th and 13th floors: 1. Do these Lypes of projects have to go through a competitive bid process? • It depends on the amount of the contract. In the instance of the contract you reference, services were obtained by utilizing the Small Business option pursuant to State Contracting Manual section 5.80.A.9.i as the total amount of the services was under the \$291 ,000.00 threshold for this option for a public works contract. 2. Explain and provide a copy of the policy on these types of non-IT projects and how they are procured • State Contracting Manual section 5.80.A.9, et seq. states: "9. Small Business/DVBE Option (GC §§ 14838.5; PCC §§ 10335.5(c)(6), 10340(b)(6)). a. This option allows for an award under the following conditions: 1) The contract is awarded to a certified small business, micro-business or disabled veteran-owned business; 2) The contract award is greater than \$5,000 and less than \$250,000; and 3) Quotes were received from at least two certified small businesses or micro-businesses; or two certified disabled veteran-owned businesses. b. An award based on receiving only one quote is not permitted under this method. c. Mixing quotes ( e.g. one SB and one DVBE) is not permitted under this method. d. The code does not expressly require award to the low quote, however, if award will be made by other than low quote, the agency must document the business reasons and cost reasonableness basis for selecting the other quote. e. No particular format or timing is required under this option. Agencies have discretion as to how to obtain the quotes. Agencies should provide

								<p>vendors sufficient information about the services on which the vendors can formulate a quote, including copy of the proposed contract (e.g. scope, payment provisions, and terms).</p> <p>f. The small business preference is not applicable under this method.</p> <p>g. There is no protest right for this method. (GC § 14838.5.)</p> <p>Therefore, agencies should not cite protest provisions when soliciting quotes.</p> <p>h. Use of this method is capped at \$250,000 for the entire contract term, including any option years and/or amendments. If an agency believes the contract may exceed \$250,000, they should use a different solicitation method, such as an IFB or RFP. If quotes come in over \$250,000, the agency would need to resolicit, either scaling down the project to lower the quotes or using a different solicitation method.</p> <p>1. For public works, the dollar range for which this two-quote method can be used is \$5,000 to \$291,000 (or other project cost limit amount as may periodically be issued by the Director of Finance pursuant to PCC § 10105). (GC § 14838.7.)"</p>
PRA-2018-00395	Murgia	Bob	2/28/2018	MARRIAGE / DIVORCE		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-00396	Pepper	Emily	2/28/2018	<p>I'm trying to locate records for a charitable organization Cimas; in particular, all forms filed CT-1.</p> <p>Requester responded to clarification request: EIN is 05-0579315</p>	Greenberg Traurig	Completed	Tania M. Ibanez, SAAG	<p>Tania Ibanez sought clarification on February 28, 2018: We received your public records request today. Can you provide to us the EIN number for this organization or the number provided by the Secretary of State. Upon receipt of clarification, Tania responded: All of their records are on our database.</p> <p>Please follow these instructions:</p> <p>Go to <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/charities">oag.ca.gov/charities</a></p> <p>Under Resources &amp; Tools</p> <p>Click on Registry Verification Search</p> <p>Type in the EIN number without dashes</p> <p>Once you get your search result click on the charity's name.</p> <p>Scroll down and you will see all documents filed with us under Related Documents.</p> <p>The CT-1 Form is under Founding Documents.</p>
PRA-2018-00429	Lopez	Derrick	2/28/2018	<p>I am seeking any and all arrest information from the following subject, as we are conducting an investigation on: Subject Name: James Kenneth Rosa DOB: [REDACTED] Social Security Number: [REDACTED]</p> <p>I know one record exists from 12-1-1999.</p> <p>We are a Licensed Private Investigation Agency in Florida, Interlock Security Group (<a href="http://www.interlocksg.com">www.interlocksg.com</a>&lt;<a href="https://protect2.fireeye.com/url?k=7414a0b364f163ab.7414a0b3-0d6e4560cbf1822d&amp;u=http://www.interlocksg.com">https://protect2.fireeye.com/url?k=7414a0b364f163ab.7414a0b3-0d6e4560cbf1822d&amp;u=http://www.interlocksg.com</a>&gt;). We can provide a copy of the license at anytime if you instruct us on where to send it.</p>		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>Unfortunately, the Department of Justice (DOJ) is unable to comply with your request.</p> <p>The California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau. of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to California Penal Code section 11076, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records. The only exception to this rule is an individual requesting his or her own records. California Penal Code sections 11120 through 11127 afford an individual the opportunity to obtain a copy of his or her own California state summary criminal history information to review for accuracy and completeness.</p> <p>If you have further questions or concerns regarding a record review, please direct your correspondence to the Bureau of Criminal Information &amp; Analysis, Record Review Unit at P.O. Box 903417, Sacramento, CA 94203-4170. For additional information, the following is the Record Review website: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review">https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review</a>.</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If</p>

							you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PRA-2018-00448	Olfati (February 2018)	Parvin	2/28/2018	<p>1. Any and all contracts, agreements, payments, emoluments, electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, memoranda, letters, documents, records, and/or writings that constitute) mention, refer to and/or relate to any DOJ communications and/or payments to, from, with, and/or about any compact disc kept under the name of Parvin Olfati at the Sacramento Mailroom (1300 "I" Street, Sacramento, California 95814) of the California Department of Justice at any time in 2016, 2017 and/or 2018.</p> <p>2. Any and all documents, records and/or writings that mention, refer to, and/or explain the contents of any compact disc referred to in Request Item Number 1 above.</p> <p>3. For any documents, records and/or writings requested herein that DOJ claims have been destroyed or are otherwise unavailable, please provide any all records reflecting the claimed destruction or other reason for non-availability, together with applicable records retention policies.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
PRA-2018-00458	Fong	Brooke	2/28/2018	<p>Any investigative reports or police reports associated with Superior Court of California, County of Sacramento, case no. 09F08586 for the People of the State of California v. William Arthur Sassman, II, aka William-Arthur: Sassman, Second Generation.</p> <p>This case was handled by Senior DAG Ronald D. Smetana and Mr. Sassman pled guilty on November 22, 2010.</p>	Completed	Jim Root	You specifically request investigative and police reports associated with People v. William Sassman, case no. 09F08586. We must respectfully decline your request. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigation files of the Attorney General, and subdivision (k) exempts confidential law enforcement records. Moreover, where records may have been disclosed in a legal proceeding, the Attorney General has not waived applicable exemptions from the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254.S(b)).
PRA-2018-00468	Madala	Srinivasa	2/28/2018	<p>All correspondence from Cloverleaf Solutions Inc., an IT vendor for the Department of Justice office located at 4949 Broadway, Sacramento, including (a) emails, (b) responses to the RFOs, (c) resumes exchanged between DOJ employees (including procurement department), during the period Jan 2003 and March 1, 2018. Copies of RFO proposal responses submitted by Cloverleaf Solutions, Inc., to other State government procurement between Jan 2003 and March 1, 2018</p>	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>Extension sent 03-12-18</p> <p>Response sent on March 26, 2018: The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.</p>
PRA-2018-00494	Farrell	Cyrene	2/28/2018	<p>All documents related to the Attorney General's investigation of DAVID WAYNE WELLER (DOB [REDACTED], SSN: [REDACTED]) operating without a proper LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS license.</p> <p>All documents related to the Attorney General's investigation of DAVID WAYNE WELLER (DOB [REDACTED], SSN: [REDACTED]) improperly operating under the Landscape Architects license of ANTON CHAMBERLAIN and/or CHAMBERLAIN LANDSCAPING &amp; MAINTENANCE.</p> <p>All documents related to the Attorney General's investigation of DAVID WAYNE WELLER (DOB [REDACTED], SSN: [REDACTED]) attempting to improperly place liens on CHAMBERLAIN LANDSCAPING &amp; MAINTENANCE's customer accounts.</p>	Completed	Kent D. Harris	<p>A search of our computerized database has disclosed no records responsive to your request. Perhaps the Landscape Architect Technical Committee might have documents responsive to your request. Their contact information is as follows:</p> <p>Landscape Architects Technical Committee  Department of Consumer Affairs  2420 Del Paso Road, Suite 105  Sacramento, CA 95834  (916) 575-7320  www.latc.ca.gov</p>



## PRA Requests

Received From: 3/1/2018

Received To: 3/31/2018

Total: 232

Request ID	Request or Last Name	Requestor First Name	Received Date	Request Description	Requestor Business Name	Request Status	Responder	Response Summary
PRA-2018-00397	Vaquera	Trisha	3/1/2018	I would like property ownership and occupancy records for the property listed below. 3550 Christian Valley Road Auburn, CA 95602 Hello, I am a California native going to grad school in Canada. The address I listed for myself is my mother's home and my permanent address. I am currently shopping for a home in California and have found one that I love. Unfortunately, at the moment I am hesitating to put in an offer after using the Megan's Law website. I found that there is a registered sex offender living within a 15-minute walk. I would like to confirm who owns the property at 3550 Christian Valley Road Auburn, CA 95602 Additionally, if you could send any information regarding who currently lives at the address above, that would be helpful to guide my decision as to submit an offer on this property or not.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides.
PRA-2018-00398	Shardlow	Jonathan	3/1/2018	Any and all documents concerning the "Golden State Environmental Alliance" and the "Golden State Environmental & Social Justice Alliance," including but not limited to, all Notices of Commencement of CEQA actions, and the related CEQA Petitions for Writ of Mandate, existing from June 1, 2017 to the present.		Completed	Scott De Medeiros	Attached, please find copies of all documents responsive to your request. Pursuant to the phone call of March 6, 2018, your secretary stated that a response to this request via electronic mail would be sufficient to your needs and further would not trigger any duplication fees related to this request. Should you have any difficulty in opening or viewing these documents, please feel free to contact me at any time.
PRA-2018-00399	Heo	Elizabeth	3/1/2018	Documents served on the Attorney General's Office pursuant to California Insurance Code section 10134, et seq. from (02/01/2018 through 02/28/2018). Please contact me to arrange a time to inspect and copy these records.		Completed	Howard Wayne	We will provide you with such documents. Please contact Nancy Quach at 415-703-5648 to schedule time for you to review these records. If you wish to copy these records, you will need to provide your own scanning equipment.
PRA-2018-00400	Clift	Gerald	3/1/2018	I am requesting the number of homicides per year, where the weapon used was a rifle or a shotgun, committed by a person under the age of 21 but at least 18. I would like the data organized per year for the most recent 10 years you have. In addition to the number of homicides, I would like to see the number of murderers (using a rifle or a shotgun) in that age group (18, 19, and 20 year olds) per year as well. Page 30 (table 23) of the document below is formatted perfectly for the first part of my data request but unfortunately it groups 18,19, and 20 year olds with people 29 and younger. <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/downloads/pdfs/hm16.pdf">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/downloads/pdfs/hm16.pdf</a>		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	Unfortunately, the California Department of Justice (DOJ) does not collect the information that you are requesting. Note, table 30 (Page 23) displays the victim's age by weapon type not the suspect's age by weapon type. We do not have the suspect information. You may want to request this information from each county agency. The California DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PRA-2018-00402	Valencia	Moises	3/1/2018	I would like to request, if possible, a copy of 'The People's Fair Sentencing and Public Safety Act of 2018.'		Completed	Emily Gargiulo	Enclosed is a copy of Initiative 17-0046, "The Peoples Fair Sentencing & Public Safety Act of 2018." This completes our response to your request.

PRA-2018-00401	Rosero	Jacqueline	3/2/2018	Marriage license between: Jacqueline Barriga & Cesar Rosero [REDACTED]	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA-2018-00404	Kizer	Kathleen	3/2/2018	Documents received from and sent to John Muir Health, a California nonprofit public benefit corporation, and/or Tenet Healthcare Corporation and/or San Ramon Medical Center, in connection with the transaction in 2013 under which John Muir Health acquired 49% of San Ramon Medical Center. In particular, documents reflecting, discussing, analyzing, or appraising the value of San Ramon Medical Center.	Completed	Wendi A. Horwitz	With respect to your request, our office does not have any documents responsive to your request.
PRA-2018-00405	St John	Paige	3/2/2018	Under the California Public Records Act, we seek a copy of all memorandums of understanding and other agreements from 1/1/2013 to the current date regarding access to data within the Automated Criminal History System and/or the Offender Based Transaction Statistics extract of disposition data from ACHS. We seek a copy of the current technical manuals for the ACHS and OBTS report files. If it is not included in the technical manuals, we seek the physical description, file composition, file size, data elements and code definitions for the ACHS and OBTS databases. And we seek a copy of the research proposals submitted since 1/1/2008 for access to data or data extracts involving ACHS or the OBTS databases, and the corresponding response by the California Department of Justice or its divisions. I make this request on behalf of the Los Angeles Times and The Marshall Project. We seek these records in order to form an understanding of DOJ's collection and public use of criminal justice data as part of our purpose of informing the public on important public policy measures and public safety in California. If portions of this request are denied, please provide the statutory exemption allowing denial as well as your reason that release is not in the greater public interest. If records can be released with only portions of the file redacted, please do so rather than withhold the entire document. If there are questions that we can answer or clarifications that might simplify the response to this public record request or reduce the burden of response, please do not hesitate to contact us. Deepest regards, Paige St. John/Los Angeles Times <a href="mailto:paige.stjohn@latimes.com">paige.stjohn@latimes.com</a> < <a href="mailto:paige.stjohn@latimes.com">mailto:paige.stjohn@latimes.com</a> > Tom Meagher/The Marshall Project <a href="mailto:tmeagher@themarshallproject.org">tmeagher@themarshallproject.org</a> < <a href="mailto:tmeagher@themarshallproject.org">mailto:tmeagher@themarshallproject.org</a> >	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	Extension sent 03-12-18 The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. In regard to item (1), on the enclosed CD, you will find a memorandum of understanding providing access to the Automated Criminal History System (ACHS) and the language (as of 2010) of our California Law Enforcement Telecommunication System Subscriber Agreement that is executed between the DOJ and law enforcement and criminal justice agencies that seek access to any DOJ database. In regard to item (2), the DOJ does not have a technical manual for the ACHS. However, on the enclosed CD are two documents: the File Format Specification and the Researcher User Guide documents, which are currently sent to researchers if and when their requests are approved. These serve as an aid in understanding and interpreting the data. In regard to item (3), on the enclosed CD, you will find responsive documents.
PRA-2018-00406	Petrus	Nicholas	3/2/2018	Police report for a home break at 1635 Ignacio possible case number is 0666 including report of items stolen from garage and officers involved. Not entirely sure of the case	Completed	Erin Nickless	Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)

				number I believe that was what the officers had told me. Request is urgent for court			If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PRA-2018-00407	Ji ka	Miranda	3/2/2018	Looking for all records regarding Helpers Community Inc. (Federal exemption # 94-154-6037)	Completed	Elizabeth S. Kim	<p>All documents that are matters of public record regarding Helpers Community, Inc. are available for viewing at the public pages of the Registry of Charitable Trusts website: <a href="http://rct.doj.ca.gov/MyLicenseVerification/Search.aspx?facility=Y">http://rct.doj.ca.gov/MyLicenseVerification/Search.aspx?facility=Y</a>. You could search by using the "Organization Name" (Helpers Community, Inc.) or by using "State Charity Registration Number" (0035 12).</p> <p>To the extent your request seeks records that are subject to the exemptions listed below, we must decline your request.</p> <p>Some of the records you seek are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).])</p> <p>Some of the records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 2018.030, the attorney work-product privilege. The attorney work-product exemption absolutely exempts from disclosure any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that are maintained as confidential. It also is incorporated into the Public Records Act by Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k). (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Other attorney work-product information is also exempt unless a court determines that denial of the records will unfairly prejudice a party seeking the records.</p> <p>Under the attorney work-product exemption, records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office would be subject to this exemption, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>Some of the records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code§ 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.)</p> <p>In the present case, the attorneys in our department provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys concerning (specify subject matter) are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>Some of the records are additionally exempt from disclosure pursuant to the deliberative process privilege. The deliberative process privilege is applied to the Public Records Act through Government Code section 6255. It exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.)</p> <p>In the present case, records such as analyses, draft language, and memoranda concerning a particular complaint and/or investigation, communication, document, comment, or letter would be covered by the deliberative process privilege and, therefore, absent special circumstances would be exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6255. To the extent that the records you seek fall into this category, we deny your request for any such documents.</p>

PRA-2018-00441	Garcia	Armando	3/2/2018	Will you please provide me with the following record under the Public Records Act (Gov. Code, section 62501 et seq: 'The People's Fair Sentencing and Public Safety Act of 2018.		Completed	Emily Gargiulo	Enclosed is a copy of Initiative 17-0046, "The Peoples Fair Sentencing & Public Safety Act of 2018." This completes our response to your request.
PRA-2018-00460	Valdez-Araiza	Javier	3/2/2018	Deportation orders		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> . If you are wanting the information for a civil matter and need certified copies, he suggest that you direct your request to the Federal Bureau of Investigation.
PRA-2018-00467	Cerniglia	Steven	3/2/2018	In 1983 and 1988, the Sacramento Superior Court clerk, as required by California Rules of Court, Title 4 - Criminal Rules, Division 4 - Sentencing, Rule 4.320, Records of Criminal Convictions, forwarded to your office, sentencing documents related to the below stated Case Numbers. 1983 - Case Number 65500. Please provide all sentencing documents in your possession related to this case. 1988 - Case Number 86595. Please provide all sentencing documents in your possession related to this case.		Completed	A. Kay Lauterbach	All of our case file records are organized and maintained electronically in a case management software application called Pro law. After an exhaustive search of Pro law, we were unable to locate any records pertaining to case number 65500. As a result, we do not have any records to provide to you in response to your request for records in that case. If you have not done so already, you may try contacting the District Attorney's Office and/or state courthouse where the offense(s) were committed. These public agencies may have additional information in case files or court files that can be disseminated to members of the public. We have, however, located sentencing documents related to your 1988 matter, case no. 86595. Please find enclosed the following documents: 1) 1-25-89 sentencing minute order 2) 1-25-89 abstract of judgment 3) 1-25-89 probation report
PRA-2018-00617	Chavez (SacBee)	Nashelly	3/2/2018	1. Any correspondences to or from staff at the California Department of Justice between October 2017 and February 2018 regarding parolee Christopher Lawyer, DOB [REDACTED]. On April 17, requester followed up: I'm wondering if I should expect any responsive records being sent my way today?		Rolling Production	Jaimie Tackett	On March 16, 2018, an extension letter was sent. On March 30, 2018, our office responded: In conducting our search for records responsive to your request, we have checked with knowledgeable persons and searched logical places. While we have identified some responsive records, we have not yet completed this process. As a result, we will produce responsive nonexempt documents on a rolling basis. Attached is the first production, we anticipate providing the next production by April 16, 2018. We have redacted sensitive personal information from records based on considerations of personal privacy. (Art. I, sec. 1 of Cal. Con. as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.) For example, in our inquiries to Colorado Judiciary staff, we sought information about Mr. Lawyer and another individual. We have redacted the information pertaining to the other individual. We have also redacted Mr. Lawyer's date of birth, social security number, and the identification numbers assigned to him by law enforcement and criminal justice agencies. April 17, 2018 response: Enclosed is the second production of non-exempt records responsive to your records request received in the Attorney General's Office on March 2, 2018. As with the last production, we have redacted sensitive personal information from records based on considerations of personal privacy. (Art. I, sec. 1 of Cal. Con. as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.) For example, we have redacted the date of birth, social security number, and the identification numbers assigned to Mr. Lawyer by law enforcement and criminal justice agencies. Similarly, in our inquiries to Colorado Judiciary staff, we have also redacted the information pertaining to another individual. We anticipate completing our review of records within the next week. If there are any more non-exempt or partially non-exempt records, we should provide them to you by April 23, 2018. April 25, 2018 response: I apologize for the delay. The individual responsible for reviewing the documents has been out. We expect to have a final response to you later this week.

PRA-2018-00408	Danvers	Tasha	3/4/2018	Death record for Rhonda kennerson Armstrong Clarify Rhonda kennerson Armstrong death 46 from Moreno Valley California		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA-2018-00410	Admire	Elijah	3/5/2018	Oct 31, 1967 Case # 1967-10766 1967 Los Angeles john doe This John doe was identified recently, but I can not find a name for this former john doe. I am requesting either 1 his name Or 2 if name can not be released, the reason why. Would also like to know any other information about this person (i.e. where he's from, last known location, his family's last known location, race, etc) I have attempted to contact the LA county coroner but to no avail. I am requesting this info because It is believed that NOK could not be located is the reason why his name isn't released. If that is true, there are many people in the unidentified people community I ke myself that would do whatever they can to find nok so they can be notified of does death.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records based on the case number provided. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.
PRA-2018-00411	Smith	Stacy	3/5/2018	This request appeared to be in two parts: 1) "Please disclose any records related to Law Enforcement contacting 2yr old toddler in presence of adult parent without parent permission. Consider this a CPRA." 2) I am looking of information about Community Policing Policies/Conduct as it relates to law enforcement officials formally contacting the public, specifically contact with minors in the presence of supervising adults without gaining permission from said adults present. Please direct me to any source within the California Attorney Generals Office or otherwise that will clarify the above request.		Completed	Shannon Patterson	The procedures governing the conduct of the DOJ law enforcement agents are contained in the Law Enforcement policy and procedures manual. Here is the link: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/.../doj-law-enforce-policy-procedures-manual.pdf">https://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/.../doj-law-enforce-policy-procedures-manual.pdf</a>
PRA-2018-00412	Hadley	Elizabeth	3/5/2018	Raul Rodriguez AI6607 at Calipatria State prison Facility C. Looking to obtain the inmates violations he has received since being incarcerated at Calipatria State Prison.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. The Department of Justice cannot produce records that are responsive to your request. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records from another government agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Information on how to contact them can be found at <a href="http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html">http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html</a> .
PRA-2018-00414	Talla	Vasudha	3/5/2018	1. Records identifying the databases containing California DMV information that are access ble by law enforcement agencies, including DI-IS and its subcomponents, and further identifying the content and scope of each database; 2. Blank screen shots (i.e., without anyone's personal information displayed, or with personal information redacted) of all database screens used to convey DMV information through the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS), Nlets, or any similar electronic network, including those	ACLU	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	On March 15, 2018, an extension letter was sent. On March 29, 2018., Jaimie Tackett responded: On March 19, 2018, we sought clarification of the request and you narrowed the scope of the request to only include the DOJ databases that interface directly with the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV), information within those databases that pertain to the DMV, and the information obtained directly from the DMV. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. In regard to items (1), (2), (4), (5), (6), (7), (9), (10), (11), (14), (15), and (20), please



maintained by private companies; 3. Any records describing the information available through CLETS, Nlets, Cal-Photo about AB60 driver's licenses or the holders thereof; 4. Records identifying the databases, networks, or systems with which CLETS, Nlets, or Cal-Photo is linked or interoperable, including but not limited to those operated by federal, state, county, and local governmental entities and/or private entities; 5. Any records describing the interaction between CLETS, Nlets, and/or Cal-Photo; 6. Screen shots (with personal information redacted) showing the type of information that is available or transmitted through administrative messages in CLETS, Nlets, and/or CalPhoto; Screen shots (with personal information redacted) of all database screens in Cal-Photo and showing all fields that may be populated, including the Cal-Photo Image Record and the DMV Record response; 8. All restriction codes that appear in Cal-Photo, including the meaning of each restriction codes; 9. Records describing the local, state, and federal law enforcement agencies that have user accounts or access to CLETS, Nlets, and/or Cal-Photo, including current and pending memoranda of understanding or other agreements entered into by the State of California and/or its agencies, including the DMV, with DI-IS and/or its subcomponents; 10. Any records regarding different levels, degrees, or types of access to DMV information available through CLETS, Nlets, and/or Cal-Photo, including any limits imposed on the sharing of DMV information or photographs; 11. Blank screen shots of the forms or screens that DHS and/or its subcomponents use to request DMV information or records through CLETS, Nlets, Cal-Photo and any similar electronic network, and the responses thereto; 12. Records describing any ability available to DI-IS and/or its subcomponents to engage in bulk download of information from CLETS, Nlets, and/or Cal-Photo; 13. The permissible "reason codes," "purpose codes," or other explanations of individual inquiries that law enforcement agencies, including DHS and/or its subcomponents, may provide in order to obtain DMV information or records through CLETS, Nlets, and/or Cal-Photo; 14. Any records regarding the approval process followed by the State of California and/or its agencies to determine whether the reasons provided by law enforcement agencies are sufficient to obtain information or records from the DMV through CLETS, Nlets, and/or Cal-Photo; 15. Any records regarding the criteria for approving and rejecting law enforcement agency requests to obtain information from the DMV through CLETS, Nlets, and/or Cal-Photo; 16. Any records relating to audits conducted of the access to and use of DMV information available through CLETS, Nlets, and/or Cal-Photo, including by DHS and/or its subcomponents; 17. Records documenting all DMV transactions in Cal-Photo by DHS and/or its subcomponents between January 1, 2017 and present, including any DMV Audit Searches performed through Cal-Photo; 18. Records documenting all DMV database searches or access to DMV information in CLETS by DHS and/or its subcomponents between January 1, 2017 and present, including any journal searches or other queries in CLETS; 19. Records of any Nlets Monthly Statistical

see attached responsive documents. Note, for item (2), although the DOJ provided the entire manual previously, the attached document has since been developed which is specific to what is being requested. In regard to item (3), the DOJ does not have responsive documents to this request as nothing in the DOJ's systems indicate which individuals are AB 60 driver's license holders. In regard to item (5), the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS) interacts with Nlets according to Nlets specifications. Attached you will find the Nlets User Policy, as well as the Nlets Monthly Statistical Reports for October 2017 through February 2018. You can also refer to the Nlets Wiki Page at [http://wiki.nlets.org/index.php/Main\\_Page](http://wiki.nlets.org/index.php/Main_Page), the Nlets website at <http://www.nlets.org>, or the Nlets Help Desk at 1-800-528-4020. Note, CLETS and Cal-Photo do not interact with each other; they are independent of one another. In regard to items (8), ( 12), and (21 ), the DOJ does not have responsive documents for these requests. In regard to item (13), the "Reason for Access" field is a mandatory field required in CalPhoto. However, it is a text field, so there are no standard reason or purpose codes. See attached, specifically, #2 notes that the user has to enter the "Reason for Access." For item (14), the purpose of the CLETS is to provide an efficient law enforcement telecommunications network to all law enforcement agencies. (Gov. Code, section 15151.) Thus, once agencies are given CLETS user status, the DOJ does not screen data inquiries although some programs require a "purpose code" as part of the inquiry. However, the DOJ does provide recommendations of permissible reason codes for accessing the DMV information, see attached responsive documents. In regard to item (16), see attached. Specifically, Section VII. Cal-Photo Confidentiality, # 1. In regard to items (17) and (18), the information you have requested is exempt from disclosure under the PRA. CLETS is a telecommunication system created exclusively for the use of law enforcement agencies, and is not available to members of the public. (Gov. Code, sections 15152-15153.) These confidentiality provisions are incorporated into the PRA through section 6254, subdivision (k) which incorporates confidentiality provisions contained elsewhere in state or federal law into the PRA. Similarly, web Cal-Photo or direct interface DMV connections created for CLETS users by the DOJ are similarly exempt as access to these databases is provided exclusively to law enforcement agencies for the conduct of official business. The Legislature has already made its determination regarding the confidentiality of CLETS activity and thereby has established that the public interest in nondisclosure of both the data acquired by the law enforcement agencies and DOJ's records of those same transactions regarding the same users under the same circumstances clearly outweighs the public interest in disclosure. (See Gov. Code, section 6255 [records exempt where public interest in nondisclosure clearly outweighs public interest in disclosure] .) Because your request seeks confidential law enforcement information that is exempt from disclosure, we must decline your request. For item (19), see response to item (5). April 16, 2018 response: The requested requests were sent to you via email on March 29, 2018 and again on April 10, 2018, pursuant to your follow-up below. Would you prefer that we mail a hard copy?

				<p>Reports created for the months of January 2017 through present; 20. All CLETS, Nlets, and/or Cal-Photo user manuals that pertain to accessing and sharing information between the DMV and law enforcement agencies, including DHS and/or its agencies, including the CLETS Operating Manual; the CLETS Policies, Practices and Procedures; the DMV Manual for CLETS; the Nlets User and User Policy Manual; and the Cal-Photo User Guide; and 21. All records, including policies, guidance documents, and audits or reports, concerning the access or interoperability of commercial Automated License Plate Reader ("ALPR") databases with CLETS, Nlets, and/or California law enforcement agencies' ALPR data.</p> <p>April 19, 2018 follow up to request: I am writing regarding my February 28, 2018 request under the California Public Records Act ("PRA")), received by your office on March 5, 2018. My PRA request seeks records regarding the circumstances in and processes through which information held by your agency about driver's licenses, vehicle registration, and license plates may be shared with other law enforcement agencies.</p> <p>On March 15, 2018, you invoked a fourteen-day extension to respond to the PRA request beyond the ordinary 10-day statutory deadline for responses. The deadline, thus extended, was March 29, 2018. Over ten additional days have passed since March 29, 2018 and we have received no substantive response to the PRA request.</p> <p>Please send me the requested records without further delay or contact me to explain why you have not done so, either by email at vtalla@aclunc.org or mail at the address shown below. Again, we request that you waive any fees that would be normally applicable to a Public Records Act request. In addition, if you have the records in electronic form you can simply email them to me without incurring any copying costs. See Gov't. Code § 6253.9. Thank you in advance for your timely cooperation.</p> <p>April 16, 2018 follow up: Please find attached a letter regarding my February 28, 2018 PRA request, which DOJ received on March 5, 2018. We await a response from DOJ on this request.</p>			
PRA-2018-00415	Dumett	Tania	3/5/2018	Seeking my divorce records.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Referred to family court via telephone.
PRA-2018-00416	Mourad	Ayman	3/5/2018	<p>A certified copy of the entire investigative and/or prosecutorial file prepared by the Department of Justice regarding Case Name, Opdyke, Alicia, Matter ID. LA2015104919, including but not limited to: (1) the names and addresses of witnesses or persons involved in the incident; (2) the statements of all witnesses, including any audio recorded or video-taped interviews; all diagrams or graphic representations created for investigative purposes; all medical records, medical opinions, or medical examinations related to the incident; and any other related writings.</p>	Completed	Susan E. Melton	In response to this request, we are providing all of our investigative reports. The investigative reports being provided are the only records in our possession responsive to the request. However, we were not the prosecutorial agency and therefore, cannot produce any records outside of our investigative reports. Any prosecutorial documents are with the Los Angeles District Attorney's Office.
PRA-2018-00418	Miller	Steven	3/5/2018	<p>All records pertaining to or related to California Reporting Information System (CRIS) transaction number [REDACTED] for firearm serial number [REDACTED].</p>	Completed	Robert Wilson	Under the PRA, government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) The Department does not release personal



				Electronic files and database screen captures via email are acceptable. Please include all process documents, emails, notes, inquiries, and correspondence related to this transaction. I submitted this registration request on November 13, 2017. It is now March 2018 and I wish to know why you have not processed this registration.			information through the Public Records Act process because, in doing so, it makes the information available to the public at large. Not only might that create adverse consequences for you, but it also increases the potential for an attack on the Department's information technology systems. (See Gov. Code, § 6254.19 [Nothing in this chapter shall be construed to require the disclosure of an information security record of a public agency, if, on the facts of the particular case, disclosure of that record would reveal vulnerabilities to, or otherwise increase the potential for an attack on, an information technology system of a public agency ....].) Moreover, Penal Code Section 11106 requires DOJ to retain certain firearms information, including copies of fingerprints, copies of CCW permits, and "information reported to the Department of Justice pursuant to Section 26225." DOJ must disclose this information "upon proper application ... to the officers referred to in Section 11105." (Pen. Code § 11106 (a).) California courts have consistently held that data subject to the disclosure limits in Penal Code Section 11105 may be disclosed only to the individuals authorized by that section to receive the information. For example, the California Supreme Court has noted that Penal Code Section 11105 "prohibits furnishing such information to persons other than those listed therein "or as provided by law."" (Hill v. Superior Court (1974) 10 Cal3d 812, 818.) Therefore, information protected by Penal Code Section 111 OS is not subject to public disclosure in response to a PRA request. (Younger v. Berkeley City Council (1975) 45 Cal. App. 3d 825, 832.) The "restrictions upon release of such information are so carefully set out in the Penal Code sections 11106, 11081 and 11105 ... that it is inconceivable that the general terms of the Public Records Act were intended to render them void." (Ibid.) State law specifies both the persons who are authorized to obtain firearms records, and the purposes that justify the DOJ's retention and disclosure of those records and therefore impliedly prohibits the release of such information to other persons, or for other purposes. The maxim of statutory construction "expressio uni us est exclusio alterius" applies: the express inclusion of some things in a statute necessarily means the exclusion of other things not expressly included. (Fischer v. Los Angeles Unified School Dist. (1999) 70 Cal.App.4th 87, 97.) Firearms records retained by the DOJ as described in Section 11106 are subject to disclosure only to the individuals listed in Section 11105. As such, we must deny your requests.
PRA-2018-00419	Miller	Steven	3/5/2018	All records pertaining to or related to California Reporting Information System (CRIS) transaction number [REDACTED] for firearm serial number [REDACTED]. Electronic files and database screen captures via email are acceptable. Please include all process documents, emails, notes, inquiries, and correspondence related to this transaction. I submitted this registration request last November and it is now March. I am interested in knowing why you have not processed this transaction. Thanks in advance!	Completed	Robert Wilson	Under the PRA, government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) The Department does not release personal information through the Public Records Act process because, in doing so, it makes the information available to the public at large. Not only might that create adverse consequences for you, but it also increases the potential for an attack on the Department's information technology systems. (See Gov. Code, § 6254.19 [Nothing in this chapter shall be construed to require the disclosure of an information security record of a public agency, if, on the facts of the particular case, disclosure of that record would reveal vulnerabilities to, or otherwise increase the potential for an attack on, an information technology system of a public agency ....].) Moreover, Penal Code Section 11106 requires DOJ to retain certain firearms information, including copies of fingerprints, copies of CCW permits, and "information reported to the Department of Justice pursuant to Section 26225." DOJ must disclose this information "upon proper application ... to the officers referred to in Section 11105." (Pen. Code § 11106 (a).) California courts have consistently held that data subject to the disclosure limits in Penal Code Section 11105 may be disclosed only to the individuals authorized by that section to receive the information. For example, the California Supreme Court has noted that Penal Code Section 11105 "prohibits furnishing such information to persons other than those listed therein "or as provided by law."" (Hill v. Superior Court (1974) 10 Cal3d 812, 818.) Therefore, information protected by Penal Code Section 111 OS is not subject to public disclosure in response to a PRA request. (Younger v. Berkeley City Council (1975) 45 Cal. App. 3d 825, 832.) The "restrictions upon release of such information are so carefully set out in the Penal Code sections 11106, 11081 and 11105 ... that it is inconceivable that the general terms of the Public Records Act were intended to render them void." (Ibid.) State law specifies both the persons who are authorized to obtain firearms records, and the purposes that justify the DOJ's retention and disclosure of those records and therefore impliedly prohibits the release of such information to other persons, or for

							other purposes. The maxim of statutory construction "expressio uni us est exclusio alterius" applies: the express inclusion of some things in a statute necessarily means the exclusion of other things not expressly included. (Fischer v. Los Angeles Unified School Dist. (1999) 70 Cal.App.4th 87, 97.) Firearms records retained by the DOJ as described in Section 11106 are subject to disclosure only to the individuals listed in Section 11105. As such, we must deny your requests.
PRA-2018-00420	Miller	Steven	3/5/2018	All records pertaining to or related to California Reporting Information System (CRIS) transaction number [REDACTED] for firearm serial number [REDACTED]. Electronic files and database screen captures via email are acceptable. Please include all process documents, emails, notes, inquiries, and correspondence related to this transaction. I submitted this registration request in November, 2017, and it is now March 2018 and this request has still not been processed. I wish to understand why that is. Thanks in advance.	Completed	Robert Wilson	Under the PRA, government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) The Department does not release personal information through the Public Records Act process because, in doing so, it makes the information available to the public at large. Not only might that create adverse consequences for you, but it also increases the potential for an attack on the Department's information technology systems. (See Gov. Code, § 6254.19 [Nothing in this chapter shall be construed to require the disclosure of an information security record of a public agency, if, on the facts of the particular case, disclosure of that record would reveal vulnerabilities to, or otherwise increase the potential for an attack on, an information technology system of a public agency ....].) Moreover, Penal Code Section 11106 requires DOJ to retain certain firearms information, including copies of fingerprints, copies of CCW permits, and "information reported to the Department of Justice pursuant to Section 26225." DOJ must disclose this information "upon proper application ... to the officers referred to in Section 11105." (Pen. Code § 11106 (a).) California courts have consistently held that data subject to the disclosure limits in Penal Code Section 11105 may be disclosed only to the individuals authorized by that section to receive the information. For example, the California Supreme Court has noted that Penal Code Section 11105 "prohibits furnishing such information to persons other than those listed therein "or as provided by law."" (Hill v. Superior Court (1974) 10 Cal3d 812, 818.) Therefore, information protected by Penal Code Section 11105 is not subject to public disclosure in response to a PRA request. (Younger v. Berkeley City Council (1975) 45 Cal. App. 3d 825, 832.) The "restrictions upon release of such information are so carefully set out in the Penal Code sections 11106, 11081 and 11105 ... that it is inconceivable that the general terms of the Public Records Act were intended to render them void." (Ibid.) State law specifies both the persons who are authorized to obtain firearms records, and the purposes that justify the DOJ's retention and disclosure of those records and therefore impliedly prohibits the release of such information to other persons, or for other purposes. The maxim of statutory construction "expressio uni us est exclusio alterius" applies: the express inclusion of some things in a statute necessarily means the exclusion of other things not expressly included. (Fischer v. Los Angeles Unified School Dist. (1999) 70 Cal.App.4th 87, 97.) Firearms records retained by the DOJ as described in Section 11106 are subject to disclosure only to the individuals listed in Section 11105. As such, we must deny your requests.
PRA-2018-00421	Miller	Steven	3/5/2018	All records pertaining to or related to California Reporting Information System (CRIS) transaction number [REDACTED] for firearm serial number [REDACTED]. Electronic files and database screen captures via email are acceptable. Please include all process documents, emails, notes, inquiries, and correspondence related to this transaction. I submitted this registration request in November 2017 and it is now March 2018 and this transaction has still not been addressed. I wish to understand the process so that I will know why my transaction has not been processed. Thanks in advance.	Completed	Robert Wilson	Under the PRA, government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) The Department does not release personal information through the Public Records Act process because, in doing so, it makes the information available to the public at large. Not only might that create adverse consequences for you, but it also increases the potential for an attack on the Department's information technology systems. (See Gov. Code, § 6254.19 [Nothing in this chapter shall be construed to require the disclosure of an information security record of a public agency, if, on the facts of the particular case, disclosure of that record would reveal vulnerabilities to, or otherwise increase the potential for an attack on, an information technology system of a public agency ....].) Moreover, Penal Code Section 11106 requires DOJ to retain certain firearms information, including copies of fingerprints, copies of CCW permits, and "information reported to the Department of Justice pursuant to Section 26225." DOJ must disclose this information "upon proper application ... to the officers referred to in Section 11105." (Pen. Code § 11106 (a).) California courts have consistently held that data subject to the disclosure limits in Penal Code Section 11105 may be disclosed only to the individuals authorized by that section to receive the information. For example, the California Supreme Court has noted that Penal Code Section 11105 "prohibits

							furnishing such information to persons other than those listed therein "or as provided by law." (Hill v. Superior Court (1974) 10 Cal3d 812, 818.) Therefore, information protected by Penal Code Section 111 OS is not subject to public disclosure in response to a PRA request. (Younger v. Berkeley City Council (1975) 45 Cal. App. 3d 825, 832.) The "restrictions upon release of such information are so carefully set out in the Penal Code sections 11106, 11081 and 11105 ... that it is inconceivable that the general terms of the Public Records Act were intended to render them void." (Ibid.) State law specifies both the persons who are authorized to obtain firearms records, and the purposes that justify the DOJ's retention and disclosure of those records and therefore impliedly prohibits the release of such information to other persons, or for other purposes. The maxim of statutory construction "expressio uni us est exclusio alterius" applies: the express inclusion of some things in a statute necessarily means the exclusion of other things not expressly included. (Fischer v. Los Angeles Unified School Dist. (1999) 70 Cal.App.4th 87, 97.) Firearms records retained by the DOJ as described in Section 11106 are subject to disclosure only to the individuals listed in Section 11105. As such, we must deny your requests.
PRA-2018-00422	Miller	Steven	3/5/2018	All records pertaining to or related to California Reporting Information System (CRIS) transaction number [REDACTED] for firearm serial number [REDACTED]. Electronic files and database screen captures via email are acceptable. Please include all process documents, emails, notes, inquiries, and correspondence related to this transaction. I submitted this registration request in November 2017 and it is now March 2018 and it still has not been processed. I wish to understand the process so that I will know why this is the case. Thanks in advance.	Completed	Robert Wilson	Under the PRA, government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) The Department does not release personal information through the Public Records Act process because, in doing so, it makes the information available to the public at large. Not only might that create adverse consequences for you, but it also increases the potential for an attack on the Department's information technology systems. (See Gov. Code, § 6254.19 [Nothing in this chapter shall be construed to require the disclosure of an information security record of a public agency, if, on the facts of the particular case, disclosure of that record would reveal vulnerabilities to, or otherwise increase the potential for an attack on, an information technology system of a public agency ....].) Moreover, Penal Code Section 11106 requires DOJ to retain certain firearms information, including copies of fingerprints, copies of CCW permits, and "information reported to the Department of Justice pursuant to Section 26225." DOJ must disclose this information "upon proper application ... to the officers referred to in Section 11105." (Pen. Code § 11106 (a).) California courts have consistently held that data subject to the disclosure limits in Penal Code Section 11105 may be disclosed only to the individuals authorized by that section to receive the information. For example, the California Supreme Court has noted that Penal Code Section 11105 "prohibits furnishing such information to persons other than those listed therein "or as provided by law." (Hill v. Superior Court (1974) 10 Cal3d 812, 818.) Therefore, information protected by Penal Code Section 111 OS is not subject to public disclosure in response to a PRA request. (Younger v. Berkeley City Council (1975) 45 Cal. App. 3d 825, 832.) The "restrictions upon release of such information are so carefully set out in the Penal Code sections 11106, 11081 and 11105 ... that it is inconceivable that the general terms of the Public Records Act were intended to render them void." (Ibid.) State law specifies both the persons who are authorized to obtain firearms records, and the purposes that justify the DOJ's retention and disclosure of those records and therefore impliedly prohibits the release of such information to other persons, or for other purposes. The maxim of statutory construction "expressio uni us est exclusio alterius" applies: the express inclusion of some things in a statute necessarily means the exclusion of other things not expressly included. (Fischer v. Los Angeles Unified School Dist. (1999) 70 Cal.App.4th 87, 97.) Firearms records retained by the DOJ as described in Section 11106 are subject to disclosure only to the individuals listed in Section 11105. As such, we must deny your requests.
PRA-2018-00423	Miller	Steven	3/5/2018	All records pertaining to or related to California Reporting Information System (CRIS) transaction number [REDACTED] for firearm serial number [REDACTED]. Electronic files and database screen captures via email are acceptable. Please include all process documents, emails, notes, inquiries, and correspondence related to this transaction. I submitted this registration request in November 2017 and it is now now March 2018 and this	Completed	Robert Wilson	Under the PRA, government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) The Department does not release personal information through the Public Records Act process because, in doing so, it makes the information available to the public at large. Not only might that create adverse consequences for you, but it also increases the potential for an attack on the Department's information technology systems. (See Gov. Code, § 6254.19 [Nothing in this chapter shall be construed to require the disclosure of an information security record of a public agency, if, on the facts of the particular case, disclosure of

				registration has not been processed. I wish to understand the process so that I will know why.			that record would reveal vulnerabilities to, or otherwise increase the potential for an attack on, an information technology system of a public agency ....].) Moreover, Penal Code Section 11106 requires DOJ to retain certain firearms information, including copies of fingerprints, copies of CCW permits, and "information reported to the Department of Justice pursuant to Section 26225." DOJ must disclose this information "upon proper application ... to the officers referred to in Section 11105." (Pen. Code § 11106 (a).) California courts have consistently held that data subject to the disclosure limits in Penal Code Section 11105 may be disclosed only to the individuals authorized by that section to receive the information. For example, the California Supreme Court has noted that Penal Code Section 11105 "prohibits furnishing such information to persons other than those listed therein "or as provided by law."" (Hill v. Superior Court (1974) 10 Cal3d 812, 818.) Therefore, information protected by Penal Code Section 111 OS is not subject to public disclosure in response to a PRA request. (Younger v. Berkeley City Council (1975) 45 Cal. App. 3d 825, 832.) The "restrictions upon release of such information are so carefully set out in the Penal Code sections 11106, 11081 and 11105 ... that it is inconceivable that the general terms of the Public Records Act were intended to render them void." (Ibid.) State law specifies both the persons who are authorized to obtain firearms records, and the purposes that justify the DOJ's retention and disclosure of those records and therefore impliedly prohibits the release of such information to other persons, or for other purposes. The maxim of statutory construction "expressio uni us est exclusio alterius" applies: the express inclusion of some things in a statute necessarily means the exclusion of other things not expressly included. (Fischer v. Los Angeles Unified School Dist. (1999) 70 Cal.App.4th 87, 97.) Firearms records retained by the DOJ as described in Section 11106 are subject to disclosure only to the individuals listed in Section 11105. As such, we must deny your requests.
PRA-2018-00424	Miller	Steven	3/5/2018	<p>All records pertaining to or related to California Reporting Information System (CRIS) transaction number [REDACTED] for firearm serial number [REDACTED].</p> <p>Electronic files and database screen captures via email are acceptable. Please include all process documents, emails, notes, inquiries, and correspondence related to this transaction. I submitted this registration request in November 2017 and it is now March 2018. I wish to understand the process and hopefully will know why this transaction has not been addressed.</p>	Completed	Robert Wilson	<p>Under the PRA, government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) The Department does not release personal information through the Public Records Act process because, in doing so, it makes the information available to the public at large. Not only might that create adverse consequences for you, but it also increases the potential for an attack on the Department's information technology systems. (See Gov. Code, § 6254.19 [Nothing in this chapter shall be construed to require the disclosure of an information security record of a public agency, if, on the facts of the particular case, disclosure of that record would reveal vulnerabilities to, or otherwise increase the potential for an attack on, an information technology system of a public agency ....].) Moreover, Penal Code Section 11106 requires DOJ to retain certain firearms information, including copies of fingerprints, copies of CCW permits, and "information reported to the Department of Justice pursuant to Section 26225." DOJ must disclose this information "upon proper application ... to the officers referred to in Section 11105." (Pen. Code § 11106 (a).) California courts have consistently held that data subject to the disclosure limits in Penal Code Section 11105 may be disclosed only to the individuals authorized by that section to receive the information. For example, the California Supreme Court has noted that Penal Code Section 11105 "prohibits furnishing such information to persons other than those listed therein "or as provided by law."" (Hill v. Superior Court (1974) 10 Cal3d 812, 818.) Therefore, information protected by Penal Code Section 111 OS is not subject to public disclosure in response to a PRA request. (Younger v. Berkeley City Council (1975) 45 Cal. App. 3d 825, 832.) The "restrictions upon release of such information are so carefully set out in the Penal Code sections 11106, 11081 and 11105 ... that it is inconceivable that the general terms of the Public Records Act were intended to render them void." (Ibid.) State law specifies both the persons who are authorized to obtain firearms records, and the purposes that justify the DOJ's retention and disclosure of those records and therefore impliedly prohibits the release of such information to other persons, or for other purposes. The maxim of statutory construction "expressio uni us est exclusio alterius" applies: the express inclusion of some things in a statute necessarily means the exclusion of other things not expressly included. (Fischer v. Los Angeles Unified School Dist. (1999) 70 Cal.App.4th 87, 97.) Firearms records retained by the DOJ as described in Section 11106 are subject to disclosure only to the individuals listed in Section 11105. As such, we must deny your requests.</p>



PRA-2018-00425	Miller	Steven	3/5/2018	Record Description: All records pertaining to or related to California Reporting Information System (CRIS) transaction number [REDACTED] for firearm serial number [REDACTED]. Electronic files and database screen captures via email are acceptable. Please include all process documents, emails, notes, inquiries, and correspondence related to this transaction. Apologies - this is a repeat of my previous request that includes the full CRIS transaction number.		Completed	Robert Wilson	Under the PRA, government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) The Department does not release personal information through the Public Records Act process because, in doing so, it makes the information available to the public at large. Not only might that create adverse consequences for you, but it also increases the potential for an attack on the Department's information technology systems. (See Gov. Code, § 6254.19 [Nothing in this chapter shall be construed to require the disclosure of an information security record of a public agency, if, on the facts of the particular case, disclosure of that record would reveal vulnerabilities to, or otherwise increase the potential for an attack on, an information technology system of a public agency ....].) Moreover, Penal Code Section 11106 requires DOJ to retain certain firearms information, including copies of fingerprints, copies of CCW permits, and "information reported to the Department of Justice pursuant to Section 26225." DOJ must disclose this information "upon proper application ... to the officers referred to in Section 11105." (Pen. Code § 11106 (a).) California courts have consistently held that data subject to the disclosure limits in Penal Code Section 11105 may be disclosed only to the individuals authorized by that section to receive the information. For example, the California Supreme Court has noted that Penal Code Section 11105 "prohibits furnishing such information to persons other than those listed therein "or as provided by law."" (Hill v. Superior Court (1974) 10 Cal3d 812, 818.) Therefore, information protected by Penal Code Section 111 OS is not subject to public disclosure in response to a PRA request. (Younger v. Berkeley City Council (1975) 45 Cal. App. 3d 825, 832.) The "restrictions upon release of such information are so carefully set out in the Penal Code sections 11106, 11081 and 11105 ... that it is inconceivable that the general terms of the Public Records Act were intended to render them void." (Ibid.) State law specifies both the persons who are authorized to obtain firearms records, and the purposes that justify the DOJ's retention and disclosure of those records and therefore impliedly prohibits the release of such information to other persons, or for other purposes. The maxim of statutory construction "expressio uni us est exclusio alterius" applies: the express inclusion of some things in a statute necessarily means the exclusion of other things not expressly included. (Fischer v. Los Angeles Unified School Dist. (1999) 70 Cal.App.4th 87, 97.) Firearms records retained by the DOJ as described in Section 11106 are subject to disclosure only to the individuals listed in Section 11105. As such, we must deny your requests.
PRA-2018-00426	Wrigley	Kevin	3/5/2018	Case 111029-5 - 598 A Cruelty to Animals On 10-6-1998 I attended a dog show at the Antioch California Fairgrounds because my them girlfriend and now wife and I are animal lovers. At the time we had a small cattle dog and if we put the rear split seat down to the truck he would go back in it as a cave. When it was time to have him get out he did not want to come. As it was a cold October day we left him in the car. On returning to the car he was barking as the sheriff and many people were around the car including a vet. The dog was found to be in good health and we left with the dog and a citation. I appeared for the citation, they charged me with animal cruelty. During the judge trial. I showed the many things I had done with animals in the community as such the Judge Belachi, sentences me to 50 hours community service at a local facility owned by Tony La Russ know as Arf. I arrived for my work service and the employees at Arf indicated that they "would not allow someone charged with animal cruelty to work there. I went back to to court to explain. Judge Belachi has a heart attach and was out. The Commissioner that I was assiged indicated he could not change the judges order and that I was to go back and tell them they had to take me. I did this. They again rejected me. I did not complete my service and in time and officers came to my house and arrested me. I		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with the local court, you may wish to direct your request to their office.

				spent my work detail time in county jail. I was released with time served. If there is any way you could email me the court documents in the next few days that would be wonderful.			
PRA-2018-00427	O'Flaherty	Patrick	3/5/2018	I made a call to 911 on Friday March 2nd 2018 around 10:58 am from the phone number [REDACTED] I had someone attempting to break into my home in Reseda and requested assistance. I was wondering if I could get a copy of the transcript of that call.	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PRA-2018-00436	Miller	Steven	3/5/2018	All records pertaining to or related to California Reporting Information System (CRIS) transaction number [REDACTED] for firearm serial number [REDACTED]. Electronic files and database screen captures via email are acceptable. Please include all process documents, emails, notes, inquiries, and correspondence related to this transaction. I submitted this registration request on November 13, 2017 and it is now March 3, 2018. I submitted a CRIS issue report and got a canned answer. I wish to know what the status of this transaction is and why it has not been processed. Thanks in advance.	Completed	Robert Wilson	Under the PRA, government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) The Department does not release personal information through the Public Records Act process because, in doing so, it makes the information available to the public at large. Not only might that create adverse consequences for you, but it also increases the potential for an attack on the Department's information technology systems. (See Gov. Code, § 6254.19 [Nothing in this chapter shall be construed to require the disclosure of an information security record of a public agency, if, on the facts of the particular case, disclosure of that record would reveal vulnerabilities to, or otherwise increase the potential for an attack on, an information technology system of a public agency ....].) Moreover, Penal Code Section 11106 requires DOJ to retain certain firearms information, including copies of fingerprints, copies of CCW permits, and "information reported to the Department of Justice pursuant to Section 26225." DOJ must disclose this information "upon proper application ... to the officers referred to in Section 11105." (Pen. Code § 11106 (a).) California courts have consistently held that data subject to the disclosure limits in Penal Code Section 11105 may be disclosed only to the individuals authorized by that section to receive the information. For example, the California Supreme Court has noted that Penal Code Section 11105 "prohibits furnishing such information to persons other than those listed therein "or as provided by law." (Hill v. Superior Court (1974) 10 Cal3d 812, 818.) Therefore, information protected by Penal Code Section 11105 is not subject to public disclosure in response to a PRA request. (Younger v. Berkeley City Council (1975) 45 Cal. App. 3d 825, 832.) The "restrictions upon release of such information are so carefully set out in the Penal Code sections 11106, 11081 and 11105 ... that it is inconceivable that the general terms of the Public Records Act were intended to render them void." (Ibid.) State law specifies both the persons who are authorized to obtain firearms records, and the purposes that justify the DOJ's retention and disclosure of those records and therefore impliedly prohibits the release of such information to other persons, or for other purposes. The maxim of statutory construction "expressio uni us est exclusio alterius" applies: the express inclusion of some things in a statute necessarily means the exclusion of other things not expressly included. (Fischer v. Los Angeles Unified School Dist. (1999) 70 Cal.App.4th 87, 97.) Firearms records retained by the DOJ as described in Section 11106 are subject to disclosure only to the individuals listed in Section 11105. As such, we must deny your requests.
PRA-2018-00437	Miller	Steven	3/5/2018	All CA DOJ records pertaining to or related to the administration of the assault weapons registration process pursuant to California Code of Regulations Title 11, Division 5, Chapter 39 - Assault Weapons and Large-Capacity Magazines. Specifically, any and all training documents for employees, instructions to employees, standard operating procedures, and/or instructional correspondences with employees tasked or responsible for administering the California Registration Information System (CRIS) bullet button assault weapon online registration process. Electronic files and/or database screen captures via email are acceptable.	Completed	Robert Wilson	Under the PRA, government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) The Department does not release personal information through the Public Records Act process because, in doing so, it makes the information available to the public at large. Not only might that create adverse consequences for you, but it also increases the potential for an attack on the Department's information technology systems. (See Gov. Code, § 6254.19 [Nothing in this chapter shall be construed to require the disclosure of an information security record of a public agency, if, on the facts of the particular case, disclosure of that record would reveal vulnerabilities to, or otherwise increase the potential for an attack on, an information technology system of a public agency ....].) Moreover, Penal Code Section 11106 requires DOJ to retain certain firearms information, including copies of fingerprints, copies of CCW permits, and "information reported to the Department of Justice pursuant to Section 26225." DOJ must disclose this information "upon proper application ... to the officers referred to in Section

							11105." (Pen. Code § 11106 (a).) California courts have consistently held that data subject to the disclosure limits in Penal Code Section 11105 may be disclosed only to the individuals authorized by that section to receive the information. For example, the California Supreme Court has noted that Penal Code Section 11105 "prohibits furnishing such information to persons other than those listed therein "or as provided by law." (Hill v. Superior Court (1974) 10 Cal3d 812, 818.) Therefore, information protected by Penal Code Section 111 OS is not subject to public disclosure in response to a PRA request. (Younger v. Berkeley City Council (1975) 45 Cal. App. 3d 825, 832.) The "restrictions upon release of such information are so carefully set out in the Penal Code sections 11106, 11081 and 11105 ... that it is inconceivable that the general terms of the Public Records Act were intended to render them void." (Ibid.) State law specifies both the persons who are authorized to obtain firearms records, and the purposes that justify the DOJ's retention and disclosure of those records and therefore impliedly prohibits the release of such information to other persons, or for other purposes. The maxim of statutory construction "expressio uni us est exclusio alterius" applies: the express inclusion of some things in a statute necessarily means the exclusion of other things not expressly included. (Fischer v. Los Angeles Unified School Dist. (1999) 70 Cal.App.4th 87, 97.) Firearms records retained by the DOJ as described in Section 11106 are subject to disclosure only to the individuals listed in Section 11105. As such, we must deny your requests.
PRA-2018-00470	Galvan	Eric	3/5/2018	I am requesting information regarding the number of active concealed carry weapons (CCW) permits that have been issued to reserve police officers and judges in the city of Los Angeles, as well as the County of Los Angeles. -Los Angeles Police Department would have total number for the city. -Los Angeles Sheriff Department would have total number for the county. Referencing Penal Code 26225(a)(c); "(a) A record of the following shall be maintained in the office of the licensing authority:" "(c)(1) Commencing on or before January 1, 2000, and annually thereafter, each licensing authority shall submit to the Attorney General the total number of licenses issued to peace officers pursuant to Section 26170, and to judges pursuant to Section 26150 or 26155." "(c)(2) The Attorney General shall collect and record the information submitted pursuant to this subdivision by county and licensing authority."	Completed	Robert Wilson	Enclosed are the CCW statistics by county. Please note that this report reflects the current number of CCW permits in our system. As such, these numbers are subject to change on a daily basis as permits expire and others are issued.
PRA-2018-00480	Huxley	Iain	3/5/2018	I attempted to request the minute orders for the following case from the courthouse and was told that they had been destroyed and I needed to contact the CA DOJ. Please could you supply the minute orders for the following case: Defendant Name: Iain Huxley DOB: [REDACTED] Docket Number: 506516 Date Of Arrest: 01/06/2005 Date Of Conviction: 04/27/2005	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have searched our legal indices and were unable to locate records based on the information provided. In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-00489	Blumenfrucht	Israel	3/5/2018	I am trying to receive a roster of active CPA's along with their email and phone number. I was told that emails and phone numbers are private but I believe that the law does not exclude them.	Completed	Erin Nickless	We are interpreting the term "CPA" to mean "certified public accountant." You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another



							state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to certified public accountant, you may wish to direct your request to the California Board of Accountancy. More information can be found on their website at <a href="http://www.dca.ca.gov/cba/">http://www.dca.ca.gov/cba/</a> .
PRA-2018-00490	Hagen	Golsima	3/5/2018	I am interested in obtaining court records (non-certified) for the following matters handled in the Ventura County Superior Court: Case Filing Date: 11/14/1992 Court Disposition Date: 04/23/1993 Case Number: 92S006506 Case Filing Date: 11/01/1992 Case Number: 92C010510 Case Filing Date: 04/07/1991 Case Number: 91S004101	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-00505	Miller	Greta	3/5/2018	This is a request for information concerning Phillip C. Pelzel Senior Criminalist. /would like to know when exactly he was fired/dismissed from employment. Did he check round of ammunition from your supply department ... when and where the 9mm Bryco Jennings serial number [REDACTED] was located at the DOJ when it was found, and how long was it lost? Did Pelzel put the gun under a different case number, I ke he did the spent shell casing?	Completed	Shannon Patterson	On March 15, 2018, Shannon Patterson sent an extension letter. On March 29, 2018, Shannon Patterson replied: We must decline your request for the reasons set forth below. You have requested personnel information from this office. To the extent that these records exist, they are investigative files of the Attorney General and the DOJ that are expressly exempt from disclosure pursuant to Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f). In the case of Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354 the California Supreme Court held that investigative records in the possession of law enforcement agencies are exempt from disclosure. The Court also ruled that investigation documents did not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355.)
PRA-2018-00506	Wynder	William	3/5/2018	[A] copy of any and all records and writings relating to the pending dispute between the City and CSU and OPR's related Designation of Lead Agency for the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") Review of the CSUDH Campus Master Plan ("Master Plan Update") from the last two (2) years. By way of clarification, the City requests any and all records and writings documenting or evidencing: 1. Any and all records (including, but not limited to, forms, documents, electronic records which are written or otherwise) of any official of QPR, CSU, CSUDH or State AG, which constitute, refer or relate to, any dispute between City and CSU over Lead Agency status for the Master Plan Update for the CEQA Review of the Master Plan; 2. Any and all records (including, but not limited to, forms, documents, electronic records which are written or otherwise) of any official of QPR, CSU, CSUDH or State AG, which constitute, refer or relate to, any dispute between City and CSU over Lead Agency status for the Master Plan Update for the CEQA Review of the Master Plan; 3. Any and all records, including ex parte communications and records evidencing ex parte communications between State Agencies, or counsel thereof, which constitute, refer or relate to any dispute between City and CSU over Lead Agency status for the Master Plan Update for the CEQA Review of the Master Plan; 4. Any and all records, including ex parte communications and records evidencing ex parte communications between State Agencies, or counsel thereof, which constitute, refer or relate to OPR's designation of CSU as Lead Agency for the Master Plan Update for the CEQA Review of the Master Plan; 5. Any and all communications, text messages, or other	Completed	Julia K. Forgie	We have determined that our Office possesses records responsive to your request. We expect to be able to provide you with copies of non-exempt responsive records by April 2, 2018. Many of the non-exempt responsive records are identical copies of documents that OPR will include in the administrative record for the City of Carson v. The Governor's Office of Planning and Research, et al., Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BSI 72187. Please advise if you would like duplicative copies of those records. Also, other non-exempt responsive records will include records that you or others in your law firm sent to the Office of the Attorney General, or were sent by this Office to you or others in your firm, or for which you were cc'd. We assume you already have copies of those records; please advise if you are requesting duplicate copies of those records as well. If you do request copies of records you already have, we will promptly begin gathering them for you. Other records that would otherwise be responsive are subject to one of the following exemptions from disclosure: Some of the records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.) In the present case, the attorneys in our department provide legal advice to The Governor's Office of Planning and Research, and its Director, Kenneth Alex (collectively, OPR). Accordingly, all communications between attorneys in the Attorney General's Office and OPR concerning the CSUDH Master Plan lead agency dispute are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. The attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney

				public records located on any personal or other communication device, including personal or other cell phones, of any official of OPR, CSU, CSUDH or State AG, which constitute, refer or relate to, any dispute between City and CSU over Lead Agency status for the Master Plan Update for the CEQA Review of the Master Plan; and, 6. Any and all communications, text messages, or other public records located on any personal or other communication device, including personal or other cell phones, of any official of OPR, CSU, CSUDH or State AG, which constitute, refer or relate to OPR's designation of CSU as Lead Agency for the Master Plan Update for the CEQA Review of the Master Plan.			General's Office are subject to the work product privilege and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. Finally, agencies may withhold the disclosure of records pertaining to pending litigation to which the public agency is a party until the pending litigation has been finally adjudicated or otherwise settled. (Gov. Code, § 6254 subd. (b).) The pending litigation exemption does not duplicate the attorney work product exemption but is broader and covers documents prepared by the agency in anticipation of, or for use in, litigation. (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (Axelrad) (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819,831; Fairley v. Superior Court (1998) 66 Cal.App.4th 1414, 1422.) As you know, the Attorney General's Office is counsel of record in pending litigation involving the subject matter of the request. We hope this information has been of assistance. If you have additional questions, please contact Julia Forgie by email at <a href="mailto:Julia.forgie@doj.ca.gov">Julia.forgie@doj.ca.gov</a> or regular mail at 300 S. Spring Street, Suite 1702, Los Angeles, California 90013. On April 11, 2018, Julia Forgie sent a final confirmation letter stating:
PRA-2018-00430	Deley	Ashley	3/6/2018	Prison and Correctional Record for Roger Lee Hazher (b. [REDACTED] d. [REDACTED]). He was at Terminal Island. I would like to request the record for Roger Lee Hazher, my mother's father. I'm mostly interested in why he was in prison, as my mother has no idea either.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. The Department of Justice cannot produce records that are responsive to your request. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records from another government agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Information on how to contact them can be found at <a href="http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html">http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html</a> . To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-00432	Cave	Maya	3/6/2018	Marriage license of a Calin Fisher Cave. Seeking proof of a marriage involving Calin Fisher Cave.	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA-2018-00433	Misitzis	Lina	3/6/2018	This is a request under the Freedom of Information Act. I am seeking ALL records, reports, police reports, incident reports, and RAP sheets kept by the Attorney General for Kevin Moriarty (DOB [REDACTED]). In order to help to determine my status to assess fees, you should know that I am a reporter affiliated with Amazon + Audible Original Content, and this request is made as part of news gathering and not for a commercial use.	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	Unfortunately, the Department of Justice (DOJ) is unable to comply with your request for the Record of Arrests and Prosecutions sheet of the named individual. The California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to California Penal Code section 11076, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records. The only exception to this rule is an individual requesting his or her own records. California Penal Code sections 11120 through 11127 afford an individual the opportunity to obtain a copy of his or her own California state summary criminal history information to review for accuracy and completeness. If you have further questions or concerns regarding a record review, please direct your correspondence to the Bureau of Criminal Information & Analysis, Record Review Unit

							at P.O. Box 903417, Sacramento, CA 94203-4170. For additional information, the following is the Record Review website: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review">https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review</a> . In regard to police reports and incident reports, the DOJ does not receive or maintain these reports. You may want to check with the arresting agency. Apart from any California state summary criminal history records that may be in the DOJ's possession, the records that you request are considered confidential law enforcement record data and are accessed exclusively via the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System. Access to the telecommunications system utilized by law enforcement agencies, including the DOJ, is restricted by law. (Gov. Code § 15152). Confidentiality provisions like these are expressly incorporated into the PRA. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (f)). As such, your request for records is denied.
PRA-2018-00434	Misitzis	Lina	3/6/2018	This is a request under the Freedom of Information Act. I am seeking ALL records, crime reports, accident reports, police reports, incident reports, and RAP sheets kept by the Attorney General for Mercedes Grabowski (DOB [REDACTED]). In order to help to determine my status to assess fees, you should know that I am a reporter affiliated with Amazon + Audible Original Content, and this request is made as part of news gathering and not for a commercial use.	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	Unfortunately, the Department of Justice (DOJ) is unable to comply with your request for the Record of Arrests and Prosecutions sheet of the named individual. The California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to California Penal Code section 11076, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records. The only exception to this rule is an individual requesting his or her own records. California Penal Code sections 11120 through 11127 afford an individual the opportunity to obtain a copy of his or her own California state summary criminal history information to review for accuracy and completeness. If you have further questions or concerns regarding a record review, please direct your correspondence to the Bureau of Criminal Information & Analysis, Record Review Unit at P.O. Box 903417, Sacramento, CA 94203-4170. For additional information, the following is the Record Review website: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review">https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review</a> . In regard to police reports and incident reports, the DOJ does not receive or maintain these reports. You may want to check with the arresting agency. Apart from any California state summary criminal history records that may be in the DOJ's possession, the records that you request are considered confidential law enforcement record data and are accessed exclusively via the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System. Access to the telecommunications system utilized by law enforcement agencies, including the DOJ, is restricted by law. (Gov. Code § 15152). Confidentiality provisions like these are expressly incorporated into the PRA. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (f)). As such, your request for records is denied. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PRA-2018-00435	Ettema	Kaslin	3/6/2018	Pursuant to my rights under the California Public Records Act (Government Code Section 6250 et seq.), I ask to (inspect/obtain a copy of) the following, which I understand to be held by your agency: -Original bond measure language of Proposition 1A for the California high speed rail project -any documents about when it was first originally proposed, when it was passed, and any follow up measures I ask for a determination on this request within 10 days of your receipt of it, and an even prompter reply if you can make that determination without having to review the record[s] in question.	Completed	Sharon O'Grady	In responding to your first request, we interpret your request as asking for the original text of Proposition 1A as presented to the voters in 2008, and have identified the responsive record, which is the Supplemental Official Voter Information Guide for the November 4, 2008 General Election, a copy of which is attached. Your second request is unspecific and unfocused in that it seeks any kind of document over an unspecified period, and in that the phrases "about when it was first originally proposed" and "any follow up measures" are not specific or focused. Unspecific and unfocused requests for government records under the Public Records Act are contrary to the purposes of the Public Records Act, and requestors should provide specific, focused requests in order to give agencies a reasonable opportunity to respond. (Rogers v. Superior Court (1993) 19 Cal.App.4th 469, 481.) Your second request also is unduly burdensome. The Attorney General is the head of the Department of Justice. The Offices of the Attorney General are located in six different cities. In addition to these six offices, there are other law enforcement divisions. A complete response to your request for "any document. ..." would require us to canvass each division of the Department of Justice, including each section, branch, unit or bureau, and then require each individual deputy attorney general or head of each section, branch, unit or bureau, or staff thereof, to review their files for possible responsive documents. Such materials may not be maintained, indexed or categorized according to a single title or subject matter description, thus requiring a more extensive search for such documents.

							<p>We are therefore unable to provide you with records in response to your second request as it is currently framed. However, we are willing to consult with you to determine if a more focused request would reasonably describe a set of identifiable records. (Gov. Code, § 6253 .1.)</p> <p>We also note that detailed information relating to proposed and enacted legislation is publicly available at the California Legislative Information website, <a href="http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/home.xhtml">http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/home.xhtml</a>.</p> <p>If you wish to consult with us to determine if a more focused request would reasonably describe a set of identifiable records (Gov. Code, § 6253 .1 ), please contact me at the address above, or by telephone at 415-510-3 834.</p>
PRA-2018-00439	Smith	Allen	3/6/2018	Under the Public Records Act (Gov. Code section 6201 et seq.): Will you please send me a copy of: The Initiative, "The People's Fair Sentencing and Public Safety Act of 2018."	Completed	Emily Gargiulo	<p>Enclosed is a copy of Initiative 17-0046, "The Peoples Fair Sentencing &amp; Public Safety Act of 2018."</p> <p>This completes our response to your request.</p>
PRA-2018-00461	Navratil	Randy	3/6/2018	I would like the list of the Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFO's) in the state of California.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations, you may wish to direct your request to the California State Water Board's office. Information on how to contact their office regarding public records can be found at <a href="https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/santaana/resources/public_records_requests.html">https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/santaana/resources/public_records_requests.html</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-00462	Medina	Sadie	3/6/2018	<p>I am looking for the Divorce records for my dad - Joe Falvey Smith and Hellen Brown Smith I believe they married on [REDACTED] in Kern County, California my father was born [REDACTED] in Cleveland, Texas and was in the USAF at the time</p> <p>My email address is [REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED] my [REDACTED]</p> <p>phone number is [REDACTED] thank you for your help</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-00463	McArthur	David	3/6/2018	<p>Any records containing information about the late Nickolai Peter Shumsky. Also known as Nicholas or Nick. He passed away on [REDACTED]. I have only just learned he was an uncle of mine and am trying to learn what happened to him and also track down any family members he may have. Death records, criminal records, etc.</p> <p>Name: Nickolai Peter Shumsky</p> <p>Date of birth: [REDACTED]</p> <p>Date of death: [REDACTED]</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history</p>

							records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-00518	Olson	Heather	3/6/2018	Under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq., I am requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of public records that relate to prosecution under California Penal Code 365.7, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Number of individuals cited under California Penal Code 365.7</li> <li>• Number of individuals prosecuted under California Penal Code 365.7</li> <li>• Penalties imposed under California Penal Code 365.7</li> <li>• Any other prosecution data available regarding this code section</li> </ul>	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The Department of Justice (DOJ) discloses statistical data to bona fide research bodies and public agencies pursuant to Penal Code sections 11105 and 13202. If you are a research body, then your request would fall under the Department's research request process. In order to request the data through this process, complete the attached packet regarding policies and procedures that relate to accessing criminal offender record information. The document, Conditions for Release of Criminal Offender Record Information, must be reviewed and signed by the project lead/requester. When completed, email all required documentation to <a href="mailto:Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov">Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov</a> . Once the packet is received, it will be reviewed and you will be notified if it is approved. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PRA-2018-00522	Hermina	John	3/6/2018	"[A]ny and all complaints made against Spirit Airlines from January 2013 through the present . . ."	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 ["While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)."].)
PRA-2018-00438	Mider	Zachary	3/7/2018	Please send me all documents from the California Attorney General's investigation into pension fund kickbacks that pertain to Elliott Broidy or Markstone Capital. I believe the investigation began around 2009 and continued for one or more years thereafter. To help you locate the records, here is a contemporaneous news account discussing the attorney general's investigation: <a href="http://articles.latimes.com/2009/may/23/local/me-pensions23">http://articles.latimes.com/2009/may/23/local/me-pensions23</a> I am a reporter for Bloomberg News and I am making this request as part of my news-gathering duties. Please conduct all communications with me, including delivery of the requested records, via email rather than postal mail.	Completed	Daniel O'Donnell	On March 19, 2018, Daniel O'Donnell sent an extension letter. On April 2, 2018, we responded: To the extent any such records exist, we consider them to be confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General, including complaints. (Dick Williams v. Superior Court [1993] 5 Cal. 4th 337, 354...55. Note: the time limitation on the exemption for investigative files under Williams is impossible to reconcile with the language and history of section 6254[f]). Thus, the Attorney General's Office will not produce documents subject to the exemption for confidential law enforcement records. Nor will we produce documents subject to the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine or the deliberative process privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in the law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954, which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Government Code section 6254, subdivision [k]; Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal. 4th 363.) The attorneys in this department provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. The attorney work product doctrine protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories. (Code of Civil Procedure section 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Government Code section 6254, subdivision [k]; County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App. 4th 819, 833.) The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with the policy making process. (Times Mirror v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 5255. In response to your request, however, we are providing prior public records act

							requests relating to Broidy and/or Markstone along with responses that have been provided by this Office.
PRA-2018-00440	Booker	Karen	3/7/2018	The state has two current contracts for bulk fuel 1. Contract 1-14-91-02A with Pinnacle Petroleum 2. Contract 1-14-91-02B with Falcon Fuels Please provide the bid tabulations for these bulk fuel bids.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Our research into the matter shows that these contracts are with the Department of General Services. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to DGS, you may wish to direct your request to their office by visiting their website at <a href="https://www.dgs.ca.gov/dgs/Home/feedback.aspx">https://www.dgs.ca.gov/dgs/Home/feedback.aspx</a> .
PRA-2018-00442	Steven	Linda	3/7/2018	A copy Form 1023 submitted by the California Art Education Association, most likely in 2008. Our EIN # is 94-1663056.	Completed	Tania M. Ibanez, SAAG	This office received your email dated March 7, 2018, requesting a copy of the IRS Form 1023 for California Art Education Association FEIN number 94-1663058. This office does not have these records. I attach the founding records on file with the Registry of Charitable Trusts. This organization registered with the Registry of Charitable Trusts in 1968 and we are not certain whether the IRS form 1023 existed at the time. You may want see if the IRS can locate these records by contacting the IRS Customer Account Services at (877) 829-5500; or write to Internal Revenue Service, TE/GE Division Customer Service, P.O. Box 2508, Cincinnati, OH 45201.
PRA-2018-00443	Lepore	Antonio	3/7/2018	3-7-18: Hi, can you help me with a problem with social network ? 3-15-18: The social network LinkedIn delete my account with all my data ... I believe. 3-15-18: Ok ... but I'm having trouble getting support alone so I contacted you ... Can you help me ?	Completed	Erin Nickless	There was no record description included with your contact information to identify which records you are seeking. As there were no records identified in your request, we are unable to provide records that are responsive. If you can provide more information as to which documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of the documents that are within the control and custody of DOJ. Follow up response 1: The California Department of Justice is not affiliated with LinkedIn. You may wish to contact LinkedIn directly regarding your account status. Follow up response 2: To the extent that you are seeking legal advice, the DOJ is not in a position to perform legal research or to provide legal advice to private individuals. If you would like to make a complaint about LinkedIn, you may do so <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/consumers">https://oag.ca.gov/consumers</a> . The Public Records Unit is unable to assist you any further with this matter.
PRA-2018-00444	Lynch	Patricia	3/7/2018	1. Any and all records related to the design, construction, maintenance of Old State Highway 395 between Highway 76 and Reche Road, near Falbrook, CA, including but not limited to grading plans, specifications, asphalt paving, guardrails, striping and other items of design, construction and maintenance for the period from 1/1/1980 to the present. 2. Any and all records related to any motor vehicle collisions, pedestrian accidents which have occurred on Old Highway 395 in an area between Highway 76 and Reche Road, including any traffic collision reports created or maintained by the California Highway Patrol, records related to prosecution of individuals related to motor vehicle collisions which occurred in that area. 3. Any and all records related to the design, construction, installation, repair, removal, replacement of guardrails on Old Highway	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to highway construction and maintenance, which is overseen by the California Department of Transportation (DOT or CalTrans), you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to request records from CalTrans can be found on their site at <a href="http://www.dot.ca.gov/paffairs/cpra/">http://www.dot.ca.gov/paffairs/cpra/</a> . Since you are seeking collision reports from the California Highway Patrol (CHP), you may wish to direct your request to their office. CHP's website is <a href="http://chp.ca.gov">chp.ca.gov</a> .
PRA-2018-00465	Olfati (March 2018)	Parvin	3/7/2018	1. Each and every electronic mail message, text message, memorandum, letter, document, writing and/or record that mentions, refers to, and/or relates to any person or persons believed to be family members, relatives, friends, childhood friends, or others persons believed have knowledge or opinions (false, fabricated, based, or otherwise) regarding Parvin Olfati. 2. Names and address/contact information for each person or entity who received or provided any of the	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.

				communications or documents, records and/or writings referenced in Request Item Number 1 above. 3. Each and every report, analysis and/or memorandum that mention, refer to, and/or relate to any of the communications, documents, records and/or writings referenced in Request Item Numbers 1 and/or 2 above. 4. Each and every electronic mail message, text message, memorandum, letter, document, writing and/or record that constitutes, mentions, refers to and/or relates to any communications with any federal government agency (including but not limited to the Department of Homeland Security) that mentions, refers to, and/or relates to Parvin Olfati. 5. For any document in Request Items 1, 2, 3 and/or 4 above that DOJ claims has been destroyed or is otherwise unavailable, please provide all records of any destruction or other reasons for non-availability, together with applicable records retention policies.				
PRA-2018-00466	Olfati (March 2018)	Parvin	3/7/2018	1. Each and every electronic mail message, text message, memorandum, letter, document, writing and/or record that mentions, refers to, and/or relates to any Parvin Olfati account or safe deposit box at SAFE Credit Union, including but not limited to any document referring to any "joint account" (nonexistent or otherwise). 2. Each and every electronic mail message, text message, memorandum, letter, document, writing and/or record that constitutes, mentions, refers to, and/or relates to any communication that any Parvin Olfati access to safe deposit box W6067 or any other safe deposit box at SAFE Credit Union should be restricted or otherwise treated differently than safe deposit access requests made by other persons. 3. Each and every electronic mail message, text message, memorandum, letter, document, writing and/or record that constitutes, mentions, refers to and/or relates to any communications with any federal government agency (including but not limited to the Department of Homeland Security) that mentions, refers to, and/or relates to Parvin Olfati. 4. For any document in Request Items 1, 2 and/or 3 above that DOJ claims has been destroyed or is otherwise unavailable, please provide all records of any destruction or other reasons for non-availability, together with applicable records retention policies.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
PRA-2018-00497	Khundkar	Imran	3/7/2018	Please provide access to and copies of the following public records and all WRITINGS related thereto: 1. Any and all documents regarding the Department of Justice's responses to applications for Dangerous Weapons Licenses/Permits since January 1, 2016. 2. Any and all documents regarding the Department of Justice's internal procedures on how applications for Dangerous Weapons Licenses/Permits are investigated pursuant to Cal. Code. Reg. § 4138-39.	Michel & Associates	Completed	Robert Wilson	As a preliminary matter, we note that under the Public Records Act (PRA) government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public, unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) Section 6254, subdivision (k), incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law. Confidential Records Regarding Dangerous Weapons The Department has determined that we are unable to comply with your request. The records you have requested about dangerous weapons permits are confidential. To the extent that some information within those records is not confidential, it would be so difficult to isolate and segregate that information from the confidential information that it would be unduly burdensome to comply with your request. California Penal Code sections 33310, 32660, and 23020 establish three such exemptions to the PRA. Section 33310 describes permits issued by DOJ for the possession, transportation, manufacture or sale of short-barreled shotguns and short-barreled rifles. Section 32660 describes permits issued by DOJ for the possession, transportation, manufacture or sale of machine guns. Section 23020 describes



							<p>permits issued by DOJ for the possession and transportation of tear gas and tear gas weapons. (Pen. Code, § 23000). All three sections provide that information about those permits "shall be open to inspection by any peace officer, or any other person designated by the authority issuing the permit." (Pen. Code, § 33310, subd. (a), 32660, 23020). DOJ, the entity that issues permits for short-barreled shotguns, short-barreled rifles, machineguns, tear gas, and tear gas weapons, does not designate any persons outside of DOJ other than any peace officer to inspect the permits. (See Cal. Code Regs., tit. 11, § 4125, et seq.) Therefore, the maxim of "expressio uni us est exclusio alterius" applies: the express inclusion of some things in a statute necessarily means the exclusion of other things not expressly included. (Fischer v. Los Angeles Unified School Dist. (1999) 70 Cal.App.4th 87, 97.) Because state law severely restricts the dissemination of information about permits for short-barreled shotguns, short-barreled rifles, machineguns, tear gas and tear gas weapons and does not authorize its disclosure to the public in response to a PRA request, the information is not subject to disclosure pursuant to the PRA. Section 31005, subdivision (b) provides another exemption to the PRA for records of assault weapon and .50 BMG rifle permits issued by DOJ. DOJ issues permits for the importation, possession, manufacture and sale of assault weapons and .50 BMG rifles. (Pen. Code, § 31005, subd. (a).) State law strictly limits the manner in which information about ownership of assault weapons and .50 BMG rifles may be disseminated. (See Pen. Code, § 30900-30965.) For example, a peace officer or dispatcher may not broadcast over a police radio that an individual has registered an assault weapon or a .50 BMG rifle with DOJ. (Pen. Code, § 31105, subd. (a).) Such information may only be transmitted via law enforcement computers when those computers are accessible only to peace officers or other authorized personnel. (Id., at subd. (c).) State law also provides that "the keeping and inspection" of DOJ permits for assault weapons are subject to the same limitations that apply to the "keeping and inspection" of machinegun permits. (Pen. Code, § 31005, subd. (b).)</p> <p>Clearly, the legislature intended information about permits for assault weapons and .50 BMG rifles to be confidential and exempt from disclosure in response to a PRA request, just as it intended information about permits for short-barreled shotguns and short-barreled rifles, machineguns, tear gas, and tear gas weapons, to be exempt from disclosure in response to a PRA request.</p> <p>Likewise, DOJ issues permits for the importation, exportation, possession, transportation, manufacture and sale of destructive devices. (Pen. Code, § 18900, subds. (a) and (b).) State law does not specifically provide for "the keeping and inspection" of destructive device permit information, which appears to be a legislative oversight. Federal law, however, severely limits the disclosure and use of such information. For example, a federal record regarding a destructive device (considered to be a "firearm" under the federal National Firearms Act (NFA)), just like short-barreled shotguns, short-barreled rifles, silencers and machineguns is considered to be a confidential tax record that may not be disclosed by any federal employee with access to the record. (26 U.S.C. § 6103(a), United States v. Freed (1971) 401 U.S. 601, 605-606.)</p> <p>The records cannot even be released to a state law enforcement agency that is conducting a criminal investigation. (United States v. Freed, supra 401 U.S. at p. 605.) In fact, no information or evidence obtained from an application, registration, or records required to be submitted or retained in compliance with the NFA may be used, directly or indirectly, as evidence against the person who registered the weapon in a criminal proceeding with respect to a violation of law occurring prior to or concurrently with the filing of the application or registration, or the compiling of the records containing the information or evidence. (26 U.S.C. § 5848 (a).)</p> <p>There are several public safety and policy reasons why information about permits and licenses to possess, manufacture and sell destructive devices should not be subject to public disclosure. First, as their name suggests, these are weapons that are extremely dangerous. They are included within the "two narrow, precisely defined groups of highly dangerous weapons" are "so amenable to antisocial use by private parties as to justify the strictest regulation" because they have "no legitimate private use and constituted a substantial threat to public safety" under federal law. (US. v. Oba, supra, 448 F.2d at pp. 897-898.) Second, they are not only dangerous to the public, but also attractive to criminals. (See Suter v. City of Lafayette (1997) 57 Cal.App.4th 1109, 1135 ["Although persons desiring to steal firearms might attempt to</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

							<p>obtain them from private citizens, there usually is no way to learn if a particular person owns a firearm, or owns the desired firearm. It therefore is not irrational to assume that persons desiring to steal firearms would target dealerships."].) Therefore, information about those businesses (some of which may not be open to the public) that manufacture, import, export, sell and use destructive devices is understandably not appropriate for public disclosure. Even if the name and address of a permit holder were redacted from all application materials, a reasonable person could still determine the identity of the applicant because of the unique details of the justification and business plan set forth in the application and the other supporting documentation in the application.</p> <p>Considering that "destructive devices are just as dangerous as short-barreled shotguns, short-barreled rifles and machine guns (See United States v. Oba (9th Cir., 1971) 448 F .2d 892, 897 ["destructive devices" subject to regulation under the NF A are "items of heavy military ordnance that had no legitimate private use and constituted a substantial threat to public safety, like the gangster-type weapons theretofore covered by the Act"]) and treated similarly to those other weapons in terms of disclosure of records under federal law, criminal penalties for unlawful possession, transportation, importation, and manufacture under state law, and are subject to the same regulatory scheme under state law (See Cal. Code Regs., tit. 11, § 4125, et seq.), there is no reason to conclude that they should not also be subject to the same strict rules regarding disclosure of records of permits.</p> <p>Some of the information you have requested is contained within DOJ records of issuance of dangerous weapons permits. (See Cal. Code Regs., tit. 11, § 4125, et seq.) Given the explicit limitations on the release of information about permits for short-barreled shotguns and short-barreled rifles, machineguns, assault weapons, tear gas and tear gas weapons, the extremely strict limitations in federal law upon the release of records regarding "firearms" (including destructive devices), and the public policy considerations for the confidentiality of permits for destructive devices, it is clearly reasonable to conclude that the Legislature did not intend for records of applications for any of these dangerous weapons permits to be released to the public in response to a PRA request. Therefore, the Bureau of Firearms denies your request for those records.</p> <p><b>Unduly Burdensome Request</b></p> <p>A government agency may withhold "any record by demonstrating that the record in question is exempt under the express provisions of this chapter or that on the facts of the particular case the public interest served by not disclosing the record clearly outweighs the public interest served by disclosure of the record." (Gov. Code, § 6255, subd. (a).) In weighing the competing interests, it is appropriate to consider the expense and inconvenience involved in segregating nonexempt from exempt information (American Civil Liberties Foundation v. Deukmejian ( 1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-53), as well as the strength of the public interest in disclosure of the information that is requested. (CBS v. Block (1986) 42 Cal.3d 646, 654-55.)</p> <p>One factor to consider is the time period of the request. A request for five years of the Governor's calendars and schedules, for example, is unreasonable according to the California Supreme Court. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325, 1345.) In Times Mirror, the court observed that "whatever merit disclosure might otherwise warrant in principle is simply crushed under the massive weight of the [Los Angeles] Times' request." (Ibid.)</p> <p>The breadth of a request is even more significant than the time period of the request. According to one California appellate court, "[i]t is the nonspecific and unfocused nature of [a] request which is dispositive, not its time period." (Rogers v. Superior Court (1993) 19 Cal.App.4th 469, 480.) In Rogers, a newspaper reporter "requested the wholesale production of all City-reimbursed telephone records of all City Council members over a one-year period." (Ibid.)</p> <p>The reporter offered to make a more focused request to the trial court so that the court could then intelligently review the telephone records in camera. The appellate court rejected that suggestion and stated that it is the responsibility of the person seeking public records to submit a focused request. "Petitioner should have presented a specific and focused request to the City, with which it then would have an opportunity to comply. It makes no sense to permit an individual to make a general, unfocused request for records to the public agency which will then be compelled to deny it, thereby ensuring litigation. The request to the agency must itself be focused and</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

						<p>specific." (Rogers v. Superior Court, supra, 19 Cal. App.4th at p. 481.)</p> <p>Finally, it is appropriate to consider the time and expense necessary for an agency to comply with a request. Government Code Section 6255 speaks broadly of the "public interest," a phrase which encompasses public concern with the cost and efficiency of government. (American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452 -53.) In American Civil Liberties Union Foundation, the ACLU requested certain index cards and computer printouts held by DOJ. The California Supreme Court upheld DOJ's refusal to provide the documents, even in a redacted form, because "segregation of personal identifiers, confidential information, and information which might reveal confidential sources will be so burdensome, and will so reduce the utility of disclosing the documents to the ACLU, that the public interest will not be served by requiring disclosure of the index cards." (Id., at p. 444.)</p> <p>The court observed that "to refuse to place [the burden to an agency of compliance] on the section 6255 scales would make it possible for any person requesting information, for any reason or for no particular reason, to impose upon a governmental agency a limitless obligation. Such a result would not be in the public interest." (Id., at p. 453.)</p> <p>The request for any and all documents pertaining to the categories of documents you identified imposes an unduly burdensome task on the Department given the scope of the request.</p> <p><b>Deliberative Process Privilege</b></p> <p>The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255.</p> <p>In the instant case, records such as analyses, draft language, and memoranda concerning the strengths and weaknesses of a particular proposal would be covered by the deliberative process privilege and, therefore, absent special circumstances would be exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6255.</p> <p><b>Attorney-Client Privilege</b></p> <p>Some of the records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.)</p> <p>In the present case, the attorneys in our department provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys concerning regulations are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p><b>Attorney Work Product Privilege</b></p> <p>The attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc. section 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, section 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. Government Code 6254.19 provides that "Nothing in this chapter shall be construed to require the disclosure of an information security record of a public agency, if, on the facts of the particular case, disclosure of that record would reveal vulnerabilities to, or otherwise increase the potential for an attack on, an information technology system of a public agency." (Gov. Code, § 6254.19.)</p> <p><b>Public Interest Exemption</b></p> <p>Section 6255, the public interest exemption, also precludes the public disclosure of information when the public's interest in keeping the information confidential outweighs</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	---

							<p>the public's interest in disclosure. We have redacted policy and procedure documents to preclude the possibility of an attack on the dangerous weapons permit system. It is the Department's conclusion that avoiding such an attack clearly supports the public interest in keeping the information confidential and that that interest outweighs the public interest in disclosure - especially where dangerous weapons are concerned. In addition to the above exemptions, we have redacted certain private identifying information, such as non-commercial addresses, email addresses, and telephone numbers, along with other sensitive personal information such as social security numbers and credit card numbers based on considerations of personal privacy. (Cal. Const., art. I, § 1, as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.)</p> <p>Accounting for these exemptions, we have enclosed the non-privileged documents we have that are responsive to your request.</p>
PRA-2018-00549	Nygren	James	3/7/2018	<p>The records that we are asking to inspect and copy are any expenditures, incurred, paid or owed made by the Secretary of State. California State Senate or Attorney General's office to draft and defend in court the following pieces of legislation both SB 117 and SB 96.</p> <p>I request copies of any invoices from law firms as well as payments made to law firms regarding the July 20 lawsuit filed by the Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association against the Secretary of State challenging the provisions in SB 96 as well as the August 24 lawsuit filed by the Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association against Secretary of State Padilla seeking to block the enforcement of SB 117. Both court challenges were filed California's third district court of appeal.</p> <p>The law firm of Strumwasser and Woocher, 109400 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 2000, Los Angeles, CA 90024 helped defend both measures in court.</p> <p>If they are available, I would also like to know the hours and costs of the legislature's in-house attorneys to draft and defend both SB 96 and SB 117.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have searched our legal indices and logical places, and consulted knowledgeable persons, and have found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the California State Senate and Secretary of State, you may wish to direct your request to their offices.</p>
PRA-2018-00551	Perch	Marcus	3/7/2018	<p>In accordance with the California Public Records Act (Gov't Code §6250-6268), I'm requesting copies of the following records:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Copies of all communications from July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2013 sent or received by the California Attorney General's Office from email addresses ending in @lamayor.org.</li> <li>2. Copies of all communications received by the California Attorney General's Office since January 1, 2013 from Antonio Villaraigosa.</li> </ol>	Completed	Julia Zuffelato	<p>Your request covers communications sent and/or received by anyone in the Department over a time period of more than 12 years. Email communications not maintained in a file relating to a distinct matter are automatically deleted from our system on a periodic basis. With respect to retained communications in general, they are maintained in multiple separate files relating to distinct matters. As a result, locating such retained communications without knowing the specific department employee or officer who sent or received the communication or the distinct matter to which the communication relates would require that we search every file.</p> <p>The California Attorney General's Office, located in six different cities with approximately 5,000 employees, handles hundreds of matters each year. In addition to these six offices, there are other law enforcement divisions. In order to respond to your request, each Department division, including each section, branch, unit or bureau would have to be canvassed, requiring each individual Department employee or official, or the head of each section, branch, unit or bureau, to review each and every file for each individual matter assigned to that employee or official, or to that section, branch, unit or bureau, to determine if they have any documents responsive to your request. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.)</p> <p>Consequently, we are not able to provide you with any records under the request as it is currently cast.</p> <p>Nevertheless, in an effort to be responsive to your request, we conducted a search for communications from Antonio Villaraigosa received by either Attorney General Xavier Becerra, or former Attorney General Kamala Harris, since January 1, 2013. We searched logical places and contacted knowledgeable people, but have not been able to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information about the</p>



							documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of the records within the care and custody of our department.
PRA-2018-00449	Eddo	Mark	3/8/2018	Record # C185311 In 1997, it was for me. Mark A Eddo Trying to locate a final outcome from this case that was against me. The local court that it was at no longer has the record. Too old		Completed	Erin Nickless In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-00450	Shepherd	Amy	3/8/2018	Obtaining probate/will copies. Decedent's name is James Leon Shepherd, DOB: [REDACTED] DOD: [REDACTED] Unable to find online info on how to obtain those records of a deceased family member who was living in San Luis Obispo County at the time of his death in 2003.		Completed	Erin Nickless Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice, therefore we must deny your request. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). Please note that our office is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records regarding a probate matter. You may wish to contact the local court in which the probate matter would be heard. If you are seeking unclaimed property linked to an estate, you may wish to contact the State Controller's office.
PRA-2018-00531	Picard	Alexis	3/8/2018	The number of arrests for property crimes (i.e. motor vehicle theft, larceny-theft, burglary, etc.) from Long Beach PD, Fresno PD, and Sacramento PD for the year 2016. Nicole Arnaiz assisted me with a similar request to the one listed above, it would be great to work with her again! Thank you.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.
PRA-2018-00542	Montecastro	Hendrix	3/8/2018	All records, motions, briefs, documents, exhibits with respect to class action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 1983 with respect to Board of Pardons v. Allen (1987) 482 U.S. 309.		Completed	Erin Nickless We are unable to locate records based on the information provided. If you could provide more specific information, we might be able to conduct a more directed search, but as it is currently cast, we were unable to locate any records. In general, we do not maintain, index or categorize our files based on specific statutes or case law. As a result, your request is too broad and we must decline your request for records. If you can provide more specificity, we may be able to conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control. However, as it is currently cast, we must decline your request.
PRA-2018-00673	Davis	Clarence	3/8/2018	People v. Clarence, California Court of Appeal Case No. B 116591: 1. Probation Officer's Report dated 7/18/97; 2. Appellate Court Opinion; and 3. Appellant's Opening Brief.		Completed	Irene Chavez With regards to your request to document entitled, "Exhibit A of the Amended Federal Petition" the service copy that is in our file does NOT have the attachment "Exhibit A." Finally, I have requested the above file from storage and am waiting for it to arrive. Once it arrives, I will search for the other two items you requested which are: 1. Amended Information; and 2) Minute Order reflecting the jury's finding of guilt, etc. If available, I will get them to you.
PRA-2018-00811	McCall	Joanna	3/8/2018	1. A log of all FOIA requests received by the OAG or if a log is not available, such other records OAG may have that would identify such requests. 2. With respect to Request No. 1, to the extent not included in the log or other responsive documents, the date of the request, the name of the requester, the requested information, the resolution of the request, and the tracking number assigned to the request.	National Corporate Research, LTD	Rolling Production	Erin Nickless On March 19, 2018, our office responded: We are sending via U.S. .pdf copies of our logs between the dates March 1, 2015 through and August 31, 2017. The files are too voluminous to send via e-mail. We need additional time to generate and redact personal information contained in the PRA database for the remaining time frame denoted in your request. We anticipate reviewing and redacting this data to take a minimum of 12 weeks. The logs will be produced to you as they are completed in a rolling production process. On April 24, 2018, our office responded: On March 18, 2018, we sent via U.S. Mail copies of our logs between the dates March 1, 2015 through and August 31, 2017. We are sending via U.S. Mail .pdf copies of our logs between the dates September 1, 2017 through and October 31, 2017. The files are too voluminous to send via e-mail. We need additional time to generate and redact personal information contained in the PRA database for the remaining time frame denoted in your request. We anticipate reviewing and redacting this data to take a minimum of 12 weeks. The logs will be produced to you as they are completed in a rolling production process.

PRA-2018-00452	Zelenay	James	3/9/2018	<p>1. All documents filed in a qui tam False Claims Act case filed by Roger Hayes and C. Talbot Heppenstall in Sacramento County Superior Court on September 11, 2007, including, but not limited to, the complaint, notice of voluntary dismissal, and any order dismissing the case.</p> <p>2. The docket from a qui tam False Claims Act case filed by Roger Hayes and C. Talbot Heppenstall in Sacramento County Superior Court on September 11, 2007.</p>	Completed	Brendan Ruddy	<p>March 19, 2018: As to the records requested in paragraph 1 of your request, copies of all responsive Judicial Council forms MC-060, which could be located through reasonable efforts, will be disclosed. Disclosure is estimated to occur within five to ten business days from the date of this letter.</p> <p>Otherwise, disclosure of the information requested in paragraph 1 of your request is exempted and prohibited pursuant to California law, (see Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k)), because the request calls for the Superior Court records of a sealed qui tam action. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rules 2.570 et seq.)</p> <p>Additionally, the attorney work product doctrine (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030), the official information privilege (Evid. Code, § 1040) and the investigative law enforcement records exemptions apply to particular documents requested. (See Gov. Code, § 6254, subs. (t) &amp; (k).)</p> <p>Records such as the declarations of AGO attorneys filed in camera with the court discuss the nature and extent of the government's investigation, including information that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, and opinions, information obtained in confidence, and information reflecting the government's deliberative and decisional processes. These documents are confidential and exempt from disclosure as work product, containing official information and confidential investigative material, and reflecting the government's deliberative and decisional processes.</p> <p>The exemption under Section 6255 of the Government Code also applies to the sealed court records. The public interests served by the AGO not disclosing Superior Court records of a sealed case absent a court order unsealing such a case sufficiently outweigh the public interest in the AGO's disclosure of the same.</p> <p>As to the records requested in paragraph 2 of your request, after researching the request and all reasonable efforts, we have determined that the AGO has no documents that are responsive.</p> <p>In responding to your request under the California Public Records Act, the AGO does not waive any rights, privileges or claims as to the disclosure or protection of any information.</p> <p>March 23, 2018 response: Please find enclosed copies of all responsive Judicial Council forms MC-060 that could be located through reasonable efforts, as discussed in my letter of March 19, 2018.</p>
PRA-2018-00453	Smith	Annette	3/9/2018	I would like to request a copy of my father's death certificate. His name is Gerald Turner. There was a child support case. I was born [REDACTED]	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-00454	OBrien	Shiela	3/9/2018	Albert Torres currently occupying [REDACTED] I currently am trying to evict from my mother's home	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to</p>

								confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-00516	North	Lily	3/9/2018	All documents and communications, including without limitation electronic mail, related to People of the State of California v. Rent-A-Center, Inc., Case No. CGC-06-457887 (Cal. Super. Ct., S.F. Cty.). • All communications with Rent-A-Center, Inc. related to People of the State of California v. Rent-A-Center, Inc., Case No. CGC-06-457887 (Cal. Super. Ct., S. F. Cty.). • All communication with anyone outside of the Attorney General's Office regarding People of the State of California v. Rent-A-Center, Inc., Case No. CGC-06-457887 (Cal. Super. Ct., S.F. Cty.). • All communications with Rust Consulting related to People of the State of California v. Rent-A-Center, Inc., Case No. CGC-06-457887 (Cal. Super. Ct., S.F. Cty.). All documents and communications referencing or referring to Rust Consulting related to People of the State of California v. Rent-A-Center, Inc., Case No. CGC-06-457887 (Cal. Super. Ct., S.F. Cty.). All documents and communications concerning or describing the Settlement Administrator's distribution and efforts to distribute restitution payments to RAC consumers in California pursuant to the Final Judgment in People of the State of California v. Rent-A-Center, Inc., Case No. CGC-06-457887 (Cal. Super. Ct., S. F. Cty. ), including without limitation, the Settlement Administrator's communications with and efforts to contact RAC consumers.	Dechert LLP	Completed	Joseph A. Rogazzo	Joseph Ragazzo sent an extension on March 19, 2018. On April 2, 2018, Ragazzo responded: While this Office will produce records and publicly available information in response to your request, please be advised that we must decline your request as to certain documents. First, many of the records you seek are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (:f) expressly exempts from disclosure records of complaints to and investigations conducted by the Office of the Attorney General and the Department of Justice. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (:f).) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status after conclusion of the investigation. (Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354-355.) Your request also seeks documents exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney work product doctrine or the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954, and the work product exception contained in Code of Civil Procedure section 2018.030, are incorporated into the Public Records Act as exemptions from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363; County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Your request also seeks documents exempt from disclosure pursuant to the deliberative process privilege. The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255. Finally, some of the records you are seeking contain private identifying information, such as non-commercial addresses, e-mail addresses, and telephone numbers, along with other sensitive personal information. This information is protected from disclosure. (Cal. Const., art. I, §1, as incorporated into Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.) Enclosed are non-exempt documents that are responsive or related to your request. In providing the records requested, we have redacted certain private identifying information, based on considerations of personal privacy. We have also redacted portions of documents based on the attorney work product exception. For your convenience, we have provided this information to you in electronic format. The Department of Justice is authorized to charge for postage and the direct cost of duplication for copying records under the Public Records Act. If you would like these documents in hard copy, please contact our office.
PRA-2018-00523	Hahn	Jill	3/9/2018	Mark E. Wright, date of birth [REDACTED] I work for Family Court Services, and am reviewing personal and legal history. I appreciate any information you're able to forward to me. Sincerely, Jill Hahn		Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital



								Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-00455	Kistler	Nolie	3/11/2018	Rental history		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking rental history records, you may wish to direct your request to your credit reporting agency. If you seek eviction records, you would need to direct your request to the local superior court in which the case was filed.
PRA-2018-00456	Gruse	Brian	3/12/2018	Marriage records		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA-2018-00457	Grutz	Jerry	3/12/2018	Can I get a public records for Terry Allen Armstrong. DOB [REDACTED] SS# [REDACTED]. He applied for a job at my co. and am looking to see if he will be able to work with customers.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history

							records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-00459	Jordan	Kirk	3/12/2018	For the City of Long Beach, California, calendar years 2017 and 2018, e-mails and attachments from city employees of the Public Works Department, City Engineer, and office of Mayor Robert Garcia, in reference to the "Broadway Corridor Project" and the "Broadway Visioning Study"; especially referring to numbers of parking spaces to be created or lost by the project. Pertinent e-mail addresses: Sean.Crumby@longbeach.gov<mailto:Sean.Crumby@longbeach.gov>; Craig.Beck@longbeach.gov<mailto:Craig.Beck@longbeach.gov>; Eric.Widstrand@longbeach.gov<mailto:Eric.Widstrand@longbeach.gov>; Paul.VanDyk@longbeach.gov<mailto:Paul.VanDyk@longbeach.gov>; Onofre.Ramirez@longbeach.gov<mailto:Onofre.Ramirez@longbeach.gov>; Alvin.Papa@longbeach.gov<mailto:Alvin.Papa@longbeach.gov>; Mayor@longbeach.gov<mailto:Mayor@longbeach.gov>	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the City of Long Beach, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PRA-2018-00464	Angulo	Al	3/12/2018	Vincent Guerrero D.O.B. [REDACTED] Case No. 2017-64.	Completed	Nancy Kaiser	You did not state any specific records in your request. Per our telephone conversation today, you stated that the Stipulated Settlement and the Accusation in Case No. 2017-64 and Respondent's license applications upon which the Accusation is based will satisfy your request. Additionally, we decline to provide responsive records in our possession that are exempt from disclosure under the following exemptions: Attorney-Client Privilege Records exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954, which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client; are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.) Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and any client agency are exempt from disclosure under the Act. Attorney Work Product The attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that are maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc. § 18.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Act. Privilege Log Not Required Under the Public Records Act, government agencies are not obligated to provide a privilege log or list of every record withheld along with a statutory justification for withholding. (Haynie v Superior Court (2001) 26 Cal.4th 1061, 1074. Nor is the agency to describe each of the documents falling within the statutory exemption. Providing such a log would be unduly time-consuming and burdensome under the public interest balancing test. (Id. at 1074-75; Gov. Code § 6255.) Enclosures: Stipulated Settlement, Accusation, Respondent's license/registration applications submitted to SPCB, dated April 28, 1998, October 27, 1998, July 8, 2002, October 2, 2002, and May 27, 2003.
PRA-2018-00487	Huerta	Lisa	3/12/2018	State complaint, journal entries from plea and conviction, and sentencing order documents in State v. Alex Bogroff, case number CR32270. Bogroff was convicted of the	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records based on the information provided. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a

				<p>principal crime, Possession of Controlled Substance, on February 24, 1988; and he was convicted of Escape from Prison on May 30, 1989.</p> <p>Comments: Our office is currently prosecuting Alex Michael Bogroff, D.O.B. [REDACTED], for Domestic Assault and two counts of Terroristic Threats in Buffalo County, Nebraska, as well as being a Habitual Criminal. Please provide our office with a court certified copy of the state complaint, plea, conviction, and sentencing order documents in the above-referenced case. If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact me at lhuerta@buffalocounty.ne.gov&lt;mailto:lhuerta@buffalocounty.ne.gov&gt;. Time is of the essence in this matter.</p>			<p>search of our records within the care and custody of our office. We note that you mention charges incurred by Alex Michael Bogroff in Buffalo County Nebraska. If this case was handled by the Nebraska Department of Justice or a local District Attorney's Office, you would need to direct your request to that office.</p> <p>To the extent you are seeking criminal history records, we are unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as a Public Records Act Request, as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. California law authorizes certain governmental and private organizations to conduct criminal offender record information background checks to help determine the suitability of a person applying for a license, employment, or a volunteer position working with children, the elderly, or the disabled. Law enforcement agencies, public and private schools, non-profit organizations, and in-home supportive care agencies are some of the organizations authorized to conduct these fingerprint-based background checks. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-00491	Olfati (March 2018)	Parvin	3/12/2018	<p>1. Each and every electronic mail message, text message, memorandum, letter, document, writing and/or record that mentions, refers to, and/or relates to any Parvin Olfati, Olfati, Olfat-Namin and/or Namin Tehran University diploma, Iran high school transcripts, and/or Iran educational documents from any safe deposit box maintained by Parvin Olfati at SAFE Credit Union, including but not limited to boxes W1120 and/or W6067.</p> <p>2. Each and every electronic mail message, text message, memorandum, letter, document, writing and/or record that constitutes, mentions, refers to, and/or relates to any communication that any Parvin Olfati access to safe deposit box W6067 or any other safe deposit box at SAFE Credit Union should be restricted or otherwise treated differently than safe deposit access requests made by other persons.</p> <p>3. Each and every electronic mail message, text message, memorandum, letter, document, writing and/or record that constitutes, mentions, refers to and/or relates to any communications with any federal government agency (including but not limited to the Department of Homeland Security) that mentions, refers to, and/or relates to Parvin Olfati.</p> <p>4. For any document in Request Items 1, 2 and/or 3 above that DOJ claims has been destroyed or is otherwise unavailable, please provide all records of any destruction or other reasons for non-availability, together with applicable records retention policies.</p>	Completed		
PRA-2018-00492	Olfati (March 2018)	Parvin	3/12/2018	<p>1. Each and every electronic mail message, text message, memorandum, letter, document, writing and/or record that mentions, refers to, and/or relates to any placement of or release of any nerve agent, nerve gas, toxic, contaminant, poison or substance directed at the spine or intended to cause spinal injury or spinal damage and brain damage to Parvin Olfati; directed at or in any residence believed to be used by Parvin Olfati; directed at or in any place where Parvin Olfati has believed to have escaped to get away from being poisoned, becoming ill, or otherwise affected; and/or directed at or in any automobile believed to be used by Parvin Olfati.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.

				<p>2. Each and every electronic mail message, text message, memorandum, letter, document, writing and/or record that mentions, refers to, and/or relates to any placement of or release of any arsenic or toxic that has any effect on the nerves, spine or brain or is intended to cause injury or brain, nerve and/or spinal damage to Parvin Olfati; directed at or in any residence believed to be used by Parvin Olfati; directed at or in any place where Parvin Olfati has believed to have escaped to get away from being poisoned, becoming ill, or otherwise affected; and/or directed at or in any automobile believed to be used by Parvin Olfati.</p> <p>3. For any document in Request Items 1 and/or 2 above that DOJ claims has been destroyed or is otherwise unavailable, please provide all records of any destruction or other reasons for non-availability, together with applicable records retention policies.</p>				
PRA-2018-00527	Ponton	Thomas	3/12/2018	Please provide any documents from the Department of Fish and Wildlife pertaining to 1989-1991 permit obtained by the Big Bear Municipal Water District involving Mallard Lagoon or Canvasback Cove. Documents requested include permits, applications, letters, agreements and completion sign offs. They would likely involve lake, or stream alteration. One possible Notification number for partial documents might be 5-165-89, but others may exist.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We note that your request is directed to the California Department of Justice, but seeks documents from the Department of Fish and Wildlife. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the Department of Fish and Wildlife, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to submit a Public Records Request to their office can be found on the Department of Fish and Wildlife's web page at <a href="https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/General-Counsel/Public-Records-Requests">https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/General-Counsel/Public-Records-Requests</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-00528	Legarreta	Melissa	3/12/2018	Investigation/ any documents regarding the murder of Daniel Subia Rodriguez in 1982. Shot to death. For the purpose of personal investigation		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 ["While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)."].)
PRA-2018-00537	Isetti	Ronald	3/12/2018	I am an historian who is presently writing the history of Stockton California. On July 4, 1970, a police incident with young Mexican Americans led to charges of brutality. As a result the local chief, Jack O'Keefe, resigned from office. At the request of the local district attorney, Joseph H. Baker, two members of the Attorney General's office came to the city to lead an investigation of the local police department. Baker could not do this because he was involved in two high profile cases involving fraud at Avco Thrift and the bribery of a superior court judge. A scouring of the local newspaper could not find any story on the AG's final report. It would have been made sometime between August of 1970 and February of 1971. My question is this: can it be found in the files of the AG office? Is it possibly on line?		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 ["While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)."].)

PRA-2018-00550	Jacobo	Salvador Baltazar	3/12/2018	I Salvador Baltazar Jacobo would like to receive any police record or court record on my behalf if any. I am willing to send payment via mail being that I do not live in the state of California any longer. If this is possible for any record under my name could be mailed to me to the address mentioned above. I understand that there may be a fee for these services and I am willing to pay to the appropriate authority. If you require more personal information and or document on my behalf please send notice to me as soon as possible. I look forward to a response from your department.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> . Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking court records, you may wish to direct your request to the local court in which the case you are interested in was heard.
PRA-2018-00559	Dancel	Clarice	3/12/2018	1. PERMANENT RESIDENCE OF CALIFORNIA NOT of Texas, please see CALIFORNIA state rules and regulations. 2. Proof of legitimate TOXICOLOGY REPORT. IMMEDIATELY. 3. Return of ALL property damage done in transference to holding cell and there of, IMMEDIATELY. 4. RESTORATION of ALL property unlawful destroyed and taken by suggesting officer (1). IMMEDIATELY. 5. Update case notes. IMMEDIATELY 6. Proper due diligence is requested IMMEDIATELY 7. Cease and desist of all unlawful discriminatory charges. IMMEDIATELY 8. Cease and Desists of ALL harassing threats from The state of TEXAS, IMMEDIATELY. 9. CEASE AND DESIST all actions leading to "DEFAMATION of CHARACTER" currently in process and done by the State of TEXAS, IMMEDIATELY. WE are requesting a full original POLICE REPORT (NOT a copy of finger prints TAKEN without consent), IMMEDIATELY. DUE to the hardships caused by suggesting arresting officer of JULY 2,2017 over the 4th of July		Completed	Erin Nickless	We must deny your request for the reasons set forth below, and because it lacks clarity and specificity. You have stated that "information needs to be updated." You have also indicated that case notes need to be updated, however no case name, number, or court information has been provided. Therefore, we have been unable to search for these records. If you are seeking a correction to a criminal record, you will need to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> . To the extent that you are seeking police reports, we must deny your request. Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place. Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Since you include requests directed to the State of Texas in your request, you would need to direct your request to them.
PRA-2018-00471	Frazin	Alan	3/13/2018	All complaints for the past five years for Fair Credit Reporting Act matters, and matters related to inaccurate credit reporting, identity theft, and refusal to correct credit reporting inaccuracies, for the following entities: Discover Bank, Citibank and CitiMortgage, including related entities, such as Discover Bank, Discover Financial Services, Discover Card Execution Note Trust, Discover Card Master Trust I, Discover Funding LLC, Discover Properties LLC, Citigroup Inc., Citibank, N.A., CitiMortgage, Inc., Citigroup Global Markets Inc, CEFOF GP I CORP., CELFOF GP CORP., Citigroup Alternative Investments LLC, Citigroup Capital Partners I GP I CORP., Citigroup Capital Partners I GP II CORP., Citigroup Private Equity (OFFSHORE) LLC, Citigroup First Investment Management.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 ["While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)."].) We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have located one non-exempt complaint that falls within the time frame outlined in your request and that may relate to your subject matter. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.
PRA-2018-00472	Kleinman	Gretchen	3/13/2018	All communications to or from employees of Oracle (individuals with the @oracle.com domain name) or individuals claiming to act on behalf of or claiming to represent Oracle, regarding, pertaining to, or concerning Rimini Street, Inc.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.



PRA-2018-00473	Sokolik	Dixie	3/13/2018	Any records or written communications regarding Michelle Knuttila/HiCaliber Horse Rescue from the CVMB or Attorney General's office as can currently be allowed. I can be reached at [REDACTED] or phone number [REDACTED]. Thank you so much for your attention and time in this matter.	Completed	Caroline Hughes	For the reasons set forth below, we decline to provide records in response to your request. There are public records on the Attorney General's website that relate to your request, which you may find at <a href="http://rct.doj.ca.gov/Verification/">http://rct.doj.ca.gov/Verification/</a> , click the link to search for an Organization, and then type in "Hicaliber Horse Rescue, Inc." under the "Organization Name". Any additional records that may exist would be classified as confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. The Attorney General cannot respond on behalf of the California Veterinary Medical Board. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts investigatory and security files of the Attorney General, including complaints about unlawful practices. In the case of Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, the California Supreme Court held that investigative records in the possession of law enforcement agencies are exempt from disclosure. To the extent that your request is for any other documents or information related to these records, Code of Civil Procedure section 2018.030 exempts from disclosure the work product of an attorney. The attorney work-product exemption exempts from disclosure any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that are maintained as confidential. It is also incorporated into the Public Records Act by Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k). (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833 .) Under the attorney work-product exemption, records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office would be subject to this exemption, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.
PRA-2018-00474	Wiseman	Jill	3/13/2018	1) Want the attorney's name and if possible firm that won lawsuits against Mark Hooshmand - #194878 Hooshmand Law Group 22 Battery St Ste 610 San Francisco, CA 94111 2) Brief description of the lawsuits that were won against Mark Hooshmand	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. You may wish to contact the court in which the lawsuits would have been filed.
PRA-2018-00475	Morgan	Betty	3/13/2018	Owner of rental property at [REDACTED] [REDACTED] One owner is Anita Palowsky and the other is her brother same last name I presume. Anita may be living in Anaheim, CA. Her phone number is 714 area code.	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on the owner a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides.
PRA-2018-00476	Clift	Gerald	3/13/2018	I would like the most recent ten years of data for homicide arrests by age of arrestee. The information in Table 32, of the homicide report I have provided a link to in the comments, has this information but I would like the information provided by year (for the most recent 10 years) and with an age group of 18-20 year olds. I am trying to determine the percent and number of homicide arrestees (ages 18-20) in comparison to the rest of the population. Thank you. <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/downloads/pdfs/hm16.pdf">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/downloads/pdfs/hm16.pdf</a>	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.
PRA-2018-00486	Combs	Brandon	3/13/2018	To assist in clarifying this request with the hope of avoiding unnecessary delay, I provide the following background followed by a description of the records I want to access. BACKGROUND: Jim Guy, "Agents seized 500 guns from a Clovis family. After a court fight, they got them back," Fresno Bee, April 17, 2017, at <a href="http://www.fresnobee.com/news/local/crime/article142449644.html">http://www.fresnobee.com/news/local/crime/article142449644.html</a> Attorney General Becerra news release Oct. 19, 2017:	Completed	Robert Wilson	As a preliminary matter, we note that under the Public Records Act government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public, unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) Section 6254, subdivision (k), incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law. Requests that are overly broad and unduly burdensome are exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law. As you are aware, the Attorney General is the head of the Department of Justice. The Offices of the Attorney General are located in six different cities. In addition to these six offices, there are other law enforcement divisions. In order to respond to your request, each division of the Department of Justice, including each section, branch, unit or bureau would have to be canvassed, requiring each individual deputy attorney

				<p><a href="https://oag.ca.gov/news/press-releases/california-department-justice-iss...">https://oag.ca.gov/news/press-releases/california-department-justice-iss...</a>  Attorney General Becerra news release Feb. 6, 2018:  <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/news/press-releases/attorney-general-becerra-californ...">https://oag.ca.gov/news/press-releases/attorney-general-becerra-californ...</a>  Attorney General Becerra news release Feb. 21, 2018:  <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/news/press-releases/attorney-general-becerra-announce...">https://oag.ca.gov/news/press-releases/attorney-general-becerra-announce...</a>  Attorney General Becerra news release March 13, 2018:  <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/news/press-releases/attorney-general-becerra-seven-ap...">https://oag.ca.gov/news/press-releases/attorney-general-becerra-seven-ap...</a>  RECORDS REQUESTED:  1. Records that are on or about:  A. "bump-fire" firearm stocks (sometimes known as, among other terms, "bumpfire" stocks, "bump fire" stocks, "bumpstocks," or "bump-stocks");  B. "slide fire" stocks (sometimes known as, among other terms, "slide-fire" stocks or "slidefire" stocks); or,  C. "multi-burst trigger activators" (sometimes known as, among other terms, "multiburst trigger activator").  2. Records on or about:  A. Penal Code section 16590(q);  B. Penal Code section 16930;  C. Penal Code section 18010;  D. Penal Code section 32900; or,  E. Penal Code section 32900.  3. For the period of January 1, 2017, to March 13, 2018, inclusive, records regarding the APPS program:  A. Generally;  B. Property seized through the APPS program; and,  C. Property seized through the APPS program that was or may be returned or released.  4. For the period of January 1, 2017, to March 13, 2018, inclusive, records on or about underground regulations (determined, held, or alleged).</p>			<p>general or the head of each section, branch, unit or bureau, or staff thereof, to review each and every individual matter assigned to that deputy attorney general or the head of each section, branch, unit or bureau, or staff thereof, to determine if they have any documents responsive to your request. Further, such materials may not be maintained, indexed or categorized according to a single title or subject matter description, thus, requiring a more extensive search for such documents.  As currently drafted, your request for information is overly broad and unduly burdensome. Unspecific and unfocused requests for government records under the Public Records Act are contrary to the purposes of the Public Records act, and requestors should provide specific, focused requests in order to give agencies a reasonable opportunity to respond. General, unfocused requests compel agencies to deny them thereby leading to litigation. The request to the agency must itself be focused and specific. (Rogers v. Superior Court (1993) 19 Cal.App.4th 469, 481.) Specifically, a search of Department communications and records under the parameters you identified would be unworkable.  For example, it is appropriate to consider the time and expense necessary for an agency to comply with a request. Section 6255 speaks broadly of the "public interest," a phrase which encompasses public concern with the cost and efficiency of government. (ACLU, supra, 32 Cal.3d at pp. 452-453.) In American Civil Liberties Union Foundation, the ACLU requested certain index cards and computer printouts held by the Department of Justice. The California Supreme Court upheld the Department's refusal to provide the documents, even in a redacted form, because "segregation of personal identifiers, confidential information, and information which might reveal confidential sources will be so burdensome, and will so reduce the utility of disclosing the documents to the ACLU, that the public interest will not be served by requiring disclosure of the index cards." (Id. at p. 444.) The court observed that "to refuse to place [the burden to an agency of compliance] on the section 6255 scales would make it possible for any person requesting information, for any reason or for no particular reason, to impose upon a governmental agency a limitless obligation. Such a result would not be in the public interest." (Id. at p. 453.)  For these reasons, we must decline your request for records as it is currently cast. However, we are willing to consult with you to determine if a more specific request would be likely to generate additional records. (Gov. Code, § 6253.1.)</p>
PRA-2018-00488	Skovgard	David	3/13/2018	<p>Animal Care Service Bureau citations #'s 22783 1/11/18, 23877 3/12/18 and 23878 3/12/18. I would like to know who my accuser is since I am being fined and to see the evidence against me. The first citation was a warning. I will be appealing it as neither my wife or I was home at the time of the supposed incident.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.  Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records of citations issued by the Animal Care Service Bureau, you may wish to direct your request to their office.</p>
PRA-2018-00498	Dorsey	Edwin	3/13/2018	<p>Pursuant to my rights under the California Public Records Act (Government Code Section 6250 et seq.), I ask to obtain copies of all consumer complaints referencing Care.com Inc. from January 1st, 2012 to March 13, 2018 made to the California attorney general's office. I would prefer to receive this information via email.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 ["While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)."].)</p>
PRA-2018-00541	Bort	Emily	3/13/2018	<p>Looking for a State Tax Lien from the Employment Development Department to Mr John R Gallegos. Case number 1273098720 and the original case number is 1273000420685. Filed 2/1/2012.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. The Department of Justice cannot produce records that are responsive to your request.  We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but</p>



							seeks records regarding tax liens and potentially records generated by the Employment Development Department. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the records you seek might be available through the Employment Development Department or the Franchise Tax Board; or the local county assessor's office for the county in which the property resides if the lien is property-related.
PRA-2018-00477	Miller	Brianna	3/14/2018	Birth and death certificate of my great grand father Calletano Carrasco. I'm adopted so my original name is Brianna Carrasco	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA-2018-00478	Moore	Yohannes	3/14/2018	Requesting Public Records for case regarding: Yohannes Julian Moore Case Number: P4636171336713 Santa Barbra Courts, CA Charges filed on: 01/10/2010 Category: Criminal/Traffic 834961667 Requesting any/ all of the following documents: COPY OF THE ARRESTING OFFICER'S REPORT, COMPLAINT, INDICTMENT, TRIAL DISPOSITION, SENTENCE, APPEAL, PROBATION REPORT AND CERTIFIED COPY OF CONVICTION. Comments: Requesting these documents for Moral Character and Fitness Application to California State Bar	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> . Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Since you are seeking probation reports, you may wish to contact the probation office or the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Information on how to contact them can be found at <a href="http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html">http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html</a> .
PRA-2018-00481	McCulley	Matthew	3/14/2018	Investigation Report from the Office of the Director, State of California, Department of Justice. Investigation Title: Oakland Police Department Sergeant of Police, Derwin Longmire; Date: February 26, 2009; Investigation Number: PS08-0035; Report Number: 1; Type of Report: Opening/Closing Case Agent: John Porbanic, SAS -- Request is for a Full, Unredacted Copy If this Investigation Report is considered exempt from the public records act, then please provide contact and procedural information to serve a duces tecum subpoena upon the California Department of Justice via email.	Completed	Shannon Patterson	We must decline your request for the reasons set forth below. You have requested an "investigation report" from this office. To the extent that these records exist, they are investigative files of the Attorney General and the DOJ that are expressly exempt from disclosure pursuant to Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f). In the case of Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354 the California Supreme Court held that investigative records in the possession of law enforcement agencies are exempt from disclosure. The Court also ruled that investigation documents did not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id at p. 355.)
PRA-2018-00482	Parfait	Jason	3/14/2018	Burroughs Allen Waltrip born in Texas in 1903 and last known location is San Bernadino, CA in 1953. He was my maternal great-grandfather.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital

								Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-00483	Fish	Nicole	3/14/2018	I am a reporter at the community-based news site VoiceWaves and I am writing a story about parking tickets in Long Beach and the effects they have on different groups of people. In order to write this piece, I would like to have access to the cost of parking tickets in different areas, the cost of fines when dues are not paid and the consequences beyond fines that may occur. This is a request under the California Public Records Act (Government Code Section 6250 et seq.) Specifically, I ask to obtain records of all parking violations in the city of Long Beach from January 1, 2013, until the present. By records I mean a description of the violation, cost of the fine, time, date and area in which it was incurred, including the license number, year and make and model of each vehicle. Additionally, I am requesting the average number of tickets and cost of tickets per resident per district, along with the average household income per district. Please include data on the percentage of fines paid both late and on time, the exact monetary penalties for late fines and any further consequences that may unfold.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Parking ticket records are typically maintained by the local city police department that generated the citation. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department(s) for the region in which you seek this information.
PRA-2018-00484	Blonien	Jarhett	3/14/2018	Pursuant to my rights under the California Public Records Act. Government Code Section 6250 et seq., the California Constitution, and Business and Professions Code Section 19826. I am writing to request a copy of the following gaming activities as approved by the Bureau of Gambling Control. These records are in the possession of the Bureau of Gambling Control. The following gaming activities are approved by the Bureau and listed by the Bureau's Gaming Establishment Gaming Activity (GEGA) Number: 1.) GEGA-001751 2.) GEGA-001902 3.) GEGA-00163 4.) GEGA-003400 5.) GEGA-003403 6.) GEGA-004154 Pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 19826 these records should be posted on the Bureau's website but are not currently posted.		Completed	Riann Kopchak	The Gambling Control Act ("Act") provides that the Bureau "shall maintain a file of all applications for licenses" and that the file is "open to public inspection." (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19821, subd. (b).) The Act specifies that except as provided therein, the records of the Bureau are exempt from disclosure under the California Public Records Act. (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19821, subd. (c).) Business and Professions Code section 19821, subdivision (d), further specifies that it unlawful for an official or employee of the Department to knowingly disclose or furnish records or information to a person not authorized by law to receive them, except as necessary for the administration of the Gambling Control Act. In response to your request, please note that the rules of gaming activities approved by the Bureau are not within the class of records that are subject to disclosure under the Public Records Act, as identified in Business and Professions Code section 19821, subdivision (d). Accordingly, your request for the specified records under the Public Records Act is denied. The decisions described herein with respect to the requested records have been made by Associate Governmental Program Analyst Kaylee Forthman and Deputy Attorneys General Robert L. Mukai and Jennifer T. Henderson.
PRA-2018-00567	Stickel	Dianne	3/14/2018	Any criminal records, small claims judgement, tax liens/warrants or civil filings on Gregory Steven Fulks, age 50.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no disclosable records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)

								Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. To the extent that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> . To the extent that you are seeking small claims, you would need to direct your request to the court in which the cases were filed.
PRA-2018-00581	Rafner	Douglas	3/14/2018	(1) Any and all documentation in the Department's possession and control pertaining to any investigation regarding the contractor known as STEMAR RESTORATIONS, INC. (Contractors State License Board License No. 911989), located at 8161 Commercial Street, La Mesa, California 91942. (2) Any report made by any person concerning any investigation regarding the contractor known as STEMAR RESTORATIONS, INC. (Contractors State License Board License No. 911989), located at 8161 Commercial Street, La Mesa, California 91942. (3) Any photographs, films or videotapes depicting any place, object or individual concerning any investigation regarding the contractor known as STEMAR RESTORATIONS, INC. (Contractors State License Board License No. 911989), located at 8161 Commercial Street, La Mesa, California 91942. (4) Any diagram, reproduction or model of any place or thing concerning any investigation regarding the contractor known as STEMAR RESTORATIONS, INC. (Contractors State License Board License No. 911989), located at 8161 Commercial Street, La Mesa, California 91942. (5) Any written or recorded statement from any individual concerning any investigation regarding the contractor known as STEMAR RESTORATIONS, INC. (Contractors State License Board License No. 911989), located at 8161 Commercial Street, La Mesa, California 91942. (6) Any note, writing, document or memorandum reflecting or memorializing the Department's recollection of any investigation regarding the contractor known as STEMAR RESTORATIONS, INC. (Contractors State License Board License No. 911989), located at 8161 Commercial Street, La Mesa, California 91942.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 ["While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)."].)
PRA-2018-00493	Deir	Unknown	3/15/2018	Driving records for a client	Carlson Law Firm	Completed	Erin Nickless	Responded via telephone. Gave info for record review unit for criminal history and referred to DMV for driving records.
PRA-2018-00495	Freedberg	Sydney	3/15/2018	Please provide copies of records, electronically, if possible, of documents and information turned over by Medtronic regarding sales, marketing, clinical, and other information relating to the INFUSE bone graft product.		Completed	Laurel Carnes	For the reasons set forth below, we must decline your request. The records that you seek are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal. 4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355.) Therefore, we are unaware of any public records within our possession, custody, or



								control that satisfy your request. For this and the above reason, we must decline your request for records.
PRA-2018-00496	Land	Erin	3/15/2018	<p>I am writing to request a copy of any and all criminal history records connected to the following individual between the years 1970 to present: Amanda Rosetta VanMeter (Merritt) Date of Birth: [REDACTED] SSN: [REDACTED].</p> <p>This request includes but is not limited to police reports, supplements, detectives' and officers' notes, audio/video recordings, 911 calls, radio transmissions, photographs, and any other material not listed on this request but that are included in any investigation concerning this individual. This request includes recent and archived records from the years 1970 to present.</p> <p>Should it be the case that no records are located, please provide a letter to that effect. In the event records existed and were destroyed, please provide us with a copy of the agency's records retention and destruction policies or provide the policies and procedures on agency letterhead. If you deem any part of my request to be exempt from disclosure, please include the full text citation from the California Public Records Act to support your denial.</p>	Office of Federal Public Defender	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>Unfortunately, the Department of Justice (DOJ) is unable to comply with your request for the criminal history records of the named individual. The California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to California Penal Code section 11076, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records. The only exception to this rule is an individual requesting his or her own records. California Penal Code sections 11120 through 11127 afford an individual the opportunity to obtain a copy of his or her own California state summary criminal history information to review for accuracy and completeness. If you have further questions or concerns regarding a record review, please direct your correspondence to the Bureau of Criminal Information &amp; Analysis, Record Review Unit at P.O. Box 903417, Sacramento, CA 94203-4170. For additional information, the following is the Record Review website: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review">https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review</a>. In regard to police reports, supplements, detectives' and officers' notes, audio/video recordings, 911 calls, and radio transmissions, the DOJ does not receive or maintain such things. You may want to check with the arresting agency.</p> <p>Apart from any California state summary criminal history records that may be in the DOJ's possession, the records that you request are considered confidential law enforcement record data and are accessed exclusively via the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System.</p> <p>Access to the telecommunications system utilized by law enforcement agencies, including the DOJ, is restricted by law. (Gov. Code § 15152). Confidentiality provisions like these are expressly incorporated into the PRA. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (f)). As such, your request for records is denied.</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>
PRA-2018-00499	Gallardo Luna	Yanely	3/15/2018	<p>aggressor name Pedro Mayo Poblete name of victim Yanely Gallardo Luna month of November and year of 2006. Domestic violence Incident occurred at the city of Pasadena I don't remember the exact day and the case name or number.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. You may be able to request a police report from the local law enforcement agency in which the incident took place.</p>
PRA-2018-00529	Harte	Julia	3/15/2018	<p>I am a reporter for Reuters. Under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq., I am writing to request copies of all email messages between Attorney General Xavier Becerra (or any other officials in his office) and the following individuals:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Dan Reid, National Rifle Association State Liaison</li><li>• Ed Worley, National Rifle Association State Liaison</li><li>• Pete Brownell, National Rifle Association President</li><li>• Allan Cors, National Rifle Association President (Former)</li><li>• Wayne LaPierre, National Rifle Association Executive Vice President</li><li>• Chris Cox, National Rifle Association Institute for Legislative Action Executive Director</li><li>• Josh Powell, National Rifle Association Chief of Staff</li><li>• Dana Loesch, National Rifle Association, Office for Public Communication</li><li>• Any individuals not named above whose email addresses end in "@nrahq.org".</li></ul> <p>I am only seeking emails that were sent or received between January 1, 2017, and the present. For the individuals whose names I have listed, please search your office's correspondence records using their names. For the last category ("Any individuals not named above whose email addresses end in "@nrahq.org") please</p>		Completed	Emmanuelle Soichet	<p>Apart from Attorney General Becerra, your request does not name any particular individual within the California Department of Justice and instead appears to request we search the emails of all Department employees for responsive records. As the Department employs approximately 5,000 people, the expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a broad and unfocused search for responsive documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.)</p> <p>Nevertheless, in an effort to respond to your request, we have conducted a search of email records of employees within the Attorney General's executive unit, including Attorney General Becerra. We searched for communications to or from the individuals at the National Rifle Association identified in your request, along with emails to or from email addresses with the domain name "nrahq.org." In addition, we have searched the records of the executive staff with the Department's Bureau of Firearms. These searches produced four responsive records, which I have enclosed with this letter. In producing these records, we have redacted certain private identifying information, such as non-commercial addresses, email addresses, and phone numbers based on considerations of personal privacy. (Cal. Const., art. I, § 1, as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.) This completes our response to your request.</p> <p>If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.</p> <p>An extension letter was sent on March 26, 2018.</p>

				search your office's correspondence records using that email domain name.			
PRA-2018-00530	Cruz	Fred	3/15/2018	Records detailing the funding authority and approval to pay outside counsel, Eric Holder, to assist the State of California in filing law suits against the current administration's DOJ.	Completed	Tamar Pachter	The Department of Justice has no records responsive to your request.
PRA-2018-00543	Blutstein	Allan	3/15/2018	Records reflecting the use of state-owned aircraft by Attorney General Becerra since January 2017, including records indicating the costs of those flights.	Completed	Catherine Brown	Extension sent by Catherine Brown on March 26, 2018 On April 9, 2018, Catherine Brown responded: The Department has no records responsive to your request. Please note that Attorney General Becerra has not traveled by state-owned aircraft during the period specified.
PRA-2018-00546	Strugar	Matthew	3/15/2018	I am requesting a copy of every request for records to California's sex offender registrations database information from January 1, 2017 to the date this request is processed. I am not looking for the records that were produced in response to these requests; just the requests themselves.	Completed	Michael Dolida	Enclosed herewith are copies of all responsive PRA requests that we received during the applicable time frame. However, we have not included copies of any requests made by registrants seeking either a review of their personal records or a change in their registration status, because such records are confidential and exempt from disclosure. (Pen. Code, § 290.021; Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k).)
PRA-2018-00582	Anderson	Kristopher	3/15/2018	Under the California Public Records Act, I am requesting to obtain all records available to the general public in the possession of the California Department of Justice pertaining to the following: Personnel files and records for Katherine M. Porter	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.
PRA-2018-00500	Turner (Governor aircraft use)	Daniel	3/16/2018	Please accept this communication as a written request under California Public Records Act (CPRA) to the California Department of Justice for all records and related cost of former Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger's (between November 17th, 2003 to January 3rd, 2011) and Governor Jerry Brown's (between January 3rd, 2011 to present) use of state-owned aircraft operated by the state of California's Department of Justice.	Completed	Catherine Brown	On March 26, 2018, Catherine Brown sent an extension letter. On April 6, 2018, Catherine Brown responded: To protect the aircraft used for law enforcement investigations and operations, and the personnel who use the aircraft, we will not produce information specific to the identity of the aircraft. (Gov. Code, §§ 6254, subd. (f), 6255.) To protect the personal security of the Attorney General and his staff, we also will not disclose any information about the Attorney General's security detail and law enforcement personnel. (Gov. Code, §§ 6254, subd. (f), 6255.) Such information has been redacted from the records we identified as responsive to your request. Copies of the redacted responsive records are transmitted to you with the email transmitting this letter. This completes our response to your request.
PRA-2018-00501	Nieblas	Michelle	3/16/2018	911 calls from 1984-1987 time frame [REDACTED]. Persons involved Kathleen Eirstest, Kenneth Oller, Michelle Gomez. Domestic Violence.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Specifically, you are seeking a recording of a 9-11 call. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PRA-2018-00502	Wilson	Fire	3/16/2018	Fred Carroll Wilson senior is or was my ex husband. i'm somewhat sure of his social security number. I am not sure but it may be [REDACTED]. His birthday I'm not sure about. I think it is in October around the [REDACTED]. Could be around [REDACTED] as a guess. He was born in K.C. Mo. As far as I know. we married [REDACTED] in Clark county Nevada. He had a class A (truck driver), license from CA. While we were together. I have not seen him since 1986. I tried to get a divorce back then but I don't know if it worked or not. I didn't have funds for an attorney and tried to do it myself in Los Angeles court where I filed, but I never received any mail from the court, etc. Then life went on and I never wanted to confirm the issue it was avoiding the feeling I don't want to have. I liked his friendship and caring for me, but he was a bit undependable and impulsive which was fun until we had to deal with everyday life. I would like to know the marital status and is there a way to speak with him	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to



				without having to have a private investigator find him? We parted as friends and I know he is not going to contact me first, because I was the one who ended it. His first wife lived off crescent heights Ave, poss bly (dockworker) her name Mary.in Los Angeles. Second wife Beverly MC Iain.Arizona, Born, maybe. Mother name Helen Lee I appreciate the help if you can. he is about 19 years older than me. before we met, he had been to prison for theft of interstate shipment. He wasn't a criminal any more when we met.			confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> . The Department of Justice is not able to conduct private investigative work on the behalf of individual citizens.
PRA-2018-00503	Davidovich	Katerina	3/16/2018	Under California's Megan's Law (Penal Code § 290.46 et seq.), the Department of Justice provides a publicly-accessible website that makes certain information about sex offenders available to the public. This information includes the offender's name, date of birth, sex, registrable offense, and year of conviction. It appears that California has more than 75,000 people on its registry. I am seeking the information that is available on the publicly-accessible website ( <a href="https://www.meganslaw.ca.gov/Search.aspx">https://www.meganslaw.ca.gov/Search.aspx</a> ) for those offenders who are registered for a conviction under Penal Code § 286 (Sodomy). The website allows users to search by name of offender, by address, by city, by zip code, by county, and by proximity of the user's location, but not by offense. Pursuant to Gov't Code § 6253.9, I am requesting this information in an electronic format. If producing the information that is available on the Megan's Law website for those offenders with convictions under Penal Code § 286 (Sodomy) requires "programming and computer services" in order to "produce a copy of the record," I am willing to pay for those programming services. Gov't Code § 6253.9. If producing each of the fields of information available on the Megan's Law website for offenders is burdensome or not feasible, please produce the following fields: Name, Known Aliases, Date of birth, Sex, Last Known Address, Offenses, and Date of Conviction (for each offense). I am seeking records that are accurate at the time the search is conducted or at the time the programmer executes the programmed search. I am not concerned that the information may not be up-to-date by the minute or even by the day.	Completed	Michael Dolida	Unfortunately, we must deny your PRA request for the following reasons: (1) Public access to confidential sex offender registration information is controlled by Megan's Law which, for database integrity reasons, limits such access to real time internet searches on the Megan's Law web site; and (2) Given the constantly-changing nature of the Megan Law's database, the public's interest is best served by limiting public access to confidential sex offender registration information that is accurate and up-to-date. <b>Megan's Law Provides for Internet Access Only</b> Most of the information provided by registering sex offenders during the registration process is confidential (i.e., not open to public inspection). (Pen. Code, § 290.021.) The same is also true for "criminal offender record information" kept in the Department of Justice's California Sex and Arson Registry ("CSAR"). However, despite the confidential nature of this information, California provides members of the public with limited access to some of the sex offender registration information via the Megan's Law internet web site. Specifically, Penal Code section 290.46 provides that "the Department of Justice shall make available information concerning persons who are required to register pursuant to Section 290 to the public via an Internet Web site as specified in this section." (Pen. Code, § 290.46, subd. (a)(1), italics added.) The statute further requires the department to "update the Internet Web site on an ongoing basis." (Ibid.) As mentioned in the notes to this code section, the Legislature created the Megan's Law web site with the intent "that the Department of Justice [ would] continue to maximize all available resources to ensure the highest degree of accuracy in the sex registration database." (Pen. Code, § 290.46, Stats. 2004. ch 745, §. 2.) Additionally, the Legislature enacted Megan's Law with the intent that "California's infrastructure for collecting, maintaining, and disseminating information about registered sex offenders [ would] be retooled to ensure that law enforcement and the public have access to accurate, up-to-date, and relevant information about registered sex offenders." (Pen. Code, § 290.46, Stats 2006 ch. 337, § 2, subd. (c), italics added.) Thus, in order to help ensure and maintain the accuracy of this information, the Legislature limited the public dissemination of sex offender registration information to the Megan's Law web site. Government Code section 6254 sets forth various exceptions to the disclosure requirements under the PRA. Significantly for this matter, section 6254, subdivision (k), exempts from disclosure "Records, the disclosure of which is exempted or prohibited pursuant to federal or state law, including, but not limited to, provisions of the Evidence Code relating to privilege." (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k), italics added.) Thus, the PRA cannot extend the public's right of access to sex offender registration information beyond the limitations and restrictions set forth in Megan's Law. Consequently, the public's right of access to this information is limited to accessing the Megan's Law database. <b>The Public Interest is Best Served by Limiting Access to Current and Accurate Information</b> In your request, you state you are looking for offenders who are registered for a conviction pursuant to Penal Code section 286 (sodomy). You further request the Department to provide-at a minimum- the name, known aliases, date of birth, sex, last known address, offenses, and date of conviction (for each offense) for each registrant that are accurate at the time the search is conducted. Under Government Code section 6255, a government agency may withhold disclosure of a public record "by demonstrating ... that on the facts of the particular case the public interest served by not disclosing the record clearly outweighs the public interest served by disclosure of

						<p>the record." (Gov. Code, §6255, subd. (a).) As a separate legal basis, we believe the circumstances in this case necessitate nondisclosure of the records that you seek from us.</p> <p>The information that appears on the Megan's Law web site is processed through a thirdparty vendor. The purpose of the web site is to provide members of the public access to the most current sex offender registrant data. Thus, the site, which is updated daily to reflect any new additions, deletions, and modifications to the registrant database, stores and displays only the current day's data.</p> <p>The Offender Registration Application Support (ORAS) Team is the internal unit within the Department of Justice that provides technology support and maintenance for CSAR, the State's repository for sex and arson registration information. Every day, ORAS creates a FTP file which contain a snapshot of the registration data for that day. Our vendor then downloads that file from our secure FTP site and stores the file on the hard drive of the server that creates the files used for the web site. Specifically, our vendor runs a program that takes that day's data and completely recreates the data files that are used by the web servers to provide the data to the public. Our vendor does not employ any SQL database or similar database for this process, only temporary ISAM files. No transaction or other record keeping measures are utilized. The previous day's data on the web servers is overwritten with the new data. The data is also overwritten on the computer where these daily data files are created.</p> <p>On our end, ORAS keeps a backup for seven days of the extract file that is sent to our vendor. However, the information on the backup files is not a mirror image of what appears on the public web site because it contains information that is excluded from public view during our vendor's processing of the information. Additionally, even if our vendor reprocessed the information from one of the backup files, we could not ensure the accuracy of all the information because of the ever-changing nature of the registration database. In other words, because the registration database changes on a daily basis (i.e., newly added registrants, newly removed registrants, and changes to registrant's data) even a delay of one day would result in a report that contained inaccurate data. Such results do not comply with the Legislature's stated intent "that the Department of Justice ... ensure the highest degree of accuracy in the sex registration database" (Pen. Code, § 290.46, Stats 2004 ch 745, §. 2) and "ensure that ... the public have access to accurate, up-to-date, and relevant information about registered sex offenders" (Pen. Code, § 290.46, Stats 2006 ch 337, §. 2, subd. (c)).</p> <p>While we understand and appreciate that you are not concerned with the ongoing accuracy of the information provided, the law requires us as discussed ante to provide the public updated and accurate information on an ongoing basis. Due to the constantly changing nature of the information in the registry, a "snapshot" view of the information for any given day of the Megan's Law web site would almost certainly be obsolete the following day. In other words, the day-old "snapshot" would contain some information that should have been removed, and it would be missing other information that should have been added. Given the sensitive nature of the information at issue, we cannot allow such inaccurate records to exist. The problem is further compounded by the fact that if we provide a "snapshot" for one member of the public we have to provide a "snapshot" to every member of the public that requests it. In doing so, we would be creating a situation wherein an untold number inaccurate "snapshots" of the database would exist.</p> <p>Furthermore, given the highly sensitive nature of sex offender registration information, providing the public with inaccurate information could cause real public safety concerns. For instance, if registration information is disseminated about a person who is no longer required to register or be posted on the web site, that person may be subjected to undue harassment or even danger. Additionally, if a registrant moves, the person who occupies the registrant's old address may be mistakenly harassed or injured. And, as every day goes by, the obsolescence of the report and the inaccuracies contained within it continue to grow.</p> <p>Finally, we receive a multitude of similar requests from members of the public, especially broadcast and print media outlets. Depending on the volume and requested frequency (i.e., daily, weekly, monthly) of such PRA requests if we were required to produce sex offender registration database information, the additional time and work required for responding to the requests could have a dramatic impact on our ability to successfully perform the core functions of CSAR, which in turn could affect public safety.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	---



PRA-2018-00504	Turner (NextGen )	Daniel	3/16/2018	<p>(1) All communications sent and received by Attorney General Xavier Becerra since January 24, 2017 that mention or refer to Tom Steyer or NextGen America, excluding news articles or news clippings;</p> <p>(2) Records of any meetings or events involving Attorney General Xavier Becerra and Tom Steyer or NextGen America since January 24, 2017;</p> <p>(3) All communications sent and received by David Zonana, Special Assistant Attorney General since May 5, 2017 that mention or refer to Tom Steyer or NextGen America, excluding news articles or news clippings;</p> <p>(4) All communications sent and received by Sean McCluskie, Chief Deputy Attorney General since May 5, 2017 that mention or refer to Tom Steyer or NextGen America, excluding news articles or news clippings; and</p> <p>(5) All communications sent and received by Bethany Lesser, Communications Director since May 5, 2017 that mention or refer to Tom Steyer or NextGen America, excluding news articles or news clippings.</p>		Completed	<p>Catherine Brown</p> <p>On March 26, 2018, Catherine Brown sent an extension letter.</p> <p>On April 9, 2018, Catherine Brown responded: We will not disclose any responsive records that are exempt under the following exemptions and will redact any information that is exempt under these exemptions.</p> <p>The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255.</p> <p>In the instant case, records such as analysis concerning the strengths and weaknesses of a particular proposal would be covered by the deliberative process privilege and, therefore, absent special circumstances would be exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6255.</p> <p>Some of the records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.)</p> <p>In the present case, the attorneys in our department provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys included within the responsive records are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Act.</p> <p>The attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is also incorporated into the Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Act.</p> <p>In addition, in order to protect the personal security of the Attorney General and his staff, we will not produce documents or information about the Attorney General's security detail or other Department of Justice security-related information. (Gov. Code, §§ 6254, subd. (f); 6255.) Information to protect the security of the Attorney General will be redacted from documents that contain other non-exempt information. And in recognition of privacy concerns, we will not disclose information that is personal because that information is also exempt from disclosure under the Act. For example, we will redact information regarding personal phone numbers and contact information for the Attorney General and his staff, among other personal information. (Cal. Const., art. I, § 1; Gov. Code, §§ 6254, subds. (c), (k), 6254.3, 6255.)</p> <p>We are completing our review of the nonexempt responsive records and expect to make copies of the records available to you on or about April 16, 2018. It is possible that additional exemptions will be identified during the review and compilation of these records. If so, we will identify any additional exemptions when we send the records to you.</p> <p>On April 13, 2018, Catherine Brown responded: The Department extended the date for responding to your request to April 9, 2018. On April 9, 2018, we informed you that some of the records you requested were exempt from disclosure under the Act, and that certain information contained in some of the responsive records was also exempt and would be redacted. We also informed you that we would make copies of the nonexempt responsive documents available to you on or about April 16, 2018. Copies of the nonexempt responsive records are attached to the email transmitting this letter to you. This completes our response to your request.</p>
----------------	-------------------	--------	-----------	--	--	-----------	---

PRA-2018-00507	Morvan	Sandra	3/16/2018	humboldt county ca		Completed	Erin Nickless	We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows: -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. If you can provide more information regarding the records you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control.
PRA-2018-00515	Davis	Micaela	3/16/2018	I am an attorney at the ACLU Foundation of Northern California. I write on behalf of the ACLU of Northern California ("ACLU-NC") to confirm your reasons for withholding documents related to the Offender-Based Transaction Statistics ("OBTS") dataset, which the ACLU-NC requested through a Public Records Act, Gov. Code § 6254 et. seq., ("PRA") request last November. You stated that you would not be providing the requested documents, because, you contended: OBTS dataset is derived from the Department's (DOJ) Automated Criminal History System and therefore considered Criminal Offender Record Information data; the California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records; and pursuant to Penal Code 11076, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records. See Letter from J. Tackett to A. Zamora, dated Jan. 8, 2018. This position is not supported by the law. The ACLU-NC's PRA request did not request any identifying information in the OBTS data set, see PRA Request, dated Nov. 28, 2017, and thus the records are not subject to withholding under the criminal offender record information or state criminal history information statutes. See Pen. Code § 13202 (defining CORI; specifying that "[ ]t shall not include intelligence, analytical, and investigative reports and files, nor statistical records and reports in which individuals are not identified and from which their identities are not ascertainable."); Pen. Code § 11105 (describing the DOJ's duties in collecting and maintaining state criminal history information; specifying under 11105(g) that "[i]t is not a violation of this section to disseminate statistical or research information obtained from a record, provided that the identity of the subject of the record is not disclosed."); Pen. Code § 11144(a) (same). Because there is no law prohibiting disclosure of the nonpersonally identifiable records, the records are not exempt from disclosure under Government Code § 6254(k). It is also our understanding that California's OBTS data from a number of years is already available to the public through the Inter-University Consortium for Political and Social Research ("ICPSR"); this further erodes any possible basis for withholding under the PRA. See Gov. Code § 6254.5 (disclosure to one party waives	ACLU	Assigned		

				<p>exemptions).  Because, there is no applicable exemption under the PRA, the agency is required to release the records. Gov. Code § 6253(b); see also Cty. of Los Angeles v. Superior Court, 211 Cal. App. 4th 57, 63 (2012) (exemptions to the PRA "are to be narrowly construed, and the government agency opposing disclosure bears the burden of proving that one or more apply in a particular case").  Moreover, the agency must release the records without further requirements; the PRA does not allow agencies to require a requester to comply with extra-statutory conditions in order to obtain otherwise public records. Cty. of Santa Clara v. Superior Court, 170 Cal. App. 4th 1301, 1335-36 (2009). Thus, there is no basis under the law for the agency to require ACLU-NC to submit a Research and Data Request and go through an approval process, as you suggest in your January 8 letter. See Gov. Code § 6257.5 (PRA "does not allow limitations on access to a public record based upon the purpose for which the record is being requested, if the record is otherwise subject to disclosure").</p>				
PRA-2018-00519	Neal	Julius	3/16/2018	<p>The purpose of this letter is to request information pertaining to a laboratory test that was requested by California State Prison Calipatria where I Julius Neal #G19807 was the subject, the data of the alleged offense was 6/12/14, however CSP-Calipatria claims to have received the BFS report back on 8/2/17, well over 3 years after it was submitted therefore pursuant to the California Public Records Act and Government Code section 6250 et seq. (CBS v. Block (1986) 42 Cal 3d.646, 651.) I am requesting an acknowledgement or denial on the lengthy time that Calipatria alleges that you (BFS) took to return the controlled substance report.</p>		Completed	Shannon Patterson	<p>We must decline your request for the reasons set forth below.  You have requested "Laboratory test" from this office. To the extent that these records exist, they are investigative files of the Attorney General and the DOJ that are expressly exempt from disclosure pursuant to Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f).  In the case of Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354 the California Supreme Court held that investigative records in the possession of law enforcement agencies are exempt from disclosure. The Court also ruled that investigation documents did not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355.)</p>
PRA-2018-00545	Cook	Thomas	3/16/2018	<p>"Any legislation or initiatives, amendment, penal code changes concerning The Calif "Three Strikes Law (3XXX)" for the 2018 ballot.</p>		Completed	Emily Gargiulo	<p>With respect to your first request for "any legislation" regarding an "amendment [to the] penal code" or "changes concerning the California Three Strikes Law," we note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice but seeks records from other departments. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.  With respect to your second request for any initiatives regarding "amendment [to the] penal code" or "changes concerning the California Three Str kes Law," our records show we produced these records on December 29, 2017. We are enclosing them again as a courtesy.  Enclosed are copies of the following active initiatives related to your request:  • 17-0044, Reducing Crime and Keeping California Safe Act of 2018"  • 17-0046, "The People's Fair Sentencing and Public Safety Act of2018"  This completes our response to your request.</p>
PRA-2018-00547	Horner (Eleanor Blume)	Christopher	3/16/2018	<p>I. Copies of all correspondence dated from August 1, 2017, through the date you process this request, inclusive, and its accompanying information 1, including also any attachments, which was sent to or from or copying (whether as cc: or bcc:) Eleanor Blume which are also sent to or from or copy (again whether as cc: or bcc:):  1) elizabeth.Klein@nyu.edu  2) ek3041@nyu.edu  3) david.hayes@nyu.edu  4) davidjhayes01@gmail.com  5) djh466@nyu.edu and/or</p>	Competitive Enterprise Institute	Completed	Anna Ferrari	<p>On March 26, 2018, Anna Ferrari sent an extension letter.  April 9, 2018 response: After conducting a reasonable search, we have found no records responsive to your request.</p>

				<p>6) Daniel Firger (note that Firger addresses include both those identifying him, e.g., daniel.firger@bloomberg.org, and not, e.g., daniel@bloomberg.org)</p> <p>Please consider as responsive entire email "threads" containing any information responsive to this request, regardless whether any part of that thread falls outside the cited search parameters.</p> <p>II. Also, please provide us copies of any agreement that includes both your Office and New York University, New York University's School of Law, and/or the (NYU) State Energy &amp; Environmental Impact Center, that was in effect at any time during 2017 or during 2018. This includes but is not limited to, e.g., 1) any agreement to hire, house, second or otherwise place any attorney or attorneys or staff in your Office; 2) any purported common interest agreement with any of those entities; and/or 3) any confidentiality agreement with any of those entities.</p> <p>III. Also, please provide us copies of any agreement of any sort with any individual staff or attorney(s) who to your Office's knowledge came to your employ or secondment through any of the groups named in II, or who is otherwise placed in and/or works for or in your Office through any of those groups, which agreement(s) was in effect at any time during 2017 or during 2018.</p>				
PRA-2018-00548	Horner (Harvard)	Christopher	3/16/2018	<p>All emails (including any attachments) that were received by, sent to or are possessed by Amy Winn, Dennis Ragen, Heather Leslie, Michele Van Gelderen, and/or Sally Magnani, which are also:</p> <p>a) dated between March 1, 2016 and April 30, 2016, inclusive, which are</p> <p>b) 1) to or from Shaun Goho (including but not limited to, e.g., sgoho@law.harvard.edu), or</p> <p>2) which use any of the following terms, anywhere in the correspondence be it any address (to, from, cc, bcc) or Subject fields or body of the email or any attachments thereto:</p> <p>A) Harvard (in an email address or otherwise), B) Pawa (including but not limited to in, e.g., @pawalaw.com), C) Eubanks, D) Frumhoff (including but not limited to in, e.g., PFrumhoff@ucsusa.org), E) UCS (including but not limited to in an email or listserv address such as @ucsusa.org), and/or F) CIEL (these terms are not case sensitive).</p> <p>Please consider as responsive the entire email "thread" containing any record responsive to this request regardless whether any part of that thread falls outside the search parameter's time window.</p> <p>Public records suggest that your office and specifically one or more of the above-named parties participated in an April 25, 2016 briefing at Harvard Law School on "Potential Causes of Action Against Major Carbon Producers: Scientific, Legal and Historical Perspectives", attended by academics, environmentalist pressure group activists, private attorneys and public employees of various state attorneys general offices. We seek correspondence relating to this trip, presentation, coordination and/or any other information relevant to this use of taxpayer resources.</p>	Competitive Enterprise Institute	Assigned	Anna Ferrari	<p>On March 26, 2018, Anna Ferrari sent an extension letter.</p> <p>On April 9, 2019, Anna Ferrari responded: Clarification of Scope of Request</p> <p>We have identified records that are associated with the term Harvard but do not otherwise relate to the subject matter of your request. Records that are representative of this category include, for example, resumes of Department attorneys who obtained undergraduate degrees from Harvard College, email communications from the Harvard Alumni Association to its entire membership about association events, and communications to individuals with "post.harvard.edu" email addresses that are purely personal in nature. We have interpreted your request not to include these records. Please let us know if you have any questions about this determination.</p> <p>Records to be Produced</p> <p>We have identified records that are responsive to your request and not otherwise exempt from production. We expect to make copies of such records available to you on or before April 23, 2018.</p> <p>Exempt Records</p> <p>We have determined that certain responsive records are exempt from production for the following reasons:</p> <p>Attorney-Client Privilege (§ 6254, subd. (k)/Evid. Code § 952)</p> <p>Section 6254, subdivision (k), incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law. The attorney-client privilege is contained in Evidence Code section 952 and protects confidential communications between an attorney and his or her client. Section 6254, subdivision (k), expressly exempts from disclosure matters privileged under the Evidence Code, which includes the attorney-client privilege. (Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363, 370.) Public entities may assert the attorney-client privilege. (Ibid.) The privilege "applies to communications in the course of professional employment that are intended to be confidential." (Id. at p. 371.)</p> <p>Attorney Work Product Privilege (§ 6254, subd. (k); see also Code Civ. Proc. § 2018.030)</p> <p>Code of Civil Procedure section 2018.030 exempts from disclosure the work product of an attorney. The attorney work product privilege applies to any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that are maintained as confidential. It is incorporated into the PRA by section 6254, subdivision (k). (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Under the attorney work-product exception, records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed in the Attorney General's Office constitute attorney work product that is exempt from public</p>

							<p>disclosure under the PRA.</p> <p>Investigative Records (§ 6254, subd. (f))</p> <p>Some of the records responsive to your request are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)</p> <p>Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 ["While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)."].)</p> <p>Deliberative Process Privilege (§ 6255)</p> <p>The deliberative process privilege is applied to the PRA through section 6255. It exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions, such that the public interest in maintaining this privilege outweighs any public interest in disclosing the information in question. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325, 1342.)</p> <p>On April 23, 2018, Anna Ferrari sent a status update: In a letter to you dated April 9, 2018, we indicated that we have identified records that are responsive to your request and not otherwise exempt from production that we expect to make available to you on or before April 23, 2018. As an update, we now expect to make responsive records available to you on or before May 7, 2018.</p> <p>May 7, 2018 response: In a letter to you dated April 23, 2018, we indicated that we have identified records that are responsive to your request and not otherwise exempt from production that we expect to make available to you on or before May 7, 2018. As an update, we now expect to make responsive records available to you on or before May 21, 2018.</p>
PRA-2018-00583	Pickett	Urian	3/16/2018	I would like to check my record regarding any negative actions held against me.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We were unable to locate any records based on the information provided.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-00508	Shuck	Robert	3/19/2018	I am looking for a previous address in Redondo Beach, CA for one Vincent Neil Wharton, who was arrested for drunk driving and vehicular manslaughter in the area of Sapphire Street and the Esplanade of the same city circa December of 1984 ('85?).	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to</p>



							<p>confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides.</p>
PRA-2018-00509	Williams	Mk	3/19/2018	<p>Military records for my deceased father- Wm G Yoder.  ADDRESS [REDACTED]  Thank you</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. The Department of Justice cannot produce records that are responsive to your request. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records from another government agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the branch of the military in which your father served.</p>
PRA-2018-00510	Probasco	Lori	3/19/2018	<p>Under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq., I am requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of all public records pertaining to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Any registration of firearms by Katherine Lauren Hill currently residing at the following address: [REDACTED]</li> <li>Any registration of firearms by Kenneth Heslep currently residing at the following address: [REDACTED]</li> </ul>	Completed	Robert Wilson	<p>As a preliminary matter, we note that under the Public Records Act government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public, unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) Section 6254, subdivision (k), incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law.</p> <p>Penal Code Section 11106 requires DOJ to retain certain firearms information, including copies of fingerprints, copies of CCW permits, and "information reported to the Department of Justice pursuant to Section 26225." DOJ must disclose this information "upon proper application ... to the officers referred to in Section 11105." (Pen. Code § 11106 (a).) California courts have consistently held that data subject to the disclosure limits in Penal Code Section 11105 may be disclosed only to the individuals authorized by that section to receive the information. For example, the California Supreme Court has noted that Penal Code Section 11105 "prohibits furnishing such information to persons other than those listed therein 'or as provided by law.'" (Hill v. Superior Court (1974) 10 Cal.3d 812, 818.) Therefore, information protected by Penal Code Section 11105 is not subject to public disclosure in response to a PRA request. (Younger v. Berkeley City Council (1975) 45 Cal. App. 3d 825, 832.) The "restrictions upon release of such information are so carefully set out in the Penal Code sections 11106, 11081 and 11105 ... that it is inconceivable that the general terms of the Public Records Act were intended to render them void." (Ibid.)</p> <p>State law specifies both the persons who are authorized to obtain firearms records, and the purposes that justify the DOJ's retention and disclosure of those records and therefore impliedly prohibits the release of such information to other persons, or for other purposes. The maxim of statutory construction "expressio uni us est exclusio alterius" applies: the express inclusion of some things in a statute necessarily means the exclusion of other things not expressly included. (Fischer v. Los Angeles Unified School Dist. (1999) 70 Cal.App.4th 87, 97.) Firearms records retained by the DOJ as described in Section 11106 are subject to disclosure only to the individuals listed in Section 11105.</p> <p>In addition, the Department of Justice is subject to the Information Practices Act of 1977. (People v. McCray (2006) 144 Cal.App.4th 258.) In pertinent part, Civil Code section 1798.24 prohibits the Department from disclosing any personal information in a manner that would link the information disclosed to the individual to whom it pertains unless the information is disclosed to the individual to whom the information pertains, or with his or her prior written voluntary consent - but only if that consent has been obtained not more than 30 days before the disclosure, or in the time limit agreed to by the individual in the written consent.</p> <p>Inasmuch as you are not the individual to whom the information pertains, the</p>

							Department cannot release it to you absent the statutorily required consent. As such, the Department cannot provide the information you requested.
PRA-2018-00511	Blake	Robert	3/19/2018	Entitled: Deed of Conveyance and Assignment and Bill of Sale Dated: December 31, 2012 Executed by: Venoco, Inc., a Delaware Corporation and Vintage Production California LLC, a Delaware LLC Recording Date: July 10, 2013 Recording No.: 2013-0172770-00, of Official Records Purchasing a property and saw this restriction on my title. I would like to know what it entails.	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides. To the extent that you are seeking legal advice, the DOJ is not in a position to perform legal research or to provide legal advice to private individuals. You may wish to consult with a private attorney to evaluate your options regarding your records request.
PRA-2018-00512	Grayer	Annie	3/19/2018	I am a reporter for CNN. I am reaching out to see if you have any public police reports that Stephanie Clifford has filed as a result of threats and attacks being made against her recently.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PRA-2018-00513	Walker	Steven	3/19/2018	I am currently investigating an open CPS investigation in Washington State on parent Kashana McKinney AKA Kashana Lee Cox (DOB [REDACTED]) and would like to see her involvement with LE in CA. Kashana also resides with a Avram, Tanners (DOB [REDACTED]) and I would like to see his involvement with LE in CA as well.	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have searched our legal indices and were unable to locate any disclosable records based on the information provided. To the extent you are seeking criminal history records, we are unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as a Public Records Act Request, as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. California law authorizes certain governmental and private organizations to conduct criminal offender record information background checks to help determine the suitability of a person applying for a license, employment, or a volunteer position working with children, the elderly, or the disabled. Law enforcement agencies, public and private schools, non-profit organizations, and in-home supportive care agencies are some of the organizations authorized to conduct these fingerprint-based background checks. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-00514	Lusi	Masika	3/19/2018	I would like to obtain a copy, possibly via email, of all the information you have about me. Thank you.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure



						<p>pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-00517	McRae	Courtney	3/19/2018	<p>1) Reports, studies, white papers or presentations created by your office relating to firearm safety, firearm violence, firearm violence prevention, gun reform, or gun control.</p> <p>2) Reports, studies, white papers or presentations created on your behalf relating to firearm safety, firearm violence, firearm violence prevention, gun reform, or gun control.</p> <p>3) Reports, studies or presentations in your possession created by third parties relating to firearm safety, firearm violence, firearm violence prevention, gun reform, or gun control.</p> <p>4) Any agreements, including but not limited to retainer agreements, common interest agreements or consulting agreements, with third parties, including but not limited to experts or consultants, relating to firearm safety, firearm violence, firearm violence prevention, gun reform, or gun control.</p> <p>5) Requests for proposal issued by your office relating to firearm safety, firearm violence, firearm violence prevention, gun reform, or gun control.</p> <p>6) All communications relating to requests for proposal issued by your office relating to firearm safety, firearm violence, firearm violence prevention, gun reform, or gun control, including but not limited to all responses to the any request for proposal.</p> <p>7) Any communications with third parties relating to firearm safety, firearm violence, firearm violence prevention, gun reform, or gun control.</p> <p>8) Notes of meetings with third parties relating to firearm safety, firearm violence, firearm violence prevention, gun reform, or gun control.</p> <p>9) Documents relating to meetings held with third parties relating to firearm safety, firearm violence, firearm violence prevention, gun reform, or gun control, including but not limited to notes, agendas, and attendance rosters.</p>	Assigned	<p>May 1, 2018. Apart from the expert contracts, we have produced other non-exempt records responsive to this request on a CD enclosed with this letter.</p> <p>Request No. 5: We have not located any records responsive to this request.</p> <p>Request No. 6: We have not located any records responsive to this request.</p> <p>Requests Nos. 7, 8, and 9: You have requested all Department communications with any third party relating to five broad firearms-related topics, as well as all "notes of" and "documents relating to meetings with third parties" on these five broad topics. As with your first three requests, these are insufficiently focused and specific, Rogers, supra, 19 Cal.App.4th at p. 481, and the expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a broad and unfocused search for responsive documents over a three-year period would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code, § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation, supra, 32 Cal.3d at pp. 452-453.)</p> <p>For these reasons, we must decline these three requests for records as they are currently cast. However, we are willing to consult with you to determine if a more specific request would be likely to generate additional records. (Gov. Code, § 6253.1.)</p> <p>On April 12, 2018, our office responded: Request Nos. 1, 2, and 3: These requests are general and unfocused, and thus do not meet the California Public Records Act requirement that requests to an agency be focused and specific. (Rogers v. Superior Court (1993) 19 Cal.App.4th 469, 481.) For instance, among other records, your requests seek all "reports" in the Department's possession relating to five broad firearms-related topics, without specifying or limiting the types of "reports" this would include. Read broadly, this could include any type of newspaper, newsletter, magazine, or other publication in the Department's possession that contains a report discussing firearm safety, firearm violence, "gun reform," or gun control. In addition, the requests, as written, appear to request a search of all Department employees for responsive records. As the Department employs over 4,500 people, the expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a broad and unfocused search for responsive documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.)</p> <p>Nonetheless, in an attempt to provide responsive records, we have searched the records of employees likely to have responsive records and have located a number of analytical studies and reports potentially responsive to these requests. Several studies and reports were collected by the Department's attorneys for purposes of litigation and are privileged and exempt from disclosure on the basis of attorney work product. (See Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k).) Other responsive studies and reports, while coming in the context of litigation, are matters of public record or were not privileged. As you requested, we have produced these records on a CD enclosed with this letter. In addition, the Department maintains a public list of firearms-related publications on its Web site, available here: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/publications#firearms">https://oag.ca.gov/publications#firearms</a> and <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/firearms/forms">https://oag.ca.gov/firearms/forms</a>.</p> <p>Request No. 4: We have located a number of records responsive to this request. Some of these records are expert contracts relating to pending litigation that may be exempt from disclosure under the Act. (Gov. Code, § 6255; see also Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (b).) We are reviewing these contracts and anticipate producing non-exempt records by</p> <p>On 3/29/18 Rob Wilson sent an EOT letter.</p>

PRA-2018-00520	Hamblen	Carol	3/19/2018	My son Scot Pinkerton is in desperate need of two documents from the January 1985 Sentencing Hearing in the above-entitled matter and requests your assistance in obtaining these documents. They are the Sentencing Transcript and, most importantly, the Sentencing Minutes. Scot is filing some papers with the court by the end of March and must attach at least the Sentencing Minutes to the documents he files. I will be happy to pay for the copies, any charges incurred in this matter. Please contact me by telephone at [REDACTED] to obtain credit card information to that end and to let me know that you are working on this matter.		Completed	Michael Dolida	All of our case file records are organized and maintained electronically in a case management software application called Prolaw. After an exhaustive search of Pro law, we were unable to locate a file pertaining to case number A533550. As a result, we do not have any records that are responsive to the request submitted by your mother on your behalf. If you have not done so already, you may try contacting the District Attorney's Office and/or state courthouse where the offense(s) were committed. These public agencies may have additional information in case files or court files that can be disseminated to members of the public.
PRA-2018-00521	Herman	Elaine	3/19/2018	I want to get a complete court electronic record of the murder trial of Kirkland O'Hara. July 8, 1995-1997. I have a hard copy, but need to know if it has been transferred to digital and can be purchased. I am assisting Mr. O'Hara in parole issues and hope you have available a digital copy. Thank you		Completed	Cynthia S. Lumley	Our records pertaining to Kirkland O'Hara are not stored electronically.
PRA-2018-00524	Love	Jordan	3/19/2018	Annulment of marriage to Amber Perkinson. Marriage and annulment were same year 6 months apart around yrs 2004 2005.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA-2018-00534	Gilchriest	Judith	3/19/2018	My brother who has mental issues has been arrested and send to a mental hospital. The mental hospital was shut down with no rewarding number. He was ordered two year stay by judge I was evicted from my house of 22 years i don't know where they sent the patients from this hospital now do I find out		Completed	Erin Nickless	Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. The Department of Justice cannot produce records that are responsive to your request. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records from another government agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation and/or the Department of State Hospitals. Information on how to contact them can be found at <a href="http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html">http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html</a> and at <a href="http://www.dsh.ca.gov/About_Us/Contact_Us.aspx">http://www.dsh.ca.gov/About_Us/Contact_Us.aspx</a> .
PRA-2018-00538	Ianford	Kathy	3/19/2018	Divorce record or papers Ruben anthony Ianford Vs Kathy Ianford Married in sonoma county in 2000. Im trying to find out if papers were ever filed for divorce. I was told he filed for divorce in sonoma county but i never was served any papers to sign. I am in the process of being remarried but need to make sure im divorced first.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA-2018-00694	Cunningham (Ashanti)	Lorenzo (Askia S.)	3/19/2018	1. All Arrest/Conviction Records of (Brooks/Franklin) 2. All Federal/State Probation Records (Brooks/Franklin) 3. All Federal/State Prison Records(Brooks/Franklin) 4. Attorney/Client Files (Keith Bowman/Askia Ashanti 1995-1996) 5. All State Bar Complaints/Disposition (Keith Bowman) 6. All Alias Names/Birthdates (Roshanna Franklin) includes Arrest/Convictions under all alias names		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent you are seeking arrest and conviction records, they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed by contacting the Record Review Unit at:

				(Perjury/Fraud) Investigation via alias names by Fed/St. Gov't.			<p>California Department of Justice Record Review Unit P.O. Box 903417 Sacramento, CA 94203-4170</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking prison or probation records, your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. The Department of Justice cannot produce records that are responsive to your request. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records from another government agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c).</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. In the instance of seeking records in the possession of the California State Bar, you would need to contact the California State Bar.</p> <p>It is unclear from your request, but it appears that you are indicating that Keith Bowman was your attorney. As such, we must decline your request. You would need to contact Mr. Bowman directly as those files would not be in our custody or control. If you are seeking records between our office and our client, the records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege.</p> <p>Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k) incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law. The attorney-client privilege is contained in Evidence Code section 954 and protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client. In the case of <i>Roberts v. City of Palm Dale</i> (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363, the Supreme Court held that Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k) expressly exempts from disclosure matters privileged under the Evidence Code, which includes the attorney-client privilege.</p> <p>We hope the information we have provided is of assistance.</p>
PRA-2018-00525	Schroeder	Kirby	3/20/2018	I am seeking any records available on a person named Ryan Francis McCloskey, date of birth [REDACTED]. I have SSN as well if that would be helpful.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-00526	Lundquist	Aleigh	3/20/2018	I would like to see copies of emails between Jane Rozanski and Ralph Ferguson. They are in a lawsuit against Camarillo Health District for embezzling over 400k from tax dollars. She is my aunt and she also	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We were unable to locate records based on the information provided. If this is a case between two private parties, our office may not have been involved and would therefore not have records. If you have additional information on the documents you</p>

				embezzled thousands from my mother and I could use the information as proof.			are seeking, such as the case name, number, or approximate dates, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office.
PRA-2018-00532	Bouma	Christine	3/20/2018	I am looking for court records for allegations Shannon E Bouma has made against her husband Joshua Wayne Bouma. I do not have the case number or name, but was contacted recently by a substance abuse counselor for the court asking me questions about our marriage. Josh and I share custody of our daughter who is almost 12 now and I am concerned about what allegations Shannon is filing against him. Can someone please send me a report with the details?	Completed	Erin Nickless	Referred to local court via telephone.
PRA-2018-00535	Risley	Dawn Marie	3/20/2018	I would like to have the "DNR" (Do Not Return) list for California Department of Corrections listing my name: Dawn Marie Risley, D.O. I would also like any personnel records from CDCR. I have worked on and off as a contractor beginning 2003 until 2012. I would like any memos or correspondence regarding me sent from Corcoran State Prison to Headquarters. I would like any memos or correspondence from Karen Higgins, M.D. which places me on this "DNR" list. CDCR has repeatedly told me that they have no "records" of me and send me on a goose chase from one office to another. I have had to make over 20 telephone calls getting transferred to each dead end in an attempt to gain these records. They also deny that such a "DNR" list exists. However, I have evidence from MHM that I am on such a list and have never had due process regarding this procedure. They also deny that they have any file on me. Please provide to me any and all records pertaining to me.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. The Department of Justice cannot produce records that are responsive to your request. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records from another government agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Information on how to contact them can be found at <a href="http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html">http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html</a> .
PRA-2018-00536	Powell	Melody	3/20/2018	Prison record please. Is he still living?	Completed	Erin Nickless	Your request does not identify the subject of the records that you are seeking. Additionally, we note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records from another government agency. The Department of Justice cannot produce records that are responsive to your request. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Information on how to contact them can be found at <a href="http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html">http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html</a> .
PRA-2018-00539	Henrie	Shane	3/20/2018	Pursuant to the state's California Public Records Act, I request access to and copies of any Software Agreements for: • Dynatrace software products • New Relic software products • Computer Associates "Introscope" (Formerly "Wiley Introscope") software products	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	After consulting with various programs within the California Department of Justice (DOJ), the California Justice Information Services Division has determined that it has no responsive records for this request. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PRA-2018-00540	Belme	Kyrie	3/20/2018	I would like to request a status report for Visiting Care & Companions, Inc. Entity Number C2512521. This has been requested of me by Area Agency on Aging for a Grant Renewal.	Completed	Sandra Barrientos	Unspecific and unfocused requests for government records under the Public Records Act are contrary to the purposes of the Public Records Act, and requestors should provide specific, focused requests in order to give agencies a reasonable opportunity to respond. General, unfocused requests compel agencies to deny them thereby leading to litigation. The request to the agency must itself be focused and specific. (Rogers v. Superior Court (1993) 19 Cal.App.4th 469, 481.) Your request for a "status report" is vague and unintelligible in the context of a public records request. For this reason, we must decline your request for records as it is currently cast. However, we are willing to consult with you to determine if a more specific request would be likely to generate additional records. (Gov. Code, section 6253. 1.) In addition, to the extent that you are seeking the status of a non-profit entity, information regarding non-profit organizations registered in the State of California is

								available at oag.ca.gov/charities. Jfyou wish to discuss this matter further, please contact me at (213) 269-6551.
PRA-2018-00599	Olfati (March 2018)	Parvin	3/20/2018	1. Any and all communications, payments and/or emoluments created, transmitted, and/or received on any DOJ computer or other medium on or after January 1, 2018 that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any tampering, touching, alteration, vandalism, unauthorized entry to any automobile, house, residence and/or property believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to any placement of any nerve agent, substance, toxic, poison, or any substance/toxic/poison that works as or the effect of a nerve agent, in any automobile, house, residence and/or property believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to any Honda or Ford automobile parked in the curb or driveway at [REDACTED] and/or any residence believed to be used at any time of the day or night by Parvin Olfati. 2. Any and all communications, payments, and/or emoluments that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any communications regarding any placing or hiding of any heavy meals, nerve agent, poison, substance, or toxic, and/or any substance/toxic/poison/heavy metals that works as or has the effect of a nerve agent, in any automobile, house, residence and/or property believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, including hut not limited to any Honda or Ford automobile parked in the drivewav of or at the curb of [REDACTED] and/or any residence believed to be used at any time of the day or night by Parvin Olfati.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
PRA-2018-00603	Spearing-Bowen	Jasmine	3/20/2018	I am seeking like data in electronic .excel form on complaints against businesses of violations of the single-use carryout bag ban since the law was implemented. Ideally, I would like the date, business, location, and nature of complaint.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 ["While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)."].)
PRA-2018-00613	James	Jesse	3/20/2018	A copy of Initiative 17-0046 Amdt #1.		Completed	Emily Gargiulo	Enclosed is a copy of Initiative 17-0046A1, "The People's Fair Sentencing and Public Safety Act of 2018." This completes our response to your request.
PRA-2018-00614	Thomson	Kenneth	3/20/2018	"I would like to request a initiative. filed with your office. Prop. 57 also known as the public safety and rehabilitation Act of 2016."		Completed	Emily Gargiulo	Enclosed is a copy of the text of Initiative 15-0121/Proposition 57 as it was submitted to our office. This completes our response to your request.
PRA-2018-00544	Seidman	Jesse	3/21/2018	Can we please obtain the letter sent out to all state Attorney General's this week from Facebook?	Vice News Tonight	Completed	Erin Nickless	Our office has been unable to locate any formal letters from Facebook. We did receive two e-mails from Will Castleberry, which we have attached in the event that this is the correspondence that you are looking for. This completes our response to your request for records.
PRA-2018-00552	South	Randal	3/21/2018	I request a certification that your office sent no less than 4 notices requesting registration for Del Norte General Relief in 2016, and also confirm who the acting board members were that didn't register.		Completed	Elizabeth S. Kim	All documents that are matters of public record regarding Del Norte General Relief are available for viewing at the public pages of the Registry of Charitable Trusts website: <a href="http://rct.doj.ca.gov/MyLicenseVerification/Search.aspx?facility=Y">http://rct.doj.ca.gov/MyLicenseVerification/Search.aspx?facility=Y</a> . You could search by using the "Organization Name" (Del Norte General Relief) or by using "State Charity Registration Number" (CT0245157). In addition, in response to your request, we enclose: Certification by the Registrar of

							<p>the Registry of Charitable Trusts; Notice to Register dated March 24, 2016; Notice to Register dated May 17, 2016; Notice to Register dated June 30, 2016; Notice to Register dated August 5, 2016; and Confirmation of Registration dated December 8, 2016.</p> <p>As to your second request regarding acting board members, we must deny this request as we do not have documents responsive to this request.</p>
PRA-2018-00553	Browne	Anne	3/21/2018	<p>I hope you are doing well. I am doing research regarding obtaining the number of new active charities in each state according to year (2017, 2016, and 2015). I tried to do a search on your website but unfortunately, it does not sort by year and I was wondering if you can help me.</p> <p>March 22, 2018 clarification: Yes, I am looking for a list of newly incorporated charities in 2015, 2016, and 2017.</p>	Completed	Tania M. Ibanez, SAAG	<p>March 21, 2018 response: This office received an email from you requesting information on the new active charities in each state for years 2015-2017. We would like clarification. Are you only looking for newly incorporated charities for each year? Or do you want the total number of charities that are listed as active with the Registry of Charitable Trusts for years 2015, 2016 and 2017.</p> <p>March 27, 2018 response: I checked with Registry staff and we do not keep track of dates of incorporation when processing the registrations and as such we cannot give you a list of newly incorporated charities for 2015-2017. You may want to check with the Secretary of State that actually processes the articles of incorporation to see if they can give you a list.</p>
PRA-2018-00554	Marker	Tania	3/21/2018	<p>Officer Malcolm Miller of the Oakland Police Department basically wrote in his report that he refused to uphold my complaint against neighbor Joan Danenberg when residing at [REDACTED]. The report was read to a judge when pro bono attorney Jacob Sider represented me in a case I had a right to an extraordinary writ the county denied me. OPD has refused to include the report in my request for all calls to an address and did not provide a report evidencing knowing cocaine present by a felon breaking parole it then did not protect me from, stating a need for a pouch of cocaine or that all I had was noise, pot wasn't something that was regarded as a crime (even while it can be laced w/dangerous chemicals) proceeding to collaborate with a business owner successfully intent on a constructive eviction the rent board did not protect me from.</p> <p>When speaking with a representative, I was informed the matter could be put before your office once I've evidenced having presented to the DA. Michael O'Conner stated a civil matter does not involve the police though drug dealing is a criminal matter. Neighbors in fear or favor of the dealing successfully removed "the snitch", police found it easier to criminalize rather than protect me, also I understand my complaint to have been received by the grand jury - all of this I am able to evidence in written form. I understand and am reviewing my option to request judgement from more than one bench be investigated by the commission on judicial performance. Please guide in terms of a timeline or manner in which I might obtain assistance in receiving the report in request for justice through your office.</p> <p>Additional information provided by requester: Public Records Request #11468 Oakland, CA I am also able to evidence having presented to internal affairs, who deemed the officer's conduct, supporting a neighbor dispute and encouraging aggressive action toward me by Joan Danenberg i.e. telling her to swear at me - as conduct that did not constitute any rules violation.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are requesting police reports from the Oakland Police Department, we must decline your request. Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>You appear to be attempting to report information related to what you feel constitutes officer misconduct. To report misconduct, you would need to contact our Public Inquiry Unit. More information about reporting misconduct can be found at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/files/agweb/pdfs/civilrights/citizencomplaintpolicy.pdf">https://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/files/agweb/pdfs/civilrights/citizencomplaintpolicy.pdf</a></p>
PRA-2018-00555	Craggett	Kylee	3/21/2018	<p>I, Kylee Craggett, Buckner Foster Care Kinship Specialist, would like to request the records of all service calls made from the home of Michael and Anita Blackmon since January of 2016. As a child placing agency, our contract</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody.</p>

				requires us to request records of all service calls made from the home of all our foster parent applicants for the previous two years. Below is the address where the Blackmon family resided from February 2010-March 2017.			(Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking phone records, you may wish to direct your request to a phone company, or contact the individuals who would have made the service calls referenced in your request.
PRA-2018-00556	Fiskin	Sarah	3/21/2018	I am interested in the data that you have collected in the Juvenile Court and Probation Statistical System. I was able to download the excel containing the raw data used in the published studies, but am unable to sort it by County. I am interested in the JCPSS data for a single county, Los Angeles.	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents. Note, the 2017 data will not be available until July of this year when the California Attorney General releases the annual California Justice Statistics Center Publications.
PRA-2018-00604	Florian	Ingrid	3/21/2018	Any records of Jacob Guido Jr in San Jose CA DOB [REDACTED] I am requesting records for a upcoming paternity and visitation schedule court in WA statell on Jan 22,2019	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-00611	Adams	LeighAnn	3/21/2018	Seeking Public Records for purposes of employment for the following individual: Ananya R Hoffman	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-00635	Rambo	John	3/21/2018	Hanford Police Department Case # 15-5199 If like yo know what property they have in their possession that they no longer need.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another



							state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the Hanford Police Department.
PRA-2018-00714	Bolin	Paul	3/21/2018	Affiant, Paul C. Bolin Declares and demands records/evidence under the Public Records Act as demanded herein, and request should be answered within 10 days upon receipt of this request/demand. Such request for information-confirmation, and or any and all transcripts record pages, supposedly corrected by assistant NG Newcomb, to include; altering--corrected-modified in any way-changed in any way-augmented or any and all changes from original. This demand pursuant to Penal Code § 1054.9, to be responded to/answered forthwith, without delay.		Completed	Dawn Stott  We must deny your request for records on several grounds. First, as you certainly are already aware, no discovery is available in a federal habeas corpus proceeding absent good cause and an order issued by the presiding court. Habeas corpus is simply not a fishing expedition for petitioners to explore a case in search of its existence. (Rich v. Calderon, 187 F .3d 1064, 1067 (9th Cir. 1999); Hayes v. Woodford, 301 F.3d 1054, 1065 n. 6 (9th Cir. 2002).) It is our understanding that a petitioner must first make a fact specific showing of good cause before he or she would be entitled to a discovery order by the court. (Stanford v. Parker, 266 F.3d 442,460 (6th Cir. 2001).) Additionally, in Roberts v. City of Palmdale, 5 Cal.4th 363 (1993), the California Supreme Court pointed out the PRA should not be used as a substitute for the normal procedures of discovery. (Roberts v. City of Palmdale, 5 Cal.4th at 372; see also, County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (Kusar), 18 Cal.App.4th 588, 600-601, n. 17 (1993).) Thus, since your request under the PRA appears calculated to do precisely that, it is denied. Your request is also denied on the basis of multiple express exemptions under the PRA.  Specifically, all the records you seek are part of pending litigation and, thus, are exempt under Government Code section 6254(b ). Furthermore, portions of the requested records all further exempt from disclosure under the PRA as follows: documents that are preliminary drafts(§ 6254(a)); documents protected by the attorney-client privilege (§6254(k)); documents covered under the attorney work product rule (§6254(a)). Thus, your request for records under the PRA is denied for all of the aforementioned reasons.  In addition to the PRA, you also rely on Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83, and its progeny, as well as Penal Code section 1054.9 as alternative authority for receiving copies of the requested records. Our Office acknowledges an ongoing duty to provide you with pertinent materials under Brady, as well as an obligation to comply with the mandates of Penal Code section 1054. At this point, we are satisfied that we have met all such obligations. Thus, no further disclosure is necessary. Based on the foregoing, our Office will not be providing any records at this time.
PRA-2018-00557	Thonis	James	3/22/2018	Between 1982 and 1990: All memoranda, letters and other communications among Jamee Jordan Patterson (Deputy Attorney General), the California Coastal Commission staff including Mary Hudson (Staff Counsel), the City of Oxnard (including City Attorneys Gary Gillig and Paula Kimbrell) and the County of Ventura regarding Coastal Act jurisdiction over Channel Islands Harbor, Supplement to AG opinion 81-1113 and the County of Ventura's Public Works Plan for Channel Islands Harbor, Ventura County CA. Despite attorney involvement, I believe these are all public records as these items were previously provided to the County of Ventura but are no longer available in County files.		Completed	Daniel A. Olivas, Sup DAG  After consulting with our client, the California Coastal Commission, we must decline your request. The records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363, 370 ["By its reference to the privileges contained in the Evidence Code, therefore, the Public Records Act has made the attorney-client privilege applicable to public records."].) The attorneys in our department provide legal advice to its client agency, the California Coastal Commission. The privilege is held by the Coastal Commission and, with respect to your request, the Commission has asserted the privilege and directed the Attorney General's office to communicate this assertion. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the Coastal Commission as described in your request are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.
PRA-2018-00558	Carreiro	Peter	3/22/2018	Police report from Pasadena 02/03/18 case18-1532 Njimboua, Hamadicko		Completed	Erin Nickless  Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PRA-2018-00560	Cohn	Gregg	3/22/2018	I would like a copy of the dissolution document for Joan Jim and Marcy L. Montgomery as relating to the marriages with Gregg Cohn.		Completed	Erin Nickless  To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death,

							marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA-2018-00561	Davis (dealers)	Jason	3/22/2018	Please provide all writings, as that term is defined in Evidence Code section 250, that constitute records maintained and available pursuant to Penal Code section 26725, which states: The Department of Justice shall maintain and make available upon request information concerning all of the following: (a) The number of inspections conducted and the amount of fees collected pursuant to Section 26720. (b) A listing of exempted jurisdictions, as defined in Section 26720. (c) The number of dealers removed from the centralized list defined in Section 26715. (d) The number of dealers found to have violated a provision listed in Section 16575 with knowledge or gross negligence. This request is limited to responsive writings from or after January 1, 2015.	Completed	Robert Wilson	On April 2, 2018, BOF sent an extension On April 11, 2018, BOF Responded: The following responds to the above-listed requests: (a) There have been 568 inspections conducted since January 1, 2015. Additionally, \$702,679 has been collected in fees since July 1, 2015. As a note, you requested the amount of fees collected since January 1, 2015. However, the Department has provided the amount of fees collected since July 1, 2015 to avoid running duplicative reports and upsetting the workflow of Department staff. (b) Los Angeles County is the only jurisdiction that has adopted an inspection program to ensure California licensed firearms dealers are in compliance with the law. (c) 366 dealers have been removed from the Centralized List since January 1, 2015. (d) Since January 1, 2015, no gun dealers have been removed from the Centralized List for knowing or grossly negligent violations.
PRA-2018-00562	Davis (assault weapons)	Jason	3/22/2018	All writings, as that term is defined in Evidence Code section 250, that constitute the following records and/or information mandated by the California Legislature created on or after January 1, 2016: 1. The Penal Code section 34200 report on the specific types of firearms used in the commission of crimes based upon information obtained from state and local crime laboratories. 2. The Penal Code section 34205 pamphlet that summarizes California firearm laws as they pertain to persons other than law enforcement officers or members of the armed services. 3. Any writings that are a product of the Penal Code section 31115 public education and notification programs regarding the registration of assault weapons and the definition of assault weapons as set forth in Penal Code section 30515. 4. The protocol created pursuant to Penal Code section 29875 to facilitate the enforcement of restrictions on firearm ownership, including provisions for giving notice to defendants who are restricted, provisions for informing those defendants of the procedures by which defendants shall dispose of firearms when required to do so, provisions explaining how defendants shall provide proof of the lawful disposition of firearms, and provisions explaining how defendants may obtain possession of seized firearms when legally permitted to do so pursuant to any provision of law. 5. Any information mandated relating to the number of inspections conducted and the amount of fees collected pursuant to Penal Code section 26720, as maintained by Penal Code section 26725. 6. A listing of exempted jurisdictions, as defined in Penal Code Section 26720, as maintained by Penal Code section 26725. 7. The number of dealers removed from the centralized list defined in Section 26715, as maintained pursuant to Penal Code section 26725. 8. The number of dealers found to have violated a provision listed in Section 16575 with knowledge or gross	Completed	Robert Wilson	On April 2, 2018, BOF sent an extension. On April 12, 2018, Robert Wilson responded: The following responds to the above-listed requests: 1. Enclosed is the 2016 Firearms Used in the Commission of Crimes report. This report can also be found online at: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/publications#crime">https://oag.ca.gov/publications#crime</a> . 2. Enclosed is the 2016 California Firearms Laws Summary report. This report can also be found online at: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/firearms">https://oag.ca.gov/firearms</a> . 3. Enclosed are the documents we have that are responsive to this request. In providing the records requested, we have redacted certain private identifying information, such as non-commercial addresses, email addresses, and telephone numbers, along with other sensitive personal information such as social security numbers and credit card numbers based on considerations of personal privacy. (Cal. Const., art. I, §I, as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.) 4. The Department is continuing to search for all information responsive to this request and will provide you a response once we compile the responsive information. 5. There have been 227 inspections conducted since January 1, 2016. Additionally, \$702,679 has been collected in fees since July 1, 2015. As a note, you requested the amount of fees collected since January 1, 2016. However, the Department has provided the amount of fees collected since July 1, 2015 to avoid running duplicative reports and upsetting the workflow of Department staff. 6. Los Angeles County is the only jurisdiction that has adopted an inspection program to ensure California licensed firearms dealers are in compliance with the law. 7. 167 dealers have been removed from the Centralized List since January 1, 2016. 8. Since January 1, 2016, no gun dealers have been removed from the Centralized List for knowing or grossly negligent violations. 9. Penal Code section 26715 provides that: (a) Except as otherwise provided in paragraphs (1) and (3) of subdivision (b), the Department of Justice shall keep a centralized list of all persons licensed pursuant to subdivisions (a) to (e), inclusive, of Section 26700. (b) (1) The department may remove from this list any person who knowingly or with gross negligence violates a provision listed in Section 16575. (2) The department shall remove from the centralized list any person whose federal firearms license has expired or has been revoked. (3) Upon removal of a dealer from this list, notification shall be provided to local law enforcement and licensing authorities in the jurisdiction where the dealer's business is

				<p>negligence, as maintained pursuant to Penal Code section 26725. 9. The total number of dealers on the Centralized List of Firearm Dealers as of March 15, 2018. 10. The total number of Category 1 "assault weapons" registered with the State of California as of March 15, 2018. 11. The total number of Category 2 "assault weapons" registered with the State of California as of March 15, 2018. 12. The total number of Category 3 "assault weapons" registered with the State of California as of March 15, 2018. 13. The total number of Law Enforcement "assault weapons" registered with the State of California as of March 15, 2018. 14. The total number of Penal Code section 30515 (as revised since 2017) type "assault weapons" registered with the State of California as of March 15, 2018. 15. The total number of "assault weapons" registered with the state of California as of March 15, 2018.</p>			<p>located.</p> <p>(c) Information compiled from the list shall be made available, upon request, for the following purposes only:</p> <p>(1) For law enforcement purposes.</p> <p>(2) When the information is requested by a person licensed pursuant to Chapter 44 (commencing with Section 921) of Title 18 of the United States Code for determining the validity of the license for firearm shipments.</p> <p>(3) When information is requested by a person promoting, sponsoring, operating, or otherwise organizing a show or event as defined in Section 478.100 of Title 27 of the Code of Federal Regulations, or its successor, who possesses a valid certificate of eligibility issued pursuant to Article 1 (commencing with Section 27200) of Chapter 3, if that information is requested by the person to determine the eligibility of a prospective participant in a gun show or event to conduct transactions as a firearms dealer pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 26805.</p> <p>(d) Information provided pursuant to subdivision (c) shall be limited to information necessary to corroborate an individual's current license status as being one of the following:</p> <p>(1) A person licensed pursuant to subdivisions (a) to (e), inclusive, of Section 26700.</p> <p>(2) A person who is licensed pursuant to Chapter 44 (commencing with Section 921) of Title 18 of the United States Code, and who is not subject to the requirement of being licensed pursuant to subdivisions (a) to (e), inclusive, of Section 26700.</p> <p>Because of the limitations set forth in subdivision (c) on who may receive information compiled from the Centralized List, we are declining to respond to this request.</p> <p>10. As of March 15, 2018, 55,364 Category 1 assault weapons were registered.</p> <p>11. As of March 15, 2018, 89,113 Category 2 and Category 3 assault weapons were registered. The Department only maintains a report that includes both of these categories together.</p> <p>12. See response to number 11.</p> <p>13. As of March 15, 2018, 13,082 peace officer assault weapons were registered.</p> <p>14. As of March 15, 2018, there were 3,051 applicants for bullet button assault weapons. Please note that the Department only maintains a report that details how many applicants have applied to register their assault weapons in the ordinary course of business. To obtain the number of registered assault weapons, special programming would be required to generate the requested information, and the Department is allowed by law to charge for this programming. (See Gov. Code, § 6253.9, subd. (b); see also California Department of Justice Guidelines for Access to Public Records [When the Department must compile electronic data, extract information from an electronic record, or undertake computer programming to satisfy a request, the Department may require the requester to bear the full costs, not just the direct cost of duplication.] )</p> <p>In this case, it will take a Systems Software Specialist II a minimum of 8 hours to compile this information at a cost of \$323.04. If you still desire this information, please make a check payable to the California Department of Justice in the amount of \$323.04 and send it to:</p> <p>California Department of Justice Public Records Coordinator 1300 I Street, Room 1710-6 Sacramento, CA 95814</p> <p>If we do not receive payment within 20 days, we shall consider the request for records withdrawn and the matter closed.</p> <p>15. See response to number 14.</p>
PRA-2018-00563	Lalchand ani	Priti	3/22/2018	<p>Information I would like to obtain:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Number weapons legally registered in Los Angeles County or California (preferably per year)</li> <li>2. Number of persons injured due to a firearm (prefer by year, by county, zip code and/or state of California)</li> <li>3. Number of persons resulting in firearm death on scene (also prefer by year, by county, zip code and/or state of California)</li> </ol> <p>I am a General Surgery resident at Harbor-UCLA Medical</p>	Completed	Robert Wilson	<p>Im regards to your first request, we are providing you with the Dealer Record of Sale (DROS) statistics for 2010 through 2017. These statistics provide you a list of all firearm transactions.</p> <p>For your second and third requests, we would like to direct you generally to the OpenJustice website: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>. There, you will find a great deal of information that may be pertinent to your research. If you have any further questions, please let us know.</p>

				Center in Torrance, Los Angeles County, California. I am doing research for a study regarding firearms and would appreciate your help. The information I am requesting in flexible (in terms of year and location).			
PRA-2018-00564	Wilson	Merlyn	3/22/2018	<p>Felony convictions on Tony Junior Swearingen. Prison terms for this individual who now resides in Antioch, California. He has claimed in a recent deposition transcript that he has had 2 felony convictions. He now drives a transit bus for Tri Delta Transit based in Antioch, California.</p> <p>I am a retired CHP officer and a licensed Private Investigator PI 13828 for the State of California. He stated under oath that he was convicted of Felony Assault with a Deadly Weapon and served time in a California State Prison.</p> <p>Did he? What prison? Who arrested him and for what crime? How many felony convictions and specifically for what crime?</p> <p>I am now an expert witness involved in this matter named Parvin Pourkiani vs. Tri Delta Transit &amp; Tony Junior Swearingen. Superior Court of California For the County of Contra Costa and the defense attorneys will not release his background investigation records, his driver qualification file, his post accident drug and alcohol tests, and the Tri Delta Transit Rulebook and Standard Operating Procedures.</p>	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>Unfortunately, the Department of Justice (DOJ) is unable to comply with your request. The California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to California Penal Code section 11076, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records. The only exception to this rule is an individual requesting his or her own records. California Penal Code sections 11120 through 11127 afford an individual the opportunity to obtain a copy of his or her own California state summary criminal history information to review for accuracy and completeness.</p> <p>In regard to the prison terms, you may want to request this information from the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation.</p> <p>If you have further questions or concerns regarding a record review, please direct your correspondence to the Bureau of Criminal Information &amp; Analysis, Record Review Unit at P.O. Box 903417, Sacramento, CA 94203-4170. For additional information, the following is the Record Review website: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review">https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review</a>. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>
PRA-2018-00565	Skachenko	Eric	3/22/2018	Short Sale - 2012. I am trying to obtain the documentation that went with the short sale of my old home on [REDACTED]	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides.</p>
PRA-2018-00566	Grady	Mary	3/22/2018	<p>i would like copies of all of my criminal and court case records in santa cruz county ca.. please.... do i have any open cases? and any state mental health records as well from santa cruz ca mary christine grady [REDACTED] ssn birthday [REDACTED] thank you mary grady</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p> <p>To the extent that you seek court records, we were unable to locate litigation files with the information provided. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking court records, you may wish to direct your request to the court in which the case was heard.</p> <p>In regard to mental health records, Government Code §6254(c) provides that "Personnel, medical, or similar files, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy" are exempt from disclosure. You may wish to contact the mental health facility directly to access the records you are seeking.</p>

PRA-2018-00618	White	Weston	3/22/2018	<p>1. The total number of temporary restraining orders (TRO) issued throughout the State of California for 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, and 2017 that were authorized under the statutory authority of Cal. CCP § 527.6, with each annual sum categorized by restrained males and females (without regard to age or ethnicity) and numerated by each respective year.</p> <p>2. The total number of civil/criminal restraining orders (CPO) issued throughout the State of California for 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, and 2017 that were authorized under the statutory authority of Cal. CCP § 527.6, with each annual sum categorized by restrained males and females (without regard to age or ethnicity) and numerated by each respective year.</p> <p>3. The total number of 'CH-800' court documents (as mandated by Cal. CCP § 527.9 et seq.) submitted throughout the State of California for 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, and 2017 numerated by each respective year.</p> <p>4. The total number of civil/criminal restraining orders (CPO) presently (e.g., based on the most current data available for either 2018 or else 2017) active throughout the State of California (regardless if on file with law enforcement agencies or not) that were authorized under the statutory authority of Cal. CCP § 527.6, with each sum categorized by restrained males and females (without regard to age or ethnicity.)</p>	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>Unfortunately, the Department of Justice (DOJ) is unable to comply with your request. The California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to California Penal Code section 11076, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records. The only exception to this rule is an individual requesting his or her own records. California Penal Code sections 11120 through 11127 afford an individual the opportunity to obtain a copy of his or her own California state summary criminal history information to review for accuracy and completeness.</p> <p>If you have further questions or concerns regarding a record review, please direct your correspondence to the Bureau of Criminal Information &amp; Analysis, Record Review Unit at P.O. Box 903417, Sacramento, CA 94203-4170. For additional information, the following is the Record Review website: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>. However, the DOJ discloses statistical data to bona fide research bodies and public agencies pursuant to Penal Code sections 11105 and 13202. If you are a research body, then your request would fall under the DOJ's research request process. In order to request the data through this process, complete the attached packet regarding policies and procedures that relate to accessing criminal offender record information. The document, Conditions for Release of Criminal Offender Record Information, must be reviewed and signed by the project lead/requester. When completed, email all required documentation to <a href="mailto:ResearchRequest@doj.ca.gov">ResearchRequest@doj.ca.gov</a>. Once the packet is received, it will be reviewed and you will be notified if it is approved.</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>
PRA-2018-00707	Contreras	Joe	3/22/2018	<p>a. Trial and sentencing court transcripts of David Arenas Olvera, Case Number CR28041; and</p> <p>b. Volumes 7 and 8 of my trial transcripts, Joe Daniel Contreras, Case Number CR27580.</p>	Completed	Cynthia S. Lumley	<p>a. We do not have any responsive records.</p> <p>b. We only have one volume of reporter's transcript for Case Number CR27580, People v. Joe Daniel Contreras. I have included a copy of this transcript since you have indicated that the court was unable to provide the record. We have no other reporter's transcripts.</p>
PRA-2018-00568	Fletcher	Victoria	3/23/2018	<p>I am looking to find out how many people have been convicted of Penal Code 289 (d) and 289 (e) in California from 2011 to the present day.</p> <p>If it is possible to be given the dates of the cases, the name of the cases and the sentence given, that would also be helpful.</p>	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>The Department of Justice (DOJ) discloses statistical data to bona fide research bodies and public agencies pursuant to Penal Code sections 11105 and 13202. If you are a research body, then your request would fall under the Department's research request process. In order to request the data through this process, complete the attached packet regarding policies and procedures that relate to accessing criminal offender record information. The document, Conditions for Release of Criminal Offender Record Information, must be reviewed and signed by the project lead/requester. When completed, email all required documentation to <a href="mailto:Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov">Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov</a>. Once the packet is received, it will be reviewed and you will be notified if it is approved.</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>
PRA-2018-00569	Strugar	Matthew	3/23/2018	<p>Documents reflecting the most recent payment to the third- party vendor that processes the information that appears on the Megan's Law website (be that the most recent invoice from the third party vendor, a copy of the check sent to the third party vendor, or any other similar material reflecting payment). If no such documents exist reflecting the most recent payment, I am requesting a copy of the contract with the third-party vendor that processes the information that appears on the Megan's Law website.</p>	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.</p>
PRA-2018-00654	Stuart	Wayne	3/23/2018	<p>I am requesting a copy of the 'Reducing Crime and Keeping California Safe Act of 2018. 'I am also asking for a copy of any document you have authored by the</p>	Completed	Emily Gargiulo	<p>Enclosed is a copy of Initiative 17-0044A1, "Reducing Crime and Keeping California Safe Act of 2018" and a copy of the fiscal impact estimate prepared by the Legislative Analyst and the Department of Finance.</p>

				legislative analyst that gives an assessment or breakdown of the aforesaid 'Act.'"				
PRA-2018-00663	Nguyen	Michelle	3/23/2018	I am looking for any records pertaining to Luat V Hoang, formerly a father at St. Martin's catholic church. He has lived in Sunnyvale and San Jose, CA before. I would like to know whether he is deceased or not in particular. Thank you.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-00667	Sacchetti	Edward	3/23/2018	Do you have ability to provide uncategorized Ralph Act complaints? Second request. Do you have ability to provide any data points on Ralph Act complaints and/or filings? I should add that Fair Employment and Housing who also accept complaints under the Ralph Act were able to immediately provide copies of such complaints filed under the Ralph Act based on "political affiliation or perceived political affiliation". I received copies of complaints and dispositions (with personal information redacted), based on a given time period that were filed with their agency. Why would your agency not have the same capability to provide records, since by law, both agencies take such complaints?		Completed	Anthony V. Seferian	Status update provided by Public Records Unit on April 4, 2018: I am still attempting to get more information on this for you. Someone from our office will be in touch with you shortly. April 6, 2018 response by Anthony Seferian: We have identified records responsive to your request, and along with this response this office is providing to you a copy of a Ralph Act complaint filed by this office.
PRA-2018-00674	McGlinn	Jack	3/23/2018	1. Plan documents submitted to CalHR—Your department's three most recent annual upward mobility plans for employees in low paying occupational groups; 2. Goals and timetables for upward mobility plan participants—Please list the number of employees in low-paying occupations expected to progress to entry-level technical, professional, and administrative positions; 3. Description of plan elements for each of the three most current upward mobility plans a. Policy statement regarding appointing authorities commitment; b. Description of this program consistent with Government Code section 19401; c. Roles and responsibilities for the successful administration of the UM program; d. Criteria for selecting employees as outlined in Government Code section 19401 and Cal. Code Regs.,	SEIU	Completed	Joy Aria	Response to requests 1 and 2: The Department of Justice (DOJ) provides information regarding upward mobility (UM) plans for employees in low paying occupational groups, as well as the number of employees in lowpaying occupations expected to progress to entry-level technical, professional and administrative positions, to the California Department of Human Resources (CalHR) as part of the annual workforce analysis (AWFA) Report. The following documents are attached: • AWFA-UMForms2014 • AWFA-UMForms2015 • AWFA-UMForms2016  Response to request 3: The DOJ has an Administrative Bulletin regarding the UM and Career Counseling Programs, as well as two chapters in the DOJ Administrative Manual, which speak to the UM Program elements' listed in a.-d. above: The following bulletins are attached: • Administrative Bulletin 15-15 - Upward Mobility and Career Counseling Programs • Department of Justice (DOJ) Administrative Manual - Chapter 7, Equal Employment

tit. 2, § 599.984:  
i. Staffing needs;  
ii. Available funds or resources;  
iii. Employee status in department (e.g. permanent, part time intermittent, etc.);  
iv. Satisfactory employee performance in current position;  
v. Employee motivation and potential for advancement;  
vi. Relevance of training (relevance of upward mobility activity to employee's career goal); and  
vii. Specific department upward mobility objectives.  
e. Number of employees in classifications and low paying occupations used by appointing authority; entry technical, professional, administrative classes targeted for UM and planned UM exams.  
4. Description of program elements for each of the three most current upward mobility plans  
a. Career counseling using individual professional, technical, and administrative staff who can serve as mentors, role models, etc.;  
b. Group career counseling;  
c. The department's workforce planning needs taking into consideration growing occupations or where the department's greatest needs are;  
d. Appropriate academic counseling;  
e. Training opportunities such as college programs related to special training  
i. Adult education centers;  
ii. Community college listings  
iii. California State University (CSU) / University of California (UC) campuses that provide training;  
iv. T&D assignments  
v. On-the-Job Training;  
vi. Job restructuring including job career ladders and lattices and modifications of requirements where employment barriers exist.  
vii. Academic release time at reduced or no time to employees and may be offered at other departments or academic institutions. Academic release time provides employees with time off to pursue educational goals related to their career development plan, per Government Code section 19401(c);  
viii. Training reimbursement per reference Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 599.819; and  
5. Names of upward mobility program participants— Please provide the name, current position, employee status and anticipated upward mobility position for each participant represented by SEIU Local 1000 for the three most current annual upward mobility plans.

Rights and Resolution - Section 6: Upward Mobility Program  
• Department of Justice (DOJ) Administrative Manual - Chapter 7, Equal Employment Rights and Resolution - Section 5: Career Counseling Program  
Response to request 4:  
The Equal Employment Rights and Resolution (EER&R) Office has an Upward Mobility Handbook (Handbook), which provides information on many of DOJ's UM Program elements.  
The Handbook was developed to provide employees in low-paying occupations with information and practical suggestions for achieving their career goals. Additionally, the Employee Development Plan and Legal Secretary Career Ladder are both available as resources to help employees identify and reach their career goals. The following documents are attached:  
• Upward Mobility Handbook  
• Employee Development Plan  
• Career Ladder - Legal Secretary Series  
The EER&R Office uses individuals in the technical, professional and administrative classes as career counselors. In the past three years the EER&R Office has recruited and trained several new career counselors who meet with employees in low-paying occupations to assist them with their career goals. The following documents are attached:  
• Career Counselor Directory, 2014  
• Career Counselor Directory, 2015  
• Career Counselor Directory, 2016  
• Career Counselor Directory, Current  
The EER&R Office facilitates group career counseling in the form of Application and Interview (A&I) Workshops. The workshops provide information regarding preparing effective applicant documents, understanding how the hiring process works and tips and techniques for preparing for a hiring interview. A total of 253 employees attended the workshop during the three most current upward mobility plan cycles. A total of 71 employees have attended the workshop for the current plan cycle. The following document is attached:  
• Application and Interview Workshop Class Workbook  
In December of 2016, DOJ partnered with American River Community College to make two courses specifically available to employees who work at DOJ. The classes offered were Introduction to Law and Legal Research. Both courses meet the requirement of the minimum qualifications for the Legal Assistant classification. The project was introduced to DOJ employees on a pilot basis in January 2017. However, the pilot program did not gain traction with employees. This may be in part because the classes were offered online, and employees were expected to attend by way of personal and private computer, at the lunch hour or after the work day. The following document is attached:  
• American River College Legal Assisting brochure  
On-the-job training and academic release time are available to employees participating in the UM Program on a case-by-case basis and contingent on the operational needs and available resources of the unit. The Chief of the Legal Support Operations (LSO) Unit within the Division of Administrative Support (now the Division of Operations) sent a memo to all LSO staff in 2014. The purpose of this memo was to provide clear information to staff regarding LSO's policy for reimbursement and release time for training and educational courses. In addition, the DOJ has a chapter in the DOJ Administrative Manual that speaks to training reimbursement. For trainings that fall in the UM category, employees may be reimbursed for expenses incurred for tuition, books and supplies, after successful completion of all class requirements, at the discretion of each division. The DOJ utilizes Training and Development (T&D) assignments at the discretion of hiring managers. T&D assignments are open to all DOJ employees who meet the criteria for appointment into a T&D assignment. The following documents are attached:  
• Memo to LSO Staff  
• DOJAM Ch 18, Training Policy  
5. Names of upward mobility program participants- Please provide the name, current position, employee status and anticipated upward mobility position for each participant represented by SEIU Local 1000 for the three most current annual upward mobility plans.



								The EER&R Office maintains a list of employees who are currently participating in the UM Program. The list captures current participants and does not distinguish employee participation by plan year. The following document is attached: • UM Program Participants
PRA-2018-00570	Christie	Raymond	3/26/2018	Livestock Deputy of Humboldt County, Travis Mendes's publically used phone. I feel that the allegations violated my fourth amendment right. Do you need specific dates?		Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to a Humboldt County Deputy, you may wish to direct your request to the Humboldt County Sheriff's Office.
PRA-2018-00571	Connolly	Joseph	3/26/2018	I am seeking any and all records of any costs incurred by the Office of the Attorney General in the Third District Court of Appeal cases C080256 and C083238. Those cases were decided on February 9, 2018 in my favor as the appellant. The Attorney General represented the public interest in each appeal, and on behalf of the El Dorado County Department of Child Support Services. The attorney of record for both appeals was Deputy Attorney General Ms. Jennevee deGuzman. Regardless of the expense category--administrative, legal representation, filing fees, etc.--I am seeking confirmation of the expenses incurred to contest each appeal. Because this is a time sensitive matter for matters remanded to the El Dorado County Superior Court, I am requesting an expedited response to this request.		Completed	Judy Wong	In response to the requests above, the Attorney General's Office is providing records which detail the administrative and legal representation fees for these two cases, which are attached to this letter. The Attorney General's Office is exempt from filing fees pursuant to Government Code section 6103, and as such does not have any expenses relating to filing fees.
PRA-2018-00572	Mojica-Hernandez	Juan	3/26/2018	hello, my Case Number is 03WM09917, is in orange county superior court		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.
PRA-2018-00573	Sarsak	Ali	3/26/2018	Kustom Signals, Inc. Pro Laser III Operator's Manual. This manual is for the speed measuring device used by California Law Enforcement Officers in traffic enforcement.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. During research into the matter, I came across this web page, <a href="http://tipmra.com/kgb/WEB/general/ProLaser%20III_om.pdf">http://tipmra.com/kgb/WEB/general/ProLaser%20III_om.pdf</a> , which may be the document you are looking for. If not, you may wish to contact the manufacturer, or one of the agencies that commonly enforces traffic laws throughout its regular course of business, such as the California Highway Patrol or local law enforcement.
PRA-2018-00574	Plejdstrup	Valerie	3/26/2018	California Incarceration record for Thomas Elliot Buster Jr. Researching for the family of Thomas Elliot Buster Jr. Children were told various stories. That he died in a California prison, proven false, he died in a VA hospital in Minnesota. That he was released from prison because he was very ill. Highly unlikely that he was given compassionate release from a California prison in the late 1960s. Simply looking for true facts. Was Thomas ever in a California prison or jail? If yes, then when and where.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We note that your request was sent to the Department of Justice, but seeks incarceration records. Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. The Department of Justice cannot produce records that are responsive to your request. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records from another government agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Information on how to contact them can be found at <a href="http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html">http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html</a> .
PRA-2018-00575	Munoz	David	3/26/2018	I would like all information I have rights too. Thanks		Completed	Erin Nickless	We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a

							<p>more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Providing the actual name or title of the record.</li> <li>-Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record.</li> <li>-Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record.</li> <li>-Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created.</li> <li>-If related to a case, providing the case name or number.</li> <li>-Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record.</li> <li>-Indicating the city in which the record was created.</li> </ul> <p>If you can provide more information regarding the records you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control.</p>
PRA-2018-00576	Chabria (SacBee)	Anita	3/26/2018	<p>Pursuant to my rights under the California Public Records Act (Government Code Section 6250 et seq.), I ask to inspect/obtain a copy of the following, which I understand to be held by your agency:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The names of the missing people in records transferred from San Joaquin to state jurisdiction in 2010 (change of ORI)</li> <li>2. The total number of records San Joaquin asked to transfer and names on all those records (change in ORI)</li> <li>3. The total number of records actually transferred</li> <li>4. All written polices regarding change in ORI between jurisdictions in the missing person database maintained by the California Attorney General in effect in 2010.</li> </ol> <p>In a separate Public Records Act Request, I ask for the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. All correspondence and email between the San Joaquin County Sheriff's Department and the California Attorney General's Office from Jan. 1, 2010 to Dec. 31, 2010 regarding missing persons records.</li> </ol> <p>April 9, 2018 follow-up: Hi Jaimie - Thank you for sending these documents in response to my CPRA request. However, these documents are not what I requested. These are internal action logs from staff within the AGs office. I requested "All correspondence and email between the San Joaquin County Sheriff's Department and the California Attorney General's Office from Jan. 1, 2010 to Dec. 31, 2010 regarding missing persons records."</p>	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>Jaimie Tackett sought clarification on April 2, 2018: In regard to the separate request in which you seek "All correspondence and email between the San Joaquin County Sheriff's Department and the California Attorney General's Office from Jan. 1, 2010 to Dec. 31, 2010 regarding missing persons records", is it possible for you to narrow the scope of your request? As written, the request is very broad. The DOJ would be better able to respond to the request if it had a more narrow scope.</p> <p>April 3, 2018 response: The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p> <p>In regard to item (1), the following are the names of the missing people in records transferred from San Joaquin to state jurisdiction in 2010 ( change of ORI):</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Cynthia Vanderheiden</li> <li>2. Tracy Diane Melton</li> <li>3. Joann Hobson</li> <li>4. Kimberly Ann Billy</li> <li>5. Phillip Lloyd Cabot Martin</li> <li>6. Steven Mark Dadasovich</li> <li>7. James Kenneth Patterson</li> <li>8. Jose Esauro Dominguez</li> <li>9. Gail Deann Skelton</li> <li>10. Andrew Ross Stetler</li> </ol> <p>In regard to item (2), the total number of records San Joaquin asked to transfer and names on all those records (change in ORI) is ten. For names, see response to item (1).</p> <p>In regard to item (3), the total number of records actually transferred is ten.</p> <p>In regard to item ( 4 ), by way of background, there are two systems of computerized missing persons information available to law enforcement agencies via the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS): DOJ's Missing Persons System (MPS) and the Federal Bureau of Investigation' s (FBI) National Crime Information Center (NCIC) Missing Person File. When a missing person record is entered in MPS, the record is also programmatically forwarded to the FBI's NCIC Missing Person File. Law enforcement and DOJ staff that process missing person record data use two manuals or guides that detail the technical process of entering, modifying/correcting, and cancelling a record in these systems: (1) DOJ's "Criminal Justice Information System Terminal Operator's Guide" (CJIS Guide) and (2) the FBI's "NCIC 2000 Operating Manual: Missing Persons File" (NCIS Manual). Neither of these are DOJ policies.</p> <p>Under the CJIS Guide relating to MPS, the originating agency (i.e., the agency with jurisdiction and responsibility for the investigation) or DOJ can cancel a missing person's record.</p> <p>Thus, if the originating agency decides to close an investigation and cancel a record in the system for any reason, the only way to preserve the missing person information in the two systems is for DOJ to reenter the data as a new record under DOJ's originating agency identifier (ORI). The relevant portions of the CJIS Guide are attached. The CJIS guide does not specifically address recovery of only partial body remains, nor does it impose any rule limiting the circumstances under which a record</p>

							<p>originator may cancel a record.</p> <p>Similarly, the NCIC Manual does not limit the circumstances under which a record originator may cancel a record (except certain limits on cancelling records regarding children removed from the United States). In its "Record Retention Period" section, however, the NCIS Manual permits, as a matter of discretion, keeping a record open after recovery of partial remains. It says, in pertinent part:</p> <p>A missing person record is retained indefinitely until action is taken by the originating agency to cancel or clear the record or until a locate is placed against the record ...</p> <p>When a missing person has been positively identified by partial body parts, the entering agency may maintain the NCIC record for future identification in the event additional body parts are recovered.</p> <p>Thus, much like the CJIS Guide, the NCIC Manual generally does not limit an agency's discretion to cancel a record.</p> <p>April 5, clarification offered: When we spoke earlier this week, you asked me to clarify with the appropriate program how/when missing persons records are transferred between ORIs. When an agency requests to have a missing persons record transferred to DOJ's ORI, the DOJ re-enters the information as a new record under DOJ's ORI. When that is complete, the DOJ then deletes the original missing persons record from the requesting agency's ORI.</p> <p>April 10, 2018 response to follow up: Unfortunately, we do not have any email correspondence between the California Attorney General's Office and the San Joaquin County Sheriff's Department or internally between California Attorney General's Office employees regarding the 10 missing persons records that were transferred from the San Joaquin County Sheriff's Department to the California Attorney General's Office ORI in 2010. As noted in the response, the requests and subsequent correspondence occurred via telephone. In an attempt to be as transparent as possible, we provided the action logs contained within each individual's record/file that document the request/transfer.</p>	
PRA-2018-00577	Vines	James	3/26/2018	I'm sending you this message under the guise of the Freedom of Information Act. I am requesting the following information concerning one of your new programs, the licensing of people that sell ammunition in California. I would like to know the following, how many people do you have currently processing license request? How many license request have you had since January of this year? by State Law & policy how long do you have to process a license request? You can send this information to me via mail at the address above or my email to the email address above. expect to hear some type of response within 30 working days.		Completed	Robert Wilson	The Department has one individual processing license requests. There have been 285 license requests since January 1, 2018. State law does not dictate how long the Department has to process a license request.
PRA-2018-00578	Glorioso	Sandra	3/26/2018	NANDEEP SINGH SOOCH Case Number: 23611MMNS DATE: 07/18/2011 Criminal Court		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-00579	Kaste (NPR)	Martin	3/26/2018	Hello, This is a public record request for all communications regarding the acquisition and operation of the NEC facial recognition operated by the California Department of Justice. This is the system for which the DOJ continues to pay for annual software maintenance (please see purchase document # 16IT-0647). Thank you, Martin Kaste Law Enforcement Correspondent National Public Radio. We are making this public records request at the suggestion of state employee Andrea		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p> <p>The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents. The redactions on pages 16, 18, and 19 of the attachment were made by the vendor prior to submitting the documentation to the DOJ. This is a common practice for vendors, as the documentation contains the information of other customers and is presented as example only.</p> <p>Cal-Photo has been in place since the late 1990s as an investigative tool to assist</p>

				BanuelosDavis, who is listed as the contact person for the state's acquisition and maintenance of the NEC facial recognition system.			California law enforcement agencies (LEAs). The DOJ contracted with NEC to provide services for the implementation of an integrated criminal justice image system that encompassed the modernization of multiple image systems into a single system, the Cal-Photo Image Vault (CPIV). As with the original Cal-Photo system, LEAs have an interface that enables them to own and manage their criminal justice photos and data, as well as access and search those of other LEAs, create lineups and mugbooks, and generate reports. The NEC package includes a discounted license to allow facial recognition capability of images in the CPIV maintained by the DOJ. The DOJ has never implemented the facial recognition capability of the package, which will expire in May 2018.
PRA-2018-00580	Hewlett	Iris	3/26/2018	Per Gov. Code Sec. 6250 et seq., I seek records which supports CA DOJ/ AG rely on SEC Edgar System to investigate cases that are in violation of The California Blue Sky Laws and per the Corporate Securities Law of 1968.	Completed	Daniel O'Donnell	An Extension was sent on 04.05.18 by Daniel P. O'Donnell from Corporate Fraud Section. On April 19, 2018, our office responded: It is unclear what records you are seeking. As you are likely aware, the SEC EDGAR system is a publically available database. To the extent you may be asking whether DOJ has a policy regarding the use of the SEC EDGAR system as an investigatory tool, the DOJ does not have a record responsive to your request. If, however, you are seeking specific documentation of whether DOJ staff reviewed the SEC EDGAR system when investigating possible securities law violation, we would consider such records to be confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) exempts from disclosure investigative and security files of the Attorney General, including complaints. (Dick Williams v. Superior Court [1993] 5 Cal. 4th 337, 354-55. Note: the time limitation on the exemption for investigative files under Williams is impossible to reconcile with the language and history of section 6254[f]). Thus, the Attorney General's Office will not produce documents subject to the exemption for confidential law enforcement records.
PRA-2018-00590	Moreno	Abraham	3/26/2018	Mr. Moreno seeks a certified copy of a Press Release generated by our office on February 9, 2012 regarding JP Morgan Chase, Bank of America, Wells Fargo, and others regarding a settlement.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Called Mr. Moreno and directed him to our website for the press release, and to our public inquiry unit to submit a complaint.
PRA-2018-00629	Anderson	Kristopher	3/26/2018	Thank you for your reply to my PRA request. More specifically, Katherine M. Porter (DOB: [REDACTED]) was appointed as California's monitor of the nationwide housing settlement by then AG Kamala Harris in March 2012. If no personnel file exists, any records pertaining to compensation or other expenditures directed to or claimed by Ms. Porter would be appropriate and responsive to my request.	Completed	Paul Stein	On April 5, 2018 an Extension was sent by Paul Stein from Gov Law Section. On April 19, 2018, Paul Stein responded: We have conducted a diligent search for responsive records and are providing copies as electronic files (.pdfs) to you at no charge (the bulk of the records are included as attachments to the e-mail that accompanies this letter). We are providing the following: (1) two contracts (including a series of five amendments to one of them); (2) a vendor payment report; and (3) 24 invoices sent to the Department of Justice pursuant to the contracts. We are withholding three of the invoices until they can be redacted. These invoices contain billing information that would reveal litigation strategy and/or legal consultation. Such information is exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act, and we decline to provide it. (See County of Los Angeles Board of Supervisors v. Superior Court (2017) 12 Cal.App.5th 1264, 1274-1275 [holding that such information "lies in the heartland of attorney-client privilege"], internal quotations and citation omitted, italics in original); Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Evid. Code, § 954 [attorney-client privilege]; Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030, subd. (a) [attorney work product doctrine].) We expect to be able to provide you the three redacted invoices by the end of next week (April 27) if not sooner. May 2, 2018 response dated May 1, 2018: I am writing in (further) response to your request under the Public Records Act (Gov. Code, § 6250 et seq.) seeking "any records pertaining to compensation or other expenditures directed to or claimed by Ms. [Katherine M.] Porter." On April 19, 2018, we sent you the responsive documents in our possession. However, we withheld certain documents (three invoices) which contain privileged and confidential information, so that the documents could be properly reviewed and redacted. We are now providing you the invoices in redacted form. Billing information which is subject to protection under the attorney-client privilege and/or the attorney work product doctrine has been blacked out. (See County of Los Angeles Board of Supervisors v. Superior Court (2017) 12 Cal.App.5th 1264, 1275 [billing information which reveals litigation strategy or legal consultation is protected from disclosure under the Public Records Act]; Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Evid. Code, § 954; Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) In order to protect personal

								privacy, we have also blacked out home addresses and cell phone numbers. (Cal. Const. art. I, § 1; Gov. Code, §§ 6254, subd. (k), 6255.) This completes our response to your request.
PRA-2018-00637	Drevala	Tatyana	3/26/2018	"I am looking for the public records that are related to the process of investigation of my: 1) retaliation and unlawful termination complaint, and 2) wage claim performed by the Department of Industrial Relations, Division of Labor Standards Enforcement. The case numbers are: 1) Retaliation and unlawful termination claim No. 32741-SFRCI 2) Wage claim No. 07-77947 BS."		Completed	Erin Nickless	We note that your request is directed to the Department of Industrial Relations, but was sent to the California Department of Justice. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. Our research into the matter shows that the records you seek may be in the custody or control of another state agency. We suggest that you direct your request to the Department of Industrial Relations. Information on how to request Public Records from them can be found on their website at <a href="https://www.dir.ca.gov/pra_request.html">https://www.dir.ca.gov/pra_request.html</a> .
PRA-2018-00665	Bates	Daniel	3/26/2018	I'm a journalist with the Daily Mail and am doing some research into two nonprofits based in CA. I wanted to find out if you have ever had any complaints about them or investigated them in any way. They are: The Kinnoull Foundation The Sylvanus Charitable Trust Both are registered at 913 Blanco Cir Salinas, CA 93901 If this helps: Kinnoull EIN 94-6186982 Sylvanus EIN 98-0032428 If you have had complaints or investigated either of these nonprofits can you please provide details and documents.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 ["While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)."].)
PRA-2018-00584	Munoz	Jose	3/27/2018	Birth certificates. In search of birth certificates for me and my 2 kids.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA-2018-00585	Wagman	Jake	3/27/2018	All correspondence between the AG's Charitable Trusts Section and the charity URBAN VISION COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION INC (FEIN 454339519) Please include any complaints against the charity, and any "memos to file" regarding the charity, as well as any failure to file letters or similar notices. Comments: Please send info electronically to <a href="mailto:jake@shieldresearch.com">jake@shieldresearch.com</a> < <a href="mailto:jake@shieldresearch.com">mailto:jake@shieldresearch.com</a> >		Completed	Tania M. Ibanez, SAAG	This officer received your public records request for all correspondence between the Attorney General's Charitable Trust Section and Urban Vision Community Development Co (FEIN 454339519). You can download correspondence sent to Urban Vision by visiting our website at <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/charities">oag.ca.gov/charities</a> , under Resources & Tools, click on Registry Verification Search. Type in the FEIN number and click on the charity's name. That will direct you to the charity's page. Click on all correspondence sent to the charity.
PRA-2018-00588	Geis	Everett	3/27/2018	Orange County Sheriff/Coroner's Death in Custody letters to the DOJ dating back to January 1, 2013. Per CA Gov't Code Section 12525 the Orange County Sheriff/Coroner is required to report in writing any death in custody within 10 days of the date of death. We need copies of these reports dating back to January 1, 2013		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents. The attached Excel file reflects the records that have already been processed, and the attached PDF file reflects the two forms for 2018 submissions that have not yet been processed. Note, the DOJ only receives one form for Death in Custody reporting, which is entered into a database and not retained.
PRA-2018-00589	Khundkar (APPS)	Imran	3/27/2018	1. Any and all documents regarding the data behind Department of Justice's 2017 SB 140 (Armed and Prohibited Persons System) Legislative Report Number	Michel & Associates	Completed	Robert Wilson	As a preliminary matter, we note that under the Public Records Act government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public, unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd.

			<p>Four.</p> <p>2. Any and all documents regarding procedures and policies the Department of Justice employs to find and target individuals who may be prohibited from possessing firearms.</p> <p>3. Any and all documents regarding the percentage of APPS investigations that actually result in prosecution by law enforcement.</p> <p>4. Any and all documents regarding the number of persons who have had actual notice that they are active subjects in the APPS database.</p> <p>5. Any and all documents or information regarding the alleged violators of Welfare and Institutions Code section 8103 named in the 2017 SB 140 (Armed and Prohibited Persons System) Legislative Report Number Four</p>			<p>(b).) Section 6254, subdivision (k), incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law.</p> <p>1. As currently drafted, your request for information in this instance is overly broad and unduly burdensome. Unspecific and unfocused requests for government records under the Public Records Act are contrary to the purposes of the Public Records Act, and requestors should provide specific, focused requests in order to give agencies a reasonable opportunity to respond.</p> <p>General, unfocused requests compel agencies to deny them thereby leading to litigation. The request to the agency must itself be focused and specific. (Rogers v. Superior Court (1993) 19 Cal.App.4th 469, 481.) Specifically, "the data" is vague and does not provide guidance as to what data within the report the requestor is referencing. Also, California Penal Code section 30000, subdivision (b) provides that "The information contained in the Prohibited Armed Persons File shall only be available to those entities specified in, and pursuant to, subdivision (b) or (c) of Section 11105, through the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System, for the purpose of determining if persons are armed and prohibited from possessing firearms."</p> <p>Consequently, any "data" in the Armed Persons File is exempt from production in response to a PublicRecords Act request.</p> <p>The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents and information would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code§ 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.) If there is a specific data point within the SB 140 report that you are referencing, let us know and we can refine the search to determine if there are responsive documents. (Gov. Code, section 6253.1.)</p> <p>2. Here, the records that you seek are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)</p> <p>Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 (While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).).)</p> <p>3. - 5. As you are aware, the Attorney General is the head of the Department of Justice. The Offices of the Attorney General are located in six different cities. In addition to these six offices, there are other law enforcement divisions. In order to respond to your request, each division of the Department of Justice, including each section, branch, unit or bureau would have to be canvassed, requiring each individual deputy attorney general or the head of each section, branch, unit or bureau, or staff thereof, to review each and every individual matter assigned to that deputy attorney general or the head of each section, branch, unit or bureau, or staff thereof, to determine if they have any documents responsive to your request. Further, such materials may not be maintained, indexed or categorized according to a single title or subject matter description, thus, requiring a more extensive search for such documents. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in a limitless search for such documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.) Consequently, we will be unable to provide you with any records under the request as it is currently cast.</p> <p>5. California law restricts the disclosure of the information you seek to specific court proceedings and for determining the eligibility of the person to own, possess, control, receive, or purchase a firearm. (Cal. Welfare and Institutions Code§ 8103, subd. (f).) Some of the records that you are seeking in this category are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.)</p> <p>In the present case, the attorneys in our department provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the</p>
--	--	--	---	--	--	--

							<p>Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys concerning (specify subject matter) are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>The attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc. section 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, section 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court {1991} 53 Cal.3d 1325.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255.</p> <p>In the instant case, records such as analyses, draft language, and memoranda concerning the strengths and weaknesses of a particular proposal would be covered by the deliberative process privilege and, therefore, absent special circumstances would be exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6255.</p> <p>The Department of Justice is subject to the Information Practices Act of 1977. (People v. McCray {2006} 144 Cal.App.4th 258.) Pursuant to Civil Code section 1798.14 each agency shall maintain in its records only personal information which is relevant and necessary to accomplish a purpose of the agency required or authorized by the California Constitution or statute or mandated by the federal government.</p> <p>In general, agencies cannot disclose any personal information in a manner that would link the information disclosed to the individual to whom it pertains unless the information is disclosed, as follows:</p> <p>(a) To the individual to whom the information pertains.</p> <p>(b) With the prior written voluntary consent of the individual to whom the record pertains, but only if that consent has been obtained not more than 30 days before the disclosure, or in the time limit agreed to by the individual in the written consent.</p> <p>(c) To the duly appointed guardian or conservator of the individual or a person representing the individual if it can be proven with reasonable certainty through the possession of agency forms, documents or correspondence that this person is the authorized representative of the individual to whom the information pertains. (Civ. Code, § 1798.24, subds. (a) through (c).)</p> <p>For all these reasons, we will be unable to provide you with any records under request number 5 as it is currently cast.</p>
PRA-2018-00591	Ferreira	William	3/27/2018	<p>Accusations and Petition for Interim Suspension Orders filed on behalf of Bureau of Automotive Repair against ARD, Smog Station, Smog Check Technicians (EO/EI licenses) and Brake and Lamp licenses from March 1 to March 27, 2018.</p> <p>I just need license numbers. If this requires a report and or a fee to compile, just access to these records to inspect is sufficient and I will send someone to pull the license numbers.</p> <p>Follow up question dated April 20, 2018: Can I get a list of Accusations from March 27-April 20th?</p>	Completed	Molly E. Selway	<p>By telephone, you narrowed your search to just the ARD numbers listed on the pleadings.</p> <p>You stated that you did not need the actual Accusations or Petitions for Interim Suspension Order. In response, we are providing a list of the Respondents and ARD numbers for the Accusations and Petitions for Interim Suspension Order served between March 1, 2018, and March 27, 2018.</p> <p>At the outset, we note that your request is directed to the Department of Justice but may refer to or include documents in the possession of other agencies, such as the Bureau of Automotive Repair. If you wish to review records that are in the possession of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>May 1, 2018 response: In response, we are providing a list of the Accusations served between March 27, 2018, and April 20, 2018.</p> <p>At the outset, we note that your request is directed to the Department of Justice but may refer to or include documents in the possession of other agencies, such as the Bureau of Automotive Repair. If you wish to review records that are in the possession of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p>



PRA-2018-00592	Clift	Gerald	3/27/2018	I would like a list of every law enforcement agency in California that provided you with data indicating that a homicide was committed with a rifle or a shotgun in the last three years		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents. Note, the 2017 list will not be available until the California Attorney General releases the Criminal Justice Statistics Center Publications in July of this year.
PRA-2018-00664	Rogers	Jeremiah	3/27/2018	Statewide statistics on workplace violence and crimes against businesses as well as crimes committed at private schools. I am trying to obtain this information on behalf of a security company, the owners of which are adamant that we have collected all available information on these topics so that we can provide accurate and information to potential clients.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The Department of Justice (DOJ) discloses statistical data to bona fide research bodies and public agencies pursuant to Penal Code sections 11105 and 13202. If you are a research body, then your request would fall under the Department's research request process. In order to request the data through this process, complete the attached packet regarding policies and procedures that relate to accessing criminal offender record information. The document, Conditions for Release of Criminal Offender Record Information, must be reviewed and signed by the project lead/requester. When completed, email all required documentation to Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov. Once the packet is received, it will be reviewed and you will be notified if it is approved. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PRA-2018-00485	Smith	Leslie	3/28/2018	Please send me a copy of the arrest warrant for Leslie Smith, or Leslie Gordon Smith, issued by the Tehama County Superior Court. The date is approx. Sep 2012. Also include all other information available regarding the arrest warrant.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	After consulting with various programs within the California Department of Justice (DOJ), the California Justice Information Services Division has determined that it has no responsive records for this request. You may want to contact, in addition to the Tehama County Superior Court, the arresting law enforcement agency for this information. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PRA-2018-00593	Inuman	Carmen Lesley	3/28/2018	Official Publication of the Divorce Law in California, USA. Please expedite requirements. Documents is requested by Atty to file Divorce Recognition outside United States. Thank you		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking copies of codified law that does not generally fall under our office's purview. To the extent that you are seeking legal advice, the DOJ is not in a position to perform legal research or to provide legal advice to private individuals. You may wish to consult with a private attorney to evaluate your options regarding your records request.
PRA-2018-00594	Mullen	Grace	3/28/2018	Searching for judgments, liens, garnishments, court orders or civil matter against me.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking civil case records, you may wish to direct your request to the court in which the cases would have been filed. For liens and garnishment information, you should contact the agency which imposed the lien or garnishment.
PRA-2018-00595	Gilbreath	Margaret	3/28/2018	I am requesting records for myself, Margaret Alice Marie Gi breath. I am a US citizen and was born in San Jose, California. I am requesting a copy of my court records, and if there is one, criminal record. In February 2014, I was cited as a minor with a blood alcohol content of 0.02%. This is a civil offense, but due to repercussions I faced, I believe this may have been recorded on a criminal record by mistake. I was arrested due to a (wrongful) warrant regarding this case in July 2015. A judge later that summer dismissed the charge but I am wondering if the arrest is on any record. It also appears as though I may have been charged with a violation of California VC 23140, instead of California VC 23136. So,		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. Since you are seeking records filed with a court, you may wish to direct your request to the court's office. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .

				I am looking for the entire extent of this case, as well all court and traffic records.			
PRA-2018-00596	Byrd	Colin	3/28/2018	This message serves as my public records request that you provide California's lawsuit against the Trump administration over the addition of a citizenship question to the U.S. Census.	Completed	Erin Nickless	The complaint is available on our website at <a href="https://www.oag.ca.gov/system/files/attachments/press_releases/Complaint_10.pdf">https://www.oag.ca.gov/system/files/attachments/press_releases/Complaint_10.pdf</a> . This completes our response to your request.
PRA-2018-00597	Lapointe	John	3/28/2018	Looking for the date of my divorce from Lancaster Court, CA, which occurred in the fall of 1994. X wife name is Vicky Lee Lapointe (now Hicks) We lived at [REDACTED]	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA-2018-00598	Dahl	Judy	3/28/2018	Please provide me with all up to date fillable required Real Estate transactions forms, Purchase Agreement, disclosures, pamphlets, Seller Agreement...etc For a Single Family home Sale in Ca. The bureau of real estate refuses to provide these! How can they be required and then not available to the public. The monopoly of Real Estate agents access to the needed forms is a disservice to the public. My Mom should not be forced to pay a huge Commission just to get the forms she has a buyer and we need these forms NOW. Please help, we tried online and at Office Depot etc and the forms were not up to date or useable.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Your request does not identify forms that our office would maintain. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.
PRA-2018-00600	Figg	Jacquie	3/28/2018	Any record that will assist me in discovering exactly who has the authority within the State of California to sue (criminally and civilly) in the name of "The People of the State of California". This may include copies of any delegations of authority issued by the attorney general. Comments: If the results are too broad then I would like such records as they apply to the Counties of Riverside and San Bernardino. This may include copies of any delegations of authority issued by the Attorney General or the District Attorney, as may be applicable.	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking legal advice, the DOJ is not in a position to perform legal research or to provide legal advice to private individuals. You may wish to consult with a private attorney to evaluate your options regarding your records request. For more information on the authority of our Department to act on the behalf of the People of the State of California, please refer to the California State Constitution, which is maintained online by the legislature at <a +california+constitution+-+cons"="" href="http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codesTOCSelected.xhtml?tocCode=CONS&amp;tocTitle=">http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codesTOCSelected.xhtml?tocCode=CONS&amp;tocTitle="+California+Constitution+-+CONS</a> , or visit our website at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/history">https://oag.ca.gov/history</a> to learn more about the history of our office.
PRA-2018-00601	Pinkham	Raymond	3/28/2018	December 10, 2002 Letter from Stephanie L. Kuhlen of the law firm of Allen Matkins Leck Gamble & Mallory LLP to the Attorney General, Charitable Trusts Section (300 South Spring Street, Los Angeles, CA 90013) regarding "Notice Required Pursuant to Section 5913 of the California Corporations Code to Transfer the Assets of International Conference of Building Officials ("ICBO"), ICBO Evaluation Services, Inc. ("ICBO-ES"), International Evaluation Service, Inc. ("IES"), and International Fire Code Institute, Inc. ("IFCI") The correspondence is a 28-page letter with 45 attachments consisting of various Articles of Incorporation, Donation Agreements, Restricted Donation Agreements, Articles of Amendment, Certificates of Formation of certain LLCs, Grant Deeds, and Certificates of Dissolution of the above-referenced corporate entities.	Completed	Joseph Zimring	Our office does not have the document you requested. Copies of articles of incorporation and other records related to organizations named in your request may be available on our website by using the Registry Verification Search feature at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/charities">https://oag.ca.gov/charities</a> .
PRA-2018-00602	Inuman	Carmen Lesley	3/28/2018	Seeking certified copy of divorce paperwork or laws.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Called the individual back and explained that we do not retain divorce paperwork or copies of laws related to divorces.

PRA-2018-00641	Spera	Adam	3/28/2018	"[C]an the DOJ identify any persons arrested, their physical description, time and date of arrest, etc. pertaining to any investigation regarding the contractor known as STEMAR RESTORATIONS, INC. (Contractors State License Board License No. 911989), located at 8161 Commercial Street, La Mesa, California 91942?"		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that your request seeks investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 ["While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)."].)</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-00661	Risley	Dawnmarie	3/28/2018	CDCR has played naive that they have any records on me at all. They refuse to cooperate. Please help.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>As stated in our initial response, we are unable to produce documents that we do not have. When the Legislature enacted the Public Records Act in 1968, it provided several judicial remedies for persons who believed that they had been wrongfully denied records to which they were entitled. These remedies include the right to seek injunctive or declaratory relief or a writ of mandate in the Superior Court. (See Government Code sections 6258-6259.) Absent special circumstances, the Legislature did not envision an enforcement role for the Attorney General in connection with noncompliance under the Act. However, you may wish to consult with a private attorney to evaluate your options under the judicial remedies discussed above.</p>
PRA-2018-00669	Lockie	Robert	3/28/2018	I am seeking any and all DOJ information pertaining myself for the purpose of self representation in a supreme court appeal. Any and all Employment, Criminal, Address, marital status and credit information histories applicable to your office.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>

PRA-2018-00735	Calderon	Andre	3/28/2018	Pursuant to the California Public Record Act, Code 6250 et seq, I would like to request for inspection and a copy of the following categories of documents which includes, without limitation to emails, letters, reports, memoranda, minutes, notes or other entries. Referring to Case No. 741955 establishes by Andre Calderon to the HOA association at Queen View Commons and property management company Paragon Equities. Referring to, or related to communications with your agency, Mr. Marvin Scott, or between your agency (including your employees and representatives) and any other person or entities in request for documents and records from Paragon Equities and Queens View Commons.		Completed	Melissa Weikel	<p>Pursuant to your request, attached please find the following documents:  Attachment one: Your first correspondence to our Office, dated March 16, 2017  Attachment two: Our first correspondence to you, dated April 27, 2017  Attachment three: Your second correspondence to our Office, dated May 24, 2017  Attachment four: Our second correspondence to you, dated August 17, 2017  Attachment five: Our second correspondence to you, resent via e-mail on August 25, 2017  Attachment six: The HOA's response to your complaint, undated, received in our Office on October 26, 2017  Attachment seven: Your third correspondence to our Office, dated October 31, 2017  Attachment eight: Our third correspondence to you, sent via e-mail on November 1, 2017</p> <p>These attachments comprise all of the correspondence between you and our Office. In regards to your request for "reports, memoranda, minutes, notes or other entries" and "communications with your agency, Mr. Marvin Scott, or between your agency (including your employees and representatives) and any other person or entities in request for documents and records from Paragon Equities and Queens View Commons" for the reasons set forth below, we must decline your request.</p> <p>The records that you seek are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).])</p>
PRA-2018-00605	Carter	James	3/29/2018	Any and all cases on my record.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. If these cases were handled by the district attorney's office, or by a private law firm, you would need to contact their office directly.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-00606	Zabala (NBC)	Liberty	3/29/2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o A database, list or any equivalent document of active 5150, 5151 and 5152 holds reported to the California Department of Justice each fiscal year since 2012 from San Diego County facilities. Those facilities should include, but not be limited to the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Alvarado Parkway Institute, 7050 Parkway Dr, La Mesa, CA 91942</li> <li>■ Aurora Behavioral Health, 11878 Avenue of Industry, San Diego, CA 92128</li> <li>■ Bayview Behavioral Health Services, 330 Moss St, Chula Vista, CA 91911</li> <li>■ San Diego County Emergency Screening Unit, 4309 Third Ave, San Diego, CA 92103</li> <li>■ Las Colinas Detention Facility, 451 Riverview Parkway, Santee, CA 92071</li> <li>■ Naval Medical Center San Diego, 34800 Bob Wilson Dr, San Diego, CA 92134</li> <li>■ Palomar Health, 15615 Pomerado Rd, Poway, CA 92064</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		Assigned	Robert Wilson	On April 10, 2018, a fees letter was sent.

			<p>■ Paradise Valley Hospital, 2400 E 4th St, National City, CA 91950</p> <p>■ Pomerado Hospital: Gero-Psychiatric Unit, 15615 Pomerado Rd, Poway, CA 92064</p> <p>■ Rady Child and Adolescent Psychiatry Services with Rady Children's Hospital San Diego, 3020 Children's Way, San Diego, CA 92123</p> <p>■ San Diego Central Jail, 1173 Front St, San Diego, CA 92101</p> <p>■ San Diego County Psychiatric Hospital, 3853 Rosecrans St, San Diego, CA 92110</p> <p>■ Scripps Mercy, 4077 Fifth Ave, San Diego, CA 92103</p> <p>■ Sharp Grossmont Hospital, 5555 Grossmont Center Dr, La Mesa, CA 91942</p> <p>■ Sharp Mesa Vista Hospital, 7850 Vista Hill Ave, San Diego, CA 92123</p> <p>■ Tri City Medical Center, 4002 Vista Way, Oceanside, CA 92056</p> <p>■ UCSD Medical Center, 200 W Arbor Dr, San Diego, CA 92103</p> <p>■ Veteran Affairs Medical Center San Diego, 3350 La Jolla Village Dr, San Diego, CA 92161</p> <p>April 25, 2018 follow up for Liberty Zabala by Amy Wolf: I am writing on behalf of NBC San Diego ("KNSD") to ask the California Department of Justice ("the Department") to reconsider its position on a request for records pursuant to the California Public Records Act submitted by KNSD reporter Liberty Zabala.</p> <p>On March 28, 2018, Ms. Zabala submitted a request to the Department for a "database, list or any equivalent document of active 5150, 5151 and 5152 holds replied to the California Department of Justice each fiscal year since 2012 from San Diego County facilities." On April 10, 2018, the Department stated that it does not maintain the requested information in the ordinary course of business and special programming would thus be required to generate it.</p> <p>Accordingly, the Department asked Ms. Zabala to pay \$454.24, the cost for an Information Technology Specialist to compile the information sought. Copies of Ms. Zabala's request and the Department's response are attached as Exhibits A and B, respectively.</p> <p>We respectfully disagree with the Department's decision to require a fee. The Department explicitly stated that the fee was to generate the requested information in the first instance. While an agency may require a requester to pay for the cost to compile already existing electronic data or to extract information from an electronic record, an agency may not charge a fee for expenses associated with the initial gathering of the information or conversion of that information into an electronic format. See Ops. Cal. Atty. Gen. 04-1105 (2005). Requiring a fee to generate the records requested by Ms. Zabala therefore directly contradicts the Attorney General's clear prohibition against charging fees for the initial gathering of records.</p> <p>For the reasons stated above, we respectfully request that the California Department of Justice grant our request for the requested materials without a fee.</p>			
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

PRA-2018-00607	Bradford	Taylor	3/29/2018	My name is Taylor Bradford and I am a student at Gordon College (Massachusetts). I am working on a project that is working on researching those who voted in the Santa Barbara and surrounding city/towns for the Primaries and General elections for 2000, 2008, 2016. This project requires me to collect the list of registered voters with party for each year and the "who voted list" for each year (with party, if possible). If possible, I would like to attain the following information: For Primaries and General Elections of 2000, 2008, 2016 (1) The list of registered voters with party for each year (2) The "who voted list" for each year (with party, if possible).		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since voter registration is done through the California Secretary of State's Office, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to contact their office can be found online at <a href="http://www.sos.ca.gov/administration/contact-information/">http://www.sos.ca.gov/administration/contact-information/</a> .
PRA-2018-00640	Ruiz	Joseph	3/29/2018	Pursuant to the Public Records Act, please send me copies of the file of Catherine Brown of the AG's office concerning my allegations that the CalPERS Board of Administration violated Constitutional, Bagley-Keene Open Meeting & other state laws at their August & September 2017 meetings about me. Please send all letters she sent to CalPERS CEO Marcie Frost, independent counsel Chirag Shah & CalPERS attorney Margo Seabourn and other CalPERS members. Supervising Attorney General Stepan Haytayan sent me an email on December 29, 2017, that he would respond to my emails after a review. Please send me copies of the inquiries made by those staff members to CalPERS. Include copies of the letters those staff members made to City of Industry pursuant to Government Code Section 20085 & Penal Code Section 115 of that certain state document identified as the CalPERS Employment Relationship Questionnaire of July 2011 about me. This is not a request for legal advice but identifiable alleged violations committed against me by members of two (2) public agencies pursuant to the Attorney General's "Liberty and Justice under Law" motto.		Completed	Catherine Brown	To the extent you have requested records containing your personal information, we have treated your request as one made under the Information Practices Act (Civ. Code, § 1798 et seq.). We decline to provide responsive records in our possession that are exempt from disclosure under the following exemption in the Public Records Act. The attorney work product exception, which protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories, is incorporated into the Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030; Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819.) Records prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office that are subject to the work product exception are exempt from disclosure under the Act. We have identified responsive records that are not subject to the foregoing exemption. These records are attached in pdf format to the e-mail message transmitting this letter. This concludes our response to your request.
PRA-2018-00662	Olfati (March 2018)	Parvin	3/29/2018	1. Any and all communications, payments and/or emoluments created, transmitted, and/or received on any DOJ computer or other medium on or after January 1, 2018 that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any tampering, touching, alteration, vandalism, unauthorized entry to any automobile, house, yard, sidewalk strip, curb, parking location, residence and/or property believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to any placement of any nerve agent, substance, toxic, poison, or any substance/toxic/poison that works as or the effect of a nerve agent, or that is intended, known, or has the effect of injuring any portion of the human body (including but not limited to the spine or the neck, rib cage, joints, and/or eye) and/or increasing blood pressure, in any automobile, house, residence and/or property believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to any Honda or Ford automobile parked in the curb or driveway at [REDACTED] and/or any residence believed to be used at any time of the day or night by Parvin Olfati. 2. Any and all communications, payments, and/or emoluments that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any communications regarding any placing or		Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.

				hiding of any heavy meals, nerve agent, poison, substance, or toxic, and/or any substance/toxic/poison/heavy metals that works as or has the effect of a nerve agent or causing injury to the neck, spine or other part of the human body, and/or increasing blood pressure in any automobile, house, residence, yard, curb, sidewalk strip, parking location and/or property believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to any Honda or Ford automobile parked in the driveway of or at the curb of [REDACTED] and/or any residence believed to be used at anytime of the day or night by Parvin Olfati.				
PRA-2018-00675	Natale	Katrina	3/29/2018	<p>Since our students on this project concluded their work, I am just now making my way through all the documents that we have received from various agencies involved in providing services to victims of crime.</p> <p>In reviewing the information that you provided, a copy of the VSU's grant application and progress report for the CalOES grant provides highly useful information responsive to several of the items in our original request. Thank you for providing this information through to us.</p> <p>Concerning the progress report referenced above, though it is the final report for the grant period (10/1/16-9/30/17), the statistics on pages 4-5 appear to reflect just the 4th quarter. I say this because the numbers on pages 4-5 seem to reflect the 4th quarter total for new victims (671) and not the total number of new victims (3009) for the grant period, both listed on page 3. In light of this and our original request seeking data on multiple years, I would like to request the CalOES grant applications and quarters 1-4 progress reports for the CalOES grant for each of the following fiscal years:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 2012/13 (grant application and quarters 1-4 progress reports),</li> <li>• 2013/14 (grant application and quarters 1-4 progress reports),</li> <li>• 2014/15 (grant application and quarters 1-4 progress reports),</li> <li>• 2015/16 (grant application and quarters 1-4 progress reports), and</li> <li>• 2016/17 (missing only progress reports for quarters 1-3).</li> </ul> <p>Based on the final page of the FY 2016/17 CalOES grant application, it appears that the VSU received CalOES funding in each of these fiscal years. Though we originally requested documents for the year 2000 to present, by this request, we are limiting our request to only the previous 5 complete fiscal year periods.</p> <p>If I am mistaken in my reading of these documents or there are other matters that we should discuss, I would be happy to speak with you by phone. I can be reached at (510) 642-4139 at your convenience, or we could arrange a specific time for a call. As well, if our request for this additional information requires any copying fees, I am happy to pay those, or you may send the documents through in soft copy, as you did before.</p> <p>April 17, 2018 follow up: Thank you for sending the attached records (item # 5 below: FY 2016/17 Progress Reports Q.s 1-3). Unfortunately, I did not receive the first email (and batch of records) that you indicate you sent</p>	U.C. Berkeley School of Law	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>E-mail #1: Attached please find documents that are responsive to your request. We will be sending this information in two e-mails, as there are many attachments.</p> <p>E-mail #2: Attached please find the rest of the documents that are responsive to your request. This is the second of two e-mails.</p> <p>On April 17, 2018, Public records resent the attachments from E-mail #1 as Ms. Natale contacted the Public Records Unit to say she did not receive them.</p> <p>April 17, 2018 response to follow up: Here is what the Victim Services Unit told me: We provided only two progress reports for FY 12-13. Q1 is for the first six months (basically, Q1 and Q2) and the Final Report was for the last six months (basically Q3 and Q4). During FY 12-13, they only required two progress reports and during other fiscal years, they changed to four progress reports per year. The current fiscal year went back to requiring two progress reports.</p> <p>On April 30 and May 1, our office re-sent the files to Ms. Natale.</p>



				<p>(noting that in this email you say that "[t]his is the second of two emails"). If that email contained all the other documents that I requested in this round, I am guessing that the file size might have been too large to send. Could you please resend the records indicated in items #1-4 below?</p> <p>April 17, 2018 follow up: Thank you. I have received these documents. Are these all the FY 2012/13 documents? I was hoping to have progress reports for all quarters (1-4), but only Q.1 appears in the attachments. On April 29, 2018, she followed up again to have us re-send the larger files.</p>			
PRA-2018-00695	McRae	Courtney	3/29/2018	Any complaints or communications received by the California Department of Justice regarding or related to Carrington Colleges, DeVry University, or Adtalem Global Education, Inc. Files in electronic format, if available, are preferred over hard copy.	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 ["While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)."].)
PRA-2018-00730	Gregory	Gina	3/29/2018	<p>March 29, 2018 request: I am Gina D. Gregory and I represent the following individuals and entities; Matthew G. Gregory, Matthew J. Gregory, Danella J. Gregory, Gina D. Gregory, Central Coast Equine Rescue and Retirement, Wounded Warriors Support Group, and Gregory Motorsports. Firstly, I hereby request notice of whether the Department of Justice ("DOJ" hereinafter) and the Registry of Charitable Trusts maintains any index-related records about the above-listed individuals and entities. Secondly, I hereby request exact copies of all records maintained by DOJ and The Registry of Charitable Trusts, relating to any index record pertaining to the above-listed individuals and entities. Thirdly, an accurate accounting of the date, nature, and purpose of each disclosure by the DOJ and the Registry of Charitable Trusts of any Index-related information pertaining to the aforementioned individuals, and entities. This request is intended to encompass all disclosures and disbursement of information to other individuals, third parties, agencies, governments, and entities. Response to April 3, 2018 clarification request: Thank you for your email. I prefer all communications be written and mailed to my mailing address. I can give you an "off-the-cuff" explanation in a quick email only while expressly reserving the right to add or amend the definition as my clients learn more facts and information about their CLAIMS.</p> <p>By the terms you listed, the parties listed in the PRA request ("CLAIMANTS" hereinafter) mean any records by any means about CLAIMANTS including but not limited to any information on the REGISTRY OF CHARITABLE TRUSTS' database; any information, records, or communications about or pertaining to CLAIMANTS both internal and external to the REGISTRY OF CHARITABLE TRUSTS; any information, records, or communications about or pertaining to CLAIMANTS both internal and external to the DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE ("DOJ"); any</p>	Completed	Julianne Mossler	<p>On April 3, 2018, Julianne Mossler contacted requestor requesting clarification of the terms "index-related records," "index records," and "Index-related information."</p> <p>April 12, 2018 response: Information that is a matter of public record regarding the Wounded Warriors Support Group and Central Coast Equine Rescue and Retirement, including financial information filed by them in their IRS Forms 990, and not subject to exemption, is available for your viewing at the public pages of the Registry of Charitable Trusts website. The link to the website is: <a href="http://rct.doj.ca.gov/Verification/Web/Search.aspx?facility=Y">http://rct.doj.ca.gov/Verification/Web/Search.aspx?facility=Y</a>. You can also search by using the "Organization Name" field, and typing in "Wounded Warriors Support Group," or "Central Coast Equine Rescue and Retirement," or by using the "State Charity Registration Number" field, and typing in "0213442" or "O 183312". Press releases and publicly available documents regarding People v. Wounded Warriors Support Group, et al. Alameda County Superior Court case number RG17856929 can be accessed at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/searchresults/?query=wounded+warriors+support+group">https://oag.ca.gov/searchresults/?query=wounded+warriors+support+group</a>.</p> <p>Unspecific and unfocused requests for government records under the Public Records Act are contrary to the purposes of the Public Records Act, and requestors should provide specific, focused requests in order to give agencies a reasonable opportunity to respond. General, unfocused requests compel agencies to deny them thereby leading to litigation. The request to the agency must itself be focused and specific. (Rogers v. Superior Court (1993) 19 Cal.App.4th 469, 481.)</p> <p>As you are aware, the Attorney General is the head of the Department of Justice. The Offices of the Attorney General are located in six different cities. In addition to these six offices, there are other law enforcement divisions. In order to respond to your request, each division of the Department of Justice, including each section, branch, unit or bureau would have to be canvassed, requiring each individual deputy attorney general or the head of each section, branch, unit or bureau, or staff thereof, to review each and every individual matter assigned to that deputy attorney general or the head of each section, branch, unit or bureau, or staff thereof, to determine if they have any documents responsive to your request. Further, such materials may not be maintained, indexed or categorized according to a single title or subject matter description, thus, requiring a more extensive search for such documents. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.)</p> <p>Nevertheless, in a good faith effort to respond to your request, we searched our</p>

information, records, or communications both internal and external to any of the Offices of the Attorney General of California about or pertaining to CLAIMANTS; any records, information, or communications both internal and external about or pertaining to CLAIMANTS in any communication by any governmental actors and/or any state actors to any third parties including but not limited to the media, various reporters, the press, the public at large, other governmental and/or state agencies, other Attorneys Generals outside of the state of California, any organizations, any entities, any businesses, and anyone at all; any records, information, or communications both internal and external about or pertaining to CLAIMANTS in any communication by any municipality, governmental actor, and/or any state actor to or by FRESNO COUNTY to any third parties including but not limited to the media, the DOJ, any Attorneys General, any Offices of the Attorney General of California, the REGISTRY OF CHARITABLE TRUSTS, various reporters, the press, the public at large, other governmental and/or state agencies, other Attorneys Generals outside of the state of California, any organizations, any entities, any businesses, and anyone at all. It also encompasses any communications about CLAIMANTS to any third parties by any governmental actor, state actor, or municipality to anyone at all including but not limited to communications, records and databases that exist between governmental actors/agencies, state actors/agencies, municipalities/municipality level agencies or any combination thereof. This explanation is intended to be interpreted broadly to protect the rights of CLAIMANTS. Once again, CLAIMANTS expressly reserve the right to add more to or amend this explanation as more information unveils a need to amend or expand this explanation.

records and are producing: (1) emails to and from Lake County News; (2) emails to and from LA Times; (3) emails to and from KBAK/KBFX; and (4) an email from USF with attachments consisting of your complaint/claim, and USF's Nonprofit Ethics Case Study.

To the extent your request seeks records that are subject to the below-listed exemptions, we must decline your request. Moreover, under the Public Records Act, government agencies are not obligated to provide a privilege log or list of every record withheld along with a statutory justification for withholding. (Haynie v Superior Court (2001) 26 Cal.4th 1061 , 1074 [ where the California Supreme Court noted that public agencies must articulate the specific PRA exemption pertaining to records withheld. "What section 6255 does not require, however, is for the agency to go further and descr be each of the documents falling within the statutory exemption."])

**Investigative Records**

The records you seek are confidential complaints to, and investigatory records of, the Attorney General's Office. California law, under Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigatory records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. ( Williams, supra, at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).])

**Official Information Privilege**

Some of the records are additionally exempt from disclosure under the official information privilege contained in sections 1040 and 1041 of the Evidence Code. Evidence Code sections 1040 and 1041 are incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption through Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k). Any information and documents acquired in confidence during the course of an Attorney General investigation, and are not normally made available to the general public, are privileged where there is necessity for preserving the confidentiality of the information and documents.

**Attorney-Client Privilege**

Some of the records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure under the attorneyclient privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorneyclient privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.)

In the present case, the attorneys in our department provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys concerning Matthew G. Gregory, Matthew J. Gregory, Danella J. Gregory, Gina D. Gregory, Central Coast Equine Rescue and Retirement, Wounded Warriors Support Group, and Gregory Motorsports are confidential communications under the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.

**Attorney Work-Product Exemption**

The attorney work-product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc. section 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833 .)

Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work-product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.

**Pending Litigation Exemption**

Agencies may withhold the disclosure of records pertaining to pending litigation to which the public agency is a party until the pending litigation has been finally adjudicated or otherwise settled. (Gov. Code. § 6254 subd. (b).)

							<p>The pending litigation exemption does not duplicate the attorney work product exemption but is broader and covers documents prepared by the agency in anticipation of, or for use in, litigation. (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (Axelrad) (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 831; Fairley v. Superior Court (1998) 66 Cal.App.4th 1414, 1422.)</p> <p>Deliberative Process Privilege</p> <p>The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255. In the instant case, records such as analyses, draft language, and memoranda concerning the strengths and weaknesses of a particular proposal or issues in the case would be covered by the deliberative process privilege and, therefore, absent special circumstances would be exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6255.</p> <p>Requests for Another Agency's Records</p> <p>We note that your information request is directed to the Department of Justice but may seek records from other departments or agencies. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments or agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p>	
PRA-2018-00852	Parsons (Merced Sun-Star)	Rob	3/29/2018	Copies of every communication and/or email to and from the Merced County District Attorney's Office in connection with the July 7, 2017 search and arrest of Ryan O. Atteberry (DOB: [REDACTED]). On April 12, Mr. Parsons followed up: Thank you. Please add the following names to my original requests: Leon Attebery, Ryan Attebery, Isaiah Cardoza and Ethan Brown Morse in connection with the arrests on July 7, 2017, at [REDACTED] including correspondence on subsequent investigations and conflicts of interest. Please let me know if you have any questions on my amended request. Thank you.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>On April 9, 2018, our office responded: We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.</p> <p>On May 8, 2018, our office responded: To the extent that your request seeks records of an investigative file, we must decline your request. Investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)</p>
PRA-2018-00609	Williams	Branden	3/30/2018	Court Cases/Rulings		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We must decline your request as it is too broad and unspecific. As you may be aware, the Attorney General is the head of the Department of Justice. There are Offices of the Attorney General in six different cities and additional offices for DOJ's law enforcement divisions. A search for records responsive to your request as it is currently cast would require each division of the DOJ (including each section, branch, unit or bureau) to be canvassed, requiring staff or each individual deputy attorney general to review every individual assigned matter produce documents. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code, § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.)</p> <p>If you can provide more specificity, such as a case name, party names, case number, approximate dates, or other identifying information, we may be able to conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control. However, as it is currently cast, we must decline your request.</p>
PRA-2018-00610	Sutton	Anne	3/30/2018	I am searching for any records that pertain to the following person: Jesse Duncan Date of Birth: [REDACTED] Last 4 of Social: [REDACTED] Previous California Address: [REDACTED]		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the</p>

							<p>custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-00612	Agudo	Wilma	3/30/2018	Any record about me	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-00615	Fricker	Mary	3/30/2018	Any complaints filed against Sonoma West Medical Center in Sebastopol, CA, since June 30, 2017. The hospital in our town, Sonoma West Medical Center, has agreed to do toxicology lab work for a Florida company. Our hospital charges much higher fees than a regular lab would charge, and we are wondering if any patients, medical people, insurers or others are complaining. If you are not the right agency for this request, can you refer me to the right agency?	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 ["While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)."].)</p>
PRA-2018-00616	Jones	Paula	3/30/2018	dk15616b, mental health. MY CASE WAS HANDEL WRONGFULLY	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking medical records. Therefore, we must decline your request for the foregoing reasons. Records Not in the Possession of the Department of Justice DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire State. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. We have no obligation or ability to disclose</p>

							<p>records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c).</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking medical records, you may wish to direct your request to the doctor or hospital from which treatment was received.</p> <p>While our office may at times receive medical records as evidence related to cases, these are usually unique and rare occurrences and would either be considered discovery, or fall under the exemption cited below for medical records. In discovery during civil litigation unrelated to the Public Records Act, Evidence Code section 1040 governs and precludes our office from disclosing medical records that are part of discovery proceedings.</p> <p>Medical Records</p> <p>Government Code §6254(c) provides that "Personnel, medical, or similar files, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy" are exempt from disclosure.</p>
PRA-2018-00619	Mann	Matthew	3/30/2018	Any and all records related to individual named Petter Asteris, including but not limited to criminal records, gun registrations, gun purchase history, firearm information, arrest history, witness statements, all records associated with Case Number LC VA141478-01, March 1, 2016 (including but not limited to investigation notes, investigatory statements, witness statements, charges, offenses, exculpatory evidence, other evidence, documents, victim information, victim restitution information, firearm evidence, videos, photographs, criminal attorney information (i.e. names and contact information)). Any other arrest information and information related to Petter Asteris and history of violence, arrest history and firearm/registered gun information.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>For the reasons set forth below, we must decline your request.</p> <p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If this case was handled by a district attorney's office, you would need to contact them or the local court for the court case records that you are seeking.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>Criminal records</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal records and arrest history, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p> <p>Firearms records</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking firearms history, we must decline your request. Penal Code Section 11106 requires DOJ to retain certain firearms information, including copies of fingerprints, copies of CCW permits, and "information reported to the Department of Justice pursuant to Section 26225." DOJ must disclose this information "upon proper application . . . to the officers referred to in Section 11105." (Pen. Code § 11106 (a).) California courts have consistently held that data subject to the disclosure limits in Penal Code Section 11105 may be disclosed only to the individuals authorized by that section to receive the information. For example, the California Supreme Court has noted that Penal Code Section 11105 "prohibits furnishing such information to persons other than those listed therein 'or as provided by law.'" (Hill v. Superior Court (1974) 10 Cal.3d 812, 818.) Therefore, information protected by Penal Code Section 11105 is not subject to public disclosure in response to a PRA request. (Younger v. Berkeley City Council (1975) 45 Cal. App. 3d 825, 832.) The "restrictions upon release of such information are so carefully set out in the</p>

							<p>Penal Code sections 11106, 11081 and 11105 . . . that it is inconceivable that the general terms of the Public Records Act were intended to render them void.” (Ibid.) State law specifies both the persons who are authorized to obtain firearms records, and the purposes that justify the DOJ’s retention and disclosure of those records and therefore impliedly prohibits the release of such information to other persons, or for other purposes. The maxim of statutory construction “expressio unius est exclusio alterius” applies: the express inclusion of some things in a statute necessarily means the exclusion of other things not expressly included. (Fischer v. Los Angeles Unified School Dist. (1999) 70 Cal.App.4th 87, 97.) Firearms records retained by the DOJ as described in Section 11106 are subject to disclosure only to the individuals listed in Section 11105.</p> <p>Furthermore, the Department of Justice is subject to the Information Practices Act of 1977. (People v. McCray (2006) 144 Cal.App.4th 258.) Pursuant to Civil Code section 1798.14 each agency shall maintain in its records only personal information which is relevant and necessary to accomplish a purpose of the agency required or authorized by the California Constitution or statute or mandated by the federal government. In general, agencies cannot disclose any personal information in a manner that would link the information disclosed to the individual to whom it pertains unless the information is disclosed, as follows:</p> <p>(a) To the individual to whom the information pertains.</p> <p>(b) With the prior written voluntary consent of the individual to whom the record pertains, but only if that consent has been obtained not more than 30 days before the disclosure, or in the time limit agreed to by the individual in the written consent.</p> <p>(c) To the duly appointed guardian or conservator of the individual or a person representing the individual if it can be proven with reasonable certainty through the possession of agency forms, documents or correspondence that this person is the authorized representative of the individual to whom the information pertains. (Civ. Code,§ 1798.24, subds. (a) through (c).</p> <p>Investigative records</p> <p>To the extent that your request seeks investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [“While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).”].)</p>	
PRA-2018-00620	Duarte Reyes	Edgar Humberto	3/30/2018	I would like to ask for a copy of my original birth certificate. The birth certificate I own is a copy due to the reason it was stolen when I was a little kid. However I am looking to further my career		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA-2018-00621	Baidya	Narayan	3/30/2018	Requesting documents and/or communication (email, letter, and facsimile) (2007) by the Department of Consumer Affairs (DCA), California to notify the US Department of Education (USDE) the step DCA took to approve and to regulate Private Postsecondary institutions in CA. This was required by the USDE in order to give Financial aid to the California students and institutions. We are working on a research project to understand the effects of the Sunset of Bureau of Private Postsecondary and Vocational Education (BPPVE), a regulatory agency, and subsequently taking over the		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.

				school approval process by the Department of Consumer Affairs (DCA), California (June 2007-December 2009)			
PRA-2018-00622	Baidya	Narayan	3/30/2018	After the sunset of Bureau of Private Postsecondary and Vocational Education (BPPVE) (June 30, 2007), the Department of Consumer Affairs (DCA), took over the role of BPPVE to approve and to regulate Private Postsecondary institutions in CA. This was required by the USDE in order to give Financial aid to the California students and institutions. We are requesting a list of institutions that DCA approved and regulated during the period between July 2007 and December 2009. We are working on a research project to understand the effects of the Sunset of Bureau of Private Postsecondary and Vocational Education (BPPVE), a regulatory agency, and subsequently taking over the school approval process by the Department of Consumer Affairs (DCA), California (June 2007-December 2009)	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records from the Department of Consumer Affairs, you should direct your request to them. Information on how to contact the Department of Consumer Affairs can be found on their website at <a href="http://www.dca.ca.gov/about_us/contactus.shtml">http://www.dca.ca.gov/about_us/contactus.shtml</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-00627	Espino	Jenny	3/30/2018	<p>Original 3-30-18 request: Any and all databases that provide the names of any and all entities that held a charity raffle and the amount in gross receipts each raffle produced for calendar year 2015 and calendar year 2017. Follow up on 3-30-18 in response to clarification request: Ms. Ibanez, I can work with the data you provided. But is it possible for your office to give me the names of the organizations whose raffles brought in \$100,000 or more in gross receipts? I see there are only a few, and if you would like, I can modify my request.</p> <p>Response on 03-30-18: No problem at all. We can touch base on Monday about getting those names. So you know, I am working on an article about a nonprofit in our area that conducts a raffle each year and gives a cash prize of \$250,000 or RV to the winner. I would like to ask a few questions of you about the 90-10 rule and the use of proceeds for this article.</p> <p>Perhaps you have a few minutes Monday for an interview? I've run through the frequently asked questions and would really appreciate just a little bit of your time. Thank you, and please have a good rest of Good Friday.</p> <p>April 2, 2018 follow up: Hi, Ms. Ibanez, I am checking whether we'll be able to get the name of the organizations whose raffles reported in 2015 \$100,000 or more in gross receipts. There were nine entities, according to the 2015 list:</p> <p>RF1340 \$6,096,742  RF1201 \$1,176,450  173 \$555,500  RF0007382 \$425,000  2620 \$235,455  1594 \$21,2671.28  RF147 \$146,250  2571 \$104,400  RF581 \$103,416</p> <p>Your help is appreciated. Thank you.</p>	Completed	Tania M. Ibanez, SAAG	<p>On March 30, 2018, Tania Ibanez sought clarification as follows: Jenny can you please clarify your public records request for raffle information? We received your email dated March 30 where you requested data for 2015 and 2017 for the number of raffles held in California and the proceeds each raises. If you want us to give you the names of all charities that engaged in raffles and the amounts the raffles raised by each charity that will take days and we will need to be reimbursed for staffing time. But if all you want is a rough tally of all raffles with total amounts raised we gave the attached report to Cal Nonprofits.</p> <p>3-30-18 response to clarification: I will check with staff. Today is bad day for rushes given it is Good Friday. I probably won't have an answer for you until Monday.</p> <p>April 2, 2018 response: I forwarded your request to the registry staff member in charge of Raffles. That staff member is out sick today but she is expected to return tomorrow.</p> <p>April 3, 2018 response: Here is the information you requested in your amended public records request:</p> <p>Special Olympics Southern California, Inc. RF1340 \$6,096,742  Palos Verdes Art Center, Beverly G. Alpay Center for Arts Education RF1201 \$1,176,450  Innovations Housing, Inc. 173 \$555,500  Community Foundation of San Joaquin, Inc. RF0007382 \$425,000  California Highway Patrol 11-99 Foundation 2620 \$235,455  Abilityfirst 1594 \$21,2671.28  Japanese American National Museum RF147 \$146,250  Boys Club of Coachella Valley Foundation 2571 \$104,400  Assistance League of Long Beach RF581 \$103,416</p> <p>Other press related inquiries must go through our press office.  AGPressOffice@doj.ca.gov</p>
PRA-2018-00643	White	Weston	3/30/2018	1. The total number of restraining order firearm exemptions granted throughout the State of California for 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, and 2017 that were authorized under the statutory authority of Cal. CCP § 527.9(f), categorized by law enforcement and non-law	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	Unfortunately, the Department of Justice (DOJ) is unable to comply with your request. The California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to California Penal Code section 11076, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records. The only exception to this rule is an individual requesting his or her own records. California Penal Code sections 11120



				enforcement exemptions, and numerated by each respective year.				<p>through 11127 afford an individual the opportunity to obtain a copy of his or her own California state summary criminal history information to review for accuracy and completeness.</p> <p>If you have further questions or concerns regarding a record review, please direct your correspondence to the Bureau of Criminal Information &amp; Analysis, Record Review Unit at P.O. Box 903417, Sacramento, CA 94203-4170. For additional information, the following is the Record Review website: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>. However, the DOJ discloses statistical data to bona fide research bodies and public agencies pursuant to Penal Code sections 11105 and 13202. If you are a research body, then your request would fall under the DOJ's research request process. In order to request the data through this process, complete the attached packet regarding policies and procedures that relate to accessing criminal offender record information. The document, Conditions for Release of Criminal Offender Record Information, must be reviewed and signed by the project lead/requester. When completed, email all required documentation to <a href="mailto:ResearchRequest@doj.ca.gov">ResearchRequest@doj.ca.gov</a>. Once the packet is received, it will be reviewed and you will be notified if it is approved.</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>
PRA-2018-00701	Barnes	Rachel	3/30/2018	Statistics on teen drinking and driving with injury or death in the city of San Luis Obispo.	Cuestonian	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>The Department of Justice (DOJ) discloses statistical data to bona fide research bodies and public agencies pursuant to Penal Code sections 11105 and 13202. If you are a research body, then your request would fall under the Department's research request process. In order to request the data through this process, complete the attached packet regarding policies and procedures that relate to accessing criminal offender record information. The document, Conditions for Release of Criminal Offender Record Information, must be reviewed and signed by the project lead/requester. When completed, email all required documentation to <a href="mailto:Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov">Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov</a>. Once the packet is received, it will be reviewed and you will be notified if it is approved.</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>

## PRA Requests

Received From: 4/1/2018

Received To: 4/30/2018

Total: 210

Request ID	Requester Last Name	Requester First Name	Received Date	Request Description	Requestor Business Name	Request Status	Response	Response Summary
PRA - 2018 - 00623	Randolph	Allen	4/2/2018	Divorce Record from 1989 in Los Angeles County		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA - 2018 - 00624	Gruhl	Peter	4/2/2018	Pursuant to my rights under the California Public Records Act (Government Code Section 6250 et seq.), I ask to obtain a copy of the following, which I understand to be held by your agency: From the City of Novato, the Marin County Board of Supervisors, and the Marin County Aviation Commission, any writing containing information relating to the recent nomination to the Marin County Aviation Commission (including information regarding the new commissioner, Thomas Engdahl, and / or the previous commissioner, Rick Beach) between September 1, 2017, and now. This should include, but not be limited to, any and all communications (including emails, notes, phone calls, text messages, appointments) between the Novato City Council or city staff, the Marin County Board of Supervisors members and staff, the Marin County Department of Public Works (DPW) including but not limited to Raul Rojas (County director DPW), Eric Steger (County assistant director DPW), Dan Jensen (airport manager), and Humphrey Ogg, Lou Franecke, and any other members of the Marin County Aviation Commission.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the City of Novato, the Marin County Board of Supervisors, and the Marin County Aviation Commission, and the Marin County Department of Public Works, you may wish to direct your request to their respective offices. If you can provide more information as to why you believe the California Department of Justice might have these records, we can conduct a more directed search of the records in our custody.
PRA - 2018 - 00625	Olivas	Elisha	4/2/2018	Any and all information regarding my father, Louis Gonzaga Olivas DOB: [REDACTED] DOD: [REDACTED] I purchased the coroners report and autopsy years ago but have since lost it. I have the police report from his death but would like to have anything that is legally available.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, §

								6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.  In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA - 2018 - 00626	Wong	Jeremy	4/2/2018	Seeking records regarding pepper spray self-defense incident on 3/24/2018 that occurred in front of 6297 Quartz Place, Newark CA 94560. Case name and number is unknown.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PRA - 2018 - 00628	Pellonari	Zach	4/2/2018	Pursuant to rights under the California Public Records Act (Government Code Section 6250 et seq.), I ask to (obtain a copy of) the following, which I understand to be held by your agency: Request all publicly accessible correspondence (e-mails, memos, etc) between San Diego State University (SDSU) President Sally Roush, SDSU Provost Chukaka Enwemeka, SDSU Chief of Staff Gina Jacobs, and SDSU Senate Chair Marcie Bober-Michel from November 1, 2017 to March 29, 2018, regarding the topics of the SDSU Moniker, SDSU Mascot, and the SDSU Aztec Identity Task Force.  I respectfully ask for a determination on this request within 10 days of your receipt of it, and an even prompter reply if you can make that determination without having to review the records in question. As administrators of a public school, with salaries paid by tax payer dollars, Alumni (such as myself) have a right to see how these administrators have been handling the Aztec Moniker/Mascot debate behind closed doors. There blatant lack of transparency leaves no other recourse than to ask the state		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. Your request mentions that these records are between staff members of San Diego State University. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that

				<p>of California to release their correspondence.</p> <p>If I can provide any clarification that will help expedite your attention to my request, please contact me. I ask that you notify me of any duplication costs exceeding \$50 before you duplicate the records so that I may decide which records I want copied.</p>			<p>are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to one or more schools within the California State University (CSU) System, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to contact the offices of CSU can be found at <a href="https://www2.calstate.edu/Pages/contact.aspx">https://www2.calstate.edu/Pages/contact.aspx</a>.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00630	Davis	James	4/2/2018	<p>From the Charitable Trust Division. All records for the last four years relating to the Division considering and/or approving any sale of Church Property and non-profit property, to settle any lawsuits or legal claims against the Church non-profit property including, child molest claims, employee claims, all wage claim lawsuits and or labor code claims.</p> <p>Relates to Quiet Title Action in the Orange County Superior Court, case no. 30-2017-00950507, Davis v. First Southern Baptist Church. Why the Charitable Trust division is delaying my recovery of my confidential settlement wages from Church Real Property.</p>	Completed	Caroline Hughes	<p>On April 12, 2018, an extension letter was sent by Caroline Hughes.</p> <p>On April 26, 2018, Caroline responded: Please find enclosed documents responsive to your request. In providing the records requested, we have redacted certain private identifying information. such as non-commercial addresses. email addresses. and telephone numbers, a long with other sensitive personal and financial information such as bank account numbers based on considerations of privacy. (Cal. Const., art. I, §1, as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code. § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255, Cal. Code Regs., tit. 11 § 999. 1.) Documents provided to our Office and marked as "confidential" have also been redacted. Additionally, We decline to provide records that are classified as confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. In the case of Dick Williams v. Superior Court (J 993) 5 Cal.4th 337, the California Supreme Court held that investigative records in the possession of Jaw enforcement agencies are exempt from disclosure. In that decision, the Supreme Court stated:</p> <p>"Subdivision (f) docs not require the disclosure of ' investigatory or security files compiled by ... [a] state or local police agency' ... ." (Id. at p. 354.)</p> <p>The Court also ruled that investigation documents did not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. "We conclude that the exemption for law enforcement investigatory files does not end when the investigation ends. While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and hi story of [Government Code section 6254.] subdivision (f) ." (id. at p. 355.)</p> <p>To the extent that your request is for any other documents or information related to these records, Code of Civil Procedure section 2018.030 exempts from disclosure the work product of an attorney. The attorney work-product exemption exempts from disclosure any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions. legal research or legal theories that are maintained as</p>

								confidential. It is also incorporated into the Public Records Act by Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k). (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Under the attorney work-product exemption, records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office would be subject to this exemption. and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.
PRA - 2018 - 00631	Rosales	Chris	4/2/2018	case records. documentation description		Completed	Erin Nickless	We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows: -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. - Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. If you can provide more information regarding the records you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records hat are within our custody and control. In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA - 2018 - 00632	Heo	Elizabeth	4/2/2018	Documents served on the Attorney General's Office pursuant to California Insurance Code section 10134, et seq. from (03/01/2018 through 03/31/2018).		Completed	Howard Wayne	Please contact Nancy Quach at 415-703-5648 to schedule time for you to review these records. If you wish to copy these records, you will need to provide your own scanning equipment.
PRA - 2018	Ishman	Joshua	4/2/2018	I'm trying to find out why California has a hold on my license. I need to get my license reinstated and will do my best to take care of what needs to be done in California in order to do so.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency,

- 0063 3							DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking license-related records, you may wish to direct your request to either the Department of Motor Vehicles, or other licensing agency that regulates the type license that is the subject of your request.
PRA - 2018 - 0063 4	Juarez	Jaime	4/2/2018	Hello I would like to get a public record for my personal use. I was arrested back on July 6 2010 and would like to know if everything cleared from my record since I hire an attorney to sponge my record.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett The Record Review and Challenge Program assists individuals who would like to order a copy of their California state criminal history record for their eyes only and/or dispute its material (see California Penal Code 11120-11126). You may order a copy of your California state criminal history record (or "no record") to review and/or dispute the information contained therein. For more information regarding the Record Review process, please visit our website at <a href="http://www.oag.ca.gov/fingerprints">www.oag.ca.gov/fingerprints</a> . Click on the link, "Criminal Records - Request Your Own" and follow the directions listed on the webpage. Your Record Review results will be mailed to you after you submit fingerprints for record review. The Department of Justice responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PRA - 2018 - 0063 6	Miller	Cheryl	4/2/2018	Can you or your office please provide me with the names of the 18 additional attorneys that were anticipated to be hired by the AG's office by the end of February with the additional funding provided in the 2017-18 budget?		Completed	Nancy Doig As a preliminary matter, some of the attorneys who have been approved to fill some of the open SDAG and DAG positions have not yet begun working for the Department of Justice (the Department) and, therefore, the hiring process for those persons has not yet been completed. In order to protect their privacy interests, we are withholding their names at this time. (See Cal. Const., art. I, § 1; see also Gov. Code, § 6254, subds. (c) & (k).) That being said, the following attorneys have been or will be appointed to fill the open positions: In the Antitrust Law Section: • SDAG Michael Jorgenson was appointed on January 25, 2018. • One DAG candidate is pending final approval for hire. • One DAG candidate has been approved for hire and has an anticipated start date of May 7, 2018. In the Environment Section: • Special Assistant Christie Vosburg was appointed on March 1, 2018. • DAG Scott Lichtig started in mid-February.

								<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• One DAG candidate has been approved for hire and has an anticipated start date of May 1, 2018.</li><li>• A second DAG candidate has also been approved for hire. The candidate's pending start date is May 21, 2018.</li></ul> In the Civil Rights Enforcement Section: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• A SDAG candidate has been approved for hire and has an anticipated start date of April 16, 2018.</li><li>• Four DAG candidates have completed interviews, with one candidate having been approved with a pending start date.</li></ul> In the Health, Education and Welfare Section: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• SDAG Kathleen Boergers was appointed on January 31, 2018.</li><li>• DAG Nimrod Elias started on February 1, 2018.</li><li>• DAG Karli Eisenberg started on February 1, 2018.</li><li>• DAG Neli Palma started on March 1, 2018.</li><li>• DAG Anna Rich started on March 6, 2018.</li><li>• DAG Brenda Ayon Verduzco started on March 15, 2018.</li></ul> In the Government Law Section: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• SDAG Paul Stein was appointed on April 9, 2018.</li></ul>
PRA - 2018 - 00638	Turner	Erick	4/2/2018	We are seeking any all records related to the DOJ's investigation of Yaniv Gohar, Orel Gohar, May Levy, and Eran Buhbut related to the alleged illegal operation of video slot machines. We are also seeking any and all records related to the criminal complaints filed against the same individuals.		Completed	Riann Kopchak	The Gambling Control Act provides that the Bureau "shall maintain a file of all applications for licenses" and that the file is "open to public inspection." (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19821, subd. (b).) The Act specifies that except as provided therein, the records of the Bureau are exempt from disclosure under the California Public Records Act. (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19821, subd. (c).) Business and Professions Code section 19821, subdivision (d), further specifies that it is unlawful for an official or employee of the Department to knowingly disclose or furnish records or information to a person not authorized by law to receive them, except as necessary for the administration of the Gambling Control Act. A review of your request suggests no applicable exception to the general exemption from disclosure under the Public Records Act set forth in Business and Professions Code section 19821, subdivision (c). For the foregoing reasons, your request for the described records under the Public Records Act is denied.
PRA - 2018 - 00639	Thurston	Nancy	4/2/2018	On March 16, 2018, in Sacramento County at 7880 Orange Avenue, Fair Oaks, CA 95628, at about 10:30 PM, there were sheriffs walking on that property with flashlights on. Why were the sheriffs at the 7880 property? Who notified them? What were they looking for? Did they find it? Was anyone charged? There have been so, so many similar incidents at this property, over the years. It is very disconcerting and worrisome to know that something so serious is going on at the property that abuts mine that requires sheriffs to come out to investigate.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PRA - 2018 -	Hopp	Richard	4/2/2018	1. The names of all law enforcement departments and its authorized law enforcement personnel registered under the California Pawn and Secondhand Dealer System (CAPSS). 2. The dates of the California Pawn and Secondhand Dealer System (CAPSS) electronic data breach from January 1, 2017, to April 1, 2018.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	In regard to item (1), the California Department of Justice (DOJ) has complied with your request and attached the responsive document. Note, the personnel corresponding to the authorized law enforcement agency is the assigned



0064 2				3. The name and contact information of the most knowledgeable person in regards to the California Pawn and Secondhand Dealer System (CAPSS) electronic data breach.				representative. In regard to item (2) and (3), after consulting with various programs within the DOJ, it has been determined that the California Justice Information Services Division is unaware of the CAPSS electronic data breach, therefore does not have responsive records for these items. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PRA - 2018 - 0064 4	Amin	Farzana	4/2/2018	Looking for any criminal records on Maryam Esfahani Sanaei, she is 45 years old, [REDACTED] She is an RN currently in California. Also is it possible to know if she has any bankruptcy reports.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We note that your records request is directed to the California Department of Justice but seeks records possibly in the control or custody of a federal agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code § 6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a federal government agency, you may wish to direct your request to that agency. Bankruptcy cases cannot be filed in state court. Bankruptcy cases are heard in the US Bankruptcy Court. Please find information regarding the US Bankruptcy Court on the internet at: <a href="http://www.uscourts.gov/bankruptcycourts.html">http://www.uscourts.gov/bankruptcycourts.html</a> . To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA - 2018 - 0064 7	Olfati (April 2018)	Parvin	4/2/2018	1. Any and all electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, memoranda, letters, documents, records and/or writings that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any contracts, agreements, payments, and/or emoluments, that constitute, mention, refer to and/or relate to any DOJ contract, agreement, communications, emoluments, and/or payments to, from, and/or about Pino Ronulfo Navarro, Ronulfo Navarro, and/or any person using the nickname "Pino" who resides at or visits [REDACTED] or who is believed to be a friend and/or boyfriend of or co-habitant with Anne Christopher including but not limited to any that constitute, mention, relate or refer to any actions contemplated or taken regarding Parvin Olfati, [REDACTED], and/or any house or residence believed to be used or used by Ms. Olfati, including but not limited to any vandalism, entry, roof damage, poisoning, yard poisoning, or other activity at or affecting [REDACTED] directly or indirectly through any person or entity (including but not limited to Barb Andres and Cecil Taylor of [REDACTED] and/or Steve Maviglio of [REDACTED])  2. Any and all emoluments, electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, memoranda, letters, documents, records and/or writings that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any communications regarding any job, personnel action, and/or promotions for Pino Ronulfo Navarro, any		Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.

				<p>person with the nickname Pino, and/or Ronulfo Navarro, at any employer or entity, including but not limited to the State of California Department of General Services.</p> <p>3. Any and all emoluments, electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, memoranda, letters, documents, records and/or writings that constitute, mention, refer to and/or relate to any communications to, from, and/or about any person with the nickname Pino, Pino Ronulfo Navarro, and/or Ronulfo Navarro that mention, refer to and/or relate to any action contemplated or taken regarding Parvin Olfati, Patty Olfati, Ms. Olfati and/or Olfati and/or any residence believed to be used by her, including but not limited to any monitoring, eavesdropping or other surveillance of anyone named Olfati and/or [REDACTED], including but not limited to any use of any portion of the property at [REDACTED] for any of these activities.</p> <p>4. Any and all emoluments, electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, memoranda, documents, records, and/or writings that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any actions contemplated or taken involving Pino Ronulfo Navarro, any person with the nickname Pino, and/or Ronulfo Navarro regarding Parvin Olfati, any automobile or property believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, any house or residence believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, and/or [REDACTED], California 95819, including but not limited to records that mention, refer to, and/or relate to any unauthorized entry, vandalism, roof damage, yard poisoning, basement entry, basement tampering, equipment tampering, poisoning, or other trespassing, entry, or activity at or directed at [REDACTED], either directly or indirectly through Barb Andres/Cecil Taylor of [REDACTED] and/or Steve Maviglio of [REDACTED].</p> <p>5. Any and all emoluments, electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, memoranda, documents, records, and/or writings that constitute, mention, refer to and/or relate to any contemplated or actual action taken regarding any animal believed to in companionship with Parvin Olfati or to be present at [REDACTED], including but not limited to any poisoning of, injury to, or death of any dog named Sasha or K ko, either directly or indirectly through Barb Andres/Cecil Taylor of [REDACTED] and/or Steve Maviglio of [REDACTED].</p> <p>6. Any and all emoluments, electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, memoranda, documents, records, and/or writings that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any contemplated or actual action taken regarding or directed at [REDACTED] at any time in 2017 or 2018, including but not limited to any roof vandalism, roof tarp cutting, basement vandalism, yard poisoning, and/or unauthorized entry, either directly or indirectly through Barb Andres/Cecil Taylor of 1870 45th Street and/or Steve Maviglio of [REDACTED], and including but not limited to the use of either [REDACTED] or [REDACTED] to take any action regarding or directed at [REDACTED].</p> <p>7. For any documents, records and/or writings requested herein that DOJ claims have been destroyed or are otherwise unavailable, please provide any all records reflecting the claimed destruction or other reason for nonavailability, together with applicable records retention policies.</p>			
PRA - 2018 - 00649	Olfati (April 2018)	Parvin	4/2/2018	<p>1. Any and all draft declarations, revised declarations, and/or declarations of Jeff McGuire, including but not limited to any true and correct copy that has not been sanitized and/or altered, used in or in any way related to the Sacramento County Superior Court civil case of Parvin Olfati vs. State Board of Equalization, Tim Boyer, John Thiella, Brian Branine, And Does 1-100, Case No 04 ASO 3695.</p> <p>2. Any and all draft declarations, revised declarations, and/or declarations of Steven Alari, including but not limited to any true and correct copy that has not been sanitized and/or altered, used in or in any way related to the Sacramento County Superior Court civil case of Parvin Olfati vs. State Board of Equalization, Tim Boyer, John Thiella, Brian Branine, And Does 1-100, Case No. 04 ASO 3695.</p> <p>3. For any documents, records and/or writings requested herein that DOJ claims have been destroyed or are otherwise unavailable, please provide any all records reflecting the claimed destruction or other reason for nonavailability, together with applicable records retention policies.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Any disclosable records in our possession that are related to your case would have been enclosed on the discs that our office provided to you on October 18, 2016, which we replaced for you again as a courtesy on June 8, 2017. If it is not in the file provided, it means that we do not have a copy of this record in our possession, and therefore cannot provide it to you.</p> <p>Any other records that relate to your litigation that are in the possession of the DOJ are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k). Section 6254, subdivision (k), incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client and attorney work product privileges. (Evid. Code, § 952; Code of Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.)</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00658	Perez	Victoria	4/2/2018	Under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. subsection 552, I am requesting access to records as to the annual number of tips investigated by the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit, from 2004 to 2017. If possible, we are particularly interested in the number of tips/cases brought by individual relators per the False Claims Act, as well as the total number of tips investigated in each year.	Completed	Aviva Burmas	Initially, we note that your request was made under the Freedom of Information Act. This is a federal law that is not applicable to requests for records of a state agency. Accordingly, we are treating your request as an inquiry under the California Public Records Act which is applicable to state agency records. The Public Records Act is contained in

								<p>Government Code section 6250 et seq.</p> <p>It was a pleasure speaking with you regarding your request. As we discussed, all criminal referrals received are reviewed to some extent. Beyond the initial review, the investigation of a criminal referral can take many forms and levels (many of which are not tracked in a way that can be separated out) and requires that a criminal matter first be “opened.”</p> <p>We are able to provide you with the total number of criminal matters opened since 2003/2004, following the initial review of the criminal referral received by the Bureau.</p> <p>Furthermore, the Bureau opens a matter also whenever the Office of the Attorney General is served with a civil False Claims Act complaint, which alleges Medicaid fraud losses, and which is primarily filed under court seal by a qui tam plaintiff. Some False Claims Act cases may be brought by the state; however, the Bureau does not maintain records in a way that distinguishes between the two. The table below lists all False Claims Act matters opened since 2003/2004, and also Other Matter Types opened.</p> <p>Fiscal Year Criminal Matters Opened False Claims Act Matters Opened Other Matter Types Opened</p> <table><tr><td>2003/2004</td><td>2035</td><td>24</td><td>3</td></tr><tr><td>2004/2005</td><td>1802</td><td>61</td><td>9</td></tr><tr><td>2005/2006</td><td>1717</td><td>63</td><td>10</td></tr><tr><td>2006/2007</td><td>1294</td><td>38</td><td>5</td></tr><tr><td>2007/2008</td><td>1275</td><td>40</td><td>2</td></tr><tr><td>2008/2009</td><td>1263</td><td>63</td><td>8</td></tr><tr><td>2009/2010</td><td>976</td><td>95</td><td>14</td></tr><tr><td>2010/2011</td><td>794</td><td>130</td><td>11</td></tr><tr><td>2011/2012</td><td>706</td><td>92</td><td>10</td></tr><tr><td>2012/2013</td><td>740</td><td>93</td><td>17</td></tr><tr><td>2013/2014</td><td>817</td><td>98</td><td>9</td></tr><tr><td>2014/2015</td><td>874</td><td>95</td><td>18</td></tr><tr><td>2015/2016</td><td>799</td><td>89</td><td>6</td></tr><tr><td>2016/2017</td><td>812</td><td>92</td><td>19</td></tr><tr><td>2017/2018</td><td colspan="3">(complete only through March 31, 2018)</td></tr><tr><td>564</td><td>53</td><td>5</td><td></td></tr></table>	2003/2004	2035	24	3	2004/2005	1802	61	9	2005/2006	1717	63	10	2006/2007	1294	38	5	2007/2008	1275	40	2	2008/2009	1263	63	8	2009/2010	976	95	14	2010/2011	794	130	11	2011/2012	706	92	10	2012/2013	740	93	17	2013/2014	817	98	9	2014/2015	874	95	18	2015/2016	799	89	6	2016/2017	812	92	19	2017/2018	(complete only through March 31, 2018)			564	53	5	
2003/2004	2035	24	3																																																																					
2004/2005	1802	61	9																																																																					
2005/2006	1717	63	10																																																																					
2006/2007	1294	38	5																																																																					
2007/2008	1275	40	2																																																																					
2008/2009	1263	63	8																																																																					
2009/2010	976	95	14																																																																					
2010/2011	794	130	11																																																																					
2011/2012	706	92	10																																																																					
2012/2013	740	93	17																																																																					
2013/2014	817	98	9																																																																					
2014/2015	874	95	18																																																																					
2015/2016	799	89	6																																																																					
2016/2017	812	92	19																																																																					
2017/2018	(complete only through March 31, 2018)																																																																							
564	53	5																																																																						
PRA - 2018 - 0071 2	Martin/Dowhaniuk	Sonny/Maria	4/2/2018	<p>1) Filed on July 26,2001 PEOPLE OF STATE of CA. vs.01 SONNY T. MARTIN # MA021132 SUPERIOR COURT OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA for CO. OF LOS ANGELES Judge: Carol Koppel Reporter: Judy L. Eaton Information Filed 01/16/2001. # MA021132 Def.NO. 05 07/16/2001 COURT CORRECTS ITS ORDER DATED 07/16/2001 Another CORRECTION 07/24/2001</p> <p>2) Printed: July, 24, 2001 MINUTE ORDER SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, CO. OF LOS ANGELES</p> <p>3) DATE of Hearing 07/16/2001 ABSTRACT OF JUDGEMENT-PRISON COMMITMENT- DETERMINATE (2 pages).</p> <p>4) Documents stating Crimes Committed on 05/30/2001. PROBATION #/Officer X1780709 COUNSEL for Defendant T. SPRATT</p> <p>5) DOCUMENTS specifying ENHANCEMENTS or any Information RE. Sonny T. Martin T26791 you may have on file, before or after 2001.</p> <p>There may be other Documents I do not know about, if so please, let me know. He needs his complete RECORD of Legal, Criminal and any documented History.</p> <p>I am a Representative for Sonny T. Martin and some relatives. This Inmate contacted me from the Psychiatric Unit</p> <p>of CSP Sacramento in 2014 at a time he was ill physically /emotionally and reported having no</p>		Completed	Irene Chavez	<p>On April 11, 2018, Irene Chavez sent an extension letter.</p> <p>On April 25, 2018, Irene Chavez responded: As per your records request, we have located the following documents from our files for the appeal in People v. Sonny T Martin, California Court of Appeal Case No. B152016:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. CT's I /2/01 - Two Volumes;</li><li>2. Order from COA dated 9/25/01;</li><li>3. Order from COA dated 12/14/01;</li><li>4. Probation Officer's Report 7/17/01;</li><li>5. Probation Officer's Report 1/ 16/01;</li><li>6. RT's - from 12/29/00;</li><li>7. Appellant 's Opening Brief dated 3/5/02;</li><li>8. Respondent's Brief dated 6/3/02;</li><li>9. COA Opinion dated 8/8/02;</li><li>10. Appellant 's Reply Brief dated 6/25/02;</li><li>11 . CT's dated 1/ 12/01 - Two Volumes;</li><li>12. RT dated 12/30/01 - One Volume;</li><li>13. U.S. District Court Order dated 3/29/04;</li></ol>																																																																

				<p>incentive/reason to live. He found my name / address as I have worked in the capacity of Chaplain with Mental Health Systems.</p> <p>Many of his Legal Documents had been lost (as this apparently happens when inmates move from cell to cell frequently) I was able to retrieve some, there may be more. At this time Sonny's status is being questioned as to whether he falls into the category of a non-violent or violent inmate? This to him and CDCR Staff IS very important. They/he are looking at CREDITS worked for and Probation consideration as well as Time of Parole. If you need written authorizing stating this inmate needs me to work in his behalf, I can provide it. He is destitute and has no funds, no family member is involved in his life presently. Presently, he is at Men's Colony State Prison, San Luis Obispo.</p> <p>His mother died of CA. in early 2015. I am very concerned about his records Not corresponding to his history.</p> <p>He does not have access to a phone, computer or Attorney he can afford.</p> <p>If there is something unclear regarding what I am requesting, please contact me by phone, email or in writing.</p>				<p>14. RT's dated 12/30/01 - 1 Volume; 15. Czar Ltr. dated 11/30/01; 16. COA Ltr. dated 9/25/01; 17. Applic. For Scaled Transcript dated 11/30/01; 18. COA Order dated 12/14/01; 19. Appellant's Opening Brief dated 3/12/102; 20. COA Judgment dated 8/8/02; 21. Respondent's Brief dated 6/3/02; 22. Petition for Review Order dated 10/16/02; 23. RT's dated 9/2/01 - Six Volumes; 24. U.S. District Notice of Filing dated 2/5/04; 25. U.S. District Return to Petition for Writ dated 11/26/03; 26. U.S. District Notice of Appeal dated 6/4/04; 27. U.S. District Habeas Petition filed 7/28/03; 28. U.S. District Order dated 7/3 1/03 ; 29. U.S. District Traverse filed 1/21/04; 30. U.S. District Report &amp; Recommendation dated 2/5/04; 31. Petition for Review dated 9/16/02; 32. Appellant's Reply Brief dated 6/26/02; and 33. RT's dated 9/10/01 - Six Volumes.</p> <p>Thank you for your anticipated cooperation in the above request. All documents have been copied and provided, any missing documents are NOT available.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00713	Martin/Dowhaniuk	Sonny/Maria	4/2/2018	Blank e-mail		Completed	Erin Nickless	Your e-mail was blank and we therefore cannot process your request. If you can identify the specific records that you are seeking, we can conduct a search of the records that are within our custody and control.
PRA - 2018 - 00645	Yonga	Jerry	4/3/2018	<p>I am requesting an opportunity to obtain or inspect copies of public records that contain the records of Data Breaches reported by Healthcare Industry between 2015-2017. The report will contain only breaches that impacted over 500 patients. The report should include the original submission letters from the entity notifying the CA Attorney General and the closure letter showing what decision was taken by the healthcare entity to mitigate future attacks.</p> <p>On April 13, 2018, Mr. Yonga followed up: My name is Jerry Yonga and currently pursuing a PHD program in Healthcare Management. On April 3, 2018, I submitted a request for healthcare data breach. I am following up to know the status of my request. Please provide me with an update regarding the current status of my request. Thank you very much.</p>		Completed	Jeffrey Steele	<p>Our office publishes the data security breach notices we receive pursuant to California Civil Code sections 1798.29(e) and 1798.82(f). <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/ecdme/databreach/list">https://oag.ca.gov/ecdme/databreach/list</a> The data breach notices made available on our website are listed in reverse chronological order, and also are searchable. The notices include statements by the entity about "What Happened," "What Information Was Involved," "What We Are Doing," "What You Can Do," and "For More Information." In addition, we have conducted a further search of our records. Enclosed is a copy of the complaint and the final judgment and permanent injunction against Cottage Health and related entities that also is responsive to your request.</p> <p>We respectfully deny the remainder of your request. Any additional records that you seek would be confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General, mid, therefore, exempt from disclosure. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts from disclosure records of complaints to, or investigations conducted by; the Office of the Attorney General and the Department of Justice. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (f).)</p>
PRA - 2018	Garemani	Maggie	4/3/2018	I would like proof of my name change, I changed my name from Mahboubeh Garemani (or Mahboubeh Ghahremani) to Maggie Garemani many years ago. Please let me know how I can get this information. Thank you.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public

- 0064 6								records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Most legal name changes are processed in a local court. Since you are seeking records filed with a court, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PRA - 2018 - 0064 8	Archuleta	Gerald	4/3/2018	I can't remember when I was married. I think in 1991 or 1992. My "EX"'s wife's name is Jennifer Vincent Archuleta. Looking for the marriage certificate or at least the date of marriage.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA - 2018 - 0065 0	Slowiczek	Josh	4/3/2018	Documents showing Complaints to the California Attorney General Regarding a Charity or Charitable Donation regarding nonprofit associations focused on law enforcement and sheriff's departments over the last ten years.		Completed	Scott Chan	All documents that are matters of public record regarding nonprofits, including nonprofits related to law enforcement entities are available for viewing at the Registry of Charitable Trusts website: <a href="http://rct.doj.ca.gov/MyLicenseVerification/Search.aspx?facility=Y">http://rct.doj.ca.gov/MyLicenseVerification/Search.aspx?facility=Y</a> . You could search by using the "Organization Name" or by using "State Charity Registration Number" or other identifying search criteria. To the extent your request seeks records that are subject to the exemptions listed below, we must decline your request. Some of the records you seek are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355.) Some of the records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 2018.030, the attorney work-product privilege. The attorney work-product exemption absolutely exempts from disclosure any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that are maintained as confidential. It also is incorporated into the Public Records Act by Government Code section 6254,



						<p>subdivision (k). (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>Under the attorney work-product exemption, records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office would be subject to this exemption, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>Some of the records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.)</p> <p>The attorneys in our department provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys concerning these records are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>Some of the records are additionally exempt from disclosure pursuant to the deliberative process privilege. The deliberative process privilege is applied to the Public Records Act through Government Code section 6255. It exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably interterwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.)</p> <p>Records such as analyses, draft language, and memoranda concerning a particular complaint and/or investigation, communication, document, comment, or letter would be covered by the deliberative process privilege and, therefore, absent special circumstances would be exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6255.</p> <p>Some of the records are additionally exempt from disclosure under the official information privilege of sections 1040 and 1041 of the Evidence Code. Evidence Code sections 1040 and 1041 are incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption through Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k). Any information and documents acquired in confidence during the course of an Attorney General investigation and are not normally made available to the general public are privileged where there is necessity for</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

							<p>preserving the confidentiality of the information and documents.</p> <p>We note that your information request is directed to the Department of Justice but may seek records from other departments. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00651	Slowiczek	Joshua	4/3/2018	Documents showing the number of investigations and arrests made by the Bureau of Investigation's Special Investigation Team related to matters of public corruption over the last 10 years.	Completed	Shannon Patterson	<p>DLE sent an extension letter.</p> <p>On April 26, 2018, DLE responded: The DOJ has complied the statistics you requested from our Special Investigation Team "related to matters of public corruption over the last 10 years".</p> <p>Number of Investigations - 83</p> <p>Number of Arrests - 34</p> <p>Number of arrest during each year (2008-2018) - 2008-6, 2009-6, 2010-4, 2011-10, 2012-8, 2013-4, 2014-7, 2015-7, 2016-14, 2017-13, 2018-4</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00652	Ruggiero	Angelo	4/3/2018	<p>This is a request (under the California Public Records Act see Government Code Section 6250, et seq. - as may be required or appropriate) to be provided with a "Certified Copy" of the following document: ****"Assurance" Agreement dated on or about 12/2010 as between State of California (Attorney General Office thereof) and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. (Wells Fargo &amp; Company) - comprised of approximately 28 pages (Twenty Eight), and reflecting signature block date of 12/16/2010, and signatory(ies) of "Benjamin G. Diehl" on behalf of Attorney General (State of California) and "Michael J. Heid, Executive Vice President" on behalf of Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. ---and any and or all supplement(S) or amendment(S) thereto***</p> <p>The requesting party is hereby covenanting (promising) to remit a dollar amount of "Not To Exceed \$100.00 (One Hundred Dollars) [U.S.] to defray any and or all costs of providing a "Certified Copy" of the above requested public record of the State of California.</p> <p>Said remittance will be tendered either via Credit Card and or Check [Money Order or Cashier's Check] per the preference and instructions of the State of California and Attorney General Office public records staff.</p> <p>Should you require additional clarification or specificity of identification in regards to the public record requested, please kindly contact the requesting party (A. Ruggiero) via telephone [REDACTED] (0) or electronic mail [REDACTED]</p>	Completed	Amos Hartston	<p>Enclosed is a copy of the requested document. Our office is not able to provide a certified copy.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00653	Morlan	Dakota	4/3/2018	Digital copy of the DA complaint against Sutter Health filed March 30, 2018. Deadline is early tomorrow. Thanks!	Completed	Kathleen Foote	<p>Your request was for a "DA" complaint filed on March 30 against Sutter Health. I believe you may have intended to specify a complaint filed by the Attorney General's Office against Sutter on March 29, which was announced in a press conference the next day. You may find a copy of the complaint online at <a href="https://www.oag.ca.gov/system/files/attachments/press_releases/Sutter%20Complaint.pdf">https://www.oag.ca.gov/system/files/attachments/press_releases/Sutter%20Complaint.pdf</a>.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00655	Jamerson	Jill	4/3/2018	<p>We are requesting records of Open Estates Proceeding and Death Certificate for Don Lee Jones, DOB [REDACTED]. His last know residence was [REDACTED]. Do we need to physically appear at superior court to search and obtain these public records? We are hoping to obtain his date of death via online services. We appreciate your guidance.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice, therefore we must deny your request. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). Please note that our office is not the depository for records for the entire state of California.</p> <p>We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records</p>



							<p>regarding a probate matter. You may wish to contact the local court in which the probate matter would be heard. If you are seeking unclaimed property linked to an estate, you may wish to contact the State Controller's office.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at:  <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00656	Davidson	Michelle	4/3/2018	<p>Attached is a Public Records Request Form for James Steven Farrell for convictions in Solano County, CA back in 1983 and 1988. Please let me know if you need any additional information or anything else to complete this request.</p> <p>1.) Conviction - Felony- 02/17/1983-Age 27 - Solano County, CA- Lewd or Lascivious Acts with a Child Under 14</p> <p>2.) Conviction - Felony -12/06/1988 -Age 33- Solano County, CA- Lewd or Lascivious Acts with a Child Under 14</p>	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>Unfortunately, the Department of Justice (DOJ) is unable to comply with your request. The California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to California Penal Code section 11076, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records. The only exception to this rule is an individual requesting his or her own records. California Penal Code sections 11120 through 11127 afford an individual the opportunity to obtain a copy of his or her own California state summary criminal history information to review for accuracy and completeness.</p> <p>If you have further questions or concerns regarding a record review, please direct your correspondence to the Bureau of Criminal Information &amp; Analysis, Record Review Unit at P.O. Box 903417, Sacramento, CA 94203-4170. For additional information, the following is the Record Review website: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review">https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review</a>.</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00657	Carter	John	4/3/2018	<p>I need a copy of my marriage certificate. My full name John Nereus Carter. I married Carol Jean Arnold in Santa Clara County at the Stanford Chapel on [REDACTED]. I do not know if this is the correct venue to search for this record. I need the certificate for Social Security Benefits for my wife. If I am at the wrong site please inform me of how to procure this document.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at</p>

							the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA - 2018 - 00659	Bourke	Thomas	4/3/2018	<p>Please permit this letter to serve as a formal demand under the California Public Records Act (Government Code Section 6250 et seq). The information and documents requested are identified below.</p> <p>A. Information Identify each Government Employee or Officer, including Governor Jerry Brown against whom Paul Bruno (aka P. Leonard Bruno) has filed an administrative claim.</p> <p>B. Documents 1. All documents that constitute any administrative claim lodged or filed by Paul Bruno (aka P. Leonard Bruno) against the State of California or Governor Jerry Brown. 2. All documents that constitute any administrative claim lodged or filed by Paul Bruno aka P. Leonard Bruno regarding the Equal Protection Clause and California's laws regarding Registered Domestic Partnerships.</p> <p>C. Discussion It is our understanding that as of April 3, 2018, Paul Bruno (possibly using his alias P. Leonard Bruno), has filed I filed an administrative claim against the State of California that requires administrative exhaustion. It is our understanding that he claims to have a multi-million-dollar claim against California and the Governor It is our understanding that he bases it on Equal Protection Clause precedent and claims that California's Registered Domestic Partner law is bad legislation. If you determine that any or all of the above-described documents or information qualify for an exemption from disclosure, it is requested that you note whether, as is normally the case under the Public Records Act, the exemption is discretionary, and if so whether it is necessary in this case to exercise your discretion to withhold the information. If you decline to produce the documents and you assert an exemption under the Public Records Act, please consider producing the factual information contained in the records in another form. In other words, if your department has factual information about Paul Bruno, P. Leonard Bruno, or his administrative claims that it may disclose, please consider whether you can provide that information in another way, even if you decline make some or all of the requested documents available.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00670	Williams	Gregory	4/3/2018	I would like to receive all court documents for John Wesley Hunter who plead guilty to rape, armed robbery and attempted murder charges in Los Angeles, California. I believe the trial took place from 1986 to 1987.	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. If this case was tried by a district attorney, you would need to contact the district attorney's office.
PRA - 2018 - 00729	Choate	Dawn	4/3/2018	Need to get a Individual exemption request for placement of a child	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have been unable to locate information based on the information provided. If this is a child custody issue, you may need to contact Family Court services the California Department of Social Services. Information on how to contact the California Department of Social Services can be found on their website at <a href="http://www.cdss.ca.gov/Public-Record-Requests#">http://www.cdss.ca.gov/Public-Record-Requests#</a>. If you request is related to criminal history, you may order a copy of your California state criminal history record (or "no record") to review and/or dispute the information contained therein. For more information regarding the Record Review process, please visit our website at <a href="http://www.oag.ca.gov/fingerprints">www.oag.ca.gov/fingerprints</a>. Click on the link, "Criminal Records - Request Your Own" and follow the directions listed on the webpage.</p>

								Your Record Review results will be mailed to you after you submit fingerprints for Record Review.
PRA - 2018 - 00732	Rollins	Jason	4/3/2018	I am writing today to request under the California Public Records Act (Gov Code section 6250 et seq) a copy of the following public records: A copy of all available retirement disbursements or retirement checks made to the following individual: - Judge Mike Cummins. - Michael Richard Cummins.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. This individual does not appear to have been employed by the California Department of Justice. You may wish to contact the court in which this individual is or was a Judge, or the California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS) if this individual was a California employee, to see if the records that you seek are available.
PRA - 2018 - 00660	Stormes-Swan	Patrick	4/4/2018	(1) All documents that pertain, relate, or refer to People v. Larson, San Diego Superior Court, North County Division, Criminal, Case No. SCN372843, Date Filed 05/10/2017, Defendant's name: Kyle James Larson, DA No. OCF 89001; (2) All documents that pertain, relate, or refer to Kyle James Larson, the defendant and driver of the subject vehicle in People v. Larson, San Diego Superior Court, North County Division, Criminal, Case No. SCN372843; (3) All documents that pertain, relate, or refer to Brandi Hartsfield, a witness and passenger of the subject vehicle in People v. Larson, San Diego Superior Court, North County Division, Criminal, Case No. SCN372843; (4) All documents that pertain, relate, or refer to Mireyya Hernandez, the decedent and passenger of the subject vehicle in People v. Larson, San Diego Superior Court, North County Division, Criminal, Case No. SCN372843; (5) All videos, photos, electronically stored information, social media, text messages, emails, and surveillance footage that pertain, relate, or refer to the fatal car collision or related events in People v. Larson, San Diego Superior Court, North County Division, Criminal, Case No. SCN372843; (6) all reports, witness statements (including those made under oath), scientific test orders and results, and toxicology and intoxication screenings, results, and narratives, that pertain, relate, or refer to the fatal car collision or related events in People v. Larson, San Diego Superior Court, North County Division, Criminal, Case No. SCN372843.	The Gomez Firm	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records from the District Attorney's Office, you would need to direct your request to their office.
PRA - 2018 - 00666	Zuniga	Monica	4/4/2018	BOHNHOF, Peter James DOB [REDACTED] SSN [REDACTED] Investigation Title: Jackson Check Fraud Investigation Number: BGC-SA2008-00065 Type of Crime/Incident: PC 459 Date of Report: 7/09/2008 We have received report # BGC-SA2008-00065 for one of our applicants, Peter Bohnhof, for check fraud. However, the report refers to additional supplemental reports that were not included: 0806P-3332 (video and reports); 0806P-4474 (video and reports); 0806P-3005, 0806P-3332, 0806P-4474 (Jackson Rancheria Tribal reports) Additional attachments not included: 006-001 Butte county reports C08-14106, C08-14269 and C08-14271 If an official records request is needed, please let me know and I can fax one over.		Completed	Riann Kopchak	R. Kopchak spoke with Monica at the Commission on Teacher Credentialing and clarified that she received our report via court documents. She told her that the other case numbers she referenced were not from our agency. Ms. Zuniga confirmed nothing was required from DOJ.
PRA - 2018 - 00671	Eslamboly	Firouz	4/4/2018	I need all records for Ellis Act case No. LD052672		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have searched our legal indices and logical places and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Our

							research into the matter shows that the Ellis Act relates to landlord-tenant matters. Since you are seeking landlord-tenant case records, you may wish to direct your request to the local court in which the case was filed.
PRA - 2018 - 00672	Not given	Salsa	4/4/2018	Seeking a 9-11 transcript on behalf of their client		Completed	Erin Nickless Only contact information provided was phone number. Called three times using two separate lines and got a busy signal. These are not records in our office's possession.
PRA - 2018 - 00676	Taylor	Florie	4/5/2018	Under the California Public Records Act (Govt. Code 6250-6270), I am requesting the following information: All criminal, incident, and investigation reports relating to a hit and run accident involving an unknown (offender) and myself. This information is related to Traffic Collision Report #181206590 All 911 recordings, transcripts, and dispatch printouts related to the requested report and all 911 recordings, transcripts, and dispatch printouts responding to the intersection of Manchester Ave and Harvard Blvd on 2/2/18 after my call to 911 made between 14:40 and 14:50 from my personal cell telephone number listed below, in my voice, to report offense 20002(a) VC. The responding officer's badge number is #43122 My only recollection of the offending vehicles plate number was provided during the 8 minute call with the 911.		Completed	Erin Nickless Specifically, you are seeking a recording of a 9-11 call and police reports. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place. To the extent that your request seeks investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 ["While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)."].) To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA -	Gould	Kenneth	4/5/2018	Good morning. California is one of only a few states that requires both state licensure of gun dealers and the regular reporting of all firearms sales through those dealers. I'm interested in seeing what kind		Completed	Robert Under the PRA, government records are open and subject to public inspection unless they are

2018 - 0067 7				<p>of data are available based and that reporting requirement (and potentially from any compliance audits done by state and local law enforcement in California).</p> <p>I'm currently building a predictive model that quantifies the probability that a given gun dealer is a bad apple (i.e., gun crime is disproportionately tied to that dealer through a firearms trace). These bad apple gun dealers may be heavily tied to gun crime through straw purchasing, diversion to the illegal market or off-the-book transactions.</p> <p>Gun dealer sales data collected in CA could potentially prove helpful as I build the model since certain sales or dealer characteristics may be predictive of bad business practices. Given the imperfect nature of gun traces and the resource challenges of the ATF to conduct compliance inspections, the model I'm building could help state and local law enforcement target I kely bad apples.</p> <p>As a first step, could you provide me with a summary of what kind of data are available? I could then follow-up with a specific public records request. Or, please direct me to another state agency if you think that's the way to go. Thanks for your help!</p> <p>Comments:</p> <p>As per above, please email me summary of what kinds of data are available. I can then follow up with a detailed description of the data file I'm looking for.</p>		Wilso n	<p>"exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b); Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k) (incorporating confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law).)</p> <p>Specific information about dealers is exempt from public disclosure by express provisions of law. (See Pen. Code, § 26715, subds. (c) and (d) [Information compiled from the Centralized List of Firearm Dealers available for law enforcement purposes, to determine the validity of the license for firearm shipments, for validating gun show participants, and only to the extent necessary to corroborate an individual's current license status; Pen. Code, § 28475 [Information compiled from the Centralized list of Exempted Federal Firearm Dealers available for law enforcement purposes and for determining the validity of the license for firearm shipments].) Information concerning specific firearms is similarly exempt from public disclosure.</p> <p>Penal Code Section 11106 requires the DOJ to retain specific firearms information, including copies of fingerprints, copies of CCW permits, and "information reported to the Department of Justice pursuant to Section 26225." However, California law restricts the disclosure of this information "to the officers referred to in [Penal Code] Section 11105." (Pen. Code, § 11106, subd. (a).) California courts have consistently upheld this restriction. (See Hill v. Superior Court (1974) 10 Cal.3d 812, 818.) Consequently, the data on firearm owners (even if names and serial numbers are redacted) is not subject to public disclosure in response to a PRA request. (Younger v. Berkeley City Council (1975) 45 Cal. App. 3d 825, 832 (The "restrictions upon release of such information are so carefully set out in the Penal Code sections 11106, 11081 and 11105 ... that it is inconceivable that the general terms of the Public Records Act were intended to render them void."))</p> <p>The best source of information we can direct you to is the OpenJustice website:  <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a> and the Bureau of Firearms website:  <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/firearms">https://oag.ca.gov/firearms</a>. There, you will find a great deal of information that may be helpful for your research.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 0068 9	Braxton II	Michae l	4/5/2 018	<p>Any public written documentation which established legal authority, authorization and/or permission for the Joint Regional Intelligence Center (JRIC) (Los Angeles), located at 12440 East Imperial Highway Norwalk, CA 90650, to come into existence at said address, and to operate as a Joint Regional Intelligence Center, specifically during the time periods of 2006-2009.</p> <p>The documentation I am requesting consists of public records in the form of any charter(s), memoranda, letters, letters of authorization, public legislative acts/ congressional bills, and/ or any other written documentation which gave authority for the Joint Regional Intelligence Center (JRIC)(Los Angeles), located at 12440 East Imperial Highway, Norwalk, CA 0650, to operate between the time period of 2006 to 2009.</p>	Comp leted		To date, public records has not received the response from the program.
PRA - 2018 -	Olfati (April 2018)	Parvin	4/5/2 018	<p>1. Each and every electronic mail message, text message, memorandum, letter, document, writing and/or record that mentions, refers to, and/or relates to communications to, from, with and/or about the United States Department of State that mention, refer to, and/or relate to Parvin Olfati and/or [REDACTED] including but not limited to any communications regarding travel plans, passports, and/or passport surveys.</p>	Comp leted	Erin Nickl ess	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.



00690				2. For any document in Request Item 1 above that DOJ claims has been destroyed or is otherwise unavailable, please provide all records of any destruction or other reasons for non-availability, together with applicable records retention policies.				
PRA - 2018 - 00691	Olfati (April 2018)	Parvin	4/5/2018	<p>1. Any and all electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, memoranda, letters, documents, records and/or writings that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any emoluments, payments, communications, or actions taken or contemplated regarding any sofa or bed in any house or residence believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to [REDACTED], and including but not limited to any attempt to implant or place any toxin, poison, or substance on, in and/or near any sofa or bed.</p> <p>2. For any documents, records and/or writings requested herein that the DOJ claims have been destroyed or are otherwise unavailable, please provide any all records reflecting the claimed destruction or other reason for nonavailability, together with applicable records retention policies.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.	
PRA - 2018 - 00692	Olfati (April 2018)	Parvin	4/5/2018	<p>1. Any electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, memorandum, document, record, and/or writing that constitutes, mentions, refers to and/or relates to any communication to, from, with and/or about M&amp;M Pain Management Anti-Cancer Center "M&amp;M" (whose address is [REDACTED] and whose telephone number is [REDACTED] and is referred to herein as "M&amp;M") and/or any proprietress or agent of M&amp;M (including but not limited to Wei Li) that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to Parvin Olfati, Patty Olfati, Ms. Olfati, Olfati, including but not limited to any statement or communication regarding medical services sought, requested, and/or received by any person named Olfati, including but not limited to any unsolicited statement from Wei Li to Parvin Olfati that "you haven't been poisoned."</p> <p>2. Any electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, memorandum, document, record, and/or writing that constitutes, mentions, refers to, and/or relates to any communication to, from, with and/or about M&amp;M and/or any proprietress or agent (including but not limited to Wei Li that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any statement or communication urging, suggesting, directing and/or communicating that any medical services sought by Parvin Olfati should be interfered with or tampered with in any way, including but not limited to any statement that lab tests or poison tests should be avoided or should not be performed.</p> <p>3. Any electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, memorandum, document, record and/or writing that constitutes, mentions, refers to and/or relates to any communication to, from, and/or about M&amp;M and/or any proprietress or agent (including but not limited to Wei Li) that constitute, mention, refer to and/or relate to any statement that there should be no testing or diagnosis of Parvin Olfati for poisoning or carbon monoxide.</p> <p>4. Any contract, agreement, or other understanding or arrangement entered into or proposed to be entered into with M&amp;M and/or any proprietress or agent (including but not limited to Wei Li), including but not limited to any entered into in return for any action taken by or communication made by M&amp;M and/or Wei Li regarding any person named Olfati.</p> <p>5. Any referral or recommendation of M&amp;M and/or Wei Li to any person or entity, including but not limited to the State of California Department of Health Services, any hospital, and health care organization, and/or any medical services provider.</p> <p>6. In addition, for any records requested in Request Items 1, 2, 3, 4 and/or 5 that DOJ claims have been destroyed, transferred, altered, or are otherwise unavailable, please produce any and all records of such destruction, transfer, alteration, and/or nonavailability, together with applicable records retention policies.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.	
PRA - 2018 - 00693	Olfati (April 2018)	Parvin	4/5/2018	<p>1. Any and all electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, memoranda, letters, documents, records and/or writings that constitute, mention refer to, and/or relate to any emoluments, payments, communications, or actions taken or contemplated regarding any placement of any anti-freeze or antifreeze substance in the yard, sidewalk, driveway or structure of any house or residence believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to [REDACTED]</p> <p>2. For any documents, records and/or writings requested herein that DOJ claims have been destroyed or are otherwise unavailable, please provide any all records reflecting the claimed destruction or other reason for nonavailability, together with applicable records retention policies.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.	
PRA - 2018 - 00728	James	Jesse	4/5/2018	The initiative ballot proposition text for Prop 57 at the time of original submission.	Completed	Anabel Renteria	Enclosed, are copies of the initiatives related to your request, Initiative 15-0121, "The Justice and Rehabilitation Act" and Initiative 15-0121 A 1, "The Public Safety and Rehabilitation Act of 2016". This completes our response to your request.	

PRA - 2018 - 0067 8	Fayne	Zachary	4/6/2018	<p>All internal or external documents or correspondence in which the California Attorney General's Office has addressed whether a warning or proposed warning under California's Proposition 65 (the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986) is "clear and reasonable," including whether such warning or proposed warning complies with: (1) the Proposition 65 statute (Health &amp; Safety Code §§ 25249.6 and 25249.7); (2) regulations adopted by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (27 Cal. Code Regs. §§ 25600 et seq.); and/or (3) regulations adopted by the California Attorney General (11 Cal. Code Regs. § 3202).</p> <p>This includes, but is not limited to, correspondence in which the Attorney General has taken a position on Proposition 65 warnings or proposed warnings that: (a) reference conflicting findings by other regulatory, scientific, or authoritative bodies; (b) do not use the phrase "known to the state to cause" cancer or reproductive toxicity; (c) include additional information beyond the information required by 27 Cal. Code Regs. § 25601 (operative until August 30, 2018); and/or (d) include additional information beyond the safe harbor warnings adopted by OEHHA in 27 Cal. Code Regs. §§ 25603.2, 25604.2, and 25605.2 (all of which are operative until August 30, 2018).</p>		Completed	Susan S. Fiering, Sup DAG	<p>On April 16, we provided a written response to your request, stating our objections to the request and describing our intent to construe the request narrowly because it was overbroad. In our response we noted that we identified the current employees who work most directly on Proposition 65 cases, had each person identify the cases he or she worked on or were aware of in which alternatives to the "safe harbor" warning under Proposition 65 were specifically discussed, and searched the electronic files of those cases to find documents responsive to your request. In addition, we noted that we reviewed the electronic files of those cases in which we have commented on private party settlements under Proposition 65, as well as files of those cases that deal with general Proposition 65 issues, to determine if there were any documents in those files that were responsive to your request. Finally~ we stated that we did not intend to provide any documents filed in National Wheat Growers et al. v. Zeise et al., since you and your law firm are already in possession of those documents.</p> <p>In our April 16 letter we also stated that we were undertaking a keyword search of the email communications for those employees who work or worked most directly on Proposition 65 to locate responsive e-mails. We stated that we would start with the search terms "safe harbor warning," "safe-harbor warning," "warning language" and "clear and reasonable," and potentially narrow the search further based on the results these terms return. We have now completed our e-mail search of the relevant employees. Our initial use of the above search terms yielded an excessive number of documents (35,000) that made it infeasible for us to review. We ultimately narrowed the search to "clear and reasonable." We are producing those documents that are responsive to your request and are not otherwise exempt from disclosure as attorney client communications (Govt. Code, § 6254, subd. (k)), attorney work product (ibid.), confidential settlement discussions (id. at §§ 6254, subd. (k) and 6255), and other confidential communications with private parties. (Ibid.)</p> <p>The enclosed CD contains documents Bates numbers AG02d000001 to AG02d005932. Please note that we have only produced documents that contained the search terms, and have not produced all documents that were attached to the produced document, but did not contain the search term and were therefore not responsive to your request.</p> <p>On April 17, 2017 we provided a CD of the responsive documents we had identified that were not exempt from disclosure on any of the grounds stated in our April 16, 2017 letter. This concludes our response to your Public Records Act request. Please feel free to call me if you have any questions.</p>
------------------------------------	-------	---------	----------	---	--	-----------	---------------------------	---



							<p>A. Overbroad</p> <p>As a preliminary matter, your request is overbroad and burdensome. The Attorney General's Office does most of its filing by case, not by issue. The issue of "clear and reasonable" warnings comes up in virtually every Proposition 65 case, even if just in the context of stating that the safe harbor warning was "clear and reasonable" and consistent with the statute and regulations. Your request would therefore require us to go through all of our extant materials from the last thirty years. Further, because the request seeks all records that address whether a warning complies with Proposition 65, it could potentially relate to every settlement the AG has done in Proposition 65 in which we inform the court that the settlement, which includes a warning, complies with the statute and regulations. Reviewing all of the case files could take months of work and would not likely lead to the production of relevant documents.</p> <p>The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code§ 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.) Consequently, we will be unable to provide you with any records under the request as it is currently formulated. Although the Attorney General 's Office will not conduct a search responsive to your original request, in a good faith effort to provide you with relevant documents on a timely basis, we have construed your request to call for a narrower subset of documents that is neither overbroad nor unduly burdensome. Thus, we have identified the current employees who work most directly on Proposition 65 cases, have had each person identify the cases he or she worked on or were aware of in which alternatives to the "safe harbor" warning under Proposition 65 were specifically discussed, and have searched the electronic files of those cases to find documents responsive to your request. In addition, we have reviewed the electronic files of those cases in which we have commented on private party settlements under Proposition 65, as well as files of those cases that deal with general Proposition 65 issues, to determine if there are any documents in those files that are responsive to your request. We do not intend to provide any documents filed in National Wheat Growers et al. v. Zeise et al., since you and your law firm are already in possession of those documents.</p> <p>We are also undertaking a key word search of the e-mail communications for those employees who work or worked most directly on Proposition 65 to determine if we can find any non-privileged e-mails that address alternatives to the safe harbor warning. We will start with the search terms "safe harbor warning," "safe-harbor warning," "warning language" and "clear and reasonable," and potentially narrow the search further based on the results these terms return.</p> <p>We anticipate that the search will take some time</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

								<p>because we need assistance from our technology department and litigation support group.</p> <p>B. Exemptions from Disclosure</p> <p>In addition to the records we are producing, a number of the records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure and will not be produced.</p> <p>1. Confidential Attorney Client Communications</p> <p>Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act.</p> <p>(Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.) The attorneys in our department provide legal advice to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"). Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and OEHHA concerning clear and reasonable warnings under Proposition 65, where the Attorney General was acting in his role as counsel to OEHHA, are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act and will not be disclosed.</p> <p>2. Confidential Attorney Work Product</p> <p>The attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc. section 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, section 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>Accordingly, we will not disclose any internal communications between personnel in the Attorney General 's Office concerning warnings under Proposition 65. Similarly, draft litigation documents and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General 's Office are subject to the work product exception, are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act, and will not be produced. Finally, confidential communications with attorneys at the District Attorneys' Offices concerning enforcement of Proposition 65 will not be disclosed.</p> <p>3. Confidential Communications with Private Parties</p> <p>Information gathered by a government agency under assurances of confidentiality may be withheld if it is in the public interest to do so. The official information privilege appears in Evidence Code section 1040 and is incorporated into the Public Records Act through section 6254(k). Evidence Code section 1040(b )(2) provides that a government agency may refuse to disclose "official information," where "there is a necessity for preserving the confidentiality of the information that outweighs the necessity for disclosure in the</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

								<p>interest of justice(.)" In addition to the above, Government Code section 6255 provides that the agency may withhold a document where "on the facts of the particular case the public interest served by not making the record public clearly outweighs the public interest served by disclosure of the record."</p> <p>Attorney General communications with private parties either pursuant to an investigation of a matter, or in the context of settlement discussions or potential objections to settlements are frequently conducted with an understanding of confidentiality on both sides. This confidentiality must be protected in order to maintain the ability of the Attorney General to conduct investigations, to resolve litigation, and to work with private parties to ensure that settlements comply with the law. Maintaining the confidentiality of those communications is necessary to allow the Attorney General to conduct his role as the chief law enforcement officer under Proposition 65, and as the entity that oversees private party enforcement. Without some promise of confidentiality, private parties, whether they are plaintiffs or defendants, will be unwilling to meet and confer with the Attorney General to discuss settlements and resolutions of issues. The interest of the Attorney General in being able to accomplish his duties outweighs any interest the public may have in the confidential documents. The Attorney General will therefore not produce any such confidential communications.</p> <p>Because of the breadth of your request, even as narrowed above, and the need to search through multiple sets of documents and e-mails, we anticipate a rolling production. We plan to send out a DVD or CD containing all of the non-exempt documents, except e-mails, on or about April 17, 2018. We will let you know once we have a better sense of when we will be able to complete the review of the e-mails.</p> <p>April 17, 2018 response: As promised in my April 16, 2018 response to your Public Records Act Request dated April 6, 2018, we are hereby enclosing a CD with responsive documents Bates Nos. AG000001 through AG002313. We are continuing our collecting and review of e-mails and I will let you know once we determine how long that review will take and when we expect to provide a response.</p> <p>On May 9, 2018, Susan Fiering sent an update: Dear Mr. Fayne, we are still reviewing e-mails in response to your Public Record Act Request of April 6, 2018. We anticipate that the review will be completed this week and we will be able to produce any responsive documents sometime in the next two weeks. Please let me know if you have any questions.</p> <p>On June 26, an update was sent: Zach, In response to your PRA request, we have completed our review of the e-mail communications and will be providing you with a CD in the next several</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

							<p>days. I will send you a pdf of the cover letter and will send the CD in the overnight mail.</p> <p>On June 28, 2018, a final response was sent: On April 16, we provided a written response to your request, stating our objections to the request and describing our intent to construe the request narrowly because it was overbroad. In our response we noted that we identified the current employees who work most directly on Proposition 65 cases, had each person identify the cases he or she worked on or were aware of in which alternatives to the "safe harbor" warning under Proposition 65 were specifically discussed, and searched the electronic files of those cases to find documents responsive to your request. In addition, we noted. that we reviewed the electronic files of those cases in which we have commented on private party settlements under Proposition 65, as well as files of those cases that deal with general Proposition 65 issues, to determine if there were any documents in those files that were responsive to your request. Finally~ we stated that we did not intend to provide any documents filed in National Wheat Growers et al. v. Zeise et al., since you and your law firm are already in possession of those documents.</p> <p>On April 17, 2017 we provided a CD of the responsive documents we had identified that were not exempt from disclosure on any of the grounds stated in our April 16, 2017 letter.</p> <p>In our April 16 letter we also stated that we were undertaking a keyword search of the email communications for those employees who work or worked most directly on Proposition 65 to locate responsive e-mails. We stated that we would start with the search terms "safe harbor warning," "safe-harbor warning," "warning language" and "clear and reasonable," and potentially narrow the search further based on the results these terms return. We have now completed our e-mail search of the relevant employees. Our initial use of the above search terms yielded an excessive number of documents (35,000) that made it infeasible for us to review. We ultimately narrowed the search to "clear and reasonable." We are producing those documents that are responsive to your request and are not otherwise exempt from disclosure as attorney client communications (Govt. Code, § 6254, subd. (k)), attorney work product (bid.), confidential settlement discussions (id. at §§ 6254, subd. (k) and 6255), and other confidential communications with private parties. (Ibid.)</p> <p>The enclosed CD contains documents Bates numbers AG02d000001 to AG02d005932.</p> <p>Please note that we have only produced documents that contained the search term, and have not produced all documents that were attached to the produced document, but did not contain the search term and were therefore not responsive to your request.</p> <p>This concludes our response to your Public</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

							Records Act request. Please feel free to call me if you have any questions.
PRA - 2018 - 0067 9	Ortiz	Liliana	4/6/2018	marriage record		Completed	Erin Nickless To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA - 2018 - 0068 0	Odom	Tammy	4/6/2018	Clifton Tyre Odom III, Dui on March 14, 2017 [REDACTED], Tuolumne County, Sonora, CA		Completed	Erin Nickless To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA - 2018 - 0068 1	Hamilton	Amanda	4/6/2018	On behalf of my client, Kenneth Roys, I am requesting the following: -Photos: 21 pictures taken by Officer Swift ID 021918 #E0170617-720 - CAD Log - CAD Tape (Audio) associated with the motor vehicle accident on 10/14/2017 in Santa Cruz County. Traffic Collision Report Number 9720-2017-08520. NCIC # 9720. Officer ID 021918		Completed	Erin Nickless Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place. Traffic collision reports may also have been filed with the Department of Motor Vehicles.  In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal

								history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA - 2018 - 00683	Sachmanyan	Oganes	4/6/2018	Please furnish this office with a copy of a 911 Transcript. Our Client & Caller: Leonid Janumyan Originating Telephone #: [REDACTED] Date of Loss & Call: January 24, 2018 Time of Call: 11:00 a.m. Location of Collision & call: Woodman Ave. / Heart St., Van Nuys, CA 91405 LAPD Responding Officers: Marshall 38399 and LAFORCE 27792 (Valley Traffic Div.) Traffic Collision Report #: 180905243 Please be advised that Law Offices of Oganes J. Sachmanyman has been retained by the above-named client to represent him in any and all claim(s) arising out of the above-referenced accident. Please furnish this office with a copy of a 911 Transcript for our use in properly evaluating and presenting his claim.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Specifically, you are seeking a transcript of a 9-11 call. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PRA - 2018 - 00708	Contreras	Joe	4/6/2018	Trial and sentencing court transcripts of David Arenas Olvera, Case Number CR28041		Completed	Cynthia S. Lumley	Our office has no records responsive to your request.
PRA - 2018 - 00738	Rubine	Jessica	4/6/2018	I am a law student doing research on e-mail retention policies and I am wondering if it's possible to get a copy of the DOJ's policy? In particular, a policy that outlines how long emails must be retained in the DOJ agency would be most helpful.		Completed	Erin Nickless	On April 15, 2018, our office sought an extension. On April 20, 2018, we responded via three e-mails as follows: E-mail 1: On April 15, 2018, our office extended our time to respond to this request to search for and collect records from many different divisions and sections within our office. Attached please find the following: 1. Department Of Justice Administrative Manual, Chapter 4, section 2 regarding records management 2. Administrative Bulletin No. 16-09 - Retention of DOJ Records 3. Records retention schedules for the California Justice Information Services Division 4. Records retention schedules for the Civil Law Division Additional documents will be produced in two other e-mails, to prevent the e-mail from being declined due to file sizes. E-mail 2: On April 15, 2018, our office extended our time to respond to this request to search for and collect records from many different divisions and sections within our office. Attached please find the following: 1. Records retention schedules for the Criminal Law Division 2. Records retention schedules for the Executive Division 3. Records retention schedules for the Division of Administrative Support Additional documents will be produced in two other e-mails, to prevent the e-mail from being declined due to file sizes. E-mail 3: On April 15, 2018, our office extended our time to respond to this request to search for and

								collect records from many different divisions and sections within our office. Attached please find the following: 1. Records retention schedules for the Division of Law Enforcement 2. Records retention schedules for the Public Rights Division Additional documents will be produced in two other e-mails, to prevent the e-mail from being declined due to file sizes. Please confirm if you have received all three e-mails. This is the third e-mail, and completes our response to this request.
PRA - 2018 - 01079	Sher	Kathy	4/6/2018	My questions are regarding the fingerprint records kept on file for purposes of providing Subsequent Arrest Notification to licensing agencies, including the fingerprint records of State Bar licensees. Does DOJ access these records, or allow access to any other entity, for any purpose other than the provision of Subsequent Arrest Notification to the licensing agencies? If so, what access is permitted, and for what purposes? I would also like to know what measures are taken to keep the database of fingerprint records secure from unauthorized access.	ACLU	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. Fingerprint images obtained for noncriminal justice purposes, e.g., employment, licensing, certification, child placement, etc., are maintained by the DOJ for the purpose of providing subsequent notifications until the DOJ is informed by the entity that the entity is no longer interested in the applicant, pursuant to Penal Code section 11105.2. The fingerprint images are not accessed for any other purpose than to provide subsequent notifications. There is no access to the Automated Fingerprint Information System (AFIS), except for internal program resources that maintain the fingerprint records.
PRA - 2018 - 00682	Selck	Colleen	4/9/2018	I would like the official job title of the Public Records Coordinator, the department that person works in, their job description, pay, and the number of public records requests processed per month. Thank you. 04-11-18 follow up question: Thank you for the information. The numbers of requests you provided are sufficient. I do have a question about pay. Are those amounts per week? Again thank you.		Completed	Erin Nickless	The Public Records Coordinator is classified as an Associate Governmental Program Analyst with the California Department of Justice's Civil Law Division. The salary range for an Associate Governmental Program Analyst is \$4,784.00 - \$5,988.00. Please see the attached duty statement which outlines the duties of the Public Records Coordinator. Regarding your question which requests the number of public records requests processed per month, would it be possible for you to narrow your request to a specific date range? I can provide the numbers we have readily available for annual totals for 2017 and 2018. Between January 1, 2017, and December 31, 2017, our office processed approximately 1,968 requests. Since January 1, 2018 to today's date, our office has processed over 700 requests. If you can provide a specific time frame to narrow the scope, I can better address this part of your request. April 11, 2018 response to follow up: The amount quoted, \$4,784.00 - \$5,988.00, is the monthly salary for the position.
PRA - 2018 - 00684	Moxley	R. Scott	4/9/2018	Under the California Public Records Act, I seek to inspect the following records pertaining to the California Highway Patrol's Fullerton Airport outpost: --Rosters of all employees for the last five years; --All employee travel and reimbursement expenses for the last five years; --All purchases above \$750 for the last five years; --All ethical guidelines for CHP pilots.	OC Weekly	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Our research into the matter shows that the records you seek may be in the custody or control



								of a local or another state agency; in this instance, the California Highway Patrol. Information on how to contact their office can be found on their website at <a href="https://www.chp.ca.gov/home/contact-us">https://www.chp.ca.gov/home/contact-us</a> .
PRA - 2018 - 0068 5	Dorris	Madely nne	4/9/2 018	I don't know his case number, or really anything about it but his name is Mark Edward Dorris and he's my father. I do know he's had restraining orders against him if that helps, one by Jennifer L Martin, and a crazy chick named Amber, but that's really all i can say.		Comp leted	Erin Nickles	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 0068 6	Mathews	Elanor	4/9/2 018	Court, criminal records for ex husband John Vincent Mathews. I'm trying too see if there is any new information to help my daughter proceed with court action for molestation.		Comp leted	Erin Nickles	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request for court records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or</p>

								deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> . We note that you are seeking information on behalf of a victim of a crime. While the Public Records Unit is unable to provide this information, other resources may be available by contacting our office's Victim Services Unit. Information on how to contact them can be found on our website at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/victimservices">https://oag.ca.gov/victimservices</a> .
PRA - 2018 - 00687	Peacock	Michael	4/9/2018	I would like the entire case file pertaining to a disciplinary action filed on or about March 24, 2015 by the AG's office on behalf of the Medical Board of California against Kush Kumar, M.D., Case No. 800-2014-006024, including: (1) pleadings filed, (2) any attorney appearances that were filed or submitted, (3) any correspondence sent to or received from Dr. Kumar and/or any representative of Dr. Kumar, and (4) any orders entered in the proceedings. Comments: I am an attorney licensed to practice in Georgia and Dr. Kush Kumar is my client.		Completed	Jane Zack Simon	<p>1. Responsive Records</p> <p>The records that are responsive to your request and subject to disclosure are attached. The records produced are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Emails between Kush Kumar and the Attorney General's Office</li><li>• Notice of Defense filed by Kush Kumar, with attachment</li><li>• May 2015 Letter and Order from Georgia Composite Medical Board</li><li>• Emails between attorney Ken Crowder and Attorney General's Office</li><li>• Proposed Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and Stipulated Surrender of License</li><li>• Letter from Kush Kumar to Medical Board of California with attachment</li><li>• Signed Stipulated Surrender of License</li><li>• Decision of Medical Board of California, with Accusation attached.</li><li>• Email from Kush Kumar to Attorney General, forwarded to attorney Ken Crowder</li></ul> <p>2. Records Exempted from Disclosure</p> <p>A. Records Under the Control of Other Agencies</p> <p>Your Public Records Act request is directed to the Department of Justice, Office of the Attorney General, and this response is made solely on behalf of the Department of Justice, Office of the Attorney General. If you wish to obtain records that may be in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct a request to the appropriate agency.</p> <p>B. Attorney Work Product</p> <p>The attorney work product doctrine protects the confidentiality of writings that reflect an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research, or legal theories that are maintained as confidential. (Code of Civil Procedure section 2018.030). This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure (Government Code section 6254(k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior</p>

								<p>Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833). Records such as confidential analyses, draft language, and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. To the extent that records were prepared by deputy attorneys general to offer their impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories, those records are attorney work product, exempt from disclosure, and will not be produced.</p> <p>C. Attorney Client Privilege</p> <p>Documents which fall under the attorney client privilege will not be provided. The attorney client privilege protects confidential communications between attorney and client.</p> <p>(Evidence Code section 954). Government Code section 6254(k) expressly exempts from disclosure matters privileged under the Evidence Code, which includes the attorney client privilege. (Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 262, 270). Public entities may assert the attorney client privilege, which applies to communications in the course of professional employment intended to be confidential. (id., at p. 397). As the Office of the Attorney General represents the Medical Board of California in its disciplinary proceedings and before administrative tribunals and courts, communications between the Board and the Attorney General's Office are privileged and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>D. Office Information Privilege</p> <p>Pursuant to Evidence Code section 1040, which is incorporated into the Public Records Act (Government Code section 6254(k)), any reports or findings about the requested information not covered by the attorney client privilege or the attorney work product doctrine that are acquired in confidence by a public employee in the course of his or her duty and are not hitherto open, or officially disclosed, to the public, are protected by the official information privilege. As such, those records are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>E. Investigative Files</p> <p>To the extent your Request seeks disclosure of records in investigatory files, those records are exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6254(£). The exemption continues to apply even if the investigation is closed. (Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337,354).</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00688	Bonilla (NBCuni)	Bethney	4/9/2018	Pursuant to the California Public Records Act, I hereby request the following records maintained by the California Department of Justice: All records and/or the complete database consisting of all California registered firearm owners. I would also like to request the record layout or data dictionary used for this information. In other words, the definition of what each field means and any codes used.		Completed	Robert Wilson	Under the Public Records Act (PRA), government records are open and subject to public inspection unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b); Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k) (incorporating confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law).) Government Code section 6254.19 is one such exemption. It provides that "Nothing in this chapter shall be construed to require the disclosure

								<p>of an information security record of a public agency, if, on the facts of the particular case, disclosure of that record would reveal vulnerabilities to, or otherwise increase the potential for an attack on, an information technology system of a public agency." (Gov. Code, § 6254.19.) The Department of Justice's ("DOJ") firearms database is a primary tool for use in the investigation of crime, the prosecution of civil actions by city attorneys, the arrest and prosecution of criminals, and the recovery of lost, stolen, or found property. (Pen. Code, § 11106, subd. (a).) Public disclosure of the "complete database consisting of all California registered firearm owners" presents an unacceptable risk to public safety because it would expose the entire database to attack. For that reason, we decline this part of your request.</p> <p>The information in the database is similarly protected against public disclosure. Penal Code Section 11106 requires the DOJ to retain specific firearms information, including copies of fingerprints, copies of CCW permits, and "information reported to the Department of Justice pursuant to Section 26225." However, California law restricts the disclosure of this information "to the officers referred to in [Penal Code] Section 11105." (Pen. Code, § 11106, subd. (a).) California courts have consistently upheld this restriction. (See Hill v. Superior Court (1974) 10 Cal.3d 812, 818.) Consequently, the data on firearm owners (even if names and serial numbers are redacted) is not subject to public disclosure in response to a PRA request. (Younger v. Berkeley City Council (1975) 45 Cal. App. 3d 825, 832 (The "restrictions upon release of such information are so carefully set out in the Penal Code sections 11106, 11081 and 11105 ... that it is inconceivable that the general terms of the Public Records Act were intended to render them void."))</p> <p>Inasmuch as California law specifies both the persons who are authorized to obtain firearms records, and the purposes that justify the DOJ's retention and disclosure of those records, it clearly prohibits the release of such information to other persons, or for other purposes. The DOJ must therefore deny your request for this information.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00696	Brown	Stephanie	4/9/2018	<p>Any information stored into the Western States Information network in the RISSIntel and RISSafe databases referencing and/or in connection to any or all of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Ferdinand Casila DOB: [REDACTED] AKA Fernando Casila</li><li>• Andrea Hougan DOB: [REDACTED]</li><li>• Cynthia Garcia DOB: [REDACTED]</li><li>• Reaksa Lim DOB: [REDACTED] AKA Rex Lim</li><li>• Phone: [REDACTED]</li><li>• Phone: [REDACTED]</li><li>• Phone: [REDACTED]</li><li>• Phone: [REDACTED]</li></ul> <p>I'm looking for the WSIN information connected to these people and phone numbers. Email delivery is fine.</p>		Completed	Shannon Patterson	<p>Initially we note that your request is directed to the Department of Justice (DOJ) but seeks records from Western States Information Network (WSIN). Absent a request for representation by another agency, DOJ will respond on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of WSIN we suggest that you direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>We are unable to provide you information referenced in your request.</p>

PRA - 2018 - 0069 7	Bhoomi	Anisha	4/9/2018	I would like to request a bid tabulation/analysis for RFQ 17-192 CURES Cognos Analytics Reports. Here is some information we are seeking: 1. Awarded Vendor 2. All bids submitted (soft copies) 3. Bid Tabulation		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	Unfortunately, the California Department of Justice (DOJ) cannot comply with your request at this time as the Request for Proposal 17-192 is still under evaluation, therefore not public record. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PRA - 2018 - 0069 8	Andrews	Mike	4/9/2018	Date, case numbers and documents for the last 5 years if you have any for Jefferey John Dreyer DOB. [REDACTED]		Completed	William D. Gardner	On April 18, 2018, William Garner sent a fees letter: The Department of Justice is authorized to charge the direct cost of duplication for providing copies of records in response to public records requests. (Gov. Code § 6253 subd. (b).) The cost for making a photocopy of a paper record is \$0.10 per page. Your request for records has generated 313 pages of disclosable records resulting in a duplication fee of \$31.30. Please make the check payable to the California Department of Justice and send your check to: California Department of Justice Public Records Coordinator 1300 I Street, Room 1710-6 Sacramento, CA 95814 Upon receipt of your check in the above-stated amount, we will promptly forward to you the disclosable records responsive to your request. Please make sure to provide the desired mailing address for the records. If we do not receive your payment within 20 days of this letter, we will consider the request for records withdrawn and the matter closed.
PRA - 2018 - 0069 9	Sheehan (Fresno Bee)	Tim	4/9/2018	I am requesting data for numbers of CCW issuances in California, on a county-by-county basis, from 2000 through 2017 (or the latest available figures compiled by your office). In past years, the AG's Office has published a county-by-county detailing of CCWs (example: 2007 Annual CCW Issuances Report, <a href="http://ag.ca.gov/firearms/forms/pdf/ccwissuances2007.pdf">http://ag.ca.gov/firearms/forms/pdf/ccwissuances2007.pdf</a> ). If such data is available on a city-by-city basis, I would request that as well. Additionally, I am requesting data on firearms registrations in California, on a county-by-county basis, for each year from 2000 through 2017 (or the latest available figures compiled by your office). To the extent that this data can be broken down on both a city-by-city basis, and by type of weapon (shotgun/rifle/longarm, assault-style weapon, handgun, etc.), I request that data as well.		Completed	Robert Wilson	On April 19, 2018, an extension of time letter was sent. On May 23, 2018, our office responded: Please find enclosed the information that we have that is responsive to your request. The Department does not maintain historical data for CCWs, so unless a report has already been generated for a previous year, it cannot be compiled at a later date. As such, the Department has provided you with CCW statistics from 2012 to March 9, 2018. For your second request, we are unable to provide you with data on firearms registrations in California. However, we can provide you with the enclosed Dealer Record of Sale (DROS) transactions processed by DOJ from 1972 to 2017. This information can also be found on our website: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/firearms">https://oag.ca.gov/firearms</a> . No further information or documents will be produced as the remaining information and documents requested are exempt from disclosure under the Act on the following grounds: Under the Public Records Act, government records are open and subject to public inspection unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b); Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k) (incorporating confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law).) Government Code section 6254.19 is one

							<p>such exemption. It provides that "Nothing in this chapter shall be construed to require the disclosure of an information security record of a public agency, if, on the facts of the particular case, disclosure of that record would reveal vulnerabilities to, or otherwise increase the potential for an attack on, an information technology system of a public agency." (Gov. Code, § 6254.19.)</p> <p>The Department of Justice's ("DOJ") firearms database is a primary tool for use in the investigation of crime, the prosecution of civil actions by city attorneys, the arrest and prosecution of criminals, and the recovery of lost, stolen, or found property. (Pen. Code, § 11106, subd. (a).) Public disclosure of the "firearms registrations in California" presents an unacceptable risk to public safety because it would expose the entire database to attack. For that reason, we decline this part of your request.</p> <p>The information in the database is similarly protected against public disclosure. Penal Code Section 11106 requires the DOJ to retain specific firearms information, including copies of fingerprints, copies of CCW permits, and "information reported to the Department of Justice pursuant to Section 26225." However, California law restricts the disclosure of this information "to the officers referred to in [Penal Code] Section 11105." (Pen. Code, § 11106, subd. (a).) California courts have consistently upheld this restriction. (See Hill v. Superior Court (1974) 10 Cal.3d 812, 818.) Consequently, the data on firearm owners (even if names and serial numbers are redacted) is not subject to public disclosure in response to a PRA request. (Younger v. Berkeley City Council (1975) 45 Cal. App. 3d 825, 832 (The "restrictions upon release of such information are so carefully set out in the Penal Code sections 11106, 11081 and 11105 ... that it is inconceivable that the general terms of the Public Records Act were intended to render them void."))</p> <p>Inasmuch as California law specifies both the persons who are authorized to obtain firearms records, and the purposes that justify the DOJ's retention and disclosure of those records, it clearly prohibits the release of such information to other persons, or for other purposes. The DOJ must therefore deny that part of your request.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00700	Huckaby	Clayton	4/9/2018	I would like to obtain all documentation concerning officer-involved shootings that have been reported to the Office of the Attorney General by the Kern County Sheriff's Office. It is my understanding that California law requires every law enforcement office and department to report every instance of an officer-involved shooting to the Office of the Attorney General since 2005. I would like the names of every individual shot by a Kern County Sheriff's employee, the name of the Kern County Sheriff's employee that shot the individual, and any determinations made after an investigation.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett <p>When we sought clarification on April 11, 2018, you clarified that you would like death in custody report for Kern County 2005-present.</p> <p>The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p> <p>The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.</p>

PRA - 2018 - 0070 2	Small (KQED)	Julie	4/9/2018	Under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq I request the following information in electronic format for all in-custody deaths (excluding state prisons) for all counties in California. 1. All death in custody reports submitted on Form BCIA 713 to the Department of Justice from the beginning of 2010 through the present date. 2. All death in custody annual summaries on inmates under jail jurisdiction submitted on form J-9A submitted to the to the Department of Justice from the beginning of 2007 through March 2018.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. In regard to item (1), please find attached responsive document. This data is current through April 19, 2018. Note, the DOJ only receives one form for Death in Custody reporting, which is entered into a database and not retained. In regard to item (2), the J-9A form is a federal form that county jails complete and send directly to the Federal Bureau of Investigation; therefore, the DOJ does not receive or retain this information.
PRA - 2018 - 0077 2	Busse	Thomas	4/9/2018	The California State Parks Foundation did not appear on your website's charity search. I wish to review a copy of its RRF-1 with attachments inclusive of the State tax return and donor disclosure. I am trying to determine if a donation from a developer named "Don Davis" listed in its annual report is an unreported FPPC behested payment or possibly even a bribe, as the State Parks Foundation's ED is a member of the San Francisco Board of Education. I'm hoping the State tax return will disclose the exact amount of Mr. Davis's "donation" The State Parks foundation's EIN is 94-1707583, and its Business entity number is C0581544		Completed	Elizabeth S. Kim	All documents that are matters of public record regarding California State Parks Foundation are available for viewing at the public pages of the Registry of Charitable Trusts website: <a href="http://rct.doj.ca.gov/MyLicenseVerification/Search.aspx?facility=Y">http://rct.doj.ca.gov/MyLicenseVerification/Search.aspx?facility=Y</a> . You could search by using the "Organization Name" (California State Parks Foundation) or by using its "State Charity Registration Number" (011757).
PRA - 2018 - 0081 4	Thompson (AP)	Don	4/9/2018	Bethany: As discussed, we request tallies of the total number of firearms seized under the APPS program broken down by year, from 2013 to now. We also request the total number of firearms seized under the APPS program broken down by year and by region or by APPS team. May 7, 2018 follow up: I wanted to check on the status of this request. The deadline outlined in the attached letter was last Thursday. I emailed the bureau but haven't heard back from anyone there since then. Separately, anything of note expected this week?		Completed	Robert Wilson	On April 24, 2018, Robert Wilson sent an extension letter On May 8, 2018, Robert Wilson responded: Please find enclosed the information you requested on firearms seized under the APPS program broken down by region. We have also enclosed a list of which counties are included in each region.
PRA - 2018 - 0070 3	Booker	Lois	4/10/2018	Any records you have of me. I was harassed, bullied, threatened and evicted. I have contacted every local, county, state and federal office and many attorneys, they all have taken my info., offered services only to put in my soc sec number and turn me down immediately, while assuring me all these acts were violations of my Civil rights. Something have to be on my record that I am not aware, but out of hundreds of cries for help, none of them has been honest enough with me to let me know what they see in my records that is making them assure me and deny me services at the same time. Was told by one of the Fed Offices that sure these were all violations, they had no category to put any of them under, FEDERAL?		Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents



							<p>sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00704	Lewis	Regina Id	4/10/2018	Eviction filing...filing date (May 18th 2015) Disposition : 3 npr +Plain Judge- Judge Rest		Completed	Erin Nickless <p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking eviction records, you may wish to direct your request to the local superior court in which the case was filed.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00731	Stone	Jeanna	4/10/2018	Trying to request my Birth Certificate Jeanna Lea Crank		Completed	Erin Nickless <p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00753	McRae	Courtney	4/10/2018	April 10 follow up request to March 29 request: Would the office be able to provide simply the number of complaints and years made involving the entities requested? (Carrington Colleges, DeVry University, or Adtalem Global Education, Inc.)		Completed	Erin Nickless <p>As stated in our previous e-mail, we must decline your request for the number of complaints as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful</p>

							practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)
PRA - 2018 - 0070 5	Franks	Jason	4/11/ 2018	transcript of 911 call made on Feb 11th 2018. the case number is NW 2018-0048252 I would like to know what the original report to the police was when the arrestee called 911 on me only to be the one arrested		Completed	Erin Nickless Specifically, you are seeking a recording of a 9-11 call. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PRA - 2018 - 0070 6	Hinojos	Mark	4/11/ 2018	complete record for use in employment		Completed	Erin Nickless We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows: -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. If you can provide more information regarding the records you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control. In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA - 2018 -	Woods	Steven	4/11/ 2018	Last known address or phone number of Christine Lynn Woods Bishop, born [REDACTED]. Our mother is dying and we cannot reach her. We believe that she was a legal secretary in the Pacifica area for a while.		Completed	Erin Nickless Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your

00709							request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.
PRA - 2018 - 00711	Casanova	Lizette	4/11/2018	I need copies of a closed cps case or family law case, I recently got served with paper work for joint custody of my 10 year old son by the absent parent, He lost his parental rights through cps and family law in 2013. I need proof of this case. my name the child's name is [REDACTED] the father is Victor Vazquez		Completed	Erin Nickless You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with a family law court, you may wish to direct your request to their office. If you are seeking Child Protective Services documents, you will need to contact Child Protective Services.
PRA - 2018 - 00718	Combs	Brandon	4/11/2018	We respectfully request all public records and information regarding the recently-posted Department of Justice proposed regulations (OAL File # 2018-0409-01) ("Firearms: Identifying Info and the Unique Serial Number Application (USNA) Process"). This is a matter of great public concern. Thus, if we cannot receive an electronic copy of the records before noon tomorrow (April 12, 2018) via reply e-mail to this address, we would be happy to visit your office and personally inspect the information. If we do not hear from you before noon tomorrow, we will assume that we will need to personally inspect the information in order to timely access it. See: <a href="https://oal.ca.gov/proposed-regulations/">https://oal.ca.gov/proposed-regulations/</a>		Completed	This PRA was received by Bureau of Firearms and Office of Administrative Law (OAL). OAL Sr. Attorney Rick Smith responded: In response to your Public Records Act request of today, all we have in response to your request is the regulation text and the rulemaking file submitted by the Department of Justice in OAL file no. 2018-0409-01. A copy of the regulation text is attached. We will also scan and email a copy of the rulemaking file for this action to you by the close of business on Friday, 4/13/2018. The rulemaking file is approximately 400 pages and we do not have the staff to send it to you sooner than that. If you prefer to come to our office to examine the rulemaking file, please make an appointment with me. I can schedule a 30-minute appointment for you to review the rulemaking file from 1:30 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. Thursday, 4/12/2018, or from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Friday, 4/13/2018.
PRA - 2018 - 00773	Spriggs	Scott	4/11/2018	Date of Incident: 8/28/2017 Location of Incident: Keen and Dusk Drive, San Diego, California Police Department: San Diego Report No.: 17900726 Incident No.: 17080046949 Would like to obtain two witness videos that the police obtained.		Completed	Erin Nickless Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.

PRA - 2018 - 0071 5	Lin	Raina	4/12/ 2018	IT Department and/or Procurement Organizational Chart I'd like to request an Organizational Chart for the IT Department that includes the managers' name and contact information (email/phone number if possible).		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.
PRA - 2018 - 0071 6	Torres	Maria	4/12/ 2018	Jan 15, 2018 0715 911 recording Hit and Run on Rodgers Place Burbank Ca. Female dispatcher		Completed	Erin Nickless	Specifically, you are seeking a recording and/or transcript of a 9-11 call. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PRA - 2018 - 0071 7	King	Robert	4/12/ 2018	Medical records on or about 8/11/2015		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking medical records. Therefore, we must decline your request for the foregoing reasons. Records Not in the Possession of the Department of Justice DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire State. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. We have no obligation or ability to disclose records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking medical records, you may wish to direct your request to the doctor or hospital from which treatment was received. While our office may at times receive medical records as evidence related to cases, these are usually unique and rare occurrences and would either be considered discovery, or fall under the exemption cited below for medical records. In discovery during civil litigation unrelated to the Public Records Act, Evidence Code section 1040 governs and precludes our office from disclosing medical records that are part of discovery proceedings. Medical Records Government Code §6254(c) provides that "Personnel, medical, or similar files, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy" are exempt from disclosure.
PRA - 2018 - 0071 9	Ulloa	Ana	4/12/ 2018	Pay information for all job titles at Metropolitan State Hospital		Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) The DOJ is not a depository for records for the

							entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking Metropolitan State Hospital records, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PRA - 2018 - 0072 0	DeWitt	Melissa	4/12/ 2018	I am requesting the public records on my son's Superintendent for the Shafter Richland School District. His name is [REDACTED] and had allegations brought against him.		Completed	Erin Nickless  Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)  Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, if you are seeking records from Shafter Richland School District, you would need to contact them directly.  In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA - 2018 - 0072 1	Ashton (SacBee)	Adam	4/12/ 2018	Please provide any investigation into the Board of Equalization conducted by the Attorney General's Office after Jan. 1, 2017.		Completed	Chris Knudsen  This investigation is confidential and is currently ongoing. For those reasons and the reasons set forth below, we must respectfully deny your request:  1. Attorney-Client Privileged Records Are Exempt From Disclosure  The records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.) In the present case, the Governor's Office retained the Attorney General's office to complete an investigation into certain allegations that arose

								<p>during the Department of Finance's audit of the Board of Equalization including certain allegations of retaliation. Employment attorneys were assigned to complete the investigation and those attorneys were required to use their legal expertise to identify, analyze, and evaluate the pertinent facts. The attorney-client privilege extends to situations where an attorney conducts a factual investigation for a client. (City of Petaluma v. Superior Court (2016) 248 Cal.App.4th 1023 (review denied).) A report has not yet been prepared but when a report is prepared it will constitute an attorney's work product and will be communicated in confidence to the Governor's Office, our client. Accordingly, our investigation documents and the investigation report constitutes a confidential communication pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and is exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>2. Attorney Work Product Is Exempt From Disclosure</p> <p>Additionally, the attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc. section 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, section 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. When the investigation report is prepared it will be the work product of the Deputy Attorney General who prepared the report. Similarly, the exhibits attached and questions asked of witnesses reflect her approach, thought processes, and mental impressions.</p> <p>3. Investigative Records Are Exempt From Disclosure</p> <p>The records that you seek constitute records of an ongoing, confidential investigation by the office of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).])</p> <p>4. Private Records Are Exempt From Disclosure</p> <p>Government Code Section 6254, subdivision (c)</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

							<p>makes exempt personnel, medical, or similar files the disclosure of which would cause an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. The request is also denied to the extent it seeks private and confidential information.</p> <p>5. The Public Interest Served By Not Disclosing These Records Clearly Outweighs The Public Interest Served by Disclosure.</p> <p>Finally, the records are also being withheld under Section 6255 of the Government Code. Under the facts of this particular case, the public interest served by not disclosing the records clearly outweighs the public interest served by disclosure of the records. The investigation remains open, is ongoing, and the investigation report has not yet been prepared. Because the investigation has not yet been completed there is a lessened public interest in disclosure and that interest is clearly outweighed by the significant public interest in ensuring the integrity of the investigation including that investigations into workplace issues are kept confidential to encourage witnesses to speak to investigators freely.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00722	Murillo	Ricardo	4/12/2018	<p>1. Manual or Printout of California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS)/Criminal History abbreviations/glossary of terms (To assist in reading a CLETS printout.</p> <p>2. Training Manual used in assisting Law Enforcement/District Attorneys in reading CLETS printouts.</p>	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p> <p>In regard to item (1 ), please see the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS) Policies, Practices and Procedures document. In regard to item (2) please find the Record of Arrests and Prosecution Sheet Training presentation with training documents. Note, we have redacted sensitive personal information from records based on considerations of personal privacy. (Art. I, sec. 1 of Cal. Con. as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.)</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00723	Broussard	Connie	4/12/2018	<p>1. All efforts made by the California Department of Consumer Affairs (DCA) or its Attorneys, the Department of Justice, Attorney General's Office, (AGO) to determine if racial or other bias contributed to the reports or complaint made against medical providers of Color, since January 1, 2013;</p> <p>2. All information learned, gathered, studied, or referred to by the AGO related to the disproportionate number of complaints made to the DCA or AGO about doctors and other medical providers of color;</p> <p>3. The number of investigations referred to the AGO by the DCA listed by issue (what was the complaint about), profession of respondent (MD, OD, DDS, RN, PA, etc.), race of respondent (medical provider), national origin of respondent, race of investigator assigned, race of attorney assigned by AGO, result (settlement, hearing, dismissal, etc), discipline imposed (suspension, 3 months, PACE 1 week, Revocation, hearing- not-sustained, etc).</p> <p>4. All efforts made by the AGO to address the disproportionate number of complaints made about doctors and other medical providers of color, particularly Black providers;</p> <p>5. All efforts made by the AGO to address the disproportionate discipline imposed upon doctors and other medical providers of color, particularly Black doctors;</p> <p>6. All records related to implicit or unconscious bias training received by the attorneys in the Licensing or Health Quality Enforcement Sections of the Los Angeles Office;</p> <p>7. All statements made by any executive, board member of any board, or staff of the DCA, Licensing or HQE section of the AGO, related to the disproportionate number of complaints made about doctors and other medical providers of color, particularly Black doctors;</p>	Completed	Gloria Castro, SAAG	<p>An extension letter was sent on April 16, 2018 by E.A. Jones III</p> <p>On May 7, 2018, We will respond to each of your requests in order. Response # 1: We note that your public records request is directed to the AGO but seeks records from the Department of Consumer Affairs. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>Investigatory materials, and complaints made through the Public Information Unit, are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick</p>



			<p>8. All statements made by any executive, board member of any board, or staff of the DCA, Licensing or HQE section of the AGO, related to the disproportionate discipline imposed upon doctors and other medical providers of color, particularly Black doctors;</p> <p>9. All information related to how many complaints for discrimination in the investigation of professional licenses brought against any employee of, or division of, the DCA or the AGO.</p> <p>10. All information related to how many complaints for discrimination in the prosecution of professional licenses brought against any employee of, or division of, the DCA or the AGO.</p> <p>11. All information related to how many complaints for discrimination in the discipline of professional licenses brought against any employee of, or division of, the DCA or the AGO.</p>			<p>Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status even if they do not lead to prosecution, or the closure of an investigation. Nor are they subject to redaction, which would not change their exempt status.</p> <p>The Attorney General 's Office does not collect information, pertaining to the race or national origin of respondents, investigators, or attorneys assigned by the AGO. Further, such materials may not be maintained, indexed or categorized according to a single title or subject matter description, thus, requiring an extensive search for such documents. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code, § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.) This request is unduly burdensome; consequently, we are unable to provide you with any records under the request as it is currently cast.</p> <p>Response #2:</p> <p>Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), specifically exempts from disclosure under the PRA any investigation materials gathered by a licensing agency - this includes complaints. Any information sought related to consumer complaints and possible investigations into those complaints are considered "confidential" materials and are exempt from disclosure. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1 993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status even if they do not lead to prosecution, or the closure of an investigation.</p> <p>(Id. at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).].)</p> <p>We note also that your public records request is directed to the AGO but seeks records from the Department of Consumer Affairs. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>You have also requested a privilege log detailing information regarding documents exempt from the Public Records Act. Under the Public Records Act, government agencies are not obligated to provide a privilege log or list of every record withheld along with a statutory justification for withholding. (Haynie v Superior Court (200 1) 26 Cal.4th 1061, 1074 [not ing that public agencies must articulate the specific PRA exemption pertaining to records withheld.</p> <p>"What section 6255 does not require, however, is for the agency to go further and descr be each of the documents falling within the statutory</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

								<p>exemption." (Italics in original.)).) Moreover, providing such a log would be unduly time-consuming and burdensome under the public interest balancing test. (Id., 106 1, 1074-75; Gov. Code, § 6255.)</p> <p>Response #3:</p> <p>Investigatory materials, and complaints made through the Public Information Unit, are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status even if they do not lead to prosecution, or the closure of an investigation. Nor are they subject to redaction, which would not change their exempt status.</p> <p>The Attorney General's Office does not collect information, pertaining to the race or national origin of respondents, investigators, or attorneys assigned by the AGO. Further, such materials may not be maintained, indexed or categorized according to a single title or subject matter description, thus, requiring an extensive search for such documents. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code, § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.) This request is unduly burdensome; consequently, we are unable to provide you with any records under the request as it is currently cast.</p> <p>Response #4: No responsive documents are in the possession of the Attorney General's Office. Notwithstanding the foregoing, investigatory materials, and complaints made through the Public Information Unit, are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status even if they do not lead to prosecution, or the closure of an investigation. The Attorney General's Office does not collect information, pertaining to the race or national origin of respondents, investigators, or attorneys assigned by the AGO. Further, such materials may not be maintained, indexed or categorized according to a single title or subject matter description, thus, requiring extensive search for such documents. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code, § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453 .) Consequently, we are unable to provide you with</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

								<p>any records under the request as it is currently cast.</p> <p>Response #5:</p> <p>No responsive documents are in the possession of the Attorney General's Office.</p> <p>Notwithstanding the foregoing, investigatory materials, and complaints made through the Public Information Unit, are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General.</p> <p>Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status even if they do not lead to prosecution, or the closure of an investigation.</p> <p>Nor are they subject to redaction, which would not change their exempt status.</p> <p>The Attorney General's Office does not collect information, pertaining to the race or national origin of respondents, investigators, or attorneys assigned by the AGO. Further, such materials may not be maintained, indexed or categorized according to a single title or subject matter description, thus, requiring an extensive search for such documents. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code, § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.) Consequently, we are unable to provide you with any records under the request as it is currently cast.</p> <p>Response #6:</p> <p>We attach a schedule of Diversity Workforce Talent trainings presented between April 2013 and April 2018 to Supervising Deputy Attorneys General in the Licensing and Health Quality Enforcement Sections of the AGO. We attach also a copy of the Unconscious Bias training presented jointly in 2017 to members of the Medical Board, the Department of Consumer Affairs Division of Investigation's Health Quality Investigation Unit, and Health Quality Enforcement section staff.</p> <p>Response #7:</p> <p>The AGO represent various Boards of the Department of Consumer of Affairs, and its affiliates, in administrative tribunals, as well as in courts of competent jurisdiction. Accordingly, all communications between a Board and the Attorney General's Office, including the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys, are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

								<p>(Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.)</p> <p>In addition, the attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>Furthermore, agencies may withhold the disclosure of records pertaining to pending litigation to which the Board, or its affiliates, is a party until the pending litigation has been finally adjudicated or otherwise settled. (Gov. Code, § 6254 subd. (b); see County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (Axelrad) (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 83 1; Fairley v. Superior Court (1998) 66 Cal.App.4th 1414, 1422.)</p> <p>We note also that your public records request is directed to the AGO but seeks records from the Department of Consumer Affairs. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>Response #8:</p> <p>The AGO attorneys represent various Boards of the Department of Consumer Affairs, and its affiliates, in administrative tribunals, as well as in courts of competent jurisdiction. Accordingly, all communications between a Board and the Attorney General's Office, including the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys, are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.)</p> <p>We note also that your public records request is directed to the AGO but seeks records from the Department of Consumer Affairs. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

							<p>other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>In addition, the attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>Furthermore, agencies may withhold the disclosure of records pertaining to pending litigation to which the Board, or its affiliates, is a party until the pending litigation has been finally adjudicated or otherwise settled. (Gov. Code, § 6254 subd. (b); see County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (Axelrad) (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833; Fairley v. Superior Court (1998) 66 Cal.App.4th 1414, 1422.)</p> <p>Response #9:</p> <p>Investigatory materials, and complaints made through the Public Information Unit, are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)</p> <p>Investigative records do not lose their exempt status even if they do not lead to prosecution, or the closure of an investigation. Nor are they subject to redaction, which would not change their exempt status.</p> <p>Some of the records that you seek are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)</p> <p>Investigative records do not lose their exempt status even if they do not lead to prosecution, or the closure of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).J.)</p> <p>Further, such materials may not be maintained, indexed or categorized according to a</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

							<p>single title or subject matter description, thus, requiring an extensive search for such documents. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmaejian ( 1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.) Consequently, we will be unable to provide you with any records under the request as it is currently cast. We have, however, performed a thorough search for any responsive records marked clearly as alleging racial discrimination brought against any employee of, or division of the AGO, and have listed them below.</p> <p>We note also that your public records request is directed to the AGO but seeks records from the Department of Consumer Affairs. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>Response # 10:</p> <p>Investigatory materials, and complaints made through the Public Information Unit, are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status even if they do not lead to prosecution, or the closure of an investigation. Nor are they subject to redaction, which would not change their exempt status.</p> <p>Some of the records that you seek are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)</p> <p>Investigative records do not lose their exempt status even if they do not lead to prosecution, or the closure of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f) .] .)</p> <p>Further, such materials may not be maintained, indexed or categorized according to a single title or subject matter description, thus, requiring an extensive search for such documents.</p> <p>The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code, § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

								<p>Deukmaejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.) Consequently, we will be unable to provide you with any records under the request as it is currently cast. We have, however, performed a thorough search for any responsive records marked clearly as alleging racial discrimination brought against any employee of, or division of the AGO, and have listed them below.</p> <p>We note also that your public records request is directed to the AGO but seeks records from the Department of Consumer Affairs. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>Response # 11 :</p> <p>Investigatory materials, and complaints made through the Public Information Unit, are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status even if they do not lead to prosecution, or the closure of an investigation. Nor are they subject to redaction, which would not change their exempt status.</p> <p>Some of the records that you seek are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)</p> <p>Investigative records do not lose their exempt status even if they do not lead to prosecution, or the closure of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).])</p> <p>Further, such materials may not be maintained, indexed or categorized according to a single title or subject matter description, thus, requiring an extensive search for such documents.</p> <p>The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.)</p> <p>Consequently, we will be unable to provide you with any records under the request as it is currently cast. We have, however, performed a thorough search for any responsive records marked clearly as alleging racial discrimination and have listed</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--



							<p>below.</p> <p>We note also that your public records request is directed to the AGO but seeks records from the Department of Consumer Affairs. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>With respect to items 1 through 11 of your request, we have made diligent inquiries with knowledgeable personnel and searched electronic records. We have attached responsive documents as noted above and as follows: a schedule of Diversity Workforce trainings presented to Supervising Deputies Attorneys General of the AGO's Health Quality Enforcement and Licensing sections; a copy of the Implicit Bias training materials provided to members of the Medical Board of California, Health Quality Investigation Unit Investigators, and Health Quality Enforcement staff in 2017, a letter dated February 17, 2016, from then Chief Deputy Attorney General Nathan R. Barankin to Rickie Ivie, Esq., regarding Dr. Anthony Jackson; employment examination announcements for Director of the Office of Community and Consumer Affairs (C.E.A.) and Department of Consumer Affairs Investigators; a position duty statement for the classification of Investigator, within the Health Quality Investigation Unit; a California Research Bureau Report, Demographics of Disciplinary Action by the Medical Board of California (2003-2013) and a list of cases, court locations and case numbers.</p> <p>As to the attached list of those cases related to the investigation, prosecution, or discipline of professional licenses brought against any employee of, or division of, the AGO which allege discrimination, are non-exempt, and are in the custody or control of the Office of the Attorney General. We are providing the complaint in the listed cases. However, records related to the listed cases are voluminous. The request to the agency must itself be focused and specific. (Rogers v. Superior Court ( 1993) 19 Cal.App.4th 469, 48 1.) Your request does not specify what particular documents related to the listed cases you are seeking. For these reasons, we must decline your request for more records as it is currently cast. However, we are willing to consult with you to determine if a more specific request would be likely to generate additional records. (Gov. Code, section 6253 .1.) If you wish to discuss this matter further, please contact Supervising Deputy Attorney General E. A. Jones III at (213) 269-6493.</p> <p>The Department of Justice is authorized to charge the direct cost of duplication for providing copies of records in response to public</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

							<p>records requests. (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).)</p> <p>Your request for records has generated 1216 pages of disclosable records. Per your request, we will send all disclosable documents as pdf attachments to the above email address. If you wish to have an electronic copy of these documents sent by First Class mail, the duplication fee for documents saved to a compact disc is \$10. The charge for postage is \$1.75. The total cost for copying and mailing in this instance is \$ 11.75. If you wish to have the documents sent on a compact disc via First Class mail, please make a check payable to the California Department of Justice and send your check to:</p> <p>California Department of Justice Public Records Coordinator 1300 I Street, Room 17 10-6 Sacramento, CA 95814</p> <p>Upon receipt of your check in the above-stated amount, we will promptly forward to you the disclosable records responsive to your request. If we do not receive your payment within 20 days of this letter, we will consider the request for records satisfied, and the matter closed.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 0072 4	Smyth	Maureen	4/12/2018	All recorded information on Maureen Smyth and/or Maureen S. Watlington		Completed	<p>Erin Nickless</p> <p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to</p>

								disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA - 2018 - 00725	Stran	Daniel	4/12/2018	marriage license, marriage certificate. I don't need a certified copy. Just proof of marriage to put my spouse on my company health plan		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA - 2018 - 00726	Mentors (KPBS)	Tarryn	4/12/2018	Pursuant to my rights under the California Public Records Act (Government Code Section 6250 et seq.), I ask for digital copies of all CONSUMER COMPLAINT AGAINST A BUSINESS/CORPORATION forms on record that reference one or more of the following agencies: Alliance for African Assistance (and/or address: 5952 El Cajon Blvd, San Diego, CA, 92115) Alliance Health Clinic (and/or address: 5952 El Cajon Blvd, San Diego, CA, 92115) Global Village Home Care Global Village Language and Cultural Solutions Safari Seconds Thrift Store (and/or address: 2875 El Cajon Blvd, San Diego, CA, 92104)		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 ["While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)."].)
PRA - 2018 - 00727	Cummings	Deana	4/12/2018	I looked myself up on a free truth finder and it said I have \$330,000 in assets in California? But I'm not aware of any assets? Can you run me name and verify this? I'm disabled and have been fighting for my SSD. Have been living off \$197 a month so I don't have any money to pay for it. Also, can you please run my name for criminal too? I had my record expunged in 2016 but I got a weird phone call about voting as a felon?? I'm sensitive about it all because I was set up by an abusive ex-boyfriend and I already missed 19 months of life.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody

							<p>or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you mention a "free truth finder" you may wish to contact them regarding the records you are seeking.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00746	Eiras	Frank	4/12/2018	The initiative information pertaining to the People's Fair Sentencing and Public Safety Act of 2018.		Completed	Anabel Renteria <p>Enclosed, please find a copy of the initiative related to your request, Initiative 17-0046, "The People's Fair Sentencing &amp; Public Safety Act of 2018." Initiative 17-0046 is currently in circulation and has not yet qualified for ballot.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00761	Johnson	Kenneth	4/12/2018	Request for a copy of initiative entitled: Reducing Crime and Keeping California Safe Act of 2018, and copy of the Legislative Analyst Office (LAO) Fiscal Report about initiative.		Completed	Anabel Renteria <p>Copies are enclosed. Additionally, you sought information regarding the status of this initiative. This initiative is currently in circulation and has not yet qualified for ballot.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00767	Diaz	Luis	4/12/2018	I am respectfully requesting any and all information available on The People's Fair and Safety Sentencing Act of 2018 Initiative Proposition. Requesting to know where it stands as of this letter reaching your hands.		Completed	Anabel Renteria <p>Unspecific and unfocused requests for government records under the Public Records Act are contrary to the purposes of the Public Records Act, and requestors should provide specific focused requests in order to give agencies a reasonable opportunity to respond. General, unfocused requests compel agencies to deny them thereby leading to litigation. The request to the agency must itself be focused and specific. (Rogers v. Superior Court (1993) 19 Cal.App.4th 469, 481.) Specifically, you requested "any and all information available on the People's Fair Sentencing and Public Safety Act of 2018." For these reasons, we must decline your request as it is currently cast. I've enclosed a courtesy copy of the Initiative 17-0046, "The People's Fair Sentencing &amp; Public Safety Act of 2018" for your records, however, we are willing to consult with you to determine if a more specific request would be likely to generate additional records. (Gov. Code, section 6253.1.) If you wish to discuss this matter further, please contact me at Initiative Coordinator, Office of the Attorney General, 1300 I St., Sacramento, CA 95814. Additionally, you sought information regarding the status of this initiative. This initiative is currently in circulation and has not yet qualified for ballot.</p>

PRA - 2018 - 0092 5	James	Jesse	4/12/ 2018	The initiative ballot proposition text for Prop 57 at the time of original submission.		Completed	Anabel Renteria	Enclosed, are copies of the initiatives related to your request, Initiative 15-0121, "The Justice and Rehabilitation Act" and Initiative 15-0121A1, "The Public Safety and Rehabilitation Act of 2016." This completes our response to your request.
PRA - 2018 - 0073 3	Kennah	John	4/13/ 2018	Looking for the marriage dates for John P. Kennah to Amanda F. Carrier. Cant remember exact dates but were married in San Diego area in April 2007? Ended by fatal car crash, Amanda F. Kennah, in San Diego area January 2009. Pretty sure it was San Diego, I know it wasn't far from MCAS Miramar. Need them for VA records.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA - 2018 - 0073 4	Brown	Benjamin	4/13/ 2018	I would like the contact information (first name, last name, position title, email, phone number, length of time as head coach) for the varsity head coach of football for every public high school in the state of CA. I would like this in an excel file with separate columns for first name, last name, title, email, and phone number.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to High School football coaches, you may wish to direct your request to the school districts for this information.
PRA - 2018 - 0073 6	Madala	Srinivasa	4/13/ 2018	Please send all of the emails with attachments as is, (not printed and re-scanned) for the dates January 1, 2018 until March 30, 2018 pertaining to the previous request. Previously, you mentioned that "all emails" could be very large and takes time. I am limiting the time to just January 1 to March 30, 2018, and I hope it will be reasonable.		Completed	Nancy Mar, Manager	Nancy Mar responded via telephone on or before April 23, 2018.
PRA - 2018 - 0073 7	Flamm	Chelsea	4/13/ 2018	Case number: 2016 - C1645143 File date:8/26/2016 2004 - CC459472 File date:7/9/2004 2007 - CC776087 File date: 8/17/2007 party ID: 1138138502		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the

								<p>Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at:  <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00794	Spencer	Brian	4/13/2018	<p>On March 22, 2018, I sent a Public Records Act (PRA) request to Officer S. Johnson of the California Highway Patrol, Auburn Division. Officer Johnson received the PRA request on March 26, 2018. It appears the California Highway patrol is practicing subterfuge, as to date, I have not received any response to my PRA request in violation of Government Code section 6253(c). Officer Johnson's duplicity of not responding to my lawful PRA request clearly indicates he is not interested in due process. Apparently, Officer Johnson is proficient at enforcing the law, just not following it. Please direct Officer Johnson as the California Highway Patrol's agent, to comply with the law and provide me the information that I requested.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We note that your request is directed to the California Department of Justice, but seeks records from the California Highway Patrol. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. To the extent you are seeking to enforce the Public Records Act (Act), we must deny your request as our office does not have an enforcement role in connection with another public agency's failure to comply with the Act. When the Legislature enacted the Public Records Act in 1968, it provided several judicial remedies for persons who believed that they had been wrongfully denied records to which they were entitled. These remedies include the right to seek injunctive or declaratory relief or a writ of mandate in the Superior Court. (See Government Code sections 6258-6259.) Absent special circumstances, the Legislature did not envision an enforcement role for the Attorney General in connection with noncompliance under the Act. However, you may wish to consult with a private attorney to evaluate your options under the judicial remedies discussed above.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00797	Lansburgh	Richard	4/13/2018	<p>Maintenance and calibration records for Alco-sensor IV, Intoximeter Inc., serial number 018605 used by the California Highway Patrol - Merced Office from 1/1/2018 to 3/31/2018, including related results for that time period. In addition, maintenance and calibration records for the breath test apparatus, 10 ARBL-0055/ 706 on 2/23/2018. Including records for time period 1/1/2018 to 3/31/2018.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Our research into the matter shows that the records you seek may be in the custody or control of a local or another state agency. We suggest that you direct your request to the California Highway Patrol. Information on how to contact their office can be found on their website at  <a href="https://www.chp.ca.gov/home/contact-us">https://www.chp.ca.gov/home/contact-us</a>.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00451	Kronenberg	Steven	4/16/2018	<p>1. Any and all "writings" of, concerning, or relating to any construction or maintenance of the westbound off-ramp or on-ramp of California Highway 4 at Solano Way in Concord, within the last 15 years.  2. Any and all "writings" of, concerning, or relating to the original design and "As-Built" plans for any construction or maintenance of the westbound off-ramp or on-ramp of California Highway 4 at Solano Way in Concord.  3. Any and all "writings" of, concerning, or relating to any contracts or agreements for signage, lane striping, guardrails and other markings, warnings, or barricades for the westbound off-ramp or on-ramp of California Highway 4 at Solano Way in Concord.  4. Any and all "writings" of, concerning, or relating to signing, striping or guardrail installation or</p>	The Veen Firm	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for</p>

				<p>maintenance, including but not limited to the location, placement, installation, and type of signs, striping and guardrails used on the westbound off-ramp or on-ramp of California Highway 4 at Solano Way in Concord within the last 15 years.</p> <p>5. Any and all "writings" of, concerning, or relating to reports, studies, findings, etc. of, concerning, or relating to the design of the westbound off-ramp or on-ramp of California Highway 4 at Solano Way in Concord.</p> <p>6. Any and all "writings" of, concerning, or relating to engineering, traffic, or speed studies or surveys conducted regarding the westbound off-ramp or on-ramp of California Highway 4 at Solano Way in Concord.</p> <p>7. Copies of the original design and "As-Built" plans for any guardrail installation on the westbound off-ramp or on-ramp of California Highway 4 at Solano Way in Concord.</p> <p>8. Any and all "writings" of, concerning, or relating to any consideration of, or requests for, guardrail installation on the westbound off-ramp or on-ramp of California Highway 4 at Solano Way in Concord.</p> <p>9. Any and all "writings" of, concerning, or relating to repairs or modifications of the westbound off-ramp or on-ramp of California Highway 4 at Solano Way in Concord on or before June 30, 2017. This includes repairs or modifications to the signage, striping, or guardrails.</p> <p>10. Any and all "writings" of, concerning, or relating to any damage that occurred on or about June 30, 2017 to the westbound off-ramp or on-ramp of California Highway 4 at Solano Way in Concord.</p> <p>11. Any and all "writings" of, concerning, or relating to repairs or modifications of the westbound off-ramp or on-ramp of California Highway 4 at Solano Way in Concord after damage that occurred on or about June 30, 2017. This includes repairs or modifications to the signage, striping, or guardrails.</p> <p>12. Any and all "writings" of, concerning, or relating to any and all incident reports for the June 30, 2017 motor vehicle incident involving the westbound off-ramp or on-ramp of California Highway 4 at Solano Way in Concord. This request includes but is not limited to any and all photographs and video recordings.</p> <p>13. Any and all "writings" of, concerning, or relating to the motor vehicle collision that occurred on June 30, 2017 on or near the westbound off-ramp or on-ramp of California Highway 4 at Solano Way in Concord.</p> <p>14. Any and all "writings" of, concerning, or relating to complaints received related to unsafe conditions on the westbound off-ramp or on-ramp of California Highway 4 at Solano Way in Concord.</p> <p>15. Any and all "writings" of, concerning, or relating to requests for additional traffic calming devices or measurements to be taken on the westbound off-ramp or on-ramp of California Highway 4 at Solano Way in Concord.</p> <p>16. Any and all "writings" of, concerning, or relating to motor vehicle accidents that have occurred on the westbound off-ramp or on-ramp of California Highway 4 at Solano Way in Concord for the past 15 years.</p> <p>17. Any and all "writings" of, concerning, or relating to the number of fatalities that have occurred on the westbound off-ramp or on-ramp of California Highway 4 at Solano Way in Concord for the past 15 years.</p>			<p>records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to Highways, you may wish to contact the California Department of Transportation (DOT or CalTrans). Information on how to request records from CalTrans can be found on their site at <a href="http://www.dot.ca.gov/paffairs/cpra/">http://www.dot.ca.gov/paffairs/cpra/</a>.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00739	Esplugas	Rochelle	4/16/2018	I'd like any and all public records concerning the individual CARMINE PHILIP HAMILTON aka CARMINE PHILIP EVILLE dob: [REDACTED] SS# [REDACTED].	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if</p>



								<p>the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00740	Daube Avery	Colleen	4/16/2018	Heather D Simpson divorce record date with Tony O McFarland. Aguana, Ca		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00741	Brooks	Dr. Linda Brooks LMFT	4/16/2018	<p>Can you give me copies of any adult couple love relationships with men 29 to 25 yrs ago, who a stalker and others had tape recorded without my prior consent. These were related with men. There is also an awful tape my husband made or allowed some one else to tape us making love in our own bedroom behind closed doors with the blinds shut. I think there were some pictures of us too that are terrible. Staff at work and my soon to be ex husband and others are using this in gambling without my consent , blaming me implying I sent these which I would never do. They did an illegal tax exchange with my SS# , my banking, my pension, home and children implying " I owe". They are using it to take my children, job, pension and house away. Can I ask for a records request? I think my husband and others misrepresented me online.</p> <p>I've been locked out of the house from DV. I tried to make a sex crimes report but they don't have officers working in that department anymore, they told me at several stations. I think groups are transferring this through energy which has been really dangerous for me. My eyes have been damaged, I've been bullied, and used in the alert system so scanners hit me as I wa k by for a tax. Usually someone by satellite hits me in the head, back, or face before I try to shop any where. My bank account was changed by John. I'm trying to help my children as well. Can you send me a copy so I can give it to an atty to get legal advice?</p> <p>I'm also a Christian and this conflicts with my moral and values.</p> <p>I think they developed legislation too without my consent. I've been faithful our entire marriage.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Our office is unable to search our records based on the information provided. The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. If the recording mentioned was submitted to our office as part of an investigation, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) As to the balance of your request, you appear to be reporting a crime, rather than requesting public</p>

								records. If this is an emergency, please dial 9-11 or your local emergency line for assistance. The Public Records Unit is unable to process crime reports. If you wish to report a crime in your area, please contact your local law enforcement agency, such as city police department or sheriff's department. Additional information on how to report a crime to our office or another law enforcement agency can be found at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/report-crime">https://oag.ca.gov/report-crime</a> .
PRA - 2018 - 0074 2	Ragsdale	Stacey	4/16/ 2018	Vance D Ragsdale incarceration records Prison number: 59097 Social security: [REDACTED] My father passed away and I'm trying to access his records		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. To the extent that you are seeking records from a prison, your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. The Department of Justice cannot produce records that are responsive to your request. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records from another government agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local jail or the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Information on how to contact them can be found at <a href="http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html">http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html</a> .
PRA - 2018 - 0074 3	Donohue	Will	4/16/ 2018	<a href="https://www.dgsapps.dgs.ca.gov/RESD/SPI-Web/wscripts/spi.asp?Action=grid...">https://www.dgsapps.dgs.ca.gov/RESD/SPI-Web/wscripts/spi.asp?Action=grid...</a> < <a href="https://www.dgsapps.dgs.ca.gov/RESD/SPI-Web/wscripts/spi.asp?Action=gridpropws&amp;cn=&amp;ty=&amp;pagenum=2">https://www.dgsapps.dgs.ca.gov/RESD/SPI-Web/wscripts/spi.asp?Action=gridpropws&amp;cn=&amp;ty=&amp;pagenum=2</a> <a href="https://www.dgsapps.dgs.ca.gov/RESD/SPI-Web/wscripts/spi.asp?Action=gridpropws&amp;cn=&amp;ty=&amp;pagenum=2">https://www.dgsapps.dgs.ca.gov/RESD/SPI-Web/wscripts/spi.asp?Action=gridpropws&amp;cn=&amp;ty=&amp;pagenum=2</a> > All the leases and properties located at the link above in an excel format An excel document containing all of the state leased locations for the State of California government		Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that we were unable to access the link provided. Additionally, your request seeks records from the Department of General Services. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to DGS, you may wish to direct your request to their office by visiting their website at <a href="https://www.dgs.ca.gov/dgs/Home/feedback.aspx">https://www.dgs.ca.gov/dgs/Home/feedback.aspx</a> .

PRA - 2018 - 0074 4	Robbie	Andre w	4/16/ 2018	I am seeking any information regarding a prisoner named Archibald Cooper ROBBIE who was transferred to the Los Angeles Sheriff's department custody on October 31st, 1927. He was serving a 10-year probation sentence for robbery (Los Angeles Superior court case # 31487). According to court records he was to serve his time in 'County Road Camp'. However on August 21st, 1928 his probation terms were modified and he was immediately released to federal authorities for deportation. Any information that you can share through open records or FOIA, would be very useful for my family history endeavors. Archibald was my grand father whom I never met. I do have copies of the court records, but was unable to attach them to this message.		Comp leted	Erin Nickles	To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. To the extent that you are seeking incarceration records, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation maintains State prison records. Since you mention that this individual was in custody at the Los Angeles Sheriff's department, you may wish to contact the Los Angeles County Jail in which he was incarcerated regarding the records that you are seeking.
PRA - 2018 - 0075 5	Moses	Phil	4/16/ 2018	California Bureau of Automotive Repair Case No. CP 2018003180		Comp leted	Linda K. Schneider	We searched our records but did not find anything that matches the information you provided. However, if you would like to provide further and more specific information, we will search further.
PRA - 2018 - 0075 6	Curry	Allen	4/16/ 2018	I am looking for all records pertaining to Shaun Etchegoyen. Last known address is [REDACTED], [REDACTED].		Comp leted	Erin Nickles	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to

							disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA - 2018 - 00757	White	Adam	4/16/2018	Include all please		Completed	Erin Nickless We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows: -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. If you can provide more information regarding the records you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control.
PRA - 2018 - 00758	Cruz	Rosalinda	4/16/2018	Any recent civil complaint, or reason that someone would try to attempt to serve me court documents.		Completed	Erin Nickless Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to a private lawsuit, you may wish to direct your request to the court.
PRA - 2018 - 00759	Creary	Lisa	4/16/2018	Ventura County Superior Court regarding any civil unlimited, lawsuit between city of Oxnard, businesses, or family members versus myself, Lisa Creary. Also receive mail in the name Lisa Palazuelos. Include guardianship, conservatorship, child support, name change petitions, for Lisa Creary and my two minor children [REDACTED] Palazuelos. Case Title: In the Matter Creary, Case Category: Civil - Unlimited, Case #56-2014-00452537-CU-PT-VTA, date: 5/6/14 petition for names changes filed by my mother Eugenia Magallanes. On 2/5/15 In the Matter of Palazuelos Minors, category/type:probate/guardianship of Person Only, Case #56-2015-00463674-PR-GP-OXN. Case no. D347418, LCSA Case no. 200000001639182, petitioner Ricky Palazuelos and respondent Lisa Creary		Completed	Erin Nickless You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.

				<p>on 7/13/17 @ 8am in room 34. I was never served legal notice. On 6/7/17 income declaration file by Ricky Palazuelos, address on file with child support &amp; confidential and attorney information concealed. Any other court dates regarding myself or two minors in which I should have been legally served has been denied and all legal/court mail to LisaCreary at [REDACTED] has been returned to sender back to Ventura Superior Courthouse and it is noted in computer database. I need to enroll in safe at home program to ensure that legal notices will arrive to me through the USPS mail. Any other court dates and civil matters that require my involvement have not been notified verbally over the phone, written and delivered personally or in the mail and no email correspondence has been received. I need records or CASE information because I have had reluctant assistance from any personal or employee at the EL Rio Juvenile Courthouse in Oxnard, CALIF or Superior Court of California County of Ventura. Any lawsuit attempting to sue me for any matter has yet to be disclosed. Any conservatorship/Power of Attorney petition for Lisa Creary or Palazuelos Minors has never been served to me, which is required by law.</p>				<p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to private civil matters, probate matters, and/or family law matters, you may wish to direct your request to the office of the court in which the case is filed.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 0082 1	Kleczek	David	4/16/ 2018	<p>1. All records regarding the Gravitron or Gravitron/Starship amusement park ride; 2. All records regarding any and all accidents, injuries, death, or property damage caused by or related to Butler Amusements, Inc.; 3. All records regarding any and all accidents, injuries, death, or property damage caused by or related to Wisdom Industries, Ltd.; and 4. All records regarding any and all accidents, injuries, death, or property damage caused by or related to Wisdom Rides, Inc. On July 23, 2018, our office received a follow up from Jeff Hoffman on this PRA request, stating we did not respond.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) On July 27, 2018, our office spoke to Jeff Hoffman to confirm they had received DOJ's April 26 response. Mr. Hoffman was unsure how our office got the July 20 letter, as it had been intended for someone at CalOSHA/Department of Industrial Relations.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 0074 5	Dimas	Eygenia	4/17/ 2018	<p>385065-01401k plan fatsa student loan 2010 sar number003985C223 DRN 3106 property release under fer code 22851 and Infoarmor My portal member 2926224 covered california act care 45cfr 1.55 etc</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to a 401k, you may wish to direct your request to the 401k provider's office. Your request mentions FAFSA student loans, which is a Federal, rather than State, program. You may wish to contact the U.S. Department of Education in regard to the FAFSA information you seek. In regards to property records, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides. In regard to Covered California records, you would need to contact Covered California directly.</p>
PRA - 2018 -	Mygas	Michael	4/17/ 2018	<p>Divorce record from 1980</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available</p>



0074 7							from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA - 2018 - 0074 8	Raphael	Rachel	4/17/ 2018	We represent Constellation Brands, Inc. in Monica Mathias v. Constellation Brands, Inc., et al., Madera County Superior Court, Case No. MCV074777. Pursuant to the California Public Records Act (Gov. Code 6250 et seq.), we write to request any and all information or records from the Bureau of Firearms, regarding gun ownership or application for gun ownership of Monica L. Mathias, DOB: [REDACTED] SSN: [REDACTED] including but not limited to, Firearm Ownership Records, Certificates of Eligibility, New Resident Firearm Ownership Report, and Personal Firearms Eligibility Check Application records.	Completed	Robert Wilson	As a preliminary matter, we note that under the Public Records Act government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public, unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) Section 6254, subdivision (k), incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law. Penal Code Section 11106 requires DOJ to retain certain firearms information, including copies of fingerprints, copies of CCW permits, and "information reported to the Department of Justice pursuant to Section 26225." DOJ must disclose this information "upon proper application ... to the officers referred to in Section 11105." (Pen. Code § 11106 (a).) California courts have consistently held that data subject to the disclosure limits in Penal Code Section 11105 may be disclosed only to the individuals authorized by that section to receive the information. For example, the California Supreme Court has noted that Penal Code Section 11105 "prohibits furnishing such information to persons other than those listed therein 'or as provided by law.'" (Hill v. Superior Court (1974) 10 Cal.3d 812, 818.) Therefore, information protected by Penal Code Section 11105 is not subject to public disclosure in response to a PRA request. (Younger v. Berkeley City Council (1975) 45 Cal. App. 3d 825, 832.) The "restrictions upon release of such information are so carefully set out in the Penal Code sections 11106, 11081 and 11105 ... that it is inconceivable that the general terms of the Public Records Act were intended to render them void." (Ibid.) State law specifies both the persons who are authorized to obtain firearms records, and the purposes that justify the DOJ's retention and disclosure of those records and therefore impliedly prohibits the release of such information to other persons, or for other purposes. The maxim of statutory construction "expressio unius est exclusio alterius" applies: the express inclusion of some things in a statute necessarily means the exclusion of other things not expressly included. (Fischer v. Los Angeles Unified School Dist. (1999) 70 Cal.App.4th 87, 97.) Firearms records retained by the DOJ as described in Section 11106 are subject to disclosure only to

							<p>the individuals listed in Section 11105. In addition, the Department of Justice is subject to the Information Practices Act of 1977. (People v. McCray (2006) 144 Cal.App.4th 258.) In pertinent part, Civil Code section 1798.24 prohibits the Department from disclosing any personal information in a manner that would link the information disclosed to the individual to whom it pertains unless the information is disclosed to the individual to whom the information pertains, or with his or her prior written voluntary consent - but only if that consent has been obtained not more than 30 days before the disclosure, or in the time limit agreed to by the individual in the written consent. Inasmuch as you are not the individual to whom the information pertains, the Department cannot release it to you absent the statutorily required consent. As such, the Department cannot provide the information you requested.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00826	Snodgras	Erin	4/17/2018	<p>This is a request under California's public records law for copies of consumer complaints filed against the big three credit-reporting agencies – Equifax, Experian and TransUnion since July 1, 2017-April 15, 2018. These records should include, but not limited to, the date of the complaint, the names of the those who complained, a description of the consumer's issue and the outcome of the complaint.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 ["While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)."].)</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00749	Filko	Gilbert Frank	4/18/2018	<p>UCC TRUST GILBERT-FRANK FILKO TTEE / EIN 82-6908076 / SECURED PARTY FILKO; GILBERT FRANK N9648692 / 62-230105 / 557-57-5282 / UCC FILING NUMBER 18-7625475752 Filed 01/03/2018 / REGISTERD PRIVATE BOND Item # GFF-090362-PRB on behalf of GILBERT FRANK FILKO TRUST-TRUSTEE-SECURED PARTY, [REDACTED] Please help with any identifying documentation</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to UCC Trusts and bonds, you may wish to direct your request to the office which regulates the trust.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00750	Elizarraras	Silvia	4/18/2018	<p>I am looking for any record that I lived in California between 1979 and 1982. My name is Silvia Alvarado Elizarraras. I am looking for anything from my landlord at the time, a utility company or government agency.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public</p>



							<p>records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>Since you are seeking records from your land lord(s) and utilities, you would need to contact those entities for the records you are seeking.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 0075 1	Loreg	Rebec cajane	4/18/ 2018	<p>Ms Dawn Heywood [REDACTED] Need certified grant deed Quitclaim reconeyance, acknowledge meant , all document with regards to preliminary change of ownership , these was recorded in 2015 and assessed value \$87,000; 2. Richard Dale Jackson [REDACTED] The Deeds and Tittle showing any and all add on Joint Tenants</p> <p>The County Recorder Register office has fraud and a forged deeds and probate case does not have last will and testament it's missing for both property fraud tittle should have been remove by CLTA</p> <p>2. Richard D Jackson a former Attorney and Judge of Torrance Superior Court is. He was last seen in 2013 but has a death records date 2012 this is faults his amended will in probate is also faults making his estranged ex-wife daughter the heir of his estate whom has taken over his property and it seemed he vanished. He was almost my fiancé I personally was with him in 2013. And Ms. Heywood was my dear God Mother she too vanished and death certificate date not same as hospital recorded local authority will not advice I need more info before going to the FBI. Please do all you can to help I'm being watched and threaten.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Records for specific properties are not maintained by our Department, but rather by the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides.</p> <p>To the extent that your request seeks records regarding a probate matter, you may wish to contact the local court in which the probate matter would be heard.</p> <p>We also note that you say you are being watched and threatened. The Public Records Unit is unable to process crime reports. If you wish to report a crime in your area, please contact your local law enforcement agency, such as city police department or sheriff's department. Additional information on how to report a crime to our office or another law enforcement agency can be found at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/report-crime">https://oag.ca.gov/report-crime</a>.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 0075 2	Lamb	Stephe n	4/18/ 2018	<p>Please provide data on prices of firearms and firearm sales (quantities) in California, going back as far as records allow, and providing the most specific geographic locator possible (e.g., store is better than MSA is better than state). Annual data are fine, though if you have smaller delineations of time, that's even better. If you have information about gun type (even broad handgun/not handgun), that would also be appreciated. These data will be use for research at the University of Chicago Booth School of Business. If costs exceed \$25, please let me know before proceeding.</p> <p>April 25 follow up: The data look great. I do have two requests: (i) could you provide the data in Excel</p>	Completed	Rober t Wilso n	<p>Penal Code section 11106 speaks to what information the Department of Justice retains. It does not require the retention of data on prices of firearms. However, we do have Dealer Record of Sale (DROS) statistics for 2010 through 2017, which we have enclosed with this letter. Thank you for your inquiry.</p>

				format, and (ii) could you provide a menu describing the table fields? Most seem fairly self-explanatory, but there are a few it would be good to have clarification on (e.g., the county_code system, and the difference between CRIS and DROS).				
PRA - 2018 - 00754	Eisler	Peter	4/18/2018	I am a journalist with Reuters and I hereby request the following records on behalf of the news agency: a list of all inmates who have died in California state prisons or local/county jails from Jan. 1 2008 through Dec. 31, 2017. For each decedent, we seek the following information: -- Name and age -- Date and place of death (i.e. name of prison or jail) -- Any available data on the manner or circumstances of the death (i.e. suicide, assault, drug overdose, heart attack, etc.)		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.
PRA - 2018 - 00760	Vanegas	Pavel	4/18/2018	I am requesting any "Request for Modification of Condition of Consent" records, including decisions and written comments related to such requests, pertaining to the California Attorney General's conditional consent to the "Proposed change in control and governance of Providence Health & Services and St. Joseph Health System," dated June 21, 2016.		Completed	Wendi A. Horwitz	In our telephone call today, you stated that you did not want any records related to the 2013 conditional consent decision concerning Hoag Memorial Hospital Presbyterian. We have conducted a diligent search of the Department of Justice's legal indexes, knowledgeable people, and logical places for documents responsive to your request. This office has no responsive records to your request.
PRA - 2018 - 00770	Lopez	Kenneth	4/18/2018	People's Fair Sentencing & Public Safety Act of 2018 with the affected penal code changes proposed.		Completed	Anabel Renteria	Copies are enclosed.
PRA - 2018 - 00771	Crandle	Elliot	4/18/2018	Flyers concerning the Amends Three Strikes Sentencing Law for Repeat Offenders, Initiative Statute.		Completed	Anabel Renteria	The Department of Justice has no flyers responsive to your request. However, the department can provide you with a courtesy copy of Initiative 17-0046A1, "The People's Fair Sentencing & Public Safety Act of 2018" for your records. A copy is enclosed.
PRA - 2018 - 00804	Gallardo	Yanely	4/18/2018	domestic violence Aggressor : Pedro Mayo Poblete victim: Yanely Gallardo Luna around the month of may 2006 I was sent to the hospital because my husband Pedro Mayo would hit me and I had three months of pregnancy and was about to lose my baby. incident occurred in Pasadena California I don't have the case number or any other information about the case. Can I request an appointment to receive my records.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking police report records, which are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place. If you are seeking information regarding victim services, you would need to contact our Victims' Services unit. More information about Marsy's Law for victims can be found on our website at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/victimservices/content/bill_of_rights">https://oag.ca.gov/victimservices/content/bill_of_rights</a> .
PRA - 2018 - 00763	Lancaster	Emily	4/19/2018	I would like to request the current title and salary for Phillip Gerome Crader. Department WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD The state worker database only provides outdated information, from 2016. I am looking for current salary, compensation package, and title, please.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.

								Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the California State Water Board, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to contact their office regarding public records can be found at <a href="https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/santaana/resources/public_records_requests.html">https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/santaana/resources/public_records_requests.html</a> .
PRA - 2018 - 00764	Lalchandani	Priti	4/19/2018	<p>I am a General Surgery Resident at Harbor-UCLA in Torrance, CA. I am doing a research project on firearm violence in Los Angeles County/California. I previously contacted the DOJ for some data and statistics. Mr. Robert Wilson, Deputy Attorney General, sent me a letter and stated he would provide me with the Dealer Record of Sale (DROS) statistics from 2010-2017. However, I haven't yet received that data report. I was referred to this email by the Firearms Bureau to obtain this information. Would it be possible for you to send me this data?</p> <p>I am also interested in another data sets you may have as I am in the beginning stages of formulating my research project and looking for publically published data. Is there someone I can talk to over the phone who may be able to assist me in requesting other data sets that will fit my needs?</p>		Completed	Robert Wilson	<p>We are resending this email with the response letter and DROS documents that we sent to you on March 26th. We received your second request indicating that you have not received them. Please find attached the statistics we referenced.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00765	Maciel	James	4/19/2018	<p>1. Any and all documents and communications regarding the Department of Justice, Attorney General, or any other Department of Justice personnel communications with California cities that have expressed an opposition to A3 450, SB 54, AB 103, and any and all laws or language referring to sanctuary state law from October 1, 2017 to April 18, 2018.</p> <p>2. Any and all documents and responses from the Department of Justice, Attorney General, or any other Department of Justice personnel to any and all California cities that have expressed an opposition to AB 450, SB54, AB 103, and any and all laws or language referring to sanctuary state law from October 1, 2017 to April 18, 2018.</p> <p>May 2, 2018 follow-up: This is a follow up email to the voicemail I just left you. I am in receipt of your letter dated April 26, 2018 regarding narrowing your search to just the cities listed in your letter, which we discussed via telephone. I wanted to make sure you didn't need anything further from me to begin your search. I also want to express that I will reserve my right to address the issue of "any other" cities at a later point if needed but appreciate your search for the current cities listed.</p>		Completed	Catherine Z. Ysrael	<p>During our conversation, you clarified that the reference to the Judicial Council and Rule 10.500 of the California Rules of Court was in error, and that the request is directed to the California Department of Justice, Office of the California Attorney General. This further confirms that you clarified the scope of this request, and are interpreting requests 1 and 2 to mean documents reflecting communications between the Office of the Attorney General and California cities regarding opposition by those cities to AB 450, SB 54, and AB 103, for the time period from October 1, 2017 to April 18, 2018. We are interpreting your request to include only communications between the Office of the Attorney General and California cities regarding opposition by those cities to AB 450, SB 54, and AB 103, for the time period from October 1, 2017 to April 18, 2018.</p> <p>During our conversation, you provided the following list of cities that you contend have opposed AB 450, SB 54, and AB 103:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• San Juan Capistrano</li> <li>• Yorba Linda</li> <li>• Westminster</li> <li>• Aliso Viejo</li> <li>• Fountain Valley</li> <li>• Huntington Beach</li> <li>• Los Alamitos</li> <li>• Mission Viejo</li> <li>• Newport Beach</li> <li>• Orange</li> <li>• Barstow</li> <li>• Hesperia</li> <li>• Escondido</li> <li>• San Dimas</li> <li>• Beaumont</li> <li>• Ripon</li> <li>• San Marcos</li> <li>• West Covina</li> <li>• Loma Linda</li> </ul>

								<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Redlands</li><li>• Yucaipa</li></ul> <p>You stated that this list is not intended to be an exclusive list of cities, and reiterated that you seek communications with any California cities that have opposed these three bills, even if they are not on the list you provided. Our office does not have a comprehensive list of which cities may have opposed these bills. Furthermore, we do not organize our files in a manner that would allow us to easily identify if we have communications with any California city that may have opposed these bills.</p> <p>Moreover, the Public Records Act does not impose an obligation on our office to research whether any cities (or even the cities listed above) may be opposed to any of these three laws.</p> <p>Without some way of identifying the cities, your request would require that we search for and review communications from every California city to determine if the specific communication conveys opposition to these bills, which again is not required by the Public Records Act.</p> <p>We decline to conduct such a broad search.</p> <p>Unspecific and unfocused requests for government records under the Public Records Act are contrary to the purposes of the Public Records Act, and requestors should provide specific, focused requests in order to give agencies a reasonable opportunity to respond. (Rogers v. Superior Court (1993) 19 Cal.App.4th 469, 481.)</p> <p>Accordingly, for purposes of this Public Records Act request, we are limiting our review to communications between our office and the cities listed above, regarding their opposition to these bills. That being said, if there are additional cities you would like to add to this list, please let us know, and we will expand our search to include communications between our office and those cities as well, regarding their opposition to these bills. Once we have received confirmation of the scope of your request (e.g., the cities from which you would like us to search for communications), we will process your request and initiate our search.</p> <p>On May 3, 2018, Catherine Ysrael sent an extension letter.</p> <p>On May 16, 2018, Catherine Ysrael responded: We have consulted knowledgeable persons and identified logical places for our search for responsive records. Having conducted a thorough review of our files and indexes, we located the document attached in response to your request.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00766	Oliver	Sofia	4/19/2018	Would like copy of Marriage/Divorce record on Jeffrey Edward Furlong, DOB [REDACTED]. Citrus Heights, CA and/or Sacramento, CA.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the

								Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA - 2018 - 00768	Ciolino	Barbara	4/19/2018	1. All documents, electronic or otherwise, comprising prior drafts of the press release "Attorney General Kamala D. Harris Files Lawsuit Against Pong Marketing & Promotions Inc. Over Illegal Gambling Devices," dated May 20, 2016. 2. All documents, electronic or otherwise, identifying the source of funding for the "Sweepstakes Gambling Task Force" as that term is used in the Department of Justice Press Release dated May 20, 2016.		Completed	Jennifer T. Henderson	With respect to item 1 of your request, Government Code section 6255 exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325, 1342.) Additionally, drafts of the press release that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363, 371-372.) Such drafts are also attorney work product. The attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Accordingly, we are providing a copy of the final press release, but no drafts of it. With respect to item 2 of your request, there are no documents identifying a funding source specific to the "Sweepstakes Gambling Task Force," relative to employees of the Attorney General Office participating in the task force. These employees are funded in the same manner as other Deputies Attorney General in Indian and Gaming Law Section of the Public Rights Division. To the extent that an outside source of funding for the task force may be identified in documents in case files maintained by this office, they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954, which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the

								Public Records Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale, supra, 5 Cal.4th at pp. 371-372.) Such documents are also attorney work product. The attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from its disclosure requirement. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court, supra, 82 Cal.App.4th at p. 833.) Accordingly, we are providing a copy of settlement documents filed in another case that identifies a source of funding to the task force, but not all other documents referencing that source of funding as they are protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or the work product privilege. Deputy Attorney General Jennifer T. Henderson and Senior Assistant Attorney General Sara J. Drake are responsible for this response.
PRA - 2018 - 00769	Caamal	Miguel	4/19/2018	REAP Escrow Accounting - HCID Case No.: 533616 APN NO.: 5542018013 Property Address: 1263 & 1265 Lyman Place, Los Angeles, California 90029 Escrow Account Closed 03/16/18 As new owners of property we never received the accounting of escrow account showing amounts collected and charges to account - Final accounting.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information about an escrow account, you may wish to contact the financial institution which managed the account about which you are inquiring.
PRA - 2018 - 00774	Peacock	Michael	4/19/2018	New request that relates to 04-09-18 request: Thank you very much for a rather quick turnaround. Honestly I doubted that I would even get a response. One last favor to ask: can you please confirm that there are no communications between anyone at the AG's office and Monte Stuart (who was originally identified as Dr. Kumar's California counsel) that have not already been produced?		Completed	Jane Zack Simon	I have given you everything that is not exempt and that we have.
PRA - 2018 - 00775	Clozel	Lalita	4/19/2018	I am seeking a copy of the following records that are connected to the People v. Ferrer, Larkin and Lacey case (16FE024013) - unsealed search warrant affidavits - declarations - subpoenas - any attachments that come with the documents listed above On April 30, 2018, the requester followed up: I just received this back from your agency's PRA office. How is it possible that they could find none of the documents I am seeking? If you could give me some guidance on the proper way to obtain them, that would be great. Those should be publicly available documents, and I've been trying to obtain a copy for months On May 2, 2018, Ms. Clozel responded: Thanks very much for the update. Look forward to your follow-up next week. On May 2, 2018, Ms. Clozel responded: I didn't receive them, unfortunately. It looks like they were too big to send via email. Could you possibly try to send them via Google Drive? On May 4, 2018, Ms. Clozel followed up: Could you possibly try to send the files one at a time? Maybe that might overcome the size restrictions.		Completed	Robert Morgester, SAAG	On April 30, 2018, the public records coordinator responded: We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. Depending on the scope of the case, this may have been handled by a District Attorney's office rather than the Department of Justice. On May 2, 2018, Robert Morgester responded with an extension letter and attempted to send several attachments, which did not go through. On May 2, 2018, Robert Morgester followed up: Did you also receive the six attached pdf documents? I ask because I received the following

							<p>message:</p> <p>--- The following addresses had delivery problems ---</p> <p>&lt;lalita.clozel@wsj.com&gt; (5.2.3 Your message exceeded Google's message size limits. Please visit 5.2.3</p> <p><a href="https://support.google.com/mail/?p=MaxSizeError">https://support.google.com/mail/?p=MaxSizeError</a> to view our size 5.2.3 guidelines. n29-v6si3953895pgd.345 - gsmt)</p> <p>On May 7, 2018, Robert re-sent the attachments: This e-mail is in response to your correspondence dated April 19, 2018, which was received in the Attorney General's Office on April 19, 2018, in which you sought various records pursuant to the Public Records Act as set forth in Government Code section 6250 et seq.</p> <p>Specifically, you requested:</p> <p>I am seeking a copy of the following records that are connected to the People v. Ferrer, Larkin and Lacey case (16FE024013)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- unsealed search warrant affidavits</li> <li>- declarations</li> <li>- subpoenas</li> <li>- any attachments that come with the documents listed above</li> </ul> <p>I will be sending six separate PDF as part of a partial production labeled; Exhibit 15 Part 1-5 and Exhibit 16 that is responsive to your request. Each email with attachment will be labeled a consecutive number so we can track receipt.</p> <p>In providing the records requested, we have redacted certain private identifying information, such as non-commercial addresses, email addresses, and telephone numbers, along with other sensitive personal information such as social security numbers and credit card numbers based on considerations of personal privacy. (Cal. Const., art. I, §1, as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.)</p> <p>For the reasons set forth below, this office is extending the date for responding to your request to May 12, 2018. Agencies are permitted to extend the date for responding to a public records request for fourteen days beyond the original 10-day deadline for responding under specified circumstances. (§ 6253, subd. (c).) As your request was received by this office on April 19, 2018, the time established for the original response is April 29, 2018. Fourteen days beyond this date is May 12, 2018.</p> <p>Agencies may invoke the extension for several reasons, which may be summarized as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The need to search for and collect records from field offices or other facilities that are separate from the office processing the request.</li> <li>2. The need to search for, collect, and appropriately examine a voluminous amount of separate and distinct records which are demanded in a single request.</li> <li>3. The need for consultation, which shall be conducted with all practicable speed, with another</li> </ol>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---



							<p>agency having a substantial interest in the determination of the request or among two or more components of the agency having substantial subject matter interest therein. (§ 6253, subd. (c).) In this instance, an extension is needed to collect, and appropriately examine a voluminous amount of separate and distinct records which are demanded in a single request.</p> <p>We are hopeful that the complete production will be finished by next week.</p> <p>On May 8, 2018, Michelle Williams produced additional documents: Continuing the production of documents regarding your Public Records Act request, please expect 17 individual emails containing the following documents:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Exhibits 1</li> <li>• Exhibits 2</li> <li>• Exhibits 3</li> <li>• Exhibits 4</li> <li>• Exhibits 5</li> <li>• Exhibits 6</li> <li>• Exhibits 7</li> <li>• Exhibits 8 (Part 1 and 2)</li> <li>• Exhibit 9</li> <li>• Exhibit 10 (Parts 1-3)</li> <li>• Exhibits 11</li> <li>• Exhibits 12</li> <li>• Exhibits 13</li> <li>• Exhibits 14</li> </ul> <p>Please confirm once you have received all of the documents.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 0077 6	Ta	Stanley	4/19/ 2018	I would like a copy of all of my public records for my reference.		Completed	<p>Erin Nickless</p> <p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents</p>

							<p>sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00844	Khundkar (APPS)	Imran	4/19/2018	<p>1. Any and all WRITINGS and communications between the California Department of Justice ("DOJ") and its staff, inspectors, employees or agents from January 1, 2013 to the present regarding California driver's licenses or identification cards, REAL ID Act Licenses, or identification cards, and non-REAL ID Act licenses or identification cards.</p> <p>2. Any and all WRITINGS and communications between the Office of the Governor of the State of California and its staff, inspectors, employees or agents from January 1, 2013 to the present regarding California driver's licenses or identification cards, REAL ID Act Licenses, or identification cards, and non-REAL ID Act licenses or identification cards.</p>	Miche I & Associates	Completed	Patty Li <p>As currently framed, this request does not meet the California Public Records Act requirement that requests to an agency be reasonably focused and specific. (Rogers v. Superior Court (1993) 19 Cal.App.4th 469, 481.) Your request seeks all "WRITINGS and communications" regarding any kind of California driver's license or identification card, for a period dating back more than five years. In addition, the request appears to request a search of all Department employees' records, as well as the Department's "agents," without definition or limitation. Because the Department employs over 4,500 people, and does not maintain, index, or categorize records according to whether they concern driver's licenses or identification cards, a response to your request would require a review of every document in the Department's possession for a period of more than five years. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a broad and unfocused search for responsive documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmaejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.)</p> <p>You should also be aware that, because this is a large public law office, some or perhaps most of the records you seek would be subject to the attorney-client privilege or attorney work product protection and therefore exempt from disclosure. (Civ. Proc. Code, § 2018.030; Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363, 372; County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>In addition, to the extent you seek materials from investigatory files, those files are exempt. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)</p> <p>Investigatory files remain exempt even after an investigation has been closed. (Williams, supra, 5 Cal.4th at p. 355.)</p> <p>The Department is not obligated to (and will not) respond to your request pursuant to Rule 10.500(e) of the California Rules of Court, which applies only to judicial branch entities, and has no application to the Department. (See Gov. Code, §</p>

								68106.2, subd. (g) [requiring "adoption of rules of court that provide public access to nondeliberative and nonadjudicative court records, budget and management information"], italics added.) For these reasons, we must decline your request for records as it is currently cast. However, we are willing to consider any clarifying information you can provide that would better help us identify the records you seek. (Gov. Code, § 6253 .1.)
PRA - 2018 - 0077 7	Combs	Brandon	4/20/ 2018	Please provide me with a copy of all records and information in existence that would allow me and the public to know and/or determine the number of Certificate of Eligibility (COE) certificates, of all subtypes, issued by the Department: (1) within the 2017 Calendar year, (2) within the past twelve months, or (3) that are active as of the most recent date for which the Department holds records that contain this value or from which the value could be determined. This request does not seek copies of COE certificates unless such copies would be the only way to determine the number of certificates issued by the Department (1) within the 2017 Calendar year, (2) within the past twelve months, or (3) that are active as of the most recent date for which the Department holds records. The term "Certificate of Eligibility" means a certificate which states that the DOJ has checked its records and determined that the applicant is not prohibited from acquiring or possessing firearms pursuant to Penal Code sections 29800, 29805, 29815 through 29825, and 29900, and Welfare and Institutions Code sections 8100 and 8103, or Title 27, Part 478.32 of the Code of Federal Regulations at the time the check was performed. (11 C.C.R. § 4103(g).) See also Cal. Penal Code section 26710 ( <a href="http://leginfo.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?lawCode=...">http://leginfo.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?lawCode=...</a> <a href="http://leginfo.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?lawCode=PEN&amp;sectionNum=26710">http://leginfo.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?lawCode=PEN&amp;sectionNum=26710</a> >) and Cal. DOJ Form BOF 4008 ( <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/files/agweb/pdfs/firearms/forms/coeapp.pdf">https://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/files/agweb/pdfs/firearms/forms/coeapp.pdf</a> ?).		Completed	Robert Wilson	On April 30, 2018, an extension letter was sent. On May 7, 2018, BOF responded: There are 16,017 active Certificates of Eligibility (COE). Here is a list of the different COE subtypes: ammunition agent/employee, collector, explosive permit, gunsmith, other, shooting range, ammunition vendor, consultant/evaluator, firearms dealer, importer, pawnbroker, store manager, certified instructor, employee, gun show producer, manufacturer, prop master, and wholesaler/distributor.
PRA - 2018 - 0077 8	Mata	Gilbert	4/20/ 2018	Student Loans in Collections, My Attestations/Applications for Loan Discharges, All Notices Of Discharged And Closed Student Loans I Would Love To Know Why You Took My Tax Return That My Family Desperately Needed Saying For Repayment Of Loans When I Have Already Filled This Application For Borrowers Defense To Repayment Loan Discharge Twice And Also Received A Notice Saying My Loans Were Discharged And On My Credit Report It Says They Were All Closed!! How Is This Possibly Legal to Get A Notice Saying My Loans Were Discharged And That No Accounts Are In Collection, But Still Take My Money?? Like Hide The Fact Your Stealing My Hard Earned Money??		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For records regarding student loans, you would need to contact the financial institution which issued the loan. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on tax records, we suggest that you contact the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) for Federal returns or the State of California Franchise Tax Board for State returns. Information on how to request records from the Franchise Tax Board can be found on their website at <a href="https://www.ftb.ca.gov/aboutFTB/Public_Records_Act/index.shtml?WT.mc_id=..">https://www.ftb.ca.gov/aboutFTB/Public_Records_Act/index.shtml?WT.mc_id=..</a> In the event that your request is intended as a petition for legal advice, the DOJ is not in a position to perform legal research or to provide legal advice to private individuals. You may wish to consult with a private attorney or tax professional to evaluate your options regarding your records request.
PRA - 2018 - 0077 9	Volker	Jamey	4/20/ 2018	All initial petitions filed in Los Angeles County Superior Court between January 1, 2001 and December 31, 2017 in legal actions brought under the California Environmental Quality Act (pursuant to Public Resources Code section 21167), which petitions must be provided to the Attorney General under Public Resources Code section 21167.7 and Code of Civil Procedure section 388.		Completed	Scott De Medeiros	Enclosed, please find copies of all documents responsive to your request formatted onto CD-ROM disc. Pursuant to our phone conversation of April 20, we have provided you with copies of all CEQA petitions that were currently available electronically within the date range requested

								above. Additionally, we have provided you with an electronic copy of a list of all documents that were responsive to your request based on the contents of the Environment Section's tracking database.
PRA - 2018 - 0078 0	Harper	Scott	4/20/2018	Please send us any records from the state of California for: TODD DAVEY DOB [REDACTED] SSN: [REDACTED] I have been retained to represent him on a current DUI case and I need to know his prior record in order to properly disclose that information on an ARD Application. Please forward these records to me via email or regular mail as soon as possible. The above contact information is for my office.		Completed	Nicole Arnaiz	On April 23, 2018, CJIS staff spoke with requester regarding the Request of Record packet needed to obtain these records. This completes this PRA request.
PRA - 2018 - 0078 1	Blutstein	Allan	4/20/2018	All communications between the head/director of the Bureau of Gambling Control and State Senator Ricardo Lara or his chief of staff Erika Contreras Chief of Staff ("Erika.Contreras@sen.ca.gov<mailto:Erika.Contreras@sen.ca.gov>") from April 1, 2017, to the present.		Completed	Riann Kopchak	Except as specifically provided in the Gambling Control Act (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19800, et seq.), the records of the Department maintained under the Gambling Control Act are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19821, subd. (c)); see Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k).) In addition, subdivision (d) of Business and Professions Code section 19821 makes it unlawful for an official or employee of the Department to knowingly disclose or furnish records or information to a person not authorized by law to receive them, except as necessary for the administration of the Gambling Control Act. All of the departmental records that you have requested are maintained by the Department under the Gambling Control Act, and accordingly, are exempt from disclosure.  In addition to the above, to the extent that your request encompasses records of the Department of Justice, Office of the Attorney General, in advising the Bureau of Gambling Control and Stephanie Shimazu, as its Director, such documents are privileged under Evidence Code section 954 and exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k). Accordingly, we are denying your request.
PRA - 2018 - 0078 2	Peterson (Cache Creek Conservancy)	Sherry	4/20/2018	Under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq., I am requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of public records for Cache Creek Conservancy. Specifically, I am requesting 1. the financial records and 2. the financial statements, that have been submitted to the California Attorney General's office and/or the California State Auditor's office, including, but not limited to, employee salaries.		Completed	Tania M. Ibanez, SAAG	We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice but seeks records from other departments such as the State Auditor's Office. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.  Records filed with our office by Cache Creek Conservancy are available on our website. Please go to <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/charities">oag.ca.gov/charities</a> Under Resources and Tools, click on Registry Verification Search Type in the name Cache Creek Conservancy in the panel for Organization Name Click Search, and then click on charity's name Scroll down and you will see all of the records that have been filed with this office.
PRA -	Peterson (Golden	Sherry	4/20/2018	Under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq., I am requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of public records for Golden State Land Conservancy. Specifically, I am requesting 1. the		Completed	Tania M.	We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice but seeks records

2018 - 00783	State Land Conservancy)			financial records and 2. the financial statements, that have been submitted to the California Attorney General's office and/or the California State Auditor's office, including, but not limited to, employee salaries.			Ibanez, SAAG	from other departments such as the State Auditor's Office. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Records filed with our office by Golden State Land Conservancy are available on our website. Please go to <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/charities">oag.ca.gov/charities</a> Under Resources and Tools, click on Registry Verification Search Type in the name Golden State Land Conservancy in the panel for Organization Name Click Search, and then click on charity's name Scroll down and you will see all of the records that have been filed with this office.
PRA - 2018 - 00784	Peterson (Center for Natural Land Management)	Sherry	4/20/2018	Under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq., I am requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of public records for Center for Natural Land Management. Specifically, I am requesting 1. the financial records and 2. the financial statements, that have been submitted to the California Attorney General's office and/or the California State Auditor's office, including, but not limited to, employee salaries.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice but seeks records from other departments such as the State Auditor's Office. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Records filed with our office by Center for Natural Lands Management are available on our website. Please go to <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/charities">oag.ca.gov/charities</a> Under Resources and Tools, click on Registry Verification Search Type in the name Center for Natural Lands Management in the panel for Organization Name Click Search, and then click on charity's name Scroll down and you will see all of the records that have been filed with this office.
PRA - 2018 - 00785	Peterson (Riverside-Corona Resource Conservation District)	Sherry	4/20/2018	Under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq., I am requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of public records for Riverside-Corona Resource Conservation District. Specifically, I am requesting 1. the financial records and 2. the financial statements, that have been submitted to the California Attorney General's office and/or the California State Auditor's office, including, but not limited to, employee salaries.		Completed	Tania M. Ibanez, SAAG	We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice but seeks records from other departments such as the Riverside-Corona Resource Conservation District. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. The Riverside-Corona Resource District is an independent agency, and it is not required to file financial reports with the State Attorney General's Office. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.
PRA - 2018 - 00786	Peterson (San Diego Habitat Conservancy)	Sherry	4/20/2018	Under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq., I am requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of public records for San Diego Habitat Conservancy. Specifically, I am requesting 1. the financial records and 2. the financial statements, that have been submitted to the California Attorney General's office and/or the California State Auditor's office, including, but not limited to, employee salaries.		Completed	Tania M. Ibanez, SAAG	We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice but seeks records from other departments such as the State Auditor's Office. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or

								<p>local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>Records filed with our office by San Diego Habitat Conservancy are available on our website.</p> <p>Please go to <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/charities">oag.ca.gov/charities</a></p> <p>Under Resources and Tools, click on Registry Verification Search</p> <p>Type in the name San Diego Habitat Conservancy in the panel for Organization Name</p> <p>Click Search, and then click on charity's name</p> <p>Scroll down and you will see all of the records that have been filed with this office.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00787	Mountain	Ronald	4/20/2018	I am looking for any public record information that I can get. I am concerned that there may be erroneous information about family and criminal activity for myself.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA - 2018	Nybo	Elisabeth	4/20/2018	I am requesting by year for 1979 through 1984 Part 1 Crimes in Santa Barbara County by agency for the entire county as a whole, and the Santa Barbara County Sheriff's Department and the City of Carpinteria individually. The data on the Open Justice website is only available as far back as 1985.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the

-00788								custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.
PRA - 2018 - 00789	Martinez	Felipe	4/23/2018	Unlawful detainer		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking unlawful detainer records, you may wish to direct your request to the local superior court in which the case was filed.
PRA - 2018 - 00790	Amarel (Muckrock)	Taylor	4/23/2018	I would like to obtain all emails sent to, from, or copied to Xavier Becerra, from January 1, 2016 to Present Day containing the non-case-sensitive key-string "EB-5" or "USCIS".		Completed	Nancy Doig	Enclosed are the records responsive to your request. Please note that Xavier Becerra became the California Attorney General on January 24, 2017. Because of this, the only emails his Department of Justice email account would have that would be responsive to this request were sent or received on or after January 24, 2017. Supplemental response dated May 18, 2018: On May 3, 2018, we responded to your request and provided you with responsive records. Since sending that response, we have identified additional responsive records. Some, but not all, of these additional records are enclosed with this letter. Those records that are not being produced are covered by the deliberative process privilege which exempts them from disclosure under the Public Records Act. The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (See Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325 [documents subject to deliberative process privilege properly withheld under Gov. Code, § 6255].) The records we are withholding reveal deliberative processes, and they are therefore protected from disclosure. (Ibid.) This completes our response to your April 21, 2018 request.
PRA - 2018	Mott (SNR)	Michael	4/23/2018	A digital spreadsheet list of cases investigated by the CA DOJ's Bureau of Investigation Sacramento Regional Office Recycling Fraud Team from Jan. 1, 2016- Dec. 31, 2018, including the investigative number, the cost of those investigations, people who were prosecuted, fines that were awarded and		Completed	Shannon	To the extent you are seeking information from our investigation files, they are exempt from disclosure. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f)



- 0079 1				money actually collected for each. Please order the spreadsheet with a row for each investigation, if possible.			Patter son	expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).]) Notwithstanding the investigatory file exemption, we also have information regarding matters for which the DOJ has brought charges against specific individuals who were the subjects of DOJ investigations. Enclosed is a spreadsheet with information about the criminal matters that resulted from the investigations conducted by the Sacramento team within the time period identified in your request. We are interpreting the portion of your request referencing the "money actually collected" as the restitution amount that has been collected and paid to Cal Recycle. There are several matters listed on the enclosed spreadsheet for which criminal proceedings are still pending. For example, one investigation has resulted in indictments of five individuals (see enclosed press release), but the criminal trial has not yet begun. Although the total amount of restitution collected thus far from these investigations is \$7,150, we anticipate this figure to increase significantly as the criminal proceedings are completed. Lastly, the annual budget of the Sacramento team is \$1,998,121.
PRA - 2018 - 0079 2	Morrison	Jasmy n	4/23/ 2018	Jasmyn Morrison 516682 A,B,C ALL RECORDS I would like to know if I'm able to appeal anything having to do with CWS if I never received notice.		Comp leted	Erin Nickle ss	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records with the information provided as it lacks information needed to identify the records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. However, our research into the matter shows that this might be related to a matter handled by a different state Department. If the term "CWS" refers to a Child Welfare Services program, you may wish to contact the California Department of Social Services. Information on how to contact the California Department of Social Services can be found on their website at <a href="http://www.cdss.ca.gov/Public-Record-Requests#">http://www.cdss.ca.gov/Public-Record-Requests#</a> .
PRA - 2018 - 0079 3	Goodwin	Loraine	4/23/ 2018	Complaint of Madera County District Attorney David Linn v. Madera County Supervisors, et al. alleging election violations		Comp leted	Erin Nickle ss	We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. You may be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.

								Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records for a case between the Madera County District Attorney and the Madera County Supervisors, you may wish to contact one of their offices, or the Madera County Superior Court.
PRA - 2018 - 00795	Raza	Muhammad Musa	4/23/2018	I would Like to know how much the CalGang programs costs the city of Los Angeles, State of California and Los Angeles County. I would also like to know what the spending for the CalGang programs falls under (which category, public safety or something else). Lastly, I would like to know the answers to the questions above in the recent timeframe (after 2014). I was trying my best to find the answers to the questions above online, but wasn't able to. I contacted the number provided, and the person on the line directed me to make a request (she wasn't sure if that would work or not).		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, in this case, the City of Los Angeles and Los Angeles County, you should direct your request for records to those agencies. The DOJ only maintains the CalGang database system, therefore can only provide maintenance costs. Furthermore, the DOJ does not categorize the purchases, therefore cannot respond to the spending category. For the years requested, the costs are as follows: • Fiscal Year (FY) 13/14 - \$ 190,304 • FY 14/15 - \$269,559 • FY 15/16 - \$282,924 • FY 16/17 - \$275,208 Note, in the FY 17 /18 budget, \$3 .2 million was appropriated to the DOJ for "system enhancements and automation of the Cal Gang database" as legislation made DOJ responsible for the oversight of Cal Gang effective January 1, 2018. For more information, you can visit the Cal Gang page on the California Attorney General 's website here: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/calgang">https://oag.ca.gov/calgang</a> .
PRA - 2018 - 00796	Pierce	Seavon	4/23/2018	1) Request Is Made For Pages 1656-1658, Of The Transcriptions "Blocked", Stated Under A Penalty Of Perjury, Illegal Acts To Seal The Record, Conceal Evidence Of Wrong Doing As Acts Of Favor, To Prevent Liability, As Continuing Violations. Report Is Here Made Of These Acts, The Falsification Of Public .Records, Concealment Of Evidence Of Wrongdoing As Acts Of Favor. A Violation Of The Rights To The Public Record Is Here Reported As A Violation Wi'ti-I All Affected Parties As Defined By, 18 Use 4, 241, 242, 1001, 2071, Acts Of Fraud And Corruption Of Case No SWF002212, 5:09-00310-VAP-JEM. 2) The Attached Public Officials Have Concealed Evidence, Continuing Violations; (a) Upon Direct Knowledge Of An Agreement To Conceal Evidence, Not Say Anything Of The Full Evidence Received Out Of The Defendants Presence Recorded On 12-16-05, Or Otherwise, Acts By The Riverside Court And Riverside District Attorneys Office, Et. Al.. (b) Concealment Of Out Of Court Communications By The District Attorney(S) Office 'Id Alter Trial Proceedings However Defined. (c) Concealment Of Admissions Under Frpc 36, Of Admissions Of Innocence, Fraud, Further Evidence Received From Judge Harold W. Hopp After .A Order Of A Evidentiary Hearing Which Allows Both Sides To Present Evidence, Evidence Received As A Expanded Record Not Denied As Facts Or Evidence, Evidence Of The Violation Of The Federal Privacy Act, Of The Medical Data Obtained By The Judge Off The Record, Evidence Which Still Exist In Case No. 5:09-00310-Vap-Jem, As Being Concealed By These Public Officials In Violation Of The Duty To Report Misconduct/Illegal Acts. (d) Concealment Of The 11 Clear And Convincing Evidence " Found By The Court Under The Statutory Requirements That Clear And Convincing Evidence Be Found To Order A Evidentiary Hearing In Which Counsel Was Provided, See Documents 50-60. (3) This Complaint Is Filed As Continuing Violations, Acts Which Violate The Rights Of The Public Id .The Public Record, Illegal Acts Defined As Improper Influence, Political Influence, Acts Of Fraud, Intentional Acts Of Concealment Of Violations, Misconduct, Wrong Doings Committed By Public		Completed	Cynthia S. Lumley	Your requests for pages 1656-1658 of the transcript have been addressed, both by our office and the Court. There are no pages responsive to your request. Regarding the rest of your request, unspecific and unfocused requests for government records under the Public Records Act are contrary to the purposes of the Public Records Act, and requestors should provide specific, focused requests in order to give agencies a reasonable opportunity to respond. General, unfocused requests compel agencies to deny them thereby leading to litigation. The request to the agency must itself be focused and specific. (Rogers v. Superior Court (1993) 19 Cal.App.4th 469, 481.) Your requests are generally vague and overbroad. For these reasons, we must decline your request for records as it is currently cast. However, we are willing to consult with you to determine if a more specific request would be likely to generate additional records. (Gov. Code, section 6253.1.) Additionally, we note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice but seeks records from other departments. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its

				Officials In Office As Defined By Public Corruption, Acts Of Favor, Acts Which Prevent Liability Of Violations Of The Law.				own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.
PRA - 2018 - 00798	Teacher	Maria	4/23/2018	My name is Maria and I am a teacher in the state of California. I was wondering if you could help me or direct me. My classroom was inspected for size and any hazards. My district has decided to shutdown my classroom and reassign me. I have asked the district for a copy and they have not given it to me. I would like a copy of the report.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Responded via voice mail that these records are not DOJ records and referred her to the school district.
PRA - 2018 - 00799	Golez	Joahna Claire	4/23/2018	This happened sometime during the 1990s, somewhere between 1993-1999. My mother, Cecilia Golez, filed a domestic abuse case against my father, John Golez. I need this file, as requested from my mother. My mother is now filing a divorce from my father and will need this file.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. If this case was managed by a local district attorney's office, you would need to direct your request to them. You may also be able to request a case file from the Superior Court in which the case was handled. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA - 2018 - 00802	Ligon	Cheyenne	4/23/2018	April 23, 2018 request: (1) Any and all documents, correspondence, notes, electronic notices, memoranda, investigator notes, and/or reports that have come into the Office of the Attorney General's possession on or after September 1, 2016 or that have been generated by the Office of the Attorney General on or after September 1, 2016, that in any way refer, reflect or relate to any security breach notifications provided pursuant to MCL 15.243 including notifications to affected persons and to designated state agencies, logs of notifications, and related records. April 24, 2018 amended request: (1) Any and all documents, correspondence, notes, electronic notices, memoranda, investigator notes, and/or reports that have come into the Office of the Attorney General's possession on or after September 1, 2016 or that have been generated by the Office of the Attorney General on or after September 1, 2016, that in any way refer, reflect or relate to any security breach notifications provided pursuant to California Public Records Act 2004, Government Code §§ 6250-6276.48 including notifications to affected persons and to designated state agencies, logs of notifications, and related records.	Cooley LLP	Completed	Amos Hartston	Our office does not have any responsive records. We do not receive security breach notifications pursuant to MCL 15.243 or the Public Records Act. You may find it helpful, however, that our office publishes data security breach notices we receive pursuant to Civil Code sections 1798.29(e) and 1798.82(f) on our website. <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/ecrime/databreach/list">https://oag.ca.gov/ecrime/databreach/list</a> .
PRA - 2018 - 00805	Keeves	Christine	4/23/2018	I am looking for any records regarding Michael K Winner of [REDACTED]. I am searching for records to try to safeguard myself and community from escalating harassment, intimidation, and bullying which recently resulted in vandalism of my car while legally parked on a public street in front of Winner's home. I've documented years of altercations with neighbors who are legally parked in front of his home on a busy street, as well as several neighbors reporting being fearful of this man's temper. In the most recent incident, a witness saw him angrily "slapping" my car and leaving a		Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public

				<p>threatening note... shortly before 4 large dents appeared on the passenger side of my car, lining up exactly with Winner's trashcans on the curb. This occurred after another incident, where I legally parked in front of his home and he ran out of his house screaming at me, banging on my car, and blocking me from driving away.</p> <p>I have filed a police report regarding the vandalism and I am pulling together information to make a Public Nuisance claim, as defined by California law. In the meantime, I discovered this public record (<a href="https://www.nsf.gov/oig/case-closeout/I06090026.pdf">https://www.nsf.gov/oig/case-closeout/I06090026.pdf</a>) naming Michael K. Winner as a felon and likely sex offender. As things escalate in my neighborhood, I'd like to know if he's been convicted of any other crimes, both to build my case as well as keep myself and neighbors informed of potential danger.</p>			<p>records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00807	Stuart	Wayne	4/23/2018	<p>1. "A copy of the 2018 request for an Informal Response issued by the California Supreme Court in In re David Irby, Trenton Capell, Leonard King, Jeffrey Williams, Rodney Barno, Petitioners, on habeas corpus. (Case no. unavailable)."</p> <p>2. "A copy of the opening and Respondent's briefs in People v. Thomas (1999) 21 Cal.4th 1122."</p> <p>3. "A memorandum authored by an attorney employed by the Department of Justice explaining why Third Strikers are eligible for Proposition 57 early parole consideration."</p> <p>4. "A memorandum authored by any attorney employed by the Department of Justice explaining why Third Strikers are not eligible for Proposition 57 early parole consideration."</p>	Completed	Philip J. Lindsay	<p>As to your first request, there are no documents responsive to this request. The Supreme Court did not request an informal response from the respondents in In re Irby (King, Capell, Williams, Barno), case number S246798. Petitioners filed their petition on January 26, 2018, and the Supreme Court summarily denied it on April 11, 2018, without requesting a response from respondents.</p> <p>As to your second request, I have ordered the case file in People v. Thomas that resulted in the opinion you cite in order to locate the opening and respondent's briefs. Because of the file's age, my office is currently attempting to locate it in among our archived case files. It may take an additional two weeks to locate and have the file forwarded to me. Once I receive the file, I will notify you by letter regarding the cost of reproducing the opening and respondent's briefs.</p> <p>The Office of the Attorney General charges a copy fee of \$0.10 per page in accordance with the CPRA. The CPRA contains no exceptions to the copy fee for indigent inmates.</p> <p>As to your third and fourth requests seeking memorandums explaining why Third Strikers are or are not eligible for Proposition 57 parole consideration, such documents (other than legal briefs filed with the courts) are prepared by DOJ attorneys in the scope of their representation of client agencies and contain attorney work product or legal advice provided to our client agencies. All such documents are privileged and specifically exempt from disclosure under the CPRA. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Evid. Code, § 954; Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363 [attorney-client privilege]; County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819 [attorney</p>

								<p>work-product privilege].)</p> <p>If by "memorandums" you seek legal briefs filed with the courts prepared by DOJ attorneys regarding the eligibility of Third Str kers for Proposition 57 parole consideration, those documents do exist, and I can forward you a copy of the briefs submitted in those cases. Our office recently submitted briefing on this issue to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Three, in In re E bert Vaught, case number G054657. Respondent's briefing consists of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Informal Response filed June 29, 2017 (32 pages)</li><li>• Return to OSC filed September 11, 2017 (20 pages, not including exhibits (an additional 155 pages))</li><li>• Court Ordered Supplemental Letter Brief filed March 9, 2018 (8 pages, not including exhibits (an additional 65 pages)</li></ul> <p>If you would like a copy of any of the documents from the Vaught case, please send me a request in writing indicating which documents along with a check payable to the Department of Justice in the amount of \$0.10 per page for all documents requested.</p> <p>June 14, 2018 follow up: Your requests for records in People v. Thomas has generated 78 pages of discloseable records resulting in a duplication fee of \$7.80. This includes 48 pages of Appellant's Opening Brief and 30 pages of Respondent's Brief. Please make the check payable to the California Department of Justice and send your check to: California Department of Justice Public Records Coordinator 1300 I Street, Room 1710-6 Sacramento, CA 95814</p> <p>Upon receipt of your check in the above-stated amount, we will promptly forward to you the disclosable records responsive to your request. If we do not receive your payment within 20 days of this letter, we will consider the request for records withdrawn and the matter closed.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00860	Frenzen	Amy	4/23/2018	I am writing to request confirmation that Attorney General Becerra does not currently sit on any appointed boards or commissions in the State of California. If he does, please let me know what they are. Thank you so much for your time.		Completed	Sharon O'Grady	<p>Your request seeks information, rather than identifiable public records. (See Gov. Code, § 6250 et seq.) We also note that use of the phrase "appointed boards or commissions in the State of California" renders the request vague and ambiguous.</p> <p>For these reasons, we must decline your request as it is currently cast. If you wish to consult with us to determine if a more focused request would reasonably describe a set of identifiable records (Gov. Code, § 6253.1), please contact me at the address above, or by telephone at 415-510-3834.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00867	Franklin	Scott	4/23/2018	a) Any guidebook, handbook, memo, or similar instructional material explaining how California Department of Justice ("Department") employees are to distinguish records they create concerning: (1) law enforcement activities targeting people on the Armed Prohibited Person System ("APPS") List versus (2) law enforcement activities targeting people who were not identified via the APPS List but who are nonetheless investigated by the Department because they are believed to be in possession of a firearm notwithstanding a legal inability to do so; the forgoing request is limited to records created or	Michael & Associates	Completed	Robert Wilson	<p>July 9, 2018 request: The Department has enclosed a memo in response to your first request. For your second request, the Department's time card system cannot currently decipher between case types. As such, the Department has no records responsive to that request. For your third</p>

				<p>amended (or both) after August 9, 2017;</p> <p>b) All timeslips, time cards, reports, or similar documents created by Department employees that specifically indicate (whether directly or by use of a code or identifier) the employee participated in a non-APPS List-based investigation of a person alleged to be in possession of a firearm without the legal right to do so; the forgoing request is limited to records created or amended (or both) after August 9, 2017, and the requesting party does not object to employee names and contact information, target names and addresses, and specific investigatory notes being redacted from the documents produced pursuant to this specific request;</p> <p>c) Any list or similar document that compares information concerning APPS List investigations undertaken by the Department and non-APPS List investigation (i.e., investigations of persons who are not identified via the APPS List but are nonetheless investigated by the Department as in possession of a firearm notwithstanding a legal inability to do so); the forgoing request is limited to records created or amended (or both) after January 1, 2011;</p> <p>d) Any document seeking a request for funding, e.g., a budget change proposal, related to funding the Department's investigations of people who are not identified by the APPS List but who are nonetheless investigated by the Department because they are believed to be in possession of a firearm notwithstanding a legal inability to do so; the forgoing request is limited to records created or amended (or both) after August 9, 2017.</p> <p>On May 31, 2018, requester followed up: In response to your letter of May 17, 2018, please:</p> <p>(A) advise if any responsive documents have been located to date,</p> <p>(B) advise if any such documents are exempt from production per the Department of Justice's analysis,</p> <p>(C) advise whether the Department of Justice agrees to rolling production of documents,</p> <p>(D) identify any specific difficulties the Department of Justice is encountering,</p> <p>(E) advise if, as of the receipt of this letter, you have contacted Deputy Attorney General Anthony Hakl, who I believe to be the government employee who has the most knowledge relevant to this request; and, (E) state the Department of Justice's best estimate as to concluding its response to the relevant request.</p>			<p>request, there have been 39,432 APPS cases closed and 206 non-APPS cases closed between January 1, 2013 and April 23, 2018. The Department has no documents responsive to your fourth request.</p> <p>June 27, 2018 Response:</p> <p>We have resolved three out of four of your requests for information. However, in regards to (b), the individual who is best suited to respond to this request is out of the office until next week. A letter and any responsive information will be sent shortly. On May 17, 2018, a status letter was sent: Our 14-day extension expires today, and I would like to provide you a status of our progress. We have been working on determining whether the Department has any responsive information. At this point, we are still working on this request. We would like to continue our search and will keep you informed of the progress.</p> <p>On May 3, 2018, an EOT letter was sent.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00873	Pintka	Richard	4/23/2018	<p>DOB [REDACTED]; 1984: 2 counts Grand Larceny, City: Claremont, County: Los Angeles; 1984: 1 count Grand Larceny, City: Palm Springs, County: Riverside Please email report.</p>	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>Unfortunately, the Department of Justice (DOJ) is unable to comply with your request. The California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to California Penal Code section 11076, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records. The only exception to this rule is an individual requesting his or her own records. California Penal Code sections 11120 through 11127 afford an individual the opportunity to obtain a copy of his or her own California state summary criminal history information to review for accuracy and completeness. For more information regarding the Record Review process, please visit our website at <a href="http://www.oag.ca.gov/fingerprints">www.oag.ca.gov/fingerprints</a>. Click on the link, "Criminal Records - Request Your Own" and follow the directions listed on the webpage. Your Record Review results will be mailed to you after you submit fingerprints for Record Review. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00888	Brennan	Frederick	4/23/2018	<p>All arrest records, plea records, conviction records, etc., concerning NATHAN D. ATKINSON. FULL NAME: NATHAN DANIEL ATKINSON DOB: [REDACTED] CDL: A6922436</p>	Completed	Nicole Arnaiz	<p>Unfortunately, the Department of Justice (DOJ) is unable to comply with your request. The California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to California Penal Code (PC) section 11076, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies</p>

							<p>that are statutorily authorized to receive such records. (Also see PC section 11105.) The only exception to this rule is an individual requesting his or her own records. California Penal Code sections 11120 through 11127 afford an individual the opportunity to obtain a copy of his or her own California state summary criminal history information to review for accuracy and completeness.</p> <p>If you have further questions or concerns regarding a record review, please direct your correspondence to the Bureau of Criminal Information &amp; Analysis, Record Review Unit at P.O. Box 903417, Sacramento, CA 94203-4170. For additional information, the following is the Record Review website: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review">https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review</a>.</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00889	Gonzales	Gerardo	4/23/2018	<p>(1) Investigation findings and recommendations on case# 85-0181-01A, (76 page findings).  (2) Investigation Report No. 1 (Vol. 1) in its entirety;  (3) Report No. 2 (Vol. 2) in its entirety;  (4) Report No. 3 (Vol. 3) in its entirety:</p> <p>On May 4, 2018, Gerardo Gonzales followed up: Hello Mrs. Stott, we just talked on the phone, and you requested more information regarding my two contacts with your office in reference to a "Child Abuse Investigation performed by order of John K. Van De Kamp from 1985 to 1986". Please see attached documents as proof on the existence of the requested public and confidential documents, further please note that John K. Van De Kamp was working in a conspiracy with Ed Jagels to refuse Gerardo Gonzales access to the Confidential portion of the investigation, please note that Gerardo Gonzales won a motion to access to the confidential documents identified as Volume 1, Volume 2, and Volume 3, without a doubt the documents exist and Gerardo Gonzales is entitled to said documents.</p> <p>Please review Doc. 1; Letter from John K. Van De Kamp, "We enclose herewith our report Culminating our procedural inquiry into child abuse cases in Kern County." This letter is dated 09/26/1986 and is addressed to Edward R. Jagels, Kern County District Attorney. So the investigation was performed, your office has a copy and Gerardo Gonzales is legally and formally requesting a full and complete copy of this document.</p> <p>Next Doc. 2 is the motion before Len M. McGillvray dated 10/14/1986, "MOTION GRANTED", two pages. this document proves Gerardo Gonzales is legally entitled to the private and confidential portions of the report, Gerardo Gonzales is now legally and formally requesting full and true copies of the confidential parts in the investigation.</p> <p>Next Doc. 3 is a letter from John K. Van De Kamp to the Kern County Superior Court asking for the "DISPOSITION OF ARREST AND COURT ACTION (JUS 8715). Gerardo Gonzales requests legally and formally for the current Attorney General of California Xavier Becerra, to legally and formally start a full and complete investigation into this request by John K. Van De Kamp, as to the meaning and also the response by the Kern County Courts. (This clearly points to a conspiracy between Kern County and John K. Van De Kamp) further Gerardo Gonzales is legally and formally requesting any and all cases where the AG's Office would request the information asked for in this letter, and the reason for the inquiry by this office.</p> <p>All these requests are in reference to Gerardo Gonzales' 35 year attempts to clear his name and receive just compensation from Kern County, The State of California, and does 1-150 to be named at a later date for Willful and Knowing Constitutional Violation. Gerardo Gonzales has contacted multiple Kern County Agencies as a result of filing a Writ of Coram Nobis on or about Nov. 2014 for discovery of all Court Documents including this report asked for in discovery motions, to date Kern County Officials have refused to provide any legally and formally requested copies. Gerardo Gonzales is now going to be represented by The Innocence Project, and is requesting all the above copies as soon as possible. I have a contact date of the third week of May, 2018, with my new Attorney.</p> <p>Investigation # 85-0181-01A, conducted by order of John K Van De Kamp started in 1985 and ending</p>	Completed	Michael Dolida	<p>The records of all our case files are organized and maintained electronically in a case management software application called Prolaw. After an exhaustive search of Prolaw, we were unable to locate any records pertaining to an investigation number 85-0181-01A, or case numbers SC028231 and SC028469. Thus, we have no responsive records for your request. We were, however, able to locate a file pertaining to case number SC027986, as it pertained to the Fifth District Court of Appeal case no. F072787. In review of our file, we were unable to locate any requested documents.</p> <p>In as much as it appears you are requesting police investigative reports, and if you have not done so already, you may try contacting the law enforcement agency that produced the investigative report. These public agencies may have additional information in case files that can be disseminated to members of the public.</p> <p>May 16, 2018: On May 1, 2018, you sent an online submission form requesting the following: "We need the Attorney General of California to provide a full copy of investigation number '85-0181-01A' this investigation was performed between 1985-1986, and performed because of my cases as follows SC027986, SC028231, and SC028469, we have a Court Order from a Kern County Judge addressing the fact the we were entitled to the whole report including, 'findings and recommendations, Volume 1, Volume 2, and Volume 3' of the interviews etc." On May 3, 2018, we sent a response letter to you indicating we did not have any such records. The next day, May 4, 2018, you contacted our office and after multiple communications (phone calls, phone messages, emails), we had a better understanding of the specific records you were requesting. In fact, the follow-up email you provided that day, along with the relevant attachments</p>

in 1986 in Kern County California.  
Thank You for your prompted attention and cooperation in this matter!

thereto [(1) the September 26, 1986 letter from our Office to Kern County District Attorney Edward Jagels regarding the investigation report and (2) the October 14, 1986, minute order regarding motion for pretrial discovery of the investigation report] was very helpful in assisting us in determining whether responsive documents exist. Based on the new information that we received, we were able to determine you were interested in the Report on the Kern County Child Abuse Investigation dated September 1986 (Public Report). On May 10, 2018, our office emailed you a copy of the Public Report. However, the question remained whether the confidential materials contained in volumes 1 through 3 and associated with the Public Report still existed over 30 years later. Dawn Stott and I conducted an extensive search for those confidential records, and, on May 16, 2018, we called and informed you that we believed we had located the records. We further told you that the records were in another location and that we had requested a copy of the documents be forwarded to us for review. On Tuesday, May 22, 2018, I informed you over the phone that we had received the records late Monday afternoon and that we were able to confirm the documents were, in fact, volumes 1 through 3 containing the confidential materials related to the Public Report. The contents of these confidential binders are described as follows:

Volume 1  
Kern County Child Abuse Investigation  
Case number 85-0181-0IA; Report Number 1  
March 26, 1986; Policy and Procedure: Bates DOJ 7761-DOJ 18051  
Contents  
I. Introduction  
II. Kern County Sheriffs Department  
A. Attachments  
III. Kern County District Attorney's Office  
A. Attachments  
IV. Kern County Welfare Department, Child Protective Services  
A. Attachments

Volume2  
Kern County Child Abuse Investigation  
Case number 85-0181-0IA; Report Number 2  
March 26, 1986; B.H. Bates DOJ18052:-DOJ18390  
Contents  
I. Introduction  
II. Kern County Sheriffs Department  
A. Chronology  
B. Attachments  
III. Kern County District Attorney's Office  
A. Chronology  
B. Attachments  
IV. Kern County Welfare Department, Child Protective Services  
A. Chronology  
B. Attachments  
V. · Master Chronology



								<p>VI. Interview Reports</p> <p>A. J. H.</p> <p>B. R.H.</p> <p>Volume3</p> <p>Kern County Child Abuse Investigation</p> <p>Case number 85-0181-0IA; Report Number 3</p> <p>June 13, 1986; Bates DOJl 7265- DOJl 7760</p> <p>Contents</p> <p>I. Introduction</p> <p>IL Kem County Sheriffs Department</p> <p>A. Footnotes</p> <p>B. Attachments</p> <p>III. Kem County District Attorney's Office</p> <p>A. Footnotes</p> <p>B. Attachments</p> <p>IV. Kem County Welfare Department, Child Protective Services</p> <p>A. Footnotes</p> <p>B. Attachments</p> <p>Unfortunately, as I mentioned to you during our phone conversation on Tuesday, we cannot provide these records to you under the PRA. The documents you seek are all part of an investigation file in a criminal matter and, thus, are expressly exempt from disclosure under the PRA. (Gov. Code§ 6254, subd. (f); Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) No time limit exists for this exemption, so it continues to apply even after the investigation at issue has concluded. (Williams v. Superior Court, supra, at p. 355.)</p> <p>However, as we have mentioned to you several times during our communications, while we must deny your request under the PRA, our Office does comply with current and valid court orders. As noted above, you have provided us with a photocopy of a minute order dated October 14, 1986 in the matter People vs. Cheryl Gonzalez, Gerardo Gonzales (Action nos. 28231, 27986, 28469) wherein it appears a motion for pretrial discovery of the Public Report and related confidential information in volumes 1 through 3 was granted. While that court order may have been valid at that time, its our understanding that these criminal cases have long been resolved and the files thus closed. Under such circumstances, we cannot accept the copy of a 32-year-old minute order in a closed case as being a current and valid lawful court order. Furthermore, in our conversations, you indicated that the prosecution at that time complied with the court order by providing the requested records to your attorney. Given apparent prior compliance with this order, we are under no obligation to provide the documents again in response to a PRA request.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00800	Atchley	Clare	4/24/2018	Court documents for Kimberly Margaret Sabraw, 25, who is also the daughter of U.S. District Court Judge Dana Sabraw, is facing four misdemeanor charges of driving under the influence, driving with a blood alcohol level greater than 0.08 percent, reckless driving, and wrong-way driving. She posted \$2,500 bail the night of the incident and was ordered to appear in court on April 12.		Completed	Stephanie Chow	Please find the requested documents attached: specifically, a copy of the criminal complaint and the arraignment form.

PRA - 2018 - 0080 1	Rathgeber	Geoff	4/24/ 2018	<p>(1) All submissions on The Supply Chains Act - Compliance Information Submission website currently located at: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/sb657/submission">https://oag.ca.gov/sb657/submission</a>.</p> <p>(2) All documents compiling or discussing submissions on The Supply Chains Act - Compliance Information Submission website.</p>		Completed	John M. Applebaum	<p>Response to Request No. 1: To the extent that this request seeks records related to investigations, or contents from investigatory files, the Attorney General's office will not be producing any records or information responsive to this request because the records are exempted from PRA disclosure under the official information privilege (Evid. Code, § 1040), incorporated into the PRA through Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k), and under the terms of Government Code section 6255. Official information means information acquired in confidence by a public employee in the course of his or her duty and not open, or officially disclosed, to the public prior to the time the claim of privilege is made. (Evid. Code, § 1040, subd. (a).) A public entity has a privilege to refuse to disclose official information if disclosure of the information is against the public interest because there is a necessity for preserving the confidentiality of the information that outweighs the necessity for disclosure in the interest of justice. (Evid. Code, § 1040, subd. (b).)</p> <p>This office has identified non-exempt records responsive to this request consisting of a list of businesses and all information submitted by these entities who have voluntarily submitted information to the Attorney General's office about their compliance with SB 657, which is being electronically produced in conjunction with this response. In providing these requested records, we redacted certain private identifying information, such as non-commercial addresses, e-mail addresses, and telephone numbers, along with any other sensitive personal information, based on considerations of personal privacy. The home and e-mail addresses, personal telephone numbers, and personal information of individuals are exempt from disclosure under the PRA because the public interest in nondisclosure of such private information clearly outweighs the public interest in disclosure. (Cal. Const., art. I, § 1, as incorporated into the PRA by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.)</p> <p>Response to Request No. 2: To the extent that this request seeks records subject to the attorney-client privilege and/or workproduct doctrine, these records are also expressly exempt from production and the request is also denied. (Gov. Code, §§ 6254, subds. (b), (k), (r), 6254.10; Evid. Code, §§ 950, et seq., 1040; County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) The attorney work product doctrine protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research, or legal theories, that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) The attorney work-product doctrine creates a qualified privilege against discovery of general work product, and an absolute privilege against disclosure of writings containing the attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, or</p>
------------------------------------	-----------	-------	---------------	---	--	-----------	-------------------	--

								<p>legal theories. (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (Axelrad) (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833, quoting BP Alaska Exploration, Inc. v. Superior Court (1988) 199 Cal.App.3d 1240, 1250; see also Caito v. Superior Court (2012) 54 Cal.4th 480, 488.) Similarly, the attorney-client privilege authorizes a client to "refuse to disclose, and to prevent another from disclosing, a confidential communication between client and lawyer." (Evid. Code, § 954.) The attorney-client privilege is absolute, and disclosure may not be ordered, without regard to relevance, necessity, or any particular circumstances peculiar to the case. (Costco Wholesale Corp. v. Superior Court (2009) 47 Cal.4th 725, 732; see also Palmer v. Superior Court (2014) 231 Cal.App.4th 1214, 1227.)</p> <p>The work product privilege and the attorney-client privilege are incorporated into the PRA as exemptions from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); see County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court, supra, 82 Cal.App.4th at p. 833.) Records that compile or discuss submissions made under the Act that contain the office's attorneys' impressions, conclusions, opinions, work product, and legal theories, prepared by the attorneys employed by the Attorney General's office, are subject to the attorney-client privilege and/or work product exemption and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the PRA.</p> <p>To the extent that this request seeks records related to investigations, or contents from investigatory files, which are expressly exempt from production under the PRA and the official information privilege, the request is denied. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subds. (f) and (k), Evid. Code, § 1040; Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts from disclosure, without limitation, investigatory records of the Attorney General. In Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337,354, the California Supreme Court held that investigative records in the possession of law enforcement agencies are exempt from disclosure. The Court also ruled that investigation documents did not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the closure of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355; see also Haynie v. Superior Court (2001) 26 Cal.4th 1061, 1069-1070; Rackauckas v. Superior Court (2002) 104 Cal.App.4th 169, 176-178.)</p> <p>This office has identified non-exempt records responsive to this request consisting of a list of businesses and all information submitted by these entities who have voluntarily submitted information to the Attorney General's office about their compliance with SB 657, which is being electronically produced in conjunction with this response. In providing these requested records, we redacted certain private identifying information, such as non-commercial addresses, e-mail addresses, and telephone numbers, along with any other sensitive personal information, based on</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

								considerations of personal privacy. The home and e-mail addresses, personal telephone numbers, and personal information of individuals are exempt from disclosure under the PRA because the public interest in nondisclosure of such private information clearly outweighs the public interest in disclosure. (Cal. Const., art. I, §1, as incorporated into the PRA by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.)
PRA - 2018 - 00803	McDaniel	Charles	4/24/2018	Certified with learning disability and adha. 1997 or 1998 I was certified with learning disability in Pasadena Ca. I have moved out of state scene then and not able to find the paper work. The last I knew from the testing center I was certified with learning disability and adha and put under the ADA. I am trying to find out how to retrieve the paper work, which I need for school and testing center.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to a learning disability, you may wish to direct your request to the office that issued the certification, any educational institution that may have required that you file this paperwork, or the Department of Education. Information on how to contact the Department of Education can be found on their website at <a href="http://www.cde.ca.gov/re/di/cd/">http://www.cde.ca.gov/re/di/cd/</a> .
PRA - 2018 - 00806	Daly	Miles	4/24/2018	I am searching for a lawsuit filed in either January or February of 2006 by the Coachella Valley Water District against either the City of Indio or the Indio Water Authority for nonpayment of groundwater replenishment charges. I am also searching for a cross complaint filed by either the City of Indio or the Indio Water Authority in April 2008 seeking Coachella Valley Water District to show proof of benefits Indio receives from replenishment assessment charges. I understand terms of a settle agreement were reached in December 8th, 2008. The settlement agreement was made on June 30th, 2009.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, such as a case number or other identifying information, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.
PRA - 2018 - 00808	Anaya	Edward	4/24/2018	I am looking for information on the AG's policy on when it charges beyond "direct costs of duplication" (e.g., 10/cents a page) pursuant to the Public Records Act. I have reviewed your October 2017 memo titled, "California Department of Justice Guidelines for Assess to Public Records" and it's not clear to me when the Department charges beyond these direct costs. Would you please elaborate and/or provide a few examples Thank you!		Completed	Erin Nickless	Attached please find two pages from the Department of Justice Administrative Manual that further outline how fees are determined. Our office will notify the requester of any duplication fees before documents are produced, so that the requester can decide beforehand if they are willing to pay the requested fee. I hope this information is of assistance.
PRA - 2018 - 00809	Melendez	Matthew	4/24/2018	Any power of attorney and businesses in or under my identity. I been having trouble locating any of my information. I have reason to believe there may be a fraud using my personal information. I recently ordered my credit report and noticed a lot of activity that looks suspicious		Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in

								your correspondence that you are seeking information on a credit report, we suggest that you contact the credit reporting agency directly. Information on how to dispute a credit report can be found at <a href="https://www.consumer.ftc.gov/articles/0151-disputing-errors-credit-reports">https://www.consumer.ftc.gov/articles/0151-disputing-errors-credit-reports</a> . We hope this information has been of assistance.
PRA - 2018 - 00810	Salistean	Maria	4/24/2018	I want my record information removed. Name and Phone number associated with other people. Not sure if anyone has an association with my phone number. I want that removed my information private. For safety reasons. I don't want my name to be found in the public records and associated with possible people I know or I might be related. I get phone calls and when I am at work they will call other people to track me down.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Specifically, you are requesting to have your name removed from public records sites. We note that your public records request is directed to the DOJ but seeks records from other departments, agencies, or private entities. Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. We do not have records fitting the description provided, and must therefore decline your request as we do not have custody or control over the subject matter records.
PRA - 2018 - 00823	Taurus	Marcae	4/24/2018	Mr. Marcae is seeking documents regarding the founding and funding of advertising for an organization in California.		Completed	Erin Nickless	This e-mail is in response to your phone message left on the Public Records line for the California Department of Justice. Our attempt to call you at the number provided resulted in a busy signal, so we are reaching out via e-mail. We are seeking clarification of your request. I was unable to make out the name of the organization provided in your voice mail, which is the subject of the documents you seek. Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. We only maintain records for matters that fall within our Department's purview, as we are not a depository for records for the entire State. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. If you can provide more information regarding the documents that you are seeking, we can conduct a search of the records that are in our custody and control.
PRA - 2018 - 00812	Sánchez	Gerardo	4/25/2018	Carlos Alexis Mendoza Mother Last Name: [REDACTED] Birthdate: [REDACTED] Country of birth: Cuba Cell Phone: [REDACTED] He has asked me for some personal collaboration related to his family and I need to know his behavior, moral rectitude, social and moral reliability.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request based on the information provided. If you could provide more specifics such as case names, numbers, or a description of the documents you are seeking, we might be able to better direct a search for documents. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody

							<p>or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 0081 3	Hevel	David	4/25/ 2018	All of your records on William Joe Barnes. Date of Birth [REDACTED]. Birthplace: Sheridan, Arkansas.		Completed	Erin Nickless <p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>We were unable to conduct a conclusive search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office.</p> <p>In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Providing the actual name or title of the record.</li> <li>-Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record.</li> <li>-Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record.</li> <li>-Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created.</li> <li>-If related to a case, providing the case name or number.</li> </ul>

							<p>-Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record.</p> <p>-Indicating the city in which the record was created.</p> <p>To the extent that you seek records related to an individual, the scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00815	Owney	Lorenzo	4/25/2018	Rental history, eviction check, collection		Completed	Erin Nickless <p>You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information that might appear on a credit report, you may wish to contact the credit reporting agency directly.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00817	Bond-Graham	Darwin	4/25/2018	I'm seeking all records in the Attorney General's possession regarding Telegraph Patients Group, or TPG. TPG is a nonprofit, mutual benefit organization that must register and file papers with the OAG Charities Section. It's registration number is CT0176290 and its corporate organization number is 3412695. Please provide all copies of IRS Form 990s and any correspondence between the attorney general's office and TPG.		Completed	Scott Chan <p>A diligent search of our files shows that our office does not have any documents responsive to your request.</p> <p>All information that is a matter of public record regarding nonprofits, including Telegraph Patients Group, is available for viewing at the Registry of Charitable Trusts website: <a href="http://rct.doj.ca.gov/MyLicenseVerification/Search.aspx?facility=Y">http://rct.doj.ca.gov/MyLicenseVerification/Search.aspx?facility=Y</a>. This information was obtained from</p>

								<p>the office of the California Secretary of State. You could search by using the "Organization Name" or by using "State Charity Registration Number" or other identifying search criteria.</p> <p>We note that your information request is directed to the Department of Justice but may seek records from other departments. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00848	Roy	Casey	4/25/2018	Marriage And Divorce Dates Doesn't Need To Be Official I Need To Apply For A Passport Leaving June 3rd 2018		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at:  <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00908	Grant	Chris	4/25/2018	April 24, 2017 clarification received on April 27, 2017: Any documents outlining the history, membership, and early activities of the Black Guerilla Family (BGF). So long as they don't conflict with 6254(f), or privacy issues... April 6, 2017 request: I would like to know if your department has releasable documents on California prison gangs?		Completed	Anabel Renteria	<p>Specifically, you sought information regarding the status of Initiative 17-0046, "The People's Fair Sentencing &amp; Public Safety Act of 2018". This initiative is currently in circulation and has not yet qualified for ballot. A courtesy copy of this initiative is enclosed for your records. This completes our response to your request.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00816	Solis	Jose	4/26/2018	Public record.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office.</p> <p>In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Providing the actual name or title of the record.</li> <li>-Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record.</li> <li>-Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record.</li> <li>-Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created.</li> <li>-If related to a case, providing the case name or number.</li> <li>-Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record.</li> <li>-Indicating the city in which the record was created.</li> </ul>



								If you can provide more information regarding the records you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control.
PRA - 2018 - 0081 8	Waltz	Jordan	4/26/ 2018	I am requesting a digitized, DVD, or even VHS copy of footage of a violent incident between two killer whales, Kandu and Corky, at SeaWorld San Diego which occurred on August 21, 1989. This VHS or VHS-C tape sent in by Terry L. Kennemore to the office of then Attorney General John Van de Kamp. I have spoken to the submitter at length about the details, and she did not give a date when she mailed the VHS or VHS-c tape. When searching for this, I recommend looking between the dates of August 22 – October 31, 1989. Please feel free to call me by the contacts provided if you need anymore information.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.
PRA - 2018 - 0081 9	Wirrick	Bonnie	4/26/ 2018	Donald R. Craig any criminal or marriage/divorce records that are public.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA - 2018 - 0082 0	Mu ka	Mackenzie	4/26/ 2018	I would like all criminal, traffic and public records on Deanna Marie Emmons. Date of birth: [REDACTED]. I do not know the exact dates, but I know that she served time for meth.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, §

								<p>6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00822	Groce	Yvonne	4/26/2018	Yvonne Gross left a phone message seeking records of ownership of the 8-unit condominium project she lives in.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Left a voice mail for the requester referring her to the county property controller's office.
PRA - 2018 - 00824	Groce	Yvonne	4/26/2018	I am the owner of [REDACTED] and the HOA is Portola Condominium HOA. We have eight units. Most of the owners are new and all I have is the CC&Rs (copy attached) that doesn't even list the HOA's name. I am looking for the Articles of Incorporation but none of the owners have a copy. Would I be able to get that from your organization?		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>This correspondence is in response to your below request. We also received your voice mail, which we responded to earlier today by leaving a voice mail at the phone number you provided.</p> <p>As indicated in our voice mail, you appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking articles of incorporation from your home owners' association, you may wish to contact their office.</p>

PRA - 2018 - 0082 5	Spaccia	Gina	4/26/ 2018	BFS Case Number: R1-17-002320-0001 I am looking to obtain the date on which the lab results for this particular case were delivered. On what date did the lab notify the agency of their results?		Completed	Shannon Patterson	Initially we note that your request is directed to the Department of Justice but cites a request made to another agency as well. Absent a request for representation by California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, the Department of Justice will respond only on its own behalf. We must decline your request for the reasons set forth below. Under the California Public Records Act, government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public, unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code § 6253, subd. (b).) The "lab results" you seek, to the extent that they exist, are investigative files of the Attorney General that are expressly exempt from disclosure pursuant to Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f).
PRA - 2018 - 0082 7	Ruesch	Andrew	4/26/ 2018	All case numbers and documents in connection with Global Exchange Vacation Club; Resort Vacations, Inc.; Global Vacations Marketing Corp.		Completed	Melissa Weikel	Pursuant to your request, attached please find the two documents associated with your complaint against Global Exchange Vacation Club: Complaint dated April 23, 2018 Response letter dated April 25, 2018 We hope this information will be helpful to you.
PRA - 2018 - 0083 2	Lee	Daniel	4/26/ 2018	I have several websites where I show Violent Crime and Murder by California cities. I compare these crimes by numbers per 10,000 and 100,000 after downloading all of them into an Excel spread sheet. The FBI no longer publishes this information on their website since the year 2015. Can you direct me to a source for this information? OLD SOURCE: FBI UCR - Crimes Known to Law Enforcement - Table 8 - by state and then by city.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	On May 7, 2018, an extension letter was sent. On May 21, 2018, DOJ responded: The information that you requested can be found on the OpenJustice Data Portal at <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/data">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/data</a> . Specifically, I would like to direct your attention to the Crimes and Clearances (including Arson) section. The Department of Justice responds only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of a local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PRA - 2018 - 0086 6	Zinnerman	Vasco	4/26/ 2018	I am writing in regards to the number of signatures obtained as of April 24-18. The date in which random signature check's was set to take place please get back to me as soon as possible with a rough estimate of the signatures obtained for Initiative #17-0046 burglaries and robberies.		Completed	Anabel Renteria	The Department of Justice has no records responsive to your request. For signatures regarding a specific initiative you may contact the Secretary of State's Election Division at 1500 11th St., 5th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814. This completes our response to your request.
PRA - 2018 - 0123 6	James	Jesse	4/26/ 2018	The Attorney General's opinion on the impact of the Reducing Crime and Keeping California Safe Act of 2018. I would like a copy of this document so I may validate your opinion examine its merits. I ask how many signatures are needed to collect approval for ballot placement and why ballot placement amount for we the people is only 300,000 and original quantity for Prop 57 needed how much. Why does voter restorative act require 586,000 and other ballot measures not so much, why is there a difference in qualifying criteria and to who's authority is it that makes that particular decision. Should not it be representative of equal protection ... Official Voter Information Guide General Election Tuesday, November 8, 2016, page 59 ...		Completed	Anabel Renteria	In response to your request for the General Attorney's opinion, the attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc. section 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, section 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) However, I have included a copy of Initiative 17-0044, "Reducing Crime and Keeping California Safe Act of 2018" for your records. Additionally, you sought information regarding the status of this initiative as well as "how many signatures are needed to collect approval for ballot placement and why ballot placement amount for we

								the people is only 300,000". This initiative is currently in circulation and has not yet qualified for ballot. You may contact the Secretary of State's office for any inquiries regarding signatures for past and current ballot measures. You also requested a copy of the "Official Voter Information Guide General Election Tuesday November 8, 2016, page 59". A copy is enclosed. This completes our response to your request.
PRA - 2018 - 00828	Murphy	Ben	4/27/2018	I maintain DNA with a genealogy company. Seeing that California used DNA from a genealogy company to arrest this serial killer, please advise through Public Records Act, which genealogy company released customer DNA?		Completed	Shannon Patterson	The California Department of Justice, Bureau of Forensic Services does not consult, contract, or use "genealogy" companies for DNA analysis. Therefore, we must decline your request.
PRA - 2018 - 00829	Kandel	Jason	4/27/2018	I'd like all the Snapshot Prediction Result Phenotype Reports from Jan. 1, 2015 to the present date, like this one that's posted on the California Department Justice's Featured Unidentified Persons section of its website, here: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/system/files/media/Poster%20for%20SDAO-CA-SPD-01-0518...">https://oag.ca.gov/system/files/media/Poster%20for%20SDAO-CA-SPD-01-0518...</a> < <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/system/files/media/Poster%20for%20SDAO-CA-SPD-01-051826-Snapshot_1.pdf">https://oag.ca.gov/system/files/media/Poster%20for%20SDAO-CA-SPD-01-051826-Snapshot_1.pdf</a> >		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ is only aware of one other Unidentified Deceased Persons case, in addition to the link you provided, in California that has completed deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) Phenotyping. The report is attached. Please note that there is no known California law that requires a coroner or medical examiner to submit phenotyping results to our office (see below for applicable sections). Therefore, there may be other cases that have had phenotyping completed within California. • CA Government Code (GOV) section 27521, subdivision (g) If the coroner, medical examiner, or other agency performing a postmortem examination or autopsy with the aid of the dental examination and any other identifying findings is unable to establish the identity of the body or human remains, the coroner, medical examiner, or other agency shall submit dental charts and dental X-rays of the unidentified deceased person to the Department of Justice on forms supplied by the Department of Justice within 45 days of the date the body or human remains were discovered. • CA GOV Code section 27521, subdivision (h) If the coroner, medical examiner, or other agency performing a postmortem examination or autopsy with the aid of the dental examination and other identifying findings is unable to establish the identity of the body or human remains, the coroner, medical examiner, or other agency shall submit the final report of investigation to the Department of Justice within 180 days of the date the body or human remains were discovered. The final report of investigation shall list or describe the information collected pursuant to the postmortem examination or autopsy conducted under subdivision (b), and any anthropology report, fingerprints, photographs, and autopsy report.

PRA - 2018 - 0083 0	Wagner (NBC Uni)	Elizabeth	4/27/ 2018	Please provide all Reports of Investigation or closing memos for CLOSED investigations in 2015, 2016, 2017 through the present day in 2018 (either calendar or fiscal year is acceptable) for computer misuse cases in which "porn" or "pornographic" or "pornography" are referenced.		Completed	Shannon Patterson	On May 9, 2018, and extension letter was sent. On May 29, 2018, our office responded: The DOJ does not have any responsive materials regarding your public records act request.
PRA - 2018 - 0083 1	Hazleton	Weston	4/27/ 2018	I'm looking for records pertaining to the California Judicial Nomination Evaluation (JNE) Committee/Board. More specifically, I'm looking for a record on the results of a judge appointment decision regarding Cynthia Zimmer. The best window of time I have is 2003-2008; I know the decision was made during the Schwarzenegger Administration. To clarify, I am looking for any records related to Cynthia Zimmer's judicial application.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have searched our legal indices and consulted knowledgeable persons and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the California Judicial Nomination Evaluation Committee, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PRA - 2018 - 0083 3	Roy	Casey	4/27/ 2018	Marriage And Divorce Dates Doesn't Need To Be Official I Need To Apply For A Passport Leaving June 3rd 2018		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA - 2018 - 0083 4	Garcia	Patricia	4/27/ 2018	I am a victim of identity theft and would like to review any info. around the time that this started, I was sexually assaulted. Reported to DOJ & Police.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. If you wish to review the police report, you would need to contact the local department that created the report. To the extent that your request seeks investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Though the Public Records Unit was unable locate disclosable documents based on the information provided, you may wish to contact our Victims' Services Unit. More information can be found on our website at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/victimservices">https://oag.ca.gov/victimservices</a> .

PRA - 2018 - 0083 5	Castañeda	Leonardo	4/27/ 2018	Any and all emails from staff at the San Diego Office of the Attorney General that include any of the following keywords: "Stephan" "Summer Stephan" "Genevieve Jones Wright" and sent or received during April 2018.		Completed	Seth Goldstein	<p>On May 11, 2018, Public Records sent an extension letter</p> <p>May 21, 2018 response: Our office has searched for responsive documents and anticipates producing them by May 30.</p> <p>However, our office has recovered some records which, in whole or in part, are exempt from disclosure. In providing the records requested, we will withhold records that are entirely exempt and, if appropriate, provide partially redacted records.</p> <p>Some of the records that you seek contain certain private identifying information. As a result, we will redact non-commercial addresses, email addresses, and telephone numbers, along with other sensitive personal information such as, names of family members, social security numbers and credit card numbers based on considerations of personal privacy. (Cal. Const., art. I, §1, as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.)</p> <p>Some records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363, 370.) In the present case, the attorneys in our office provide legal advice to the Attorney General and client agencies. Accordingly, communications between the Attorney General or client agencies and the department's attorneys concerning various litigation and advice matters.</p> <p>are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>Additionally, some records are exempt due to the deliberative process privilege, which exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255. Moreover, the attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p>
------------------------------------	-----------	----------	---------------	---	--	-----------	----------------	---

								Finally, certain records that are responsive to the request are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation (Id. at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)].) May 30, 2018 response: Included with this letter is a CD containing the documents referenced in my previous May 21 letter.
PRA - 2018 - 00836	Nordby	Eden	4/27/2018	Documents referring to CERTAINTED CORPORATION, including consumer complaints, past or on-going investigations, enforcement actions, or settlements. Specifically, documents regarding the Landmark series of roof shingles. The relevant time period for these complaints is January 1, 2004 to the present.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)
PRA - 2018 - 00837	Campos (NBCuni)	Robert	4/27/2018	Pursuant to my rights under the California Public Records Act (Government Code Section 6250 et seq.), I ask to obtain a copy the following, which I understand to be held by your agency: Please provide all Reports of Investigation or closing memos for CLOSED investigations in 2015, 2016, 2017 through the present day in 2018 (either calendar or fiscal year is acceptable) for computer misuse cases in which "porn" or "pornographic" or "pornography" are referenced.		Completed	Shannon Patterson	An extension letter was sent on May 9, 2018. May 29, 2018 response: The DOJ does not have any responsive materials regarding your public records act request.
PRA - 2018 - 00838	Lemmon	Kathryn	4/30/2018	Audio file from 911 call to police in Los Osos, CA on 2/27/2018 at approximately 8:00 am from residential phone number (805) 528-1033. Any police report from 2/27/2018 concerning Matthew Lemmon. All video tapes from police cameras or security devices relative to Matthew Lemmon being detained and hospitalized on 2/27/2018. Surveillance footage from security cameras outside or inside Sierra Vista Hospital Emergency Room from the day of 2/27/2018.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Specifically, you are seeking a recording of a 9-11 call. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PRA - 2018 - 00839	Rousseau	Kathleen	4/30/2018	devin vijay maharaj		Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. If you could provide more specificity as to the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more informed search of our records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited

								<p>to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00840	Engfield	Nyall	4/30/2018	Civil Action Search Criminal Record Search Bankruptcy Search I would like a confirmation that I have no civil actions in which I am named, no criminal record or bankruptcy. I am an attorney and this is for my attorney license in Canada.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since the documents sought relate to legal cases, you may wish to contact the courts in which the cases would have been heard. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal



							history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA - 2018 - 0084 1	Kennedy	Jennifer	4/30/2018	<p>This is a request under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq. for the opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of public records in the following litigation: Sharon Brown et al. v. State of California et al., 2d District appeal #BC279936.</p> <p>I request the opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of the following documents: (1) Appellants' Motion for Expedited Hearing; (2) OAG's Opposition to Motion for Expedited Hearing; (3) any motion by plaintiffs' counsel to withdraw or be relieved as counsel in this matter; and (4) any and all correspondence between plaintiffs' counsel and the Office of the Attorney General regarding the intention of plaintiffs' counsel to withdraw from this action or be relieved as counsel.</p>	Completed	Cheryl L. Feiner	We were able to locate the following documents: Appellants' Motion for Expedited Hearing; OAG's Opposition to Motion for Expedited Hearing; and Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record.
PRA - 2018 - 0084 2	Cargill	Justin	4/30/2018	<p>Justin Sanders Cargill, First born of the Cargill Family of the Cargill Corporation of Father and of JSW Mergers and Acquisitions LLC. of Mother of Warburton Royals.</p> <p>Hostage on the West Coast Sovereign Democrat and White House Historical Association using supreme Courts with Defense law only. Placed at 567 Bailhache Avenue Healdsburg California, Eureka immunization of Sonoma County.</p> <p>Over the first frontier, read The Warriors, The First Frontier of America. The Holt series, and The Renegade. The Kents of Kentland, Holts on the Oregon Frontier and the Boyle Merchant Kings.</p> <p>Offensive, Merchant, Customs of Republican Citizens Military Tribunal of Halls of Justice Union funding of Wells Fargo of Industrial Harvest Importing, Exporting, Regional Laws in accordance with Democrat Court functions of M&amp;A Investment banking Judge Attorney Contracting and in order of M&amp;A, Halls, Courts, and functioning it as a Sovereign Supreme Court of Defense without M&amp;A and Halls.</p> <p>My family is the second frontier of Cargill, Warburton, Hughes. Me as first born.</p> <p>Google the business licenses J.S.W. Mergers and Acquisitions LLC.. They are online.</p> <p>By law they count as my Kings Licenses.</p> <p>Cargill Birth Right ownership of 18%, Shakespeare Theater laws of Man's Free Agency of New England 2 Queen of Seventh Day Adventist Bible of Dollar of Queen, 18%. Company in the name of another not of Cargill which is just Birth Right funding and then of Adulthood of M&amp;A filing is of Full ownership.</p> <p>New England Sovereign merged in over my birth and with Regan Historian Association over Washington Assembler of Postal and Cause of debt on Currency. Not printing Face of President when legislating causing further problems as that is the law of legislating currency. And Copy writing which is Plagiarism.</p> <p>Used Institutions of colleges, which is where Shakespeare laws resided, and took of them and turned them into a Jail system instead. Took Company of Cargill assembled over lands and of the Warburton M&amp;A of Rockefeller Center and Road Island estates and of U.K. of England of Australia with British as blue and with communism of blue of Democrat of Russia and commissioning, constructed into nation through world war 1,2, Vietnam, civil rights into market crash of eighties and I am of age and licensed and it is needed as this has had many negative consequences and International.</p> <p>Ministries putting M&amp;A in the middle of CEO legislation when they are suppose to be Funded by the Investment Bankings not CEO'ing them. My entire life as the licenses of terms of debt are legislated I have been routinely Defensively jailed to county area of estate where i am filed of California then to Portland with M&amp;A market marked with Craine, unbuilt, rooftop marked with flag where Broadcasting system is to be, to Lewis county onto a Tribal railroad Citizens Military park</p> <p>account left on auction, and always us the same charges to the same locations. using me this way as apart of there Defense that they run as a one-way law of blue Democrat with no Offensive law of Republican and have Broadcast running over roof top to ground of satellite over and in on center Pillard. Holding Ground. I have sent out letters and emails to there party of businesses.</p> <p>There Woodbridge is on area coding and zoning of JSW Mergers and Acquisitions LLC. of Warburton of my Ownership as Cargill. Republican. I filled Republican on all three regions of West. Time sensitive. They always drop the charges after they move me up the west as they deactivate the licenses as they legislate them while I have to us social services and then reactivate them with JSC Military Justice System then run in grab me, knock me out and I wake up back on estate. over and over again my entire life. using satellite as Camo holding ground. In and Out of Sit. Taking of the people. Theft, Rap and Murder.</p> <p>While they Put high Price on lands, Low wage, tax, and over all SSN, EIN, using Postal and over region county lands. I have EIN on Portland Building of my Family Business. I am to have Offensive attorney</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Your request lacks specificity. As there were no records identified in your request, we are unable to provide records that are responsive. If you can provide more information as to which documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of the documents that are within the control and custody of DOJ.</p> <p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Providing the actual name or title of the record.</li> <li>-Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record.</li> <li>-Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record.</li> <li>-Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created.</li> <li>-If related to a case, providing the case name or number.</li> <li>-Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record.</li> <li>-Indicating the city in which the record was created.</li> </ul> <p>If you can provide more information regarding the records you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control. To the extent that you are inquiring about a "Portland Building which is the Finance Building Shut," the California Department of Justice is a California State Agency. For more information on our locations, please visit our website at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/contact">https://oag.ca.gov/contact</a>.</p>

				<p>of Republican assigned to me as attorney to me as Owner of business Licenses of estate and on Oregon Building which is right in the middle of Hall and Court. All I.D.s that they us of my is of Fraud. Justin Sanders Cargill J.S.W. Mergers and Acquisitions LLC. Cargill Corporation Owner Sole MBR Official Officer/ Prince King Help ASAP. and there is \$. Republic. Now now you've had plenty of time pillaging the people, licenses are filed now its time to negotiate the people. Da. that's the way business is always done. King Cargill Why do you have your Portland Building which is the Finance Building Shut down not functioning and looking at that to the east your Hall on the right when it is suppose to be on the left and the court on the left and not on the right. It's an assembler state if you don't have that working properly then it doesn't work properly in all of it's states of assembly to itself and then outward from there and everywhere else. There's your problem with your united states of America not function properly. and then into every other nation, kingdom and empire. From east to west. especially when the last assembler on the state is the Japanese Bill of Rights. There's your global problem with finance and the cause of your debt. And what ground electric is solar satellite postal area coding and zoning linked in. Global Telephone problem. You women like your telephone, right? might want to fix that. Internet as well. Ground postal rearrange and not work, No finance up and running, Halls being ran as licenses instead of Justice of Republic and courts rearrange architecture and only functioning defense with no offence, and running its law of gun to that functioning. Then have a weather tower that is projecting an electric monitoring that is spinning in one directing and pulling air water into itself, Not a bright idea, I would get rid of that, and causing global warming. Pulling into it and burning it out. Justin Sanders Cargill Owner sole MBR. Official Officer J.S.W. Mergers and Acquisitions LLC. Cargill Corporation Prince P.S. I get pulled into the social services every business term trough defense jailing and up the west coast from California estate because of this and keeping me from function my own business of family Businesses as First born. Sovereigns got pulled into this from there areas because of this well. Pulling in accounts in on point, stuck and through defense.</p>			
PRA - 2018 - 0084 3	Rains	Darren	4/30/ 2018	<p>I am looking for a basic overview list of all missing person cases in the state history where the missing persons or persons was known or presumed to have been driving a vehicle, and neither the vehicle nor any of the occupants where ever found. I'm looking for names, dates, vehicle descriptions, last known or estimated direction/route of travel, etc. I am also interested in finding out if any such cases have a suspected crime attached to them or not. Firstly, I am interested in finding out how many missing person cases meet this criteria. If there is a lot, I may request information for certain years or decades. I have never made a request I ke this, so please let me know if I need to change how I ask for this. I prefer email communication for now if that's alright. Thank you.</p>	Comp leted	Nicole Arnaiz	<p>April 30, 3018 clarification request: This is in response to the attached Public Records Act request that you submitted to the California Attorney General's Office today, April 30, 2018. The Department of Justice (DOJ) is able to provide names, dates, vehicle makes, vehicle models, and vehicle colors, if the information was provided by the agency entering the information. However, the DOJ is unable to extract information like estimated direction or route of travel as that is not a data field in the Missing Persons System. The DOJ is also unable to indicate whether or not a crime is associated with the case. As the request is too broad regarding the time frame, we ask that you narrow the request to a specific date range. Requester withdrew original request and re-submitted at a later date.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 0084 5	Mcadams	Timothy	4/30/ 2018	<p>I am Timothy McAdams owner of Repair Wizards that was licensed in 2004 license number [REDACTED] In my application to the CSLB. I provided my back ground disclosures with supporting documentation and ultimately the closure of disclosed items. I am requesting my complete application along with all supporting background disclosure information at issue of license for [REDACTED] license date. I am the person Timothy McAdams that is requesting this information due to a flood in my home destroyed my records.</p>	Comp leted	Erin Nickless	<p>Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. The Department of Justice cannot produce records that are responsive to your request. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records</p>

								from another government agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the California Department of Consumer Affairs' Contractors State License Board. Information on how to contact the Contractors State License Board can be found on their website at <a href="http://www.cslb.ca.gov/Resources/PAO/public_records.pdf">http://www.cslb.ca.gov/Resources/PAO/public_records.pdf</a> .
PRA - 2018 - 00846	Petralli	Jennifer	4/30/2018	Please provide police and court records relating to Joseph Adrian Ketner in relation to the assault and battery of Steven Berry on July 9, 2016. Filed in Solano County on July 25, 2016, Case No.: FCR322885. I am the paralegal working on Mr. Berry's assault and battery case and would like any available police and court records in relation to Mr. Joseph A Ketner attacking Mr. Berry with a baseball bat.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes and logical places and have been unable to locate any cases that match the information provided. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. If this case was handled by a district attorney's office, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place, or the local court in which the cases were filed. In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA - 2018 - 00847	Petralli	Jennifer	4/30/2018	Please provide the police, court and jail records relating to Steven Edward Berry in relation to his 1) Wet and Reckless Driving, 2) Vehicle Accident, and 3) the Assault and Battery of Mr. Berry by his neighbor Joseph Adrian Ketner on July 9, 2016. Filed in Solano County Court on August 1, 2016, Case No.: FCR323052. I am the paralegal working on Mr. Berry's assault and battery case and would like any available police, court, and jail records in relation to Mr. Steven Berry's Wet and Reckless case, as well as any records relating to the assault and battery of Mr. Berry by Mr. J. Ketner.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes and logical places and have been unable to locate any cases that match the information provided. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. If this case was handled by a district attorney's office, you may wish to direct your

								request to their office. Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place, or the local court in which the cases were filed. In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA - 2018 - 00912	ABM	ABM	4/30/2018	HCIDLA Case Number: SO231872 Los Angeles Housing & Community Development. We're looking for a rental agreement, dated May 15, 1987, submitted by the tenant in this case. The tenant's name is Carol Collins, and the address in question is [REDACTED]		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you appear to be seeking records related to the Los Angeles Housing & Community Investment Department, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PRA - 2018 - 00927	Gardner	Jeremy	4/30/2018	1) "...access to Federal Records of common knowledge as for business address for the following government agency's [sic] or office located within the State of California. A.) United States Postal Service. California Headquarters. B.) California Secretary of Correction's Central Office C.) CDCR Office of Legal Affairs @ Headquarters D.) Division of Adult Institutions E.) California's Victim Compensation Board D.) The American Civil Liberties Union" and 2) "...information and access to Record possessed by your office about your office and it's [sic]Administrative Committee. I am in search for acquiring names of individuals on your Administrative Committee's [sic] both State as well as National including their currentness in Position and Title. For example: State of California's Chief Attorney General, Assistant Attorney General, Deputy Attorney General etc.. the same as for National. I must also insist upon the specific information you will provide, be as descriptive as possible as for positions and duty for my better understanding."		Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) In regard to your first request, to the extent that you are seeking to have our office research addresses of other government agencies for you, we must deny your request. The DOJ is not in a position to perform legal research or to provide legal advice to private individuals. In regard to your second request, to the extent that you are seeking records from National or other state agencies, we must decline your request. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our

							<p>custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>For the information that our office does have, we will respond in part and decline in part. You requested: "State of California's Chief Attorney General, Assistant Attorney General, Deputy Attorney General."</p> <p>The Attorney General of the State of California is Xavier Becerra. The Chief Deputy Attorney General of the State of California is Sean McCluskie. Enclosed please find from our public website two pages about the California Department of Justice and two pages about the Attorney General.</p> <p>In regard to the other titles listed in your request, we are seeking clarification of the scope of records you are hoping to obtain. There are Offices of the Attorney General in six different cities and additional offices for DOJ's law enforcement divisions. The Department of Justice employs approximately 4,500 people. Over 1,100 of these employees are attorneys, the majority of which are employed in classifications that include the terms "Deputy Attorney General" and "Assistant Attorney General."</p> <p>Given the above information, please let us know if you would like to narrow the scope in regard to your request for the search terms "Assistant Attorney General" and "Deputy Attorney General" so that we can determine how many pages of responsive records we would need to produce and tabulate appropriate duplication fees. The Department of Justice is authorized to charge the direct cost of duplication for providing copies of records in response to public records requests. (Gov. Code§ 6253 subd.(b).) The cost for making a photocopy of a paper record is \$0.10 per page.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

## PRA Requests

Received From: 5/1/2018  
Received To: 5/31/2018  
Total: 218

Request ID	Requestor Last Name	Requestor First Name	Received Date	Request Description	Requestor Business Name	Request Status	Responder	Response Summary
PRA-2018-00849	Keech	Frank	5/1/2018	MCUK-CRNT-2006-69353-2 CA Mendocino County MCUK-CRNT-2007-80735-2 CA Mendocino County MCUK-CRNT-2007-80735-2 CA Mendocino County Superior Court		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. If these cases were handled by the District Attorney's office, you would need to direct your request to them. You may also wish to contact Mendocino County Superior Court, as it appears from your description that this is where the listed cases were heard. In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-00850	Frantz	Jeffrey	5/1/2018	The purpose of this letter is to make a request for public records for documents with respect to the Companies set forth below pursuant to the law. Please provide a list of all complaints regarding the timeshare resorts and/or vacation clubs set forth below. I hereby request a copy of all correspondence from owners of timeshare interests or members of its vacation clubs or similar interests sold, produced, or issued in any manner by the Companies(collectively hereinafter referred to as the "Requested Documents"). We are willing to pay all costs of photocopying. Please call the undersigned with the photocopying costs for same. We will provide a Fedex account number for the sending of the Requested Documents. Please provide a list of all exemptions and redactions from the Requested Documents, if any, and the statutory basis for same. The Companies referenced above are as follows: • • We k Resorts Palm Springs • San Luis Bay Inn • Riviera Beach and Spa • California Vacation Club RiverPointe Napa Valley • Mountain Retreat California • Dolphin's Cove • Marriott's Shadow Ridge • Suites at Fisherman's Wharf • Lake Tahoe Vacation Resort • Resort Villas by Welk Resorts • Interval International (exchange company) • RCI (Resort Condo International)(exchange company)		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)

PRA - 2018-00851	Heo	Elizabeth	5/1/2018	Documents served on the Attorney General's Office pursuant to California Insurance Code section 10134, et seq. from (04/01/2018 through 04/30/2018). Please contact me to arrange a time to inspect and copy these records.		Completed	Howard Wayne	We will provide you with such documents. Please contact Nancy Quach at 415-703-5648 to schedule time for you to review these records. If you wish to copy these records, you will need to provide your own scanning equipment.
PRA - 2018-00853	Ferreira	William	5/1/2018	All Accusations and ISO's filed against ARD, Smog, Brake and Lamp, and Technicians filed by Bureau of Automotive Repair from March 27- May 1, 2018. Molly Segway and I were working on this before...		Completed	Molly E. Selway	In response, we are providing a list of the Accusations and ISOs served between March 27, 2018, and May 1, 2018. At the outset, we note that your request is directed to the Department of Justice but may refer to or include documents in the possession of other agencies, such as the Bureau of Automotive Repair. If you wish to review records that are in
PRA - 2018-00854	Schultz	Brian	5/1/2018	October 16, 2017 Mission Viejo incident involved a teacher and a student at Capistrano Valley High School		Completed	Erin Nickless	We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows: -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. If you can provide more information regarding the records you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to a school, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PRA - 2018-00855	Bakcharm	Kendall	5/1/2018	Seeking public records for Stephen Dean Jackson		Completed	Erin Nickless	Erin called the requester back and provided her with information about how to request vital records and explaining that criminal history is exempt.
PRA - 2018-00856	Grant	Meg	5/1/2018	1. Name: Salvador Duarte Vasquez (DOB: [REDACTED]) 2. AKA: Artemio JURADO (DOB: [REDACTED]) 3. Arrested: 06/18/1990 4. Arresting Agency: San Bernardino Sheriff 's Office 5. Criminal Activity: Petty Theft/ PT/Retl Merch		Completed	Marlene Ferrell	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) is in receipt of your Public Records Request Form, received May 1, 2018. A copy is attached to this response. First and foremost, please consider this letter an objection in accordance with the holding in Monarch Healthcare vs. Superior Court of Orange County, et al. , (2000), 78 Cal. App. 4th 1282. Second, criminal history records maintained by the DOJ are privileged and confidential, and are statutorily prohibited from disclosure except under specifically defined circumstances, pursuant but not limited to California Penal Code .sections 11075, 11076, 11081, 11105 and 11141 et

							seq., California Civil Code section 1798, et seq., Evidence Code section 1040, and the right to privacy as secured by the California and United States Constitutions. If you have any questions, or wish to file a motion to compel production, please contact me directly at (916) 210-3310, or at the above address.
PRA - 201 8- 009 03	Rhea	Mary Katherine	5/1/2018	I am assessing Alycia LaRay Hart for adoption with our agency, OKDHS Foster Care and Adoptions. Ms. Hart she stated that she has resided in CA. I need a name based search of public records to determine if there is any derogatory history that needs to be reviewed further. Her date of birth is [REDACTED]. Thank you for your assistance.		Completed	Erin Nickless  Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking to determine if this person has criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA - 201 8- 008 57	Martin	Kai	5/2/2018	It was a case that went to trial back in 2005, 2006 or even 2007. It was at the Fremont hall of justice.		Completed	Erin Nickless  We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows: -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number.



								-Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. If you can provide more information regarding the records you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control.
PRA - 2018-00858	Simm	Tom	5/2/2018	LA County Sheriff department vehicle fleet management and maintenance services (2006/07). Entire Penske RFP response to the works required. I request the Penske response for the works of fleet management and maintenance services for the LA County Sheriff department. I believe that the contract was awarded to Penske (bidder) in 2007 - so the response is likely to be dated as '06 / '07.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We note that your request seeks records from the Los Angeles Sheriff's Department. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the Los Angeles Sheriff's Department. Information on how to contact them about requesting public records can be found online at <a href="http://shq.lasdnews.net/shq/TSD/faqs.htm">http://shq.lasdnews.net/shq/TSD/faqs.htm</a> .
PRA - 2018-00859	Byrd	Colin	5/2/2018	California's lawsuit against the EPA over its rollback of clean car rules passed during the Obama administration. Please kindly respond via email.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To date, Press has not forwarded the response to Public Records.
PRA - 2018-00861	Hamman	Robert	5/2/2018	Administrative record from the original file of the Appeals Board and contents of records, documents, and other papers in the matter of Robert Albert Hamman, Claimant; Solaropia LLC, RPI. Employer. Appeals Board Case Nos. AO-395884 and AO-395885. Use of Administrative record for Petition for Writ of Administrative Mandamus (CCP 1094.5) No. 37-2017000185001-CU-WM-CTL Robert Albert Hamman vs. Unemployment Insurance Appeals Board		Completed	Cheryl L. Feiner	We were able to locate the following documents: 1) the Administrative Record; 2) the Petition for Writ of Administrative Mandamus and; 3) Notice to Attend Hearing on Petition for Writ of Administrative Mandamus.
PRA - 2018-00862	Vincent	Jim	5/2/2018	We would like to request the bid results for RFQ 17-1655		Completed	Stacy Heinsen	Specifically, you requested bid results for RFQ 17-1655. The following bids were received: Vendor 1 - Silverado Electronics \$31,474.80 Meet Specifications? Yes. The unit price of this bid matches the NASPO pricing. Vendor 2 - Vincent Communications \$31,474.80 Meet Specifications? Yes, good & delivery met specs. However, non-compliant with one requirement of the solicitation. Did not include Retail/MSRP pricing, discount percentage off of Retail/MSRP, and the final unit cost. Included unit cost only. The unit price of this bid matches the NASPO pricing. Vendor 3 - Emergency Vehicle Specialists, Inc. \$32,873.40 Meet Specifications? Yes, good & delivery met specs. However, non-compliant with one requirement of the solicitation. Did not include Retail/MSRP pricing, discount percentage off of Retail/MSRP, and the final unit cost. Included unit cost only. The unit price of this bid exceeds the NASPO pricing.
PRA - 2018-00863	Rains	Darren	5/2/2018	I don't have specific dates or names because I don't know how many there are or from when they were recorded, but I will explain shortly. I am looking for information on missing persons cases where the missing person or persons disappeared along with a vehicle they were probably in, where neither the vehicle nor any of the occupants of that vehicle were ever seen again. So say for example three people were missing when riding in a vehicle, and none of them nor the vehicle were ever found, I am		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. Attached is a listing of all of the active missing persons cases

				<p>interested in getting information about all three of those occupants and the vehicle; names, date, location or jurisdiction, vehicle description and identifying numbers, photos of the missing if you have them, and so on. I am interested in all cases like this whether the number was one or more missing occupants of the vehicle.</p> <p>I don't know what your earliest recorded years are for these kinds of records, so I am asking that you let me know what your earliest year is for cases that meet my criteria, and how many you have for that earliest year that meet my criteria.</p> <p>If the number of cases matching my criteria is less than ten for that first year, how many cases do you have that meet my criteria for the first ten years, starting with the year of your earliest case on file?</p> <p>And how many pages is each case?</p> <p>Ten cents per page for printing, correct?</p> <p>Is there a way to get them emailed in pdf format for a reduced cost or for free?</p> <p>Once I get an idea of what year and how many cases there are that meet my criteria, then I can get a better idea of how many to ask for. Once I get specific cases to choose from, I can make a request for specific cases and dates. Thank you.</p>			<p>that also have associated vehicles. They are sorted by "DLC" or "Date of Last Contact" so you can determine your own statistics per year.</p> <p>We do not have any case information that is not in our database. Furthermore, we do not have any records on microfiche.</p> <p>If you would like further information on travel destinations, you would have to contact the local law enforcement agency with jurisdiction over each case individually as we do not have that information.</p>
PRA - 2018-00870	Petitt	Antonte	5/2/2018	<p>Sex Offender public record for Daniel Jonathan Lyons, [REDACTED] date of conviction approx. 05/2012. Please include court documents that are publicly accessed.</p>	Completed	Michael Dolid	<p>The records of all our case files are organized and maintained electronically in a case management software application called Prolaw. After an exhaustive search of Pro law, we were unable to locate any public records pertaining to Daniel Jonathan Lyons. Thus, we have no responsive records for your request. However, enclosed please find a print out of Mr. Lyons' Megan's Law web site offender profile.</p> <p>If you have not done so already, you may try contacting the local District Attorney's Office and/or local state courthouse where the offenses were committed. These public agencies may have additional information in case files or court files that can be disseminated to members of the public.</p>
PRA - 2018-00875	Benson	Terra	5/2/2018	<p>I would like any court, arrest, public, and/or criminal records associated with my deceased father: Michael John Hollomon, DOB [REDACTED] in Ross, CA - deceased July 2, 2004 (possibly in Santa Rosa, CA). I know that he was incarcerated (for murder, perhaps??) in CA in the late 1980s and transferred to Oregon in the early 90s.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to</p>

								review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA - 2018-00864	McGary	Andrea	5/3/2018	My offices respectfully requests true and correct copies of Business & Professions Code Sections 17550.11/ 17550.15 Seller Of Travel Bond information for: Cruise Journeys & Tours, Inc. dba Cruise Vacation Outlet aka Cruise Vacation Experts aka Oceania Cruise Outlet (California Office of the Attorney General Registrant No. 2080850). This request includes but is not limited to copies of the subject Bond and/or any and all supplemental documents submitted in support of the Seller of Travel Surety Bond.		Completed	Steven De Salvo	Enclosed please find a copy of the requested surety bond and the verification certificate issued by SureTec Insurance Company on behalf Cruise Journeys & Tours, Inc.
PRA - 2018-00865	Williams	Michael	5/3/2018	Any complaints made to the Office of the Attorney General of California regarding the company Health Insurance Innovations between January 1 2018 and May 3 2018.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)
PRA - 2018-00868	Boyd	Justine	5/3/2018	Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and the state of California's unclaimed property laws, I would like to request a list of any unclaimed property that the State might be holding which is not otherwise exempt from public disclosure. If you could also advise on Claim instructions for items on the list and if there is a cost associated, it would also be appreciated. Thank you! We are interested in corporate data lists, if applicable.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Our research into the matter shows that unclaimed property for the State of California is monitored by the State Controller's Office. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of our office. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the California State Controller's Office. Their main page on Unclaimed Property can be found at this link: <a href="http://www.sco.ca.gov/upd.html">http://www.sco.ca.gov/upd.html</a> .
PRA - 2018-00869	Kan k	Gabriel	5/3/2018	I am working on a journalism project in conjunction with Stanford University and the University of Maryland. We are collecting data on pedestrian and traffic stops and searches made by cities across the country. Pursuant to California Public Records Act Government Code Section 6250 ET SEQ. Records requested: I am requesting the raw data of both traffic stops and pedestrian stops made by the San Bernardino Police Department and laid out in your record description for the last 10 years. The fields of data we are requesting include, but are not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• case number</li> <li>• defendant gender, race, and date of birth</li> <li>• vehicle year, color, make, model, style, and state in which it is registered</li> <li>• date of incident, time of incident, location of incident and milepost or other geographic location information</li> <li>• approximate speed and posted speed</li> <li>• any violations and/or sanctions, whether a search was conducted, whether contraband was found, whether the person was arrested and what type of enforcement took place.</li> <li>• officer name</li> <li>• police department</li> <li>• officer ID number, race, gender</li> <li>• officer date of birth and information kept on years of service</li> </ul> To be clear, this request is for all data from traffic stops and pedestrian stops considered to be public record. This includes, but is not limited to the above list of data types if that information is collected. If the data are not collected, please let us know. If the data or any portion of the data are collected but are not considered public record, then please cite the exemption under the law and provide the nonexempt portions of the data.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	After consulting with the appropriate section within the California Department of Justice (DOJ), the California Justice Information Services Division has determined that it has no responsive records for this request. Pursuant to Assembly Bill 953 (Chapter 466, Statutes of 2015), the Racial and Identity Profiling Act of 2015, each state and local agency that employs peace officers is required to annually report to the AG data on all stops, to include specified information, beginning on or before April 1, 2019. More information can be found online here: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/ab953">https://oag.ca.gov/ab953</a> . The data that you requested will be available some time the following year. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PRA - 2018-	Mohr	Holbrook	5/3/2018	This is a request under the California Public Records Act, Cal. Gov't Code § 6250 – 6270 (the "Act"), and under the common law right of access. I am making this request in my capacity as a full-time journalist with The Associated Press. Pursuant to the Act, I am requesting a copy of the following public records: all files, records and documents in your possession that concern allegations that doctors, including but not limited to, Dr. Rodney White, at Harbor-		Completed	Aviva Burnas	For the reasons set forth below, we must decline your request. A. Investigatory Record Exemption - Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) The type of records that you seek are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f),

008 71				UCLA Medical Center took kickbacks from a medical device manufacturer and conducted unnecessary surgeries to implant those devices. I would like to receive the information in electronic format. I note that under the Act, "the agency shall make the information available in any electronic format in which it holds the information." Cal. Gov't Code § 6253.9(a)(1).				expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 ["While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)"J.) B. Records Subject to Privilege - Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k) Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k), incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in the law that may also apply to the type of records you seek. Specifically, the following protections would apply: 1. Attorney Work Product and Attorney-Client Privilege The attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated by the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (See Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) 2. Official Information Privilege To the extent records you seek were acquired in confidence by a public employee, they would also be exempt. Pursuant to Evidence Code section 1040, which is incorporated through Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k), any reports or findings about the requested, individuals or company not covered by the attorney-client privilege or the attorney work product doctrine that are acquired in confidence by a public employee in the course of his/her duties that are not open, or officially disclosed, to the public are further protected by the official information privilege. As such, those records are exempt from disclosure under the PRA.
PRA - 201 8- 008 72	Reyes	Juan	5/3/2018	A letter was sent on April 27, 2018 to Oasis Executive Director Juanita Perea and Oasis Board President Augustine Nevarez by Alisal Union School District Superintendent Dr. Hector Rico and Board President Fernando Mercado Luis. In the letter, the district writes that it has lost confidence in the school's leadership.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records of correspondence sent to Oasis Executive Director by the Alisal Union School District, you may wish to direct your request to one of their offices.
PRA - 201 8- 008 74	Reese	Thomas	5/3/2018	Divorce records of marriage to Nancy Dale Ruegg [REDACTED], divorced July 1993		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at:

							<a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA - 2018-00876	Samu	Janos	5/3/2018	Copy of divorce decree between Istvan K Lovas and Monique Peltier. Divorce decree issued in 1990 (probably March or April) by the Santa Monica Court in California. Case number unknown. Please inform me how can the respondent get a certified copy of said decree. Thank you.	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA - 2018-00880	Upton	Wayne	5/3/2018	DECEASED INDIVIDUAL (please see below) Requesting case numbers and venue related to the arrest, hearing, trial, bail, and assumed conviction of Ernest Raymond Gantt, arrested on Sept. 1, 1934 on charges related to the State Liquor Control Act. Requesting any available criminal charging documents, court records, and bail documents related to this arrest. DECEASED INDIVIDUAL, proof of death provided: 1) U.S. National Archives veteran "Death File" 2) Obituary, Honolulu Advertiser, [REDACTED], Donn Beach, birth name shown as Ernest Gantt (see below) 3) Date of Birth (on record) is more than 100 years previous Ernest Raymond Gantt was the legal name at time of arrest. Gantt went by numerous aliases, predominant at the time of arrest was "Don Gantt". Later legal names were "Don Beach-Comber" and "Donn Beach". Arrest was made at [REDACTED] CA (his business and listed residence). Arrest was Sept. 1, 1934. Hearing was set for either Sept. 4 or Sept 11. He was allegedly jailed, released on bail, tried, and convicted.	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	Unfortunately, the Department of Justice (DOJ) is unable to comply with your request. The California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to California Penal Code section 11076, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records. The only exception to this rule is an individual requesting his or her own records. California Penal Code sections 11120 through 11127 afford an individual the opportunity to obtain a copy of his or her own California state summary criminal history information to review for accuracy and completeness. For more information, visit our website here: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/consumers/general/pr">https://oag.ca.gov/consumers/general/pr</a> Furthermore, the DOJ does not maintain or provide certified copies of California local and/or Superior Court records and as such, does not provide these source documents. To obtain a transcript, you will need to contact the court with jurisdiction over the particular case(s) for certified documents. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PRA - 2018-00964	Gray	Gregory	5/3/2018	Could you 'Please' be so kind enough to send me a 'Signature' Form for the New measure: "Fair Sentencing & Public Safety Act Of 2018" ?	Completed	Anabel Renteria	The Department of Justice has no records responsive to your request. For signature forms pertaining to a potential ballot measure, you may contact the proponent/s directly.
PRA - 2018-00877	Gonzales	Erin	5/4/2018	911 call on 5/3/2018 @ approx. 3:05 pm Comments: I'm requesting the recording of the 911 call place by myself in regards to a custody battle where myself and children we being threatened by my ex husband on school grounds at pond elementary school, Wasco ,CA 93280	Completed	Erin Nickless	Specifically, you are seeking a recording of a 9-11 call. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PRA - 2018-	Ridolfi	Laura	5/4/2018	July 7, 2018 Request I noticed that the 2017 Annual Juvenile Justice Report is now available. Do you have an update on when the case level data BI requested might be available? Thank you for any updates.	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	July 12, 2018 Response: The DOJ has complied with your request and provided electronic records responsive to your Public Records Act request originally submitted to the California Attorney

008 78				<p>May 4, 2018 Request: Please provide a file of case level JCPSS data for 2017, including but not limited to, the following variables: JUVENILE_ID AGEATFIRSTREFERRAL GENDER RACE DMCRACEGROUP REFERRALDATE REFERRALCOUNTYCODE REFERRALCOUNTYNAME ACTIONTYPE REFERRAL PETITION ACTIONDATE MONTHACTION ORIGINALACTIONDATE PRIORSTATUSDESC REFERRALTYPE REFERRALSOURCE DETENTION DEFENSEREP FITNESSHEARINGORDERED FITNESSHEARINGRESULT CURRENTCASESTATUSDESC AGEATREFERRAL AGEGROUP REPORTAGEGROUP PARTIALTRANSACTION REPORTYEAR OFFENSEGROUP OFFENSELEVEL GROUPOFFENSELEVEL SUSTAINEDOFFENSELEVEL SUSTAINEDGROUPOFFENSELEVEL FELONY MISDEMEANORGROUP DISPOSITIONCODE DISPOSITIONDESC PROSECUTINGATTORNEYACTION SUSTAINEDOFFENCEREDUCEDINDICATOR MOSTSERIOUSCHARGE MOSTSERIOUSSUSTAINED</p>			<p>General's Office on May 4, 2018.</p> <p>May 14, 2018 Response: The California Department of Justice responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The Juvenile Court and Probation Statistical System data for 2017 is not yet available. It will be available in July of this year when the California AG publishes the Criminal Justice Statistics Center Publications.</p>
PRA - 201 8- 008 79	Kennedy	Jennifer	5/4/2018	<p>This is a request under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq. for the opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of public records in the following litigation: Sharon Brown et al. v. State of California et al., 2d District appeal #BC279936. I request the opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of the following documents: (1) Plaintiffs'/Appellants' opposition to the motion of plaintiffs'/appellants' counsel to withdraw as counsel in this matter; (2) Supplemental declaration of plaintiffs'/appellants' counsel to the opposition of plaintiffs'/appellants; (3) Order granting the motion to withdraw. This request seeks to examine the aforementioned documents because they are in the public's continuing interest in monitoring this lawsuit and the truth of claims and statements made on social media by both plaintiffs and plaintiffs' counsel on regarding the status of this lawsuit.</p>	Completed	Cheryl L. Feiner	<p>Copies of the following documents in the matter of Sharon Brown, et al. v. State of California, et al., 2d District Appeal #BC279936: (1) Plaintiffs 'Appellants' opposition to the motion of plaintiffs' /appellants' counsel to withdraw as counsel in this matter; (2) Supplemental declaration of plaintiffs'/appellants' counsel to the opposition of plaintiffs'/appellants; and (3) Order granting the motion to withdraw. We were able to locate the following documents responsive to your request: Supplemental Declaration of T. Matthew Phillips, Esq, dated May 1, 2018; Letter to the Court from Sharon Brown regarding Motion to Withdrawal as Attorney-of-Record, received May 2, 2018; and the Court of Appeal's Order.</p>
PRA - 201 8-	Schilling	Christina	5/4/2018	<p>I would like to request the following copies: Marriage Certificate- Christina Curtis and Eric W. Schilling Divorce Documents- Christina Schilling and Eric W. Schilling</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available</p>

008 81								from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA - 201 8- 008 82	Cheuvront	Tiffany	5/4/2018	1. Any and all communications and documents regarding the events that led up to placing Stephen Lindley on Administrative leave from the California Department of Justice and his being escorted out of the building. 2. Any and all communications and documents informing DOJ staff or the public of Stephen Lindley's leave and the proper protocol to follow in his absence.	Miche l & Assoc iates, P.C.	Com plete d	Austin Catter mole	In response to these requests, the DOJ produces the following information: An April 23, 2018 email (one-page), an April 25, 2018 organizational chart (one-page), and a redacted May 1, 2018 organizational chart (two-pages). Regarding the May 1, 2018 chart, we are withholding personal information pursuant to section 6254 subdivisions (c) and (k). The DOJ employs peace officers, as provided in Penal Code section 830.1 (b), whose regular duties include operating in covert and overt criminal investigations. The DOJ's concern in preserving the safety of and efficacy of our agents during their undercover assignments clearly outweighs the public's interest in disclosure of their information. (Gov. Code §§ 6255, 6254, subds. (k), incorporating Pen. Code § 832.7 and Gov. Code § 3300, et seq.) No further information or documents will be produced as the remaining information and documents requested are exempt from disclosure under the Act on the following grounds: First, personnel records related to Mr. Lindley are exempt from disclosure under section 6254, subdivision (c). In addition, given Mr. Lindley's status as a peace officer, personnel files related to Mr. Lindley are privileged and confidential under California Penal Code sections 832.7 and 832.8. (Copley Press v. Superior Court (2006) 39 Cal.4th 1272; Pitchess v. Superior Court (1974) 11 Cal.3d 531.) This information is exempt from disclosure pursuant to section 6254, subdivision (k). Second, the attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Act. Third, some of the records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.) In the present case, the attorneys in our department provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys

									relating to Mr. Lindley's placement on administrative leave are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Act. Fourth, the deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255. In the instant case, records such as analyses, draft language, and memoranda concerning the strengths and weaknesses of a particular proposal would be covered by the deliberative process privilege and, therefore, absent special circumstances would be exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6255. Finally, to the extent that your request seeks confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General, should such records exist they are exempt from disclosure. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).].) Please contact me if you have any questions or concerns. Please also note that I will be out of the office from June 11, 2018 to July 5, 2018. If you would like to discuss this matter during that time period, please contact Supervising Deputy Attorney General Miguel Neri at 510.879.0755.
PRA - 2018-00883	Kolb	Michelle	5/4/2018	Records for Phase I at 5 properties located:  2749 Hillcrest Avenue Antioch, CA 94531  1799 19th Street San Francisco, CA 94122  1524 2nd Street San Rafael, CA 94901  908 Diablo Avenue Novato, CA 94947  690 Mendocino Avenue Santa Rosa, CA 95401		Completed	Erin Nickless	We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office. These locations appear to be oil-change businesses, and are not owned or operated by the California Department of Justice. If you can provide more information regarding why the records you are seeking would be housed by our Department, we can conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows: -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created.	



							<p>-If related to a case, providing the case name or number.</p> <p>-Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record.</p> <p>-Indicating the city in which the record was created.</p> <p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We hope this information has been of assistance.</p>
PRA - 2018-00884	Knight	Kyle	5/4/2018	I am the Director of Municipalities for South Bay Auto Auction. We are looking to obtain a copy of the current 'Auction Services" contract for the City of Los Angeles Department of Water and Power; under the F.O.I.A. - State of California. We spoke with Ms. Peachy Dano on their Procurement Department and was instructed to contact you directly to obtain a copy.		Completed	Erin Nickless <p>On May 4, Public Records sought clarification.</p> <p>May 14, 2018 response: Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ.</p> <p>We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Since you are seeking records related to City of Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, you may wish to direct your request to their office.</p>
PRA - 2018-00896	Johnson	Kenneth	5/4/2018	<p>1) Copy of lawsuit filed by Kamala Harris, Attorney General, suing mortgage co., banks, and other state lending agencies on behalf of the People of the State of California.</p> <p>2) Initiative No. 17-0044 Amdt. #1 request to know statutory date "signatures due" and whether initiative qualifies for ballot pursuant to Election Code...</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless <p>Your letter additionally describes needing assistance for a probate matter. To the extent that you are seeking legal advice, the DOJ is not in a position to perform legal research or to provide legal advice to private individuals. You may wish to consult with a private attorney to evaluate your options regarding your records request.</p> <p>Response to request #1:</p> <p>We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office.</p> <p>In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Providing the actual name or title of the record.</li> <li>-Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record.</li> <li>-Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record.</li> <li>-Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created.</li> <li>-If related to a case, providing the case name or number.</li> <li>-Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record.</li> <li>-Indicating the city in which the record was created.</li> </ul> <p>If you can provide more information regarding the records you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control.</p> <p>Response to request #2:</p>

							Initiative No. 17-0044 Amdt. #1 is still in circulation for signatures. We suggest that you contact the California Secretary of State. The address for the Secretary of State's Elections Division is: Elections Division 1500 11th Street, 5th Floor Sacramento CA 95814
PRA - 2018-00902	MacGregor	Michelle Suzanne	5/4/2018	Please send me my wrap sheet. (That's what I was told to ask for at the court house). I need all the information on my case including minutes. Thank you.		Completed	Erin Nickless  To the extent that you seek records related to court cases, we have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA - 2018-00906	Lipinski	Jennifer	5/4/2018	Our firm represents a Plaintiff in a sexual cyber harassment suit which has resulted in litigation. Date range of request: April 1, 2014, through the present. Description of request: Any investigations and outcomes of investigations regarding winbystate.com. All documents as they relate to the website know as winbystate.com May 8, 2018 follow up: Thank you, Ms. Terry. We represent one of the victims of the website where someone posted a number of explicit photos of our client on the website without her consent. Is it possible for us to obtain a copy of those images from that particular individual. The person that did that is Bradley Robbins from Florida in our case.		Completed	Victoria (Sam) Terry wrote: I am attaching the following six documents: 1. Filed Complaint 2. Filed information 3. Meyering Arrest Warrant 4. Terra Douglas Arrest Warrant Affidavit (redacted) 5. Meyering Plea Agreement (He received 18 month prison sentence) 6. PX Transcript information. In providing the records requested, we have redacted certain private identifying information, such as non-commercial addresses, email addresses, and telephone numbers, along with other sensitive personal information such as social security numbers and credit card numbers based on considerations of personal privacy. (Cal. Const., art. I, §1, as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.) On May 8, 2018, Robert Morgester responded: Although the California Department of Justice seized a copy of the web site winbystate; pursuant to court practice evidence seized via a search warrant is returned or destroyed following completion of the case. Even if this evidence still existed, we would be foreclosed by the terms of the warrant to search for any victims that were not connected to California. As a reminder the target of our investigation did not reside in California. Our jurisdiction was established based upon the residency of the victims.
PRA - 2018-00933	Nguyen	Doan	5/4/2018	My name is Doan Nguyen, and I want to request the latest report from AQMD regarding PBF Energy, and what has been done to prevent another fire.		Completed	James R. Potter  We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have not already done so, you may wish to consult the webpage that the SCAQMD maintains regarding its Proposed Rule 1410.

							<a href="http://www.aqmd.gov/home/rulescompliance/rules/scagmd-rule-book/proposed-rules/proposed-rule-1410">http://www.aqmd.gov/home/rulescompliance/rules/scagmd-rule-book/proposed-rules/proposed-rule-1410</a> .	
PRA - 2018-01413	Talla	Vasudha	5/4/2018	<p>I am writing on behalf of the American Civil Liberties Union of Northern California and the National Immigration Law Center (collectively, the Requestors). Requestors submitted a February 28, 2018 request (the "Request") for records held by the California Department of Justice ("DOJ") pursuant to the California Public Records Act ("CPRA") and Article I § 3(b) of the California Constitution regarding the circumstances in and processes through which information held by your agency about driver's licenses, vehicle registration, and license plates may be shared with the U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS), or its subcomponents Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) or Customs and Border Patrol (CBP).</p> <p>DOJ responded to the Request by letter dated March 29, 2018 and by emails attaching twenty-two (22) documents (the "Response"). While we appreciate the agency's detailed Response, several specific requests remain unanswered. Below is a list of the inquiries in the original Request for which we continue to request documents.</p> <p>Some of the outstanding questions could be answered by a telephone discussion, which we could schedule in the coming days and weeks.</p> <p>Request 2: Blank screen shots (i.e., without anyone's personal information displayed, or with personal information redacted) of all database screens used to convey DMV information through the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS), Nlets, or any similar electronic network, including those maintained by private companies.</p> <p>Request 11: Blank screen shots of the forms or screens that DHS and/or its subcomponents use to request DMV information or records through CLETS, Nlets, Cal-Photo and any similar electronic network, and the responses thereto.</p> <p>The Response contained one attachment marked as responsive to Requests 2 and 11 ("1_2_7_11 Screenshots.pdf"), which was a screen shot from the Cal-Photo Database. In addition, the Response letter stated: "Note, for item (2), although the DOJ provided the entire manual previously, the attached document has since been developed which is specific to what is being requested."</p> <p>The Response did not provide any screen shots from database screens in CLETS or Nlets that are used to convey or request DMV information. Prior responses by DOJ to CPRA requests included such screenshots. See Attachment A. Please provide us with screen shots from the database screens in CLETS that are used to convey DMV information (with personal information redacted). Further, please provide us with screen shots from Nlets that depict the information returned from CLETS and/or California DMV databases when a user makes a Vehicle Registration, Drivers License, or Driver History transaction or query in Nlets (again, with personal information redacted).</p> <p>****</p> <p>Request 4: Records identifying the databases, networks, or systems with which CLETS, Nlets, or Cal-Photo is linked or interoperable, including but not limited to those operated by federal, state, county, and local governmental entities and/or private entities.</p> <p>The Response contained one attachment that was marked as responsive to Request 4 ("4_9 ACLU Client List 3-23-18.xlsx"), which was a spreadsheet containing what appears to be a list of agencies or users with access to one or more databases.</p> <p>Neither the Response generally nor this spreadsheet specifically appears to identify the databases, networks, or systems with which CLETS, Nlets or Cal-Photo is linked or interoperable.</p> <p>Please provide us with records sufficient to identify the content of the spreadsheet, such as what each entry represents and which database(s) each of the agencies on the spreadsheet has access to. If the spreadsheet does not identify the databases, networks, or systems with which CLETS, Nlets or Cal-Photo is linked or interoperable, please provide us those records.</p> <p>****</p> <p>Request 5: Any records describing the interaction between CLETS, Nlets, and/or Cal-Photo. The Response contained seven attachments marked as responsive to Request 5: five monthly statistical reports; a Nlets User Manual dated April 1996; and a Nlets User Policy Manual dated December 2013. In addition, the Response letter stated: "In regard to item (5), the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS) interacts with Nlets according to Nlets specifications. Attached you will find the Nlets User Policy, as well as the Nlets Monthly Statistical Reports for October 2017 through February 2018. You can also refer to the Nlets Wiki page at <a href="http://wiki.nlets.org/index.php/Main_Page">http://wiki.nlets.org/index.php/Main_Page</a>, the Nlets website at <a href="http://www.nlets.org">http://www.nlets.org</a>, or the Nlets Help Desk at 1-800-528-4020. Note, CLETS and Cal-Photo</p>	ACLU	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>This letter responds to your follow-up request received in the Attorney General's Office on May 4, 2018, in which you sought clarification on and had outstanding questions to a response that the Department of Justice (DOJ) produced on March 29, 2018, in response to a Public Records Act (PRA) request that you submitted on March 5, 2018. In providing this additional response, the DOJ interprets "DHS and/or its subcomponents" to mean the following: Department of Homeland Security; U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services; United States Customs and Border Protection; United States Coast Guard; Federal Emergency Management Agency; Federal Law Enforcement Training Center; United States Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE); Transportation Security Administration; United States Secret Service; Directorate for Management; National Protection and Programs Directorate; Science and Technology Directorate; Countering Weapons of Mass Destruction Office; Office of Intelligence and Analysis, and; Office of Operations Coordination.</p> <p>Below please find your specific requests, as set forth in your letter dated May 4, 2018, followed by our office's response:</p> <p>"Request 2 &amp; Request 11: The Response did not provide any screen shots from database screens in CLETS or Nlets that are used to convey or request DMV information. Prior responses by DOJ to CPRA requests included such screenshots. See Attachment A.</p> <p>Please provide us with screen shots from the database screens in CLETS that are used to convey DMV information (with personal information redacted). Further, please provide us with screen shots from Nlets that depict the information returned/ram CLETS and/or California DMV databases when a user makes a Vehicle Registration Drivers License, or Driver History transaction or query in Nlets (again, with personal information redacted).</p> <p>Response:</p> <p>The documents our office previously provided you in 2015, which you attached as Attachment "A" in your letter dated May 4, 2018, are identical to the current screenshots of database screens in California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS) that are currently used to convey the California (CA) Department of Motor Vehicle (DMV) information. Accordingly, please refer to Attachment A in response to this request.</p> <p>We are unable to provide screenshots from database screens in the National Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (Nlets) that depict the information returned from CLETS and/or CA DMV databases when a user makes a query in Nlets because we do not have access to that information. We suggest contacting Nlets for these records.</p> <p>Request 4: Neither the Response generally nor this spreadsheet specifically appears to identify the databases, networks, or systems with which CLETS, Nlets or Cal-Photo is linked or interoperable. Please provide us with records sufficient to identify the content of the spreadsheet, such as what each entry represents and which database(s) each of the agencies on the spreadsheet has access to. If the</p>

			<p>do not interact with each other; they are independent of one another.”</p> <p>Neither the Nlets statistical reports nor the Nlets manuals specify how Nlets interacts with CLETS or Cal-Photo. For example, there are no screen shots showing what information is returned from CLETS, California DMV databases, or Cal-Photo when a transaction or query is made in Nlets.</p> <p>Please provide us with documents that describe how CLETS and Nlets interact, including but not limited to screen shots from Nlets that depict the information returned from CLETS, California DMV databases, or Cal-Photo when a user makes a Vehicle Registration, Drivers License, or Driver History transaction or query in Nlets (with personal information redacted).</p> <p>****</p> <p>Request 6: Screen shots (with personal information redacted) showing the type of information that is available or transmitted through administrative messages in CLETS, Nlets, and/or Cal-Photo.</p> <p>The Response contained one attachment marked as responsive to Request 6 (“6 Admin Msg Screen Print.docx”).</p> <p>The Request specifically asked for a document depicting the type of information available or transmitted through administrative messages, while the Response document contains only a screenshot of the blank field for administrative messages. Please provide us with samples of actual administrative messages that have been included in this field (with personal information redacted) sufficient to provide a sense of the types of information transmitted.</p> <p>****</p> <p>Request 8: All restriction codes that appear in Cal-Photo, including the meaning of each restriction codes.</p> <p>The Response letter stated: “In regard to items (8), (12), and (21), the DOJ does not have responsive documents for these requests.”</p> <p>DOJ previously provided a copy of the Cal-Photo User Guide in response to a CPRA request, which contained a list of restriction codes and the corresponding meaning for each code. See Attachment B at 17-20. The Cal-Photo User Guide is further referenced on page 11 of the Cal-Photo Policies, Procedures, and Practices dated June 2017. Please provide us with an entire copy of the current version of the Cal-Photo User Guide.</p> <p>****</p> <p>Request 9: Records describing the local, state, and federal law enforcement agencies that have user accounts or access to CLETS, Nlets, and/or Cal-Photo, including current and pending memoranda of understanding or other agreements entered into by the State of California and/or its agencies, including the DMV, with DHS and/or its subcomponents.</p> <p>The Response contained one attachment marked as responsive to Request 9 (“4_9 ACLU Client List 3-23-18.xlsx”), which was a spreadsheet containing what appears to be a list of agencies or users with access to one or more databases.</p> <p>The Response does not explain the contents of this spreadsheet. Please provide us with records sufficient to identify the content of the spreadsheet, such as what each entry represents and which database(s) each of the agencies on the spreadsheet has access to. Further, the Response does not include current or pending memoranda of understanding or other agreements entered into by the State of California and/or its agencies, including the DMV, with DHS and/or its subcomponents. Please provide us these documents, including but not limited to memoranda of understanding, agreements completed CLETS application forms, completed Cal-Photo Agency Enrollment Application forms, and completed DMV Government Requestor Account Application forms.</p> <p>****</p> <p>Request 12: Records describing any ability available to DHS and/or its subcomponents to engage in bulk download of information from CLETS, Nlets, and/or Cal-Photo. The Response letter stated: “In regard to items (8), (12), and (21), the DOJ does not have responsive documents for these requests.”</p> <p>We would appreciate the opportunity to speak by telephone about this request and the DOJ Response, in order to clarify whether a bulk download capability exists.</p> <p>****</p> <p>Request 13: The permissible “reason codes,” “purpose codes,” or other explanations of individual inquiries that law enforcement agencies, including DHS and/or its subcomponents, may provide in order to obtain DMV information or records through CLETS, Nlets, and/or Cal-Photo.</p> <p>The Response contained one attachment marked as responsive to Request 13 (“13 20 Cal-Photo User Guide DMV.pdf”), which contains excerpts from the Cal-Photo User Guide that show a sample “Reason for Access” provided in Cal-Photo. In addition, the Response letter</p>			<p>spreadsheet does not identify the databases, networks, or systems with which CLETS, Nlets or Cal-Photo is linked or interoperable, please provide us those records.</p> <p>Response:</p> <p>Please find attached the documents entitled “CLETS Networks” and “Cal-Photo System Configuration Diagram” that are responsive to this request. Also attached find “LEDS,” which contains a screenshot of the Law Enforcement Data Systems information available to CLETS users. The DOI is not the owner of Nlets, therefore we suggest contacting Nlets for the databases, networks, or systems with which Nlets is linked or interoperable. As such, we are unable to provide records responsive to the databases, networks, or systems in which Nlets is linked or interoperable.</p> <p>The document we provided in response to this request identifies law enforcement agencies that have access to CLETS; the column to the left of every agency’s name is the Originating Reporting Agency Identifier (ORI), which is issued by the National Crime Information Center (NCIC).</p> <p>Request 5: Neither the Nlets statistical reports nor the Nlets manuals specify how Nlets interacts with CLETS or Cal-Photo. For example, there are no screen shots showing what information is returned from CLETS, California DMV databases, or Cal-Photo when a transaction or query is made in Nlets. Please provide us with documents that describe how CLETS and Nlets interact, including but not limited to screen shots from Nlets that depict the information returned from CLETS, California DMV databases, or Cal-Photo when a user makes a Vehicle Registration, Drivers License, or Driver History transaction or query in Nlets (with personal information redacted).</p> <p>Response:</p> <p>The Cal-Photo database is not accessible through Nlets. Accordingly, the office does not have any records responsive to your request for records that show how Nlets interacts with CalPhoto. Nor is the DOJ able to provide screen shots of what Nlets can access on its end because we do not have access to such information. We suggest contacting Nlets for its screen shots to depict the information that is returned from CLETS and/or the CA DMV.</p> <p>With respect to the remainder of your request, please see the following excerpts from the Nlets User Policy Manual, v. 4, which describe how to access vehicle registration, driver license, and driver history transactions through Nlets:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="http://wiki.nlets.org/index.php/Section 12: Vehicle Registration Transactions">http://wiki.nlets.org/index.php/Section 12: Vehicle Registration Transactions</a></li> <li>• <a href="http://wiki.nlets.org/index.php/Section 13: Driver License Transactions">http://wiki.nlets.org/index.php/Section 13: Driver License Transactions</a></li> <li>• <a href="http://wiki.nlets.org/index.php/Section 14: Driver History">http://wiki.nlets.org/index.php/Section 14: Driver History</a></li> </ul>
--	--	--	---	--	--	--

states: "In regard to item (13), the 'Reason for Access' field is a mandatory field required in Cal-Photo. However, it is a text field, so there are no standard reason or purpose codes. See attached, specifically, #2 notes that the user has to enter the 'Reason for Access.'" The Response does not contain records of the "Reason for Access" that DHS and/or its subcomponents have submitted as part of their inquiries in Cal-Photo. It appears that such records exist: as the Cal-Photo Policies Practices and Procedures document notes, all transactions in Cal-Photo are "programmatically logged and subject to audit by the respective participating agencies, DOJ and DMV." Please provide the "Reason[s] for Access" that have been submitted by DHS and/or its subcomponents as part of their inquiries in Cal-Photo from January 1, 2017 to present. We also would be open to discussing whether a representative sample of these records would be sufficient to fulfill our request.

Further, the Response addresses only Cal-Photo, but does not contain information about CLETS or Nlets, specifically the permissible "reason codes," "purpose codes," or other explanations of individual inquiries that DHS and/or its subcomponents may provide in order to obtain DMV information through CLETS or Nlets. Please provide these records.

\*\*\*\*

Request 14: Any records regarding the approval process followed by the State of California and/or its agencies to determine whether the reasons provided by law enforcement agencies are sufficient to obtain information or records from the DMV through CLETS, Nlets, and/or Cal- Photo.

The Response contained three attachments marked as responsive to Request 14: a blank Cal-Photo Agency Enrollment Application; a blank DMV Government Requestor Account Application; and a Cal-Photo Information Bulletin dated June 18, 2008. In addition, the Response letter states: "The purpose of the CLETS is to provide an efficient law enforcement telecommunications network to all law enforcement agencies. (Gov. Code, section 15151.) Thus, once agencies are given CLETS user status, the DOJ does not screen data inquiries although some programs require a 'purpose code' as part of the inquiry. However, the DOJ does provide recommendations of permissible reason codes for accessing the DMV information, see attached responsive documents."

It is unclear which responsive documents contain the "recommendations of permission reason codes for accessing the DMV information." Please specify which document contains these recommendations.

Further, the Response addresses only CLETS, but not Cal-Photo. Specifically, the Response does not provide records regarding the approval process to determine whether the "Reason for Access" provided by DHS and/or its subcomponents as part of its inquiries is sufficient to obtain information or records from the DMV through Cal-Photo.

\*\*\*\*

Request 15: Any records regarding the criteria for approving and rejecting law enforcement agency requests to obtain information from the DMV through CLETS, Nlets, and/or Cal-Photo. The Response contained four attachments that were marked as responsive to Request 14: a blank Cal-Photo Agency Enrollment Application; a blank DMV Government Requestor Account Applications; a blank CLETS application form; and a blank Cal-Photo Account Creation Request (User) form.

The Response does not include any records of how such forms are assessed once they are submitted by an agency, including any criteria, standards, or policies governing when the access requested through use of these forms should be granted and when it should be declined. Please provide these records.

\*\*\*\*

Request 16: Any records relating to audits conducted of the access to and use of DMV information available through CLETS, Nlets, and/or Cal-Photo, including by DHS and/or its subcomponents.

The Response contained one document marked as responsive to Request 16: the Cal- Photo Policies Practices and Procedures. In addition, the Response letter states: "In regard to item (16), see attached. Specifically, Section VII. Cal-Photo Confidentiality, #1."

As the Cal-Photo Policies Practices and Procedures document notes, all transactions in Cal-Photo are "programmatically logged and subject to audit by the respective participating agencies, DOJ and DMV." Please provide records of any audits performed of Cal-Photo transactions by DHS and/or its subcomponents.

Further, the Response references only Cal-Photo, but does not include records for CLETS. Please provide records of audits conducted of the access to and use by DHS and/or its subcomponents of DMV information available through CLETS.

\*\*\*\*

Transactions

Request 6: The Request specifically asked for a document depicting the type of information available or transmitted through administrative messages, while the Response document contains only a screens hot of the blank field for administrative messages. Please provide us with samples of actual administrative messages that have been included in this field (with personal information redacted) sufficient to provide a sense of the types of information transmitted.

Response:

Administrative messages are not sent through Cal-Photo. Accordingly, to the extent Request 6 seeks administrative messages sent through Cal-Photo, the DOJ has no responsive documents. With respect to administrative messages sent through CLETS, attached please find a file entitled "Sample Administrative Message I Redacted."

The specific DOJ contact information identified on this document has been redacted pursuant to Government (Gov.) Code section 6255. The public interest in maintaining a dedicated fax number and email address for the exclusive use of law enforcement agents involved in time sensitive operations when there are other numbers and addresses available to the public clearly outweighs the public's generalized interest in law enforcement information. (Gov. Code, § 6255.)

With respect to Administrative messages sent through Nlets, please find an excerpt from the Nlets User Policy Manual, v. 4, which discusses Administrative messages sent through Nlets:

- [http://w.ki.nlets.org/index.php/Section 04: Administrative Messages](http://w.ki.nlets.org/index.php/Section%2004%3AAdministrativeMessages)

Request 8: DOJ previously provided a copy of the Cal-Photo User Guide in response to a CP RA request, which contained a list of restriction codes and the corresponding meaning for each code. See Attachment Bat 17-20. The Cal-Photo User Guide is further referenced on page 11 of the Cal-Photo Policies, Procedures, and Practices dated June 2017. Please provide us with an entire copy of the current version of the Cal-Photo User Guide.

Response:

In response to Request 8, attached please find "Cal-Photo User Guide Redacted," the current version of the Cal-Photo User Guide. Note, we have redacted personally identifiable information such as photographs and addresses contained in sample -records within the manual, based on considerations of personal privacy. (Art. I, sec. 1 of Cal. Con. as incorporated into the PRA by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.) Specifically, the request seeks the User Guide, and does not seek personal information; balancing the records requested and the purpose for such request against the need to protect the privacy of persons whose records were included as samples

			<p>Request 17: Records documenting all DMV transactions in Cal-Photo by DHS and/or its subcomponents between January 1, 2017 and present, including any DMV Audit Searches performed through Cal-Photo;</p> <p>Request 18: Records documenting all DMV database searches or access to DMV information in CLETS by DHS and/or its subcomponents between January 1, 2017 and present, including any journal searches or other queries in CLETS;</p> <p>The Response letter states: "In regard to items (17) and (18), the information you have requested is exempt from disclosure under the PRA. CLETS is a telecommunications system created exclusively for the use of law enforcement agencies, and is not available to members of the public. (Gov. Code, section 15152-15153.) These confidentiality provisions are incorporated into the PRA through section 6254, subdivision (k) which incorporates confidentiality provisions contained elsewhere in state or federal law into the PRA. Similarly, web Cal-Photo or direct interface DMV connections created for CLETS users by the DOJ are similarly exempt as access to these databases is provided exclusively to law enforcement agencies for the conduct of official business. The Legislature has already made its determination regarding the confidentiality of CLETS activity and thereby has established that the public interest in nondisclosure of both the data acquired by the law enforcement agencies and DOJ's records of those same transactions regarding the same users under the same circumstances clearly outweighs the public interest in disclosure (See Gov. Code section 6255 [records exempt where public interest in nondisclosure clearly outweighs public interest in disclosure].) Because your request seeks confidential law enforcement information that is exempt from disclosure, we must decline your request."</p> <p>As an initial matter, we would like to clarify the scope of Requests 17 and 18. We are seeking the following information related to the transactions by DHS and/or its subcomponents in Cal-Photo and CLETS from January 1, 2017: (1) the date and time of the transaction; (2) the transaction type (i.e., which databases were searched); (3) any reason or purpose codes or "Reason for Access" entered as part of the search; (4) the specific agency conducting the search.</p> <p>We are not seeking the names or other personally identifying information about the subject of the search. A telephone call may be helpful to determine what information may be available in response to these requests and how our requests could be modified to address the concerns expressed in the Response letter.</p> <p>To address the exemptions raised in the Response letter, neither of the exemptions to the CPRA applies here. First, California Government Code § 6254(k) exempts from disclosure "[r]ecords, the disclosure of which is exempted or prohibited pursuant to federal or state law, including, but not limited to, provisions of the Evidence Code relating to privilege." No federal or state law exempts or prohibits the disclosure of records documenting access to and searches of DMV databases in CLETS by DHS and/or its subcomponents. DOJ points to Government Code §§ 15152, 15153 as "confidentiality" provisions, but these sections neither exempt CLETS records from the CPRA nor prohibit the disclosure of records from CLETS pursuant to a CPRA request. Indeed, sections 15152 and 15153 do not speak to confidentiality of CLETS records at all. 1 The plain text of these sections limits "use" of CLETS to law enforcement agencies and for "official business," but does not limit public access to records of "official business" conducted by public agencies on CLETS. Reading these sections as "confidentiality" provisions goes beyond the plain text and imports an exemption into the CPRA that appears nowhere in the statute. See also Cal. Constitution Art. I Sec. 3(b)(2) ("A statute . . . shall be . . . narrowly construed if it limits the right of access."). Second, California Government Code § 6255(a) states in relevant part, "The agency shall justify withholding any record by demonstrating . . . that on the facts of the particular case the public interest served by not disclosing the record clearly outweighs the public interest served by disclosure of the record." DOJ has failed to meet even the initial showing required by this exemption. It has not stated how the public interest would be served by not disclosing the searches or access by DHS and/or its subcomponents to DMV databases on CLETS. California Government Code §§ 15152-15153 does not set forth any determination by the Legislature that nondisclosure of CLETS activity is in the public interest. These code sections neither reference the possibility of disclosure pursuant to the CPRA, nor express a view on whether such disclosure is permissible or prohibited. Further, even if the public interest was served by nondisclosure, DOJ fails to go beyond conclusory language to explain how this interest clearly outweighs the public interest served by disclosing records of access to and searches of DMV databases by DHS and/or its subcomponents. In light of expanded civil immigration enforcement by federal agencies in California, there is a significant public interest in obtaining records of when and how often federal agencies obtain information about</p>			<p>in the Cal-Photo User Guide, the office has redacted any personal information because the need for privacy outweighs the need for disclosure.</p> <p>Request 9: The Response does not explain the contents of this spreadsheet. Please provide us with records sufficient to identify the content of the spreadsheet, such as what each entry represents and which database(s) each of the agencies on the spreadsheet has access to.</p> <p>Further, the Response does not include current or pending memoranda of understanding or other agreements entered into by the State of California and/or its agencies, including the DMV, with DHS and/or its subcomponents. Please provide us these documents, including but not limited to memoranda of understanding, agreements completed CLETS application forms, completed Cal-Photo Agency Enrollment Application forms, and completed DMV Government Requestor Account Application forms.</p> <p>Response:</p> <p>Your further request regarding Request 9 references the spreadsheet our office provided to you on March 29, 2018, entitled "ACLU Client_Subscribing Agency.xlsx." As discussed in further response to Request 4, above, this document identifies law enforcement agencies that have access to CLETS; the column to the left of every agency's name is the ORI, which is issued by the NCIC.</p> <p>In further response to Request 9, attached please find the following responsive documents: "clets_app," "Cal-Photo Network Connectivity Questionnaire," "Cal-Photo User Agreement," "Cal-Photo Account Creation Request (Admin)," and "Cal-Photo Agency Enrollment Application." The only other agreement that would be responsive to your request would be the current agreement between Nlets and the DOJ. The DOJ is validating whether the agreement previously provided to you is the most current and will send a separate response once that is complete.</p> <p>When we sought clarification on May 24, 2018, you advised that you would like completed forms submitted by DHS and/or its subcomponents beginning January 1, 2017. The DOJ did not receive any applications for CLETS, Cal-Photo systems, or DMV Governmental Requestor Account Application forms from DHS and/or its subcomponents between January 1, 2017 through May 31, 2018. As such, we are unable to provide records responsive to this request.</p> <p>Request 12: We would appreciate the opportunity to speak by telephone about this request and the DOJ Response, in order to clarify whether a bulk download capability exists.</p>
--	--	--	---	--	--	--

California residents from California agencies. That information is crucial to understanding how California databases and networks are used for civil immigration enforcement. This interest outweighs any countervailing interest in nondisclosure.

We urge DOJ to reconsider its denial of Requests 17 and 18. We also request clarification on the names and titles or positions of each person responsible for the denial of Requests 17 and 18, as required by California Government Code § 6253(d). Finally, the Response letter references "direct interface DMV connections created for CLETS users by the DOJ." We request all records related to such "direct interface DMV connections."

\*\*\*\*

Request 20: All CLETS, Nlets, and/or Cal-Photo user manuals that pertain to accessing and sharing information between the DMV and law enforcement agencies, including DHS and/or its agencies, including the CLETS Operating Manual; the CLETS Policies, Practices and Procedures; the DMV Manual for CLETS; the Nlets User and User Policy Manual; and the Cal-Photo User Guide.

The Response contained four attachments that were marked as responsive to Request 20: the Nlets User Policy Manual – April 1996; the Nlets User Policy Manual – December 2013; excerpts from the Cal-Photo User Guide excerpts for "Create Line-Up" and "Search DMV"; and the CLETS Policies Practices and Procedures – July 2017.

The Response did not include the DMV Manual for CLETS or the entire Cal-Photo User Guide, both of which were provided by DOJ in response to prior CPRA requests. Please provide the current version of the DMV Manual for CLETS. Please also provide the entire copy of the current version of the Cal-Photo User Guide.

Response:

In further response to Request 12, the DOJ does not have responsive documents describing any ability available to DHS and/or its subcomponents to engage in bulk download of information from CLETS, Nlets, and/or Cal-Photo, because these systems do not functionally have the capability to conduct bulk downloads.

Request 13: The Response does not contain records of the "Reason for Access" that DHS and/or its subcomponents have submitted as part of their inquiries in Cal-Photo. It appears that such records exist: as the Cal-Photo Policies Practices and Procedures document notes, all transactions in Cal-Photo are "programmatically logged and subject to audit by the respective participating agencies, DOJ and DMV. "Please provide the "Reason[s] for Access" that have been submitted by DHS and/or its subcomponents as part of their inquiries in Cal-Photo from January 1, 2017 to present. We also would be open to discussing whether a representative sample of these records would be sufficient to fulfill our request.

Further, the Response addresses only Cal-Photo, but does not contain information about CLETS or Nlets, specifically the permissible "reason codes, " "purpose codes, " or other explanations of individual inquiries that DHS and/or its subcomponents may provide in order to obtain DMV information through CLETS or Nlets. Please provide these records.

Response:

Please see the document titled "Cal-Photo User Guide" for a description of the reason codes/fields used for Cal-Photo access.

With respect to the reasons for access that have been submitted by DHS and/or its subcomponents as part of their inquiries to Cal-Photo and CLETS, CLETS was established for the exclusive purpose of permitting law enforcement agencies to conduct official business via a secure telecommunications network. (Gov. Code, §§ 15151-15153.) Accordingly, any communications made via CLETS are confidential and exempt from disclosure including dates and times of transactions, transaction types, reason or purpose codes, or names of inquiring agencies.

Communications made via the Cal-Photo network are similarly exempt under the balancing test, investigatory exemption, and Penal (Pen.) Code section 11105 as explained below. Cal-Photo is a network created for law enforcement agencies who are already CLETS users as an augmentation to CLETS. Even though there is not an expressed statutory exemption for Cal-Photo, the same purposes that support the confidentiality of CLETS communications also support the confidentiality of communications by law enforcement agencies using the Cal-Photo network. Accordingly, under the balancing test, the public interest in creating a secure telecommunications network for the exclusive use of law enforcement agencies

							<p>through CalPhoto clearly outweighs the public's generalized interest in law enforcement information. (Gov. Code, § 6255.) Also, each time Cal-Photo is used, summary criminal history information is queried for mug shot photos. Summary criminal history transactions are confidential and exempt from disclosure in their entirety. (Pen. Code, § 11105.) And finally, most Cal-Photo communications are already exempt as investigatory records under Government Code, section 6254, subdivision (f).</p> <p>In regard to the permissible "reason codes," "purpose codes," or other explanations of individual inquiries that DHS and/or its subcomponents may provide in order to obtain DMV information through Nlets, we do not have responsive documents as we do not have access to that information. We suggest contacting Nlets for these records.</p> <p>Request 14: It is unclear which responsive documents contain the "recommendations of permission reason codes for accessing the DMV information." Please specify which document contains these recommendations.</p> <p>Further, the Response addresses only CLETS, but not Cal-Photo. Specifically, the Response does not provide records regarding the approval process to determine whether the "Reason for Access" provided by DHS and/or its subcomponents as part of its inquiries is sufficient to obtain information or records from the DMV through Cal-Photo.</p> <p>In further response to Request 14, attached please find the following: "DMV Requestor Code Application," "Cal-Photo Agency Enrollment Application," and "Info Bulletin 08-03-BCII." In addition, attached please find the current "Cal-Photo User Guide." Please note that the guide you provided as Attachment B in your May 4, 2018 letter is now obsolete.</p> <p>Request 15: The Response does not include any records of how such forms are assessed once they are submitted by an agency, including any criteria, standards, or policies governing when the access requested through use of these forms should be granted and when it should be declined. Please provide these records.</p> <p>Response:</p> <p>Please find attached the "DMV Requestor Code Application," "Cal-Photo Agency Enrollment Application," "Info Bulletin 08-03-BCII," and "Cal-Photo User Guide."</p> <p>Request 16: As the Cal-Photo Policies Practices and Procedures document notes, all transactions in Cal-Photo are "programmatically logged and subject to audit by the respective participating agencies, DOJ and DMV. "Please provide records of any audits performed of Cal-Photo transactions by DHS and/or its subcomponents.</p> <p>Further, the Response references only Cal-Photo, but does not include records for CLETS. Please provide records of audits conducted of the access to and use by DHS and/or its subcomponents of DMV information available through CLETS.</p> <p>Response:</p> <p>In regard to Request 16, the DOJ does not have CA DMV-specific audits responsive to this request. However, audits of all agencies accessing criminal history information via CLETS are required triennially. Since January 1, 2017, only one CLETS audit of DHS and/or its subcomponents has been completed: a report issued by Client Services Program on January 11, 2017 found that Imperial County ICE was considered "in compliance" with federal and state policies (findings letter attached: "CLETS-Audit Report Letter"). Furthermore, attached please find DHS and/or its</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--



							<p>subcomponents' annual self-reported misuse of CLETS, which is required to be prepared by agencies that have access to CLETS. Cal-Photo does not log audit searches, therefore does not have responsive documents. Request 17 &amp; Request 18: As an initial matter, we would like to clarify the scope of Requests 17 and 18. We are seeking the following information related to the transactions by DHS and/or its subcomponents in Cal-Photo and CLETS from January 1, 2017: (1) the date and time of the transaction; (2) the transaction type (i.e., which databases were searched); (3) any reason or purpose codes or "Reason for Access" entered as part of the search; (4) the specific agency conducting the search. We are not seeking the names or other personally identifying information about the subject of the search. A telephone call may be helpful to determine what information may be available in response to these requests and how our requests could be modified to address the concerns expressed in the Response letter. To address the exemptions raised in the Response letter, neither of the exemptions to the CP RA applies here. First, California Government Code § 6254(k) exempts from disclosure "[r]ecords, the disclosure of which is exempted or prohibited pursuant to federal or state law, including, but not limited to, provisions of the Evidence Code relating to privilege. "No federal or state law exempts or prohibits the disclosure of records documenting access to and searches of DMV databases in CLETS by DHS and/or its subcomponents. DOJ points to Government Code §§ 15152, 15153 as "confidentiality" provisions, but these sections neither exempt CLETS records from the CPRA nor prohibit the disclosure of records from CLETS pursuant to a CPRA request. Indeed, sections 15152 and 15153 do not speak to confidentiality of CLETS records at all. The plain text of these sections limits "use" of CLETS to law enforcement agencies and/or "official business, " but does not limit public access to records of "official business" conducted by public agencies on CLETS. Reading these sections as "confidentiality" provisions goes beyond the plain text and imports an exemption into the CP RA that appears nowhere in the statute. See also Cal. Constitution Art. I Sec. 3 (b)(2) ("A statute ... shall be ... narrowly construed if it limits the right of access. ").</p> <p>Second, California Government Code § 6255(a) states in relevant part, "The agency shall justify withholding any record by demonstrating ... that on the facts of the particular case the public interest served by not disclosing the record clearly outweighs the public interest served by disclosure of the record." DOJ has failed to meet even the initial showing required by this exemption. It has not stated how the public interest would be served by not disclosing the searches or access by DHS and/or its subcomponents to DMV databases on CLETS.</p> <p>California Government Code §§ 15152-15153 does not set forth any determination by the Legislature that nondisclosure of CLETS activity is in the public interest. These code sections neither reference the possibility of disclosure pursuant to the CPRA, nor express a view on whether such disclosure is permissible or prohibited. Further, even if the public interest was served by nondisclosure, DOJ fails to go beyond conclusory language to explain how this interest clearly outweighs the public interest served by disclosing records of access to and searches of DMV databases by DHS and/or its subcomponents. In light of expanded civil immigration enforcement by federal agencies in California, there is a</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

						<p>significant public interest in obtaining records of when and how often federal agencies obtain information about California residents from California agencies. That information is crucial to understanding how California databases and networks are used for civil immigration enforcement. This interest outweighs any countervailing interest in nondisclosure. We urge DOJ to reconsider its denial of Requests 17 and 18. We also request clarification on the names and titles or positions of each person responsible for the denial of Requests 17 and 18, as required by California Government Code § 6253(d).</p> <p>Finally, the Response letter references "direct interface DMV connections created for CLETS users by the DOJ." We request all records related to such "direct interface DMV connections. In regard to Request 17 and Request 18, information about individual transactions of this type are confidential and exempt from disclosure to the public. Accordingly, we will not be able to provide you with the records sought pursuant to Requests 17 and Request 18. Please see response to Request 13. Furthermore, the same reasoning that supports the confidentiality of Cal-Photo transactions applies with equal force to the California Sex &amp; Arson Registry, and the Stolen Vehicle System, which are the only other DOJ systems that directly interface with the CA DMV.</p> <p>Request 20: The Response did not include the DMV Manual for CLETS or the entire CalPhoto User Guide, both of which were provided by DOJ in response to prior CPRA requests. Please provide the current version of the DMV Manual for CLETS. Please also provide the entire copy of the current version of the Cal-Photo User Guide."</p> <p>Response:</p> <p>In further response to Request 20, please find attached the "Cal-Photo User Guide." As mentioned in response to Request 8 above, we have redacted personally identifiable information such as photographs and addresses contained in sample records within the manual, based on considerations of personal privacy. (Art. I, sec. 1 of Cal. Con. as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.) Specifically, the request seeks the User Guide, and does not seek personal information; balancing the records requested and the purpose for such request against the need to protect the privacy of persons whose records were included as samples in the Cal-Photo User Guide, the office has redacted any personal information because the need for privacy outweighs the need for disclosure. In response to your request for the DMV CLETS Manual, we are unable to provide you with the record, but we have made arrangements for the DMV to do so.</p> <p>We understand that the CA DMV has determined that some information contained within the manual is exempt from disclosure. The disclosure of computer transaction codes and instructions regarding the accessing of information from the CA DMV's databases would compromise the internal security of the CA DMV and its records by increasing the risk that someone who gains unauthorized access to CA DMV computers could use such information to manipulate its data or use the knowledge of specific internal procedures to compromise the integrity of law enforcement activities. In accordance with Government Code section 6255, it is the CA DMV's position that the public interest served by withholding</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	---

							<p>or redacting this information outweighs the public interest served by disclosing it. The PRA also exempts from disclosure computer software or other security information that would reveal vulnerabilities to, or otherwise increase the potential for an attack on, an information technology system of a public agency. See Gov. Code sections 6254.9 and 6254.19. Because the CA DMV created the manual, it, and not the CA DOJ, is in the best position to determine which information might jeopardize the security of CA DMV records and information. We understand that the CA DMV has commenced its review of the DMV CLETS Manual and will complete its redactions as soon as practicable. We will consult with CA DMV to ensure the security of CLETS as well.</p>
PRA - 201 8- 008 85	Kaji	Jonathan	5/7/2018	DOJ case file regarding the sale of assets of Keiro Senior Healthcare to the Pacifica Companies approved by the DOJ in 2016. Would I ke to review the file at the DOJ office in Los Angeles CA.		Completed	<p>Scott Chan</p> <p>With regard to reviewing the file at the Los Angeles office of the Department of Justice, at this time, our office does not have enough staff or office space to accommodate this request. Our office, however, will absorb the photocopying and postage costs, and enclose with this response documents responsive to your request that are available to the public. With regard to your request for the DOJ case file, enclosed is a CD of the notice of the transaction comprising nearly 2000 pages of documents. Also enclosed is the Attorney General's decision dated September 2, 2015, the annual report dated June 2, 2017, and emails relating to the notice of the transaction and the issue of cultural sensitivity. Some of the records that you seek are confidential complaints to and investigatory records of the Office of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts complaints to and records of investigation of the Office of the Attorney General from disclosure if the investigation is "undertaken for the purpose of determining whether a violation of law may occur or has occurred" (Haynie v. Superior Court (2001) 26 Cal.4th 1061). Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 355). To the extent that the records you seek fall into this category, we deny your request for any such documents.</p> <p>Some of the records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 2018.030, the attorney work-product privilege. The attorney work-product exemption absolutely exempts from disclosure any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that are maintained as confidential. It also is incorporated into the Public Records Act by Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k). (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Under the attorney work-product exemption, records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office would be subject to this exemption, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. Some of the records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code§ 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.) The attorneys in our department provide legal advice to the</p>

								<p>Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys concerning these records are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>Some of the records are additionally exempt from disclosure pursuant to the deliberative process privilege. The deliberative process privilege is applied to the Public Records Act through Government Code section 6255. It exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.)</p> <p>Records such as analyses, draft language, and memoranda concerning a particular complaint and/or investigation, communication, document, comment, or letter would be covered by the deliberative process privilege and, therefore, absent special circumstances would be exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6255.</p> <p>Some of the records are additionally exempt from disclosure under the official information privilege of sections 1040 and 1041 of the Evidence Code. Evidence Code sections 1040 and 1041 are incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption through Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k). Any information and documents acquired in confidence during the course of an Attorney General investigation and are not normally made available to the general public are privileged where there is necessity for preserving the confidentiality of the information and documents.</p> <p>We note that your information request is directed to the Department of Justice but may seek records from other departments. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p>
PRA - 2018-00886	Alred	Jesse	5/7/2018	I would like to request a copy of the following document: Report to the Attorney General on Child Pornography 1977. If it is available online please let me know how to access it instead of providing me a paper or digitized copy.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records in the possession of our Department. Our research into the matter shows that the document you are seeking may be available through the California State Library. Their contact information is as follows: Stanley Mosk Library & Courts Building 914 Capitol Mall Sacramento, CA 95814 (916) 323-9845
PRA - 2018-	Von Borstel	Geraldine	5/7/2018	Under state Public Records Act and the California Constitution I am requesting a copy of all public records found under the name of ALBINO SANTIBANEZ, Date of birth: [REDACTED] Place of Birth: Mexico. Please also include records found under the following case numbers: court case number: PE17205AS		Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records

008 87				court case number: 074763AS Type of case: criminal and/or domestic violence				<p>that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview.</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2CStill-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2CStill-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105.</p> <p>Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA - 201 8- 008 90	Moralez	David	5/7/2018	<p>I David Moralez am the Complainant's Agent for Mrs. Consuelo N. Moralez (mother) of ACCUSATIONS Case No. N2017-166 that was filed on February 2, 2018, by Mr. Wood Robinson, Enforcement Supervisor I with the Contractors State License Board Document File No. 52769853.doc. I request information about the complaint/accusations in the form of:</p> <p>1. What was the "initial" date and who was the "initial" Deputy District Attorney" assigned to prosecute Case No. N2017-166?</p> <p>2. What was the "initial" date and who was the "initial" Enforcement Supervisor assigned to Case No. N2017-166?</p>		Complete	Desiree Tulleners	<p>Response to Request No. 1:</p> <p>The inquiry was submitted to the State of California, Department of Justice, Office of the Attorney General. The question references an administrative matter between the Attorney General's client agency, the Contractor's State License Board (Complainant), and Chyko Painting (Respondent). The Office of the District Attorney has not been assigned to this case and there is no "Deputy District Attorney" assigned to Case No. N2017-166.</p> <p>In an effort to help focus your question in a manner which can be specifically answered with accurate information, our office will provide the answer to the inquiry as though the question sought the name of the initial "deputy attorney general" who was assigned to prosecute this case and the date of that "initial assignment":</p> <p>Initial Deputy Attorney General: Thomas L. Rinaldi, Supervising Deputy Attorney General Initial Date: October 20, 2017</p> <p>Response to Request No. 2:</p> <p>We note that your request for the identity of the "initial" Enforcement Supervisor assigned to Case No. N2017-166" is directed to the State of California, Department of Justice, Office of the Attorney General. There is no person with that title assigned to this case at the Office of the Attorney General. This request seems to request the identity of an enforcement supervisor assigned to the case at the Contractors' State License Board.</p>

							Based on inquiry to our client agency, Steve Skogebo, Enforcement Supervisor I, Contractors' State License Board, was initially assigned to this case on April 8, 2016.
PRA - 2018-00901	Jones	Deja	5/7/2018	I'm looking to receive a copy of the will of Earlene Laverne Schader and Edward Schader. there should be 2 wills, one more recent than the other. I'm looking to find a copy of both. I believe they were filed in either Indio California or Palm Springs California		Completed	Erin Nickless Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice, therefore we must deny your request. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). Please note that our office is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records regarding a probate matter. You may wish to contact the local court in which the probate matter would be heard. If you are seeking unclaimed property linked to an estate, you may wish to contact the State Controller's office.
PRA - 2018-00965	Cook	Thomas	5/7/2018	I would like copies of any and all information, documents concerning the Attorney General Indigent Bail reduction in criminal cases.		Completed	Erin Nickless In general, we do not maintain, index or categorize our files based on subject matter. As a result, your request is too broad and we must decline your request for records. As you may be aware, the Attorney General is the head of the Department of Justice. There are Offices of the Attorney General in six different cities and additional offices for DOJ's law enforcement divisions. A search for records responsive to your request as it is currently cast would require each individual deputy attorney general in the Criminal Law Division to review every individual assigned matter to determine if they have any "documents concerning" a specific subject. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code, § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.) If you can provide more specificity, we may be able to conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control. However, as it is currently cast, we must decline your request. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows: -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. If your request refers to legal analysis on the topic of bail reduction, records such as analyses, draft language, and memoranda concerning a particular complaint and/or investigation, communication, document, comment, or letter would be covered by the deliberative process privilege and, therefore, absent special circumstances would be exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6255.
PRA - 2018-	Mitchell	Teresa	5/8/2018	Code Enforcement Complaints #642841 #640812 Written request to be sent to court at: Stanley Mosk Courthouse Dept 91 case # 18STUD03297 Date of Hearing: May 14, 2018 111 North Hill St.		Completed	Erin Nickless We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you

008 91				Los Angeles, Ca 90012 Victoria Manukyan VS Teresa Mitchell Please Send Official Hcidla Certified Copies To The Court, Thank You				should direct your request to that agency. Since you appear to be seeking records related to the Los Angeles Housing & Community Investment Department, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PRA - 201 8- 008 92	Mackarne ss	Jason	5/8/2 018	Under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq., I am requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of public records that pertain to any criminal activity from Brandon J Mauldin, including DUI, domestic disturbance, or alcohol related records from 2017 and 2018, we are under the impression that this individual is withholding information of this sort.		Com plete d	Jaimi e Tacke tt	Unfortunately, the Department of Justice (DOJ) is unable to comply with your request. The California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to California Penal Code section 11076, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records. The only exception to this rule is an individual requesting his or her own records. California Penal Code sections 11120 through 11127 afford an individual the opportunity to obtain a copy of his or her own California state summary criminal history information to review for accuracy and completeness. If you have further questions or concerns regarding a record review, please direct your correspondence to the Bureau of Criminal Information & Analysis, Record Review Unit at P.O. Box 903417, Sacramento, CA 94203-4170. For additional information, the following is the Record Review website: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review">https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review</a> . The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PRA - 201 8- 008 93	Miller	Holly	5/8/2 018	Divorce decree on or about May 2015 marriage to Kelly Ireland Miller. I was married to Kelly Ireland Miller on [REDACTED] We lived in Carlsbad, California until the marriage ended on or about May 2015. I would like a copy of the final decree, or at least to know the date of this action. I can be reached at [REDACTED] or at [REDACTED]		Com plete d	Erin Nickl ess	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA - 201 8- 008 94	Hewlett	Iris	5/8/2 018	<a href="https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/medicare-scam-by-quest--labcorp-exposed-says-npt-associates-143970756.html">https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/medicare-scam-by-quest--labcorp-exposed-says-npt-associates-143970756.html</a> Per California Gov. Code SEC. 6250 et seq., I seek records in the possession of California State Attorney Office supporting California settlements against Lab Corp and Quest Laboratories also involved violations per the Clinical Laboratory Improvement act CLIA. May 18 follow up: Can an appeal be made? Based on the attached record the California AG case against Quest Diagnostic and Lab Corp didn't mention CLIA. Very shameful since the State of California had on going Laboratory issues and lack of CLIA compliance when such settlements were made yet the State didn't care that as a result data, diagnosis and treatments were not accurate and reliable.		Com plete d	Matth ew Kilma n	As I explained over the telephone in our conversation on February 22, 2018, and reiterated in my response e-mail on February 22, 2018, we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. For a more detailed explanation of this exemption, please refer to my original response, which has been attached for your convenience. Accordingly, we are denying your request. Response to May 18, 2018 follow up: On May 18, 2018, the Public Records Coordinator with the Attorney General's Office responded denying your request. That same day you replied providing a complaint related to a specific case. Based on that additional information, our office re-opened your request. On May 29, 2018, your request was clarified via telephone conversation with Deputy Attorney General Jennifer S. Gregory, and her confirming email. The request, as clarified on May 29, 2018, was for any records reflecting settlements between the Attorney General's Office and Quest Diagnostics and/or Laboratory Corporation of America which involve allegations of violations of the Clinical

							<p>Laboratory Improvement Amendments of 1988 (42 U.S.C. § 263a), also known as CLIA, a set of amendments to the Public Health Services Act in which Congress revised the federal program for certification and oversight of clinical laboratory testing.</p> <p>We hereby respond to your clarified request as follows: The Attorney General's Office does not have any settlements with Quest Diagnostics and/or Laboratory Corporation of America that specifically reference violations of CLIA. We are nonetheless providing you with copies of all of the settlement agreements we were able to locate between the California Attorney General's Office and Quest Diagnostics and/or Laboratory Corporation of America, to avoid any question, in case they have any connection to the CLIA issues referenced in your request.</p>
PRA - 2018-00895	Rodriguez	Armando	5/8/2018	I'm requesting video dash cam and body cam from officer S. Oehring badge #468, time and date of incident was 2-17-18 at around 10:20am, was falsely accused of committing a traffic violation, but really for exercising my 1st amendment right, by giving him the middle finger, waited 2 miles to pull me over, and making up a traffic violation, and he also refused to let himself.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Police report records such as body camera and dashboard camera footage are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department that the officer is stationed with.</p>
PRA - 2018-00897	Tuttle	Lorinda	5/8/2018	I'm trying to find out if anything has been filed in a case called Taylor Brothers Farms vs. Ray Holes. The DOL: 04/05/15	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.</p>
PRA - 2018-00898	Tanner	David	5/8/2018	<p>Request: All comments on the proposed Final California Environmental Quality Act Guidelines Update.</p> <p>Comments were addressed to: Christopher Calfee Deputy Secretary and General Counsel California Natural Resources Agency 1416 Ninth Street, Suite 1311 Sacramento, CA 95814</p> <p>Subject: Amendments and Additions to the State CEQA Guidelines</p> <p>To contact the Natural Resources Agency please email <a href="mailto:ceqaquestions@resources.ca.gov">ceqaquestions@resources.ca.gov</a> or call (916) 653-5481.</p> <p>I've been requesting the California Resources Agency make available all public comments received on the Proposed Final CEQA Guidelines Update. The comments submittal deadline was March 2, 2018.</p> <p>I received a return call 3 weeks ago from Christopher Calfee, Deputy Secretary and General Counsel promising the release shortly. Chris stated they were busy going through the 100+ comments received. Having heard nothing, I called again last week and left a message for Chris. Friday I received a return call from a Resources Agency attorney promising the release soon.</p> <p>She said they had 6 attorneys going through the comments as fast as they could. I asked why the Agency could not make a release now, why the public needed to wait. (why all or nothing). The attorney said this was a decision the Agency had made.</p> <p>I fear the Agency is intentionally minimizing the public's access to these (negative) comments and will release them immediately prior to the Resource Agency hearing on the CEQA Guidelines Update hearing, giving the public and local governments insufficient time to review the whole of the comments. This action is consistent with past actions taken by Mr. Calfee when at the Office of Planning and research where he thematically summarized comments on</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records submitted to the California Natural Resources Agency, you must request the records from their office.</p> <p>Since you have already indicated that you have contacted the California Natural Resources Agency, and that you: "fear the Agency is intentionally minimizing the public's access to these (negative) comments and will release them immediately prior to the Resource Agency hearing on the CEQA Guidelines Update hearing, giving the public and local governments insufficient time to review the whole of the comments," to the extent that you are seeking to have the Department of Justice enforce the Public Records Act, we must decline your request. When the Legislature enacted the Public Records Act in 1968, it provided several judicial remedies for persons who believed that they had been wrongfully denied records to which they were entitled. These remedies include the right to seek injunctive or declaratory relief or a writ of mandate in the Superior Court. (See Government Code sections 6258-6259.) Absent special circumstances, the Legislature did not envision an enforcement role for the Attorney General in connection</p>



				this topic miss characterizing the substance of the comments to the public and decision makers.			with noncompliance under the Act. However, you may wish to consult with a private attorney to evaluate your options under the judicial remedies discussed above.
PRA - 2018-00899	Gomez-wood	Maximilian	5/8/2018	I would like a copy of my birth record. thx its from Inglewood county I was born [REDACTED]		Completed	Erin Nickless To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA - 2018-00900	Palermo	Michelle	5/8/2018	I would like a copy of all public records. Also all veteran records and any thing and everything with my name (Michelle Nicole Palermo).		Completed	Erin Nickless Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, since you are seeking records regarding military service, you may wish to direct your request to the office of the branch of the military in which you served, or the Department of Veterans Affairs.
PRA - 2018-00904	Keller	Chris	5/8/2018	- An electronic, delimited and structured file containing daily DROS data from 2013 through 2017. Fields should include Transaction Date, Dealer City, Dealer County and Gun Type. - An electronic, delimited and structured file containing monthly DROS data from 1996 through April 2018. Fields should include Dealer County, Gun Type, Record type and quantity.		Completed	Robert Wilson Letter dated May 15, 2018 was e-mailed on May 17, 2018: The Department does not maintain DROS data with these specific fields in the ordinary course of business. We do, however, have a breakdown by county of DROS statistics for 2010 to 2015, and DROS information for 2016 and 2017, sorted by date. We have enclosed this information, along with yearly statistics from 1972 to 2017. Special programming will be required to generate the specific DROS information you requested and the Department is allowed by law to charge for this programming. (See Gov. Code, § 6253.9, subd. (b); see also California Department of Justice Guidelines for Access to Public Records [When the Department must compile electronic data, extract information from an electronic record, or undertake computer programming to satisfy a request, the Department may require the requester to bear the full costs, not just the direct cost of duplication.].) In this case, it will take an Information Technology Specialist 1, at minimum, 16 hours to complete this report at a cost of \$454.24. If you still desire this information, please make a check payable to the California Department of Justice in the amount of \$454.24 and send it to: California Department of Justice Public Records Coordinator 1300 I Street, Room 1710-6 Sacramento, CA 95814

							If we do not receive payment within 20 days, we shall consider the request for records withdrawn and the matter closed.
PRA - 2018-00905	Hajiamiri	Omeed	5/8/2018	Any and all records please.		Completed	Erin Nickless We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows: -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. If you can provide more information regarding the records you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control.
PRA - 2018-00907	Masoud	Nora	5/8/2018	My name is Nora Masoud Bhes, and I have contacted the Office of the Attorney General in Dec. 207, regarding information elated to the "LPS" and other defendants named in the lawsuit filed by your office on behalf of the State of California, Case No.:CGC-13-528389. I am enclosing a letter with my grievances regarding this matter, to give you an idea of the effect of, and the still on going behaviors of the entities named in the lawsuit and the sever harm cause and will be caused by those entities and their co-conspirators as they have not complied with any of the Consent Orders put in place by the court. Please feel free to contact me regarding my grievances at anytime, and if there is any way that you and or the Attorney General's Office can look into the matter on behalf of myself and on behalf of families dealing with the same issues as myself, thank you for your time and understanding.		Completed	Amos Hartston We responded to your Public Records Act request on January 3, 2018. You note in your recent letter that you feel you did not receive a satisfactory response, but that you are not seeking further records or information. Your reason for follow up is to bring to light some of your grievances and issues related to your home loan in 2000, refinance in 2005, loan modification in 2009, lawsuits in 2011 and 2015, pending bankruptcy, what you have endured as a victim of fraud by financial institutions and additional discrimination and harassment you have suffered, and your continued fight for justice. We wish you luck, and we appreciate your bringing these grievances and issues to the attention of our office.
PRA - 2018-00909	Montecastro	Hendrix	5/8/2018	Writ of Habeas Corpus filed with respect to In re Lawrence (2008) 44 Cal.4th 1181, 1223-1224 under the Public records Act set forth in Govt. Code sec 6250 et seq.		Completed	Philip J. Lindsay In accordance with the California Public Records Act (CPRA), the Office of the California Attorney General charges a duplication fee of \$.10 per page for documents produced under the CPRA. Although there was no petition for writ of habeas corpus filed in In re Lawrence (2008) 44 Cal.4th 1181, a petition for review was filed, which consists of 20 pages. Because you also ask about other documents filed in the case, I have enclosed a copy of the Supreme Court's docket. I have also listed below the principal briefs filed in this case along with their page numbers. If you'd like a copy of any of these documents, please submit a request for those specific documents and a check payable to DOJ in an amount equal to \$.10 per page. Answer to the State's Petition for Reivew, 20 pages Opening Brief on the Merits, 32 pages Answer Brief on the Merits, 57 pages Federal Defendants Application to File Amicus Curiae Brief, 53 pages Standard Criminal Justice Center Application for Leave to File Amicus Brief, 39 pages CDAA Application to File Amicus Curiae Brief, 26 pages Respondent's Motion for Judicial Notice, 29 pages

							Respondent's Reply Brief on the Merits, 28 pages Respondent's Response to Stanford Criminal Justice Amicus Brief, 12 pages Respondent's Response to Federal Defenders Amicus Brief, 24	
PRA - 2018-00972	Blutstein	Allan	5/8/2018	1. All communications (email, texts, etc.) *sent* by the Attorney General or the Chief Deputy Attorney General on May 7, 2018, that mention or refer to New York Attorney General Eric Schneiderman.		Completed	Nancy Doig	We conducted a search and did not identify any records that are responsive to this request.
PRA - 2018-00910	Santos Jr.	Edwin	5/9/2018	Copy of Name Change Document I am requesting a copy of the name change for my wife's (Cynthia Prindiville) grandfather on her mother's side (Mary Elena Strazzarino). Birth name: Francesco Pietro Strazzarino [REDACTED], changed to Frank Joseph Strazzarino. Birth place: San Francisco, California Parents: Secondo Strazzarino and Paolina (Pauline) Fresia		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records regarding a legal name change, you may wish to contact the local court in which the name change was processed.
PRA - 2018-00911	Low	Trinnique	5/9/2018	I am looking to find documentation of my name change from Trina Low to Trinnique Low. This happen around 1983-1986. I have search various counties thru their Case Information Portal and can't find anything by last name, first. I cannot get a federal Real ID from DMV until I find a case #. Unfortunately, I'm having no luck getting anywhere. I don't want to petition a name change again, since my social security card, taxes and credit cards have been change for over 35 years.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records regarding a legal name change, you may wish to contact the local court in which the name change was processed.
PRA - 2018-00913	Cordes	Christopher	5/9/2018	Liens or Encumbrances		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking records related to liens, we suggest that you contact the local county assessor's office for the county in which the property resides if the lien is property-related.
PRA - 2018-00914	Brown or Wharfield	Stacy	5/9/2018	Any records you have starting in 2005.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this

							<p>are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Providing the actual name or title of the record.</li> <li>-Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record.</li> <li>-Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record.</li> <li>-Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created.</li> <li>-If related to a case, providing the case name or number.</li> <li>-Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record.</li> <li>-Indicating the city in which the record was created.</li> </ul> <p>If you can provide more information regarding the records you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control.</p>
PRA - 2018-00915	Comello	Jacob	5/9/2018	<p>My name is Jacob Comello, and I work for a non-profit public policy organization in Raleigh, North Carolina. We are trying to compile information on fraud prevention laws in various states, and I was wondering if you could provide me with some information specific to California.</p> <p>If possible, could you furnish the number of charitable solicitation licenses that have been revoked in the past five years (2013-2017) due to fraud in California? I would very much appreciate it. You may send the information to InternThree@nccivitas.org and may also use that email address to contact me with any questions. Thank you for listening and I hope to hear from you soon.</p>	Completed	Tania M. Ibanez, SAAG	<p>This email responds to your Public Records Act request received by email on May 9, 2018. You requested that this office furnish the number of charitable solicitation licenses that have been revoked in the past five years due to fraud in California. Please be advised that we do not track which licenses have been revoked for fraud. You may conduct research yourself to find out why licenses have been revoked or been subject to cease and desist orders, by visiting our website. Go to <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/charities">https://oag.ca.gov/charities</a>, under Resources &amp; Tools, click on Registry Verification Search, and then use the Registration Status to pull "revoked" or "subject to cease and desist order" and you will be able to research the entities. If you click on the listed name results, you will be able to review all of the orders by clicking on the documents.</p>
PRA - 2018-00916	Westerfield	Richard	5/9/2018	<p>Dear Sir, With the question of gun control and the emphasis being on assault weapons, large capacity magazines and the like, what I will like to find out is what are the most common types of guns and rifles used in crime. In the State of California. The news does report a lot when an assault type weapon is used, but I fear that smaller type of weapons are the weapon of choice in most crimes when a firearm is used. I will like to use this information to talk to my local legislators when talking about gun control. Thank you very much for your time.</p>	Completed	Robert Wilson	<p>Enclosed is the 2016 Firearms Used in the Commission of Crimes report. This report can also be found online at: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/publications#crime">https://oag.ca.gov/publications#crime</a>. This report details the number and type of firearms used most frequently in the commission of violent, homicidal, street and drug trafficking crimes.</p>
PRA - 2018-00917	Dominguez	Samuel	5/9/2018	<p>Seeking complete Record on Myself as viewed by others.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of</p>

							such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA - 2018-00918	Goel	V jay	5/9/2018	<p>I'm interested in obtaining the grant application, budget authorized, and groups receiving funds for the WeRise program, which is described at <a href="http://werise.la/about/">http://werise.la/about/</a> as:</p> <p>WE RISE is a 10-day pop-up festival of art and community building coming to life in Downtown, Los Angeles between May 19th and May 28th. Over the course of the show, 150+ artists will present original artworks in a world class exhibition designed to inspire action, over 50 hours of creative programming and workshops with some of the biggest names in pop culture, activism and art will issue specific and direct calls to action and our art lab will have hours of fun activities designed at improving our communities overall understanding about mental health and the care all youth deserve.</p> <p>WE RISE is FREE and open to the public. Please check the calendar page for the exhibition and event space address and full schedule. We encourage you to come visit us in person and follow the live streams on our social media channels. And bring friends!</p> <p>The WE RISE exhibition and event space is designed specifically to welcome all of our beautiful brothers and sisters, regardless of religion, race, or creed. In addition to fully accessible facilities, the space features male, female and gender-neutral restrooms. For those who would like a tour conducted via ASL, please email us at <a href="mailto:specialtours@werise.la">specialtours@werise.la</a> to schedule an appointment.</p> <p>WE RISE, is a project of the Los Angeles County Department of Mental Health with support from the Mental Health Services Act. I'm interested in seeing the grant application, grant award, and amounts spent under the department of mental health and Mental Health Services Act allocated funds.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the Los Angeles County Department of Mental Health, you may wish to direct your request to their office. If you can provide additional information as to why you believe our office would have these documents, we can conduct another search of the records that are in our custody and control.</p>
PRA - 2018-00980	Johnson	Stephen	5/9/2018	All available records of complaints submitted to your office from January 1, 2016 to the date this request is filled about Aladdin Bail Bonds, Two Jinn Inc, Seaview Insurance, Seaview Surety, Bankers Insurance or Bankers Surety. Additionally, any records of enforcement actions files against the six entities names above since January 1, 2016.	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have searched our legal indices and logical places and found no records responsive to your request. To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)
PRA - 2018-00994	Ostman	Matt	5/9/2018	<p>I would like to submit a CPRA request for a copy of any other CPRA submitted to the DOJ - AG's Office for the past calendar year, specifically:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A list of all CPRA requests in the last year including: date of request, requestor name and company, subject and text of request, and the status of the request.</li> <li>• Or, in the alternative, any form of log, list, or record the Attorney General's Office keeps of CPRA requests.</li> </ul>	Rolling Production	Erin Nickless	<p>May 21, 2018 response: We are sending you a CD via U.S. mail containing .pdf copies of our logs between the dates May 1, 2017 through October 31, 2017. We are unable to send them via e-mail due to the size of the files.</p> <p>We need additional time to generate and redact personal information contained in the PRA database for the remaining time frame denoted in your request. We anticipate reviewing and redacting this data to take a minimum of 12 weeks. The logs will be produced to you as they are completed in a rolling production process.</p> <p>July 25, 2018 response: On May 21, 2018, our office sent a CD via U.S. mail containing .pdf copies of our logs between the dates May 1, 2017 through October 31, 2017. Attached please find .pdf copies of our logs for November and December 2017. Please let us know if you have difficulty viewing the attachments.</p> <p>We need additional time to generate and redact personal information contained in the PRA database for the remaining</p>

							time frame denoted in your request. We anticipate reviewing and redacting this data to take a minimum of 12 weeks. The logs will be produced to you as they are completed in a rolling production process.
PRA - 2018-00919	Cota Dodge	Robin "Wesley"	5/10/2018	I would like to know how many cases are pending before Los Angeles DCFS that are outside of legal timeline. For example, how many children must wait longer than the 6 months (the legal MAX) to receive a disposition from the court? How long are children in foster care before a permanency decision is reached?		Completed	Erin Nickless You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to "Los Angeles DCFS," you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PRA - 2018-00920	Mullins	Ryan	5/10/2018	Requesting information on: Siobhan Rosemary Evans (Stephens) Age: 29 Height: 5'7" State: California City: Pine Grove, Sutter Creek, Lincoln		Completed	Erin Nickless Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA - 2018-00921	Ranawat	Paras	5/10/2018	I am on a research project that requires me to know What are the allowed opening hours for the retail shops in California. I mean, for how many hours can a retail shop remain open. I will be highly obliged if you could point me towards a source that contains the relevant information as I could not find it on my own.		Completed	Erin Nickless To the extent that you are seeking legal advice, the DOJ is not in a position to perform legal research or to provide legal advice to private individuals. You may wish to consult with a private attorney to evaluate your options regarding your request.

PRA - 2018-00922	Gamonal	Eric	5/10/2018	Current data on how many registered sex offenders living in Los Angeles County are homeless/transient.		Completed	Michael Dolidia	As of May 12, 2018, there are 1,393 registered sex offenders indicated as transient in Los Angeles County. Given the ever-fluctuating number of registered sex offenders in California, the foregoing county totals are subject to change on a daily basis.
PRA - 2018-00923	Whitaker	Mark	5/10/2018	RFPs and related documents from financial advisors and investment banks under evaluation for refunding Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2007A-1, cusips 38122NNZ1 and 38122NZF2, notice in link below <a href="http://ifx1.invttools.com/emmapdf?id=61d3a89e055878872982212ce3&amp;market=se...&lt;http://ifx1.invttools.com/emmapdf?id=61d3a89e055878872982212ce3&amp;market=secondary&gt;">http://ifx1.invttools.com/emmapdf?id=61d3a89e055878872982212ce3&amp;market=se...&lt;http://ifx1.invttools.com/emmapdf?id=61d3a89e055878872982212ce3&amp;market=secondary&gt;</a>		Completed	Giselle Rainer	We do not possess records responsive to your request.
PRA - 2018-00924	Tucker	Kevin	5/10/2018	Any and all documents related to Eddie Bauer, LLC and an information security incident or data breach it experienced in 2016, including but not limited to any security breach notification(s) you received from Eddie Bauer, LLC or its counsel pursuant to state law.		Completed	Jeffrey Steele	Enclosed is a notice of data security incident, dated August 18, 2016, from Eddie Bauer. You may also find it at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/system/files/Eddie%20Bauer%20nonMassachusetts%20consumer%20notification%20final_1.PDF">https://oag.ca.gov/system/files/Eddie%20Bauer%20nonMassachusetts%20consumer%20notification%20final_1.PDF</a> . For your information, our office publishes the data security breach notices we receive pursuant to California Civil Code sections 1798.29(e) and 1798.82(l) on our website at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/ecrime/databreach/list">https://oag.ca.gov/ecrime/databreach/list</a> . The data breach notices are listed in reverse chronological order, and also are searchable. We respectfully deny the remainder of your request. Any additional records that you seek Would be confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General, and, therefore, exempt from disclosure. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (t), expressly exempts from disclosure records of complaints to, or investigations conducted by, the Office of the Attorney General and the Department of Justice. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (t).)
PRA - 2018-00926	McRae	Courtney	5/10/2018	May 10, 2018 request : Good Afternoon: I am following up on the below. Would the office be able to provide simply the number of complaints and years made involving the entities requested? We appreciate your assistance on this matter. Please let us know if you have any questions or concerns. Thank you. The below request was her April 10 follow up request to March 29 request: Would the office be able to provide simply the number of complaints and years made involving the entities requested? (Carrington Colleges, DeVry University, or Adtalem Global Education, Inc.)		Completed	Erin Nickless	We responded to your April 10, 2018 e-mail on April 18, 2018. We are re-sending the response as an attachment to this e-mail.
PRA - 2018-00934	Patterson	Vester	5/10/2018	Please provide to the undersigned the following documents and/or records in your possession: 1. AG Motion to Dismiss in Case No. 15-CV-00053 2. AG Motion to Dismiss in Case No. 16-CV-01215		Completed	Philip J. Lindsay	In response to your request, I have enclosed a copy of the following documents: 1. Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss with Supporting Memorandum of Points and Authorities dated March 16, 2015, in Vester Lee Patterson v. Stu Sherman, United States District Court for the Eastern District of California, Fresno Division, case number 15-cv-00053 MJS ( 40 pages). 2. Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss with Supporting Memorandum of Points and Authorities dated December 12, 2016, in Vester L. Patterson v. Joel Martinez, United States District Court for the Eastern District of California, Fresno Division, case number 16-cv-01215-SAB-HC (8 pages). In accordance with the California Public Records Act, the Attorney General's Office charges \$.10 per page for duplication of public records. However, because you requested documents from two cases in which you were a party, I have waived the duplication fee as a courtesy.
PRA - 2018-	Thorwart	Deborah	5/10/2018	I am requesting an opportunity to obtain copies of public records that describe in work product, meeting notes, emails, and any other documented form, the information used to research and analyze my concerns with the unlicensed facility known as Graceland, and all records of your findings and determinations that this facility does not fall under your		Completed	Erin Nickless	note that your request is addressed to Pam Dickfoss, Deputy Director Community Care Licensing, California Department of Social Services. Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be

009 78				jurisdiction, or the jurisdiction of Community Care Licensing, and does not substantiate the need for care and supervision.			<p>seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records from the California Department of Social Services, you would need to direct your request to their office. Information on how to contact the California Department of Social Services can be found on their website at <a href="http://www.cdss.ca.gov/Public-Record-Requests#">http://www.cdss.ca.gov/Public-Record-Requests#</a>.</p>
PRA - 201 8- 009 98	Imrie	Donn	5/10/ 2018	A copy of any records held by the State of California concerning me.		Completed	Erin Nickless <p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>During our search, we located two documents that appear to be responsive to your request. They are enclosed.</p>
PRA - 201 8- 009 28	Hope	Christy	5/11/ 2018	Seeking a copy of a delegation of parental authority for her client.		Completed	Erin Nickless <p>Public Records responded to the individual over the phone and suggested they try Social Services or the local court for this issue, as these are not DOJ records.</p>
PRA - 201 8- 009 29	Anderlini	Paul	5/11/ 2018	<p>I request copies of the Charitable Trust Section records of the Attorney General's Office located at 455 Golden Gate Ave Suite 11000 San Francisco , CA 94102 regarding Alliant International University , Inc. CT 0000508 Asset Sale under Corporations Code section 5913 from Nov 10,2014 to March 1, 2015.</p> <p>I am interested in investigating if the representation made to the AG's office to obtain approval of this transaction have been complied with by the parties.</p>		Completed	Elizabeth S. Kim <p>All information that is a matter of public record regarding nonprofits, including the notice of sale of assets of Alliant International University to Alliant IU, predecessor to Alliant Education Foundation, is available for viewing under Registry's searchable public records for Alliant Education Foundation at the Registry of Charitable Trusts website: <a href="http://rct.doj.ca.gov/MvLicenseVerification/Search.aspx?-facility=Y">http://rct.doj.ca.gov/MvLicenseVerification/Search.aspx?-facility=Y</a>. You could search by using the "Organization Name" (Alliant Educational Foundation) or by using "State Charity Registration Number" (CT0252819).</p> <p>We also have 1395 pages of documents related to the sale of assets for Alliant International University. The Department of Justice is authorized to charge the direct cost of duplication for providing copies of records in response to public records requests. (Gov. Code § 6253 subd. (b) .) The cost for making a photocopy of a paper record is \$0.10 per page. An additional charge of \$18.00 is due to pay the postage fee (regular U.S. ground mail) for mailing the documents. You may avoid this additional fee by arranging to pick up the documents at the Office of the Attorney General, 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000, San Francisco, CA 94102.</p> <p>Your request for records has generated 1395 pages of discloseable records resulting in a duplication fee of \$139.50, and the charge for postage is \$18.00. The total cost for copying and mailing in this instance is \$157.50.</p> <p>Please make the check payable to the California Department of Justice and send your check to: California Department of Justice Public Records Coordinator</p>



								<p>1300 I Street, Room 1710-6 Sacramento, CA 95814</p> <p>Upon receipt of your check in the above-stated amount, we will promptly forward to you the disclosable records responsive to your request. If we do not receive your payment within 20 days of this letter, we will consider the request for records withdrawn and the matter closed. To the extent your request seeks records that are subject to the below-listed exemptions, we must decline your request.</p> <p><b>Investigative Records</b></p> <p>The records you seek are confidential complaints to, and investigatory records of, the Attorney General's Office. California law, under Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigatory records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Williams, supra, at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).].)</p> <p><b>Attorney-Client Privilege</b></p> <p>Some of the records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure under the attorneyclient privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorneyclient privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code§ 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.)</p> <p>In the present case, the attorneys in our department provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys concerning the Corporation of the Fine Arts Museums are confidential communications under the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p><b>Attorney Work-Product Exemption</b></p> <p>The attorney work-product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc. section 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code§ 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work-product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p>
PRA - 2018-00930	Steed	Jennifer	5/11/2018	Child custody order. I never received a copy of it and I need to know if I get my son for mothers day.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are</p>

							in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking child custody records, you may wish to direct your request to the court that issued the child support or custody order.
PRA - 2018-00931	Guevarra	Jay	5/11/2018	Request electronic copy of all the RFI responses received by the California Department of Justice California Justice Information Services Division Request for Offer (RFO) 16-218. RFO was released on March 24, 2017 with all responses due by April 3,2017. Background: The DOJ is seeking assistance in its' efforts to investigate the feasibility and costs associated with implementing a Statewide IBR system. This effort will engage the DOJ, the National Crime Statistics Exchange (NCS-X) Implementation Team and 42 NCS-X sample agencies from California. The DOJ will engage with the Contractor to determine DOJ's feasibility and readiness to design, develop, implement and maintain a NIBRS compliant system and the costs associated with the implementation.	Completed	Erin Nickless	When we sought clarification on May 15, 2018, you confirmed that you were requesting Request for Offer (RFO) responses. The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the sole RFO response that was received by the DOJ.
PRA - 2018-00944	Mccarthy	SuzanneMarie	5/11/2018	Searching for info on my deceased father. Was there a will? How did he die? Did he leave me anything? My father: Albert Ralph McCarthy [REDACTED] died [REDACTED] My contact info [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records regarding a probate matter. You may wish to contact the local court in which the probate matter would be heard. If you are seeking unclaimed property linked to an estate, you may wish to contact the State Controller's office.
PRA - 2018-01009	Elinson (WSJ)	Zusha	5/11/2018	All documentation of tests and reports by the CA DOJ Bureau of Firearms concerning any and all firearms made by Cobra Enterprises that have been placed on the De-Certified Handgun Model list. <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/sites/oag.ca.gov/files/pdfs/firearms/removed.pdf">https://oag.ca.gov/sites/oag.ca.gov/files/pdfs/firearms/removed.pdf</a> May 23 follow up questions: Thanks very much for getting these records to me. I had just two follow up questions since I am not an expert in these forms: - Where on the report does it say the gun failed the test(s)? - Do the similars mentioned in the response letter also include the two derringers on the de-certified list?	Completed	Robert Wilson	There are multiple Cobra firearms on the De-Certified Handgun Models List. The 38 Special Shadow S38SB Cobra Firearms revolver was tested and we have enclosed the testing report. The other firearms are listed as "similars" so no test report was conducted on these firearms. In providing the records requested, we have redacted certain private identifying information, such as non-commercial addresses, email addresses, and telephone numbers, along with other sensitive personal information such as serial numbers, social security numbers, and credit card numbers based on considerations of personal privacy. (Cal. Const., art. I, §1, as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.) May 25, 2018 follow up response: The Handgun Testing Program Compliance Test Report is the report showing that

								<p>the handgun passed the required testing and was approved to be listed on the Roster of Handguns Certified for Sale. The Bureau's website refers to the process of adding a firearm to the Roster as "certification" because they are the handguns "certified" for sale in California. The term "decertification" refers to removing a handgun from the list. But the fact that this handgun was "certified" and later "de-certified" does not mean it was removed for cause, as if there was some defect in the firearm. Firearms fall off the Roster for a number of reasons. For example, a manufacturer may elect to not renew the listing because it is discontinuing that particular model or there is no longer a market for it in California. Sometimes a manufacturer simply misses a renewal payment deadline. In this case, the manufacturer elected to not renew. In regards to your second question, the similars include the derringers on the de-certified list. The 38 Special Shadow S38SB was the only Cobra firearm on the de-certified list that was tested. All other Cobras on that list were included as similar.</p>
PRA - 2018-00932	Byson	Rainbow	5/14/2018	<p>I am seeking the official Sex Offender Record(s) for Eugene Sena, DOB-[REDACTED], and a list of all his former registered addresses. One known conviction: Offense Code: 288(a) Description: LEWD OR LASCIVIOUS ACTS WITH A CHILD UNDER 14 YEARS OF AGE Year of Last Conviction: 1984 Year of Last Release: 1984 And other public sex offender records/information available about Eugene Sena under Martha's Law.</p>		Completed	Michael Dolid	<p>Initially regarding the request for public records, of all our case files are organized and maintained electronically in a case management software application called Prolaw. A search of Pro law revealed one record referencing a Eugene Sena. A review of this matter contained in Prolaw appeared to be in the time frame stated in your request, however the ProLaw matter contained no documents. As such, we were unable to determine if this was the correct Eugene Sena. We attempted to order the file from State Records, but due to the case being 34 years old, it has been destroyed. If you have not done so already, you may try contacting the local District Attorney's Office and/or local state courthouse where the offense(s) were committed. These public agencies may still have the information in their case files that they can provide to you. With respect to your request for "a list of all his former registered addresses," we must deny it because information provided by registering sex offenders during the registration process is confidential (i.e., not open to public inspection). (Pen. Code, § 290.021.) The only exception to this confidentiality is the limited access to certain fields of information specified by statute that must be posted on the Megan's Law public website and updated on a continuous basis. (See PC § 290.46.) Thus, we cannot provide historical data (i.e., prior addresses) that was received through the registration process.</p>
PRA - 2018-00935	Naumann Jr	Thomas	5/14/2018	<p>My ex wife Meng Xi she is Chinese non US citizen no legal documents. I have a paternity case filed with the court in Pomona. I also want to file perjury charges for lies in the divorce papers. I have no idea where she lives. I think in LA Chinatown or Alhambra area. I am requesting any and all records and any help finding her to be served. Last known phone number is [REDACTED] I know she had a bank of America account. She lived at [REDACTED] when I met her. We were married in ILLINOIS and divorce in Warren county Missouri.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. The Department of Justice is not able to conduct an investigation on the behalf of private individuals.</p>

PRA - 2018-00936	Multhaup	Eric	5/14/2018	All E-mails between any employee of the Santa Clara County District Attorney and Michele Dauber for the period January 1, 2015 to July 1, 2016; All E-mails between the Santa Clara County District Attorney and JANE DOE 1, the complaining witness in People v. Turner, No. B1577162, for the period January 1, 2015 to July 1, 2016 Comments: Due to privacy concerns, I have not stated the true name of JANE DOE 1, but have used the pseudonym used in the court proceedings at the trial and on appeal.		Completed	Julia Y Je	Based on our review of the relevant case, no documents were located in our custody or possession responsive to your requests.
PRA - 2018-00937	Diaz	Gloria	5/14/2018	Court Location: LASC Defendant: Gloria Diaz Case Number: BA461991 Would like copies of all documents regarding this case please. I would like to have contact information regarding the Public Defender who handling my case.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. If "LASC" stands for Los Angeles Superior Court, then you may be able to get the information you are seeking through the Los Angeles Superior Court or the Los Angeles County Public Defender's Office.
PRA - 2018-00953	Pugh or Pew	Nathan	5/14/2018	Mr. Pugh or Pew is seeking Foreign Exchange Student records from his Grandmother from the 1940s.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Public Records left a response on his voice mail that these are unlikely to be DOJ records.
PRA - 2018-01019	Love	Tifinee	5/14/2018	Criminal proceedings regarding state of California vs. Leonard Wilson. In 1988 I WAS the minor victim of Leonard Wilson while residing in Oakland California. He was prosecuted and convicted by the state of California for raping me. I need to have the court records in order to document this incident in my mental health records regarding my disability.		Completed	Michael Dolid	The records of all our case files are organized and maintained electronically in a case management software application called Pro law. A search of Pro law revealed we have multiple records referencing a Leonard Wilson. A review of one of the Leonard Wilson matters contained in Prolaw appeared to be in the time frame and location as stated in your request, however the Pro Law matter contained no documents. As such, we were unable to determine if this was the correct Leonard Wilson. We attempted to order the file from State Records, but due to the case being 30 years old, it has been destroyed. If you have not done so already, you may try contacting the local District Attorney's Office and/or local state courthouse where the offenses were committed. These public agencies may still have the information in their case files that they can provide to you.
PRA - 2018-01070	Galindo	Jessica	5/14/2018	Brian Lee Hazeldine 10/12/2000 F000349209 San Luis Obispo 08/08/2001 F000315316 San Luis Obispo 09/24/2001 M000317425 San Luis Obispo 01/18/2002 M000321787 San Luis Obispo 04/02/2002 M000324542 San Luis Obispo 04/05/2002 F000324756 San Luis Obispo 05/09/2003 M000341482 San Luis Obispo 05/09/2003 M000341577 San Luis Obispo 06/01/2003 M000342984 San Luis Obispo 10/20/2003 F000349209 San Luis Obispo 10/11/2005 M000379193 San Luis Obispo 03/21/2006 F000385584 San Luis Obispo 04/12/2007 M000401299 San Luis Obispo 12/10/2007 M000411547 San Luis Obispo 02/28/2008 M000414345 San Luis Obispo 08/26/2008 M000421746 San Luis Obispo The Texas Department of Family and Protective Services is requesting records in the above listed cases as part of on - going child abuse/neglect investigation.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. If you are seeking records from the District Attorney's Office or the court, you would need to direct your request to their office.
PRA - 201	Greenspon	Michael	5/15/2018	Copy of a letter and any related documents, exhibits or attachments sent on or around January 10, 2011 to Atty General Kamala Harris from "Whistleblowers at OneWest Bank" regarding OneWest's servicing practices.		Completed	Amos Hartston	We respectfully decline your requests. The records you seek are privileged and confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254,

8-00938	(Whistleblowers)							subdivision (f) expressly exempts records of complaints to, or investigations conducted by, the Office of the Attorney General and the Department of Justice from disclosure under the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (f).) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute or the close of an investigation. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal. 4th 337, 355.) Privileged records also are exempt from disclosure. Therefore, we decline your requests.
PRA - 2018-00939	Severin	Herbert	5/15/2018	DigitalBlue Software, LLC is a Texas company conducting research among companies with technology products that are certified to query FBI Criminal Justice Information Service (FBI CJIS) information in certain U.S. states. DigitalBlue requests that the California Justice Information Service provide a list of all vendors/companies and their solutions that are certified to query the California Switch for the purposes of providing FBI CJIS data to end users such as law enforcement. DigitalBlue requests that the list include: * Company name * Product name * Date product was deemed CJIS compliant * Headquarters location * Main contact name * Telephone number Although DigitalBlue would like to receive the requested list inclusive of all of the information requested above, DigitalBlue does not want to overburden the agency or delay the process of completing the task. Therefore, if components of the list requested are not readily available to the agency, DigitalBlue will accept "company name" only.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	After consulting with the appropriate program within the California Department of Justice (DOJ), it has been determined that the California Justice Information Services Division does not certify vendors or solutions. As such, the DOJ has no responsive records for this request. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PRA - 2018-00940	Guzman	Grecia	5/15/2018	Hi my name is Grecia Guzman I am Office Manager at People Who Care (PWC) we are getting ready to submit a grant but need Statistics for Juvenile Arrests only for the city of Pittsburg Ca. We had information sent to us a couple of years ago and was wondering if we are able to get them again. Please feel free to contact me at pwccassociation@att.net thank you.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	On May 15, 2018, Connie Russel provided a sample document that was previously provided to People Who Care by the California Department of Justice (DOJ). The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.
PRA - 2018-00941	Greenspoon (Grunder Memo)	Michael	5/15/2018	Copies of the following documents: 1) January 18, 2013 Memo to Frances Grunder, Sr. Asst. AG., from SF AG's office, Re: OneWest Bank Investigation SF2012105513) and authorization to file action, Executive Summary and please include all referenced TABS (1-16), Exhibits and attachments. 2) All responses to this Memo and all related correspondence and documents.		Completed	Amos Hartston	We respectfully decline your requests. The records you seek are privileged and confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts records of complaints to, or investigations conducted by, the Office of the Attorney General and the Department of Justice from disclosure under the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (f).) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute or the close of an investigation. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal. 4th 337, 355.) Privileged records also are exempt from disclosure. Therefore, we decline your requests.
PRA - 2018-00942	Moon	Kirk	5/15/2018	Copies of all filings, including exhibits, correspondence, comment letters and responses pertaining to the integration of clinical services by Adventist Health and St. Joseph Health under a joint operating company. Please forward the requested information by e-mail (if possible) [REDACTED] or alternatively, to [REDACTED] Thank you for your prompt attention to this request.		Completed	Scott Chan	A notice of the health facility transaction between Adventist Health and St. Joseph Health has not yet been received. If you would like a copy of the notice on CD, if and when, the notice is submitted please contact the undersigned. To the extent your request seeks records that are subject to the below exemption, we must decline your request: Some of the records that you seek are confidential complaints to and investigatory records of the Office of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts complaints to and records of investigation of the Office of the Attorney General from disclosure if the investigation is "undertaken for the purpose of determining

							<p>whether a violation of law may occur or has occurred" (Haynie v. Superior Court (2001) 26 Cal.4th 1061). Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 355). To the extent that the records you seek fall into this category, we deny your request for any such documents.</p> <p>Some of the records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 2018.030, the attorney work-product privilege. The attorney work-product exemption absolutely exempts from disclosure any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that are maintained as confidential. It also is incorporated into the Public Records Act by Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k). (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>Under the attorney work-product exemption, records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office would be subject to this exemption, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>Some of the records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.)</p> <p>The attorneys in our department provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys concerning these records are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>Some of the records are additionally exempt from disclosure pursuant to the deliberative process privilege. The deliberative process privilege is applied to the Public Records Act through Government Code section 6255. It exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.)</p> <p>Records such as analyses, draft language, and memoranda concerning a particular complaint and/or investigation, communication, document, comment, or letter would be covered by the deliberative process privilege and, therefore, absent special circumstances would be exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6255.</p> <p>Some of the records are additionally exempt from disclosure under the official information privilege of sections 1040 and 1041 of the Evidence Code. Evidence Code sections 1040 and 1041 are incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption through Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k). Any information and documents acquired in confidence during the course of an Attorney General</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--



							<p>investigation and are not normally made available to the general public are privileged where there is necessity for preserving the confidentiality of the information and documents.</p> <p>We note that your information request is directed to the Department of Justice but may seek records from other departments. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p>
PRA - 2018-00943	Fahey	G.	5/15/2018	2017 List of all In-State Water Bottling Plant Licenses with each respective Firm Name, Facility Address, City, Zip Code, Operator, and Phone Number as provided and required upon issuance by the California Department of Public Health, Food and Drug Branch (FDB), pursuant to California Health and Safety Code (H&SC) Section 111120. I have no objection to the requested record being returned by email to [REDACTED]	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records issued by the California Department of Public Health, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to submit a records request to their office can be found on their website at <a href="https://cdph.mycusthelp.com/WEBAPP/_rs/%28S%28c0dxnq1blr41lvabw0tqmko4%29%29/supporthome.aspx">https://cdph.mycusthelp.com/WEBAPP/_rs/%28S%28c0dxnq1blr41lvabw0tqmko4%29%29/supporthome.aspx</a>.</p>
PRA - 2018-00945	Williams	Noel	5/15/2018	How do I get my personal information from beeping viewed my the public whether is online or not? Who do I call and/email?	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking online records, you may wish to direct your request to the webmaster of the sites that your personal information appears on to request that it be removed.</p>
PRA - 2018-00946	Prien	Laura	5/15/2018	I am requesting any court cases, small or large for Vickie and Ven Barrios. Business named/ called Consignz in Vacaville, ca 95687. I am looking for the store or store owner's records. I am frustrated with trying to get my belongings back from their consignment store. She has told me that she has won in small claims and she will do it again. So this prompted me to see how many judgments she has had against and for her. I was not even interested in anything of this nature but she brought it up. Since she has my property and will not let go I need to know if Vickie Barrios or Ven Barrios have been taken to court and their store name Consignz. Are there a few cases or just one?	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with a small claims court, you may wish to direct your request to their office.</p>
PRA - 2018-00947	Johnson	Denise	5/15/2018	Document description: Marriage License for Bruce Allen Johnson and Denise Kay Polk Married [REDACTED] Needed for CA DMV to prove name change for Denise Kay Polk to Denise Kay Johnson	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet</p>

									your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2CFetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2CFetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA - 2018-00948	Bott (NBCuni)	Michael (Firearms Ballistics)	5/15/2018	We are seeking copies of the following records, which we understand to be held by your agency: - Copies of any audits/reports/memos concerning any/all of DOJ's crime labs from 5/1/2013 to present - Any/all records indicating the turnaround time on firearms ballistics testing at each/all DOJ crime labs for the following years: 2015, 2016 and 2017 - Any/all records indicating the number of firearms submitted to each/all DOJ crime lab for ballistics testing, which law enforcement agency made the submission, and how long those tests took to conduct for the following years: 2015, 2016 and 2017 - Any/all records indicating the number of entries made into the National Integrated Ballistic Information Network (NIBIN) at each DOJ crime lab for the following years: 2015, 2016 and 2017 - Any/all records, including reports, audits, or memos, concerning ballistic testing "backlogs" at any DOJ crime lab for the following years: 2015, 2016 and 2017 - Any/all emails sent to or from any member of the DOJ/AG press office/communications team or to/from any employee of a DOJ crime lab containing any of the following search terms between 3/15/2018 to Present: "NIBIN", "National Integrated Ballistic Information Network", "Bott", "NBC", "KNTV"		Rolling Production	Shannon Patterson	The DOJ has determined that there are records responsive to items 1 through 5 of your records request and that also are disclosable, in whole or in part. (Gov. Code§ 6253, subd. (c).) The DOJ is producing disclosable parts (see attached pdf) of the already located records; additional response included below. The DOJ will supplement the production of item 6 once "emails" become available (Gov. Code§ 6253, subd. (b); see also Crews v. Willow Unified School District (2013) 217 Cal.App.4th 1368, 1373, 1375 [mentioning "rolling production" of documents in response to Public Records Act request].) 1. Copies of any audits/reports/memos concerning any/all of DOJ's crime labs from 5/1/2013 to present Attached are the Accreditation and Scope of work for each of the Crime Laboratories in the California Department of Justice, Bureau of Forensic Services. 2. Any/all records indicating the turnaround time on firearms ballistics testing at each/all DOJ crime labs for the following years: 2015, 2016 and 2017 2015: 88 days 2016: 105 days 2017: 73 days See attached reports titled Average turn around for firearms for the period 3. Any/all records indicating the number of firearms submitted to each/all DOJ crime lab for ballistics testing. Which law enforcement agency made the submission, and how long those tests took to conduct for the following years: 2015, 2016 and 2017 2015: 978 2016: 851 2017: 846 See attached reports titled Site Specific for Bureau Note: These figures represent the number of firearms cases submitted to DOJ for testing. Each case may include more than one firearm for testing. Note: Automated Firearms is listed on the services on the site specific for Bureau reports, because there were no entries the automated firearms category does not appear on the report. 4. Any/all records indicating the number of entries made into the National Integrated Ballistic Information Network (NIBIN) at each DOJ crime lab for the following years: 2015, 2016 and 2017 2015:0 2016:0 2017:0 In June of 2011 The California Department of Justice, Bureau of Forensic Services received notification from the U.S. Department of Justice Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives of their intention to remove the ATP National Integrated Ballistic Information Network NIBIN equipment. ATP was no longer able to afford to maintain the funding for the Bureau of Forensic Services sites. The ballistic imaging equipment was removed from all of the BPS sites. 5. Any/all records, including reports, audits, or memos, concerning ballistic testing "backlogs" at any DOJ crime lab for the following years: 2015, 2016 and 2017. 2015:214	



								2016: 196 2017:286 Backlogs - are cases received by DOJ - BPS that have not been completed within one month. The primary funding source for the Bureau of Forensic Services is based on fees from criminal penalties which have significantly declined in the past few years and are no longer sufficient to support the Bureau's operations. See attached reports titled Site Specific for Bureau 6. Any/all emails sent to or from any member of the DOJ/AG press office communications team or to/from any employee of a DOJ crime lab containing any of the following search terms between 3/15/2018 to Present: "NIBIN", "National Integrated Ballistic Information Network", "Bott", "NBC", "KNTV" Per our June 11, 2018 electronic communication regarding your request, we've made you aware an email search is being conducted for the key terms listed in item 6. The DOJ will produce this "rolling production" of disclosable documents as we locate them. August 3, 2018 response by Nancy Doig: This letter is in further response to your online request to the Attorney General's office dated January 19, 2018, in which you sought various records pursuant to the California Public Records Act (PRA), as set forth in California Government Code section 6250 et seq. The .pdf documents transmitted electronically with this letter constitute our office's fifth production of documents. In providing the records requested, we have redacted information about an author's personal life based on considerations of personal privacy. (Cal. Const., art. I, § 1, as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255, subd. (a).) We have also redacted information that could be used to access and utilize the office's telephonic conference lines, because the public harm that could arise from the misuse of that information if it were to be made readily available to anyone who seeks it far outweighs the public benefit of disclosing that information. (See Gov. Code, § 6255, subd. (a).) We are working diligently to provide you with our next production. Given the scope of your request and the volume of records that must be reviewed, we anticipate sending out the next production on or around September 5, 2018. We appreciate your patience in this matter.
PRA - 2018-00979	Israelitt	Megan	5/15/2018	A true and accurate copy of any Information Bulletins from the California Department of Justice from May 2017 to present.	Michael & Associates	Completed	Robert Wilson	Please find enclosed the information we have that is responsive to your request.
PRA - 2018-01010	Venegas Palacios	Leticia	5/15/2018	All records related to Leticia Venegas Palacios, DOB: [REDACTED], Case number C-82520 filed on 11/27/1990 with the Orange County Superior Court of California, West Justice Center. Felony complaint was filed on November 27, 1990.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are

							<p>in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, since you are seeking records filed with Orange County Superior Court, you may wish to direct your request to their office. If this is a criminal case that was prosecuted by a local District Attorney's Office, you may wish to direct your request to the District Attorney's office.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA - 2018-01011	Quach	Hoa	5/15/2018	<p>This is a PRA request for the "Death in Custody Reporting Form" for James Leonard Acuna, an inmate who was found dead on April 24, 2017 at Richard J. Donovan Correctional Facility. The "Death in Custody Reporting Form," Form BCIA 713 is a public record pursuant to this section: Government Code section 12525: In any case in which a person dies while in the custody of any law enforcement agency or while in custody in a local or state correctional facility in this state, the law enforcement agency or the agency in charge of the correctional facility shall report in writing to the Attorney General, within 10 days after the death, all facts in the possession of the law enforcement agency or agency in charge of the correctional facility concerning the death. These writings are public records within the meaning of subdivision (d) of Section 6252 of the California Public Records Act (Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 6250) of Division 7 of Title 1), are open to public inspection pursuant to Sections 6253, 6256, 6257, and 6258. Nothing in this section shall permit the disclosure of confidential medical information that may have been submitted to the Attorney General's office in conjunction with the report except as provided in Part 2.6 (commencing with Section 56) of Division 1 of the Civil Code.</p>	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p> <p>The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.</p>
PRA - 2018-01022	Casas	Shelly	5/15/2018	<p>I have a school age child and am upset by all the school shootings. I have proposed legislative action with CA State Senator Richard Roth as schools do not have common minimum safety standards statewide and vary dramatically from school district to school district. I am attempting to provide accurate detailed information on actual school shootings, as measured in CA law enforcement standards and get reliable statistics and data as it relates to CA schools. I am not sure which agency in CA is tasked with collecting that data. Thus far, I have only located unreliable information on the internet in places like Wiki. Could you please advise me of the best way to get this information. (The unverified information indicates approximately 18 school shootings, with 33 deaths, and 48 injured- including: Colleges, High Schools, Middle Schools, and Elementary Schools since 2010) I am certain that this number does not reflect the actual number of incidents of school shootings and is lacking and unfortunately the numbers are extremely higher.</p>	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>After consulting with various programs within the California Department of Justice (DOJ), the California Justice Information Services Division has determined that it has no responsive records for this request as we do not collect this data. You may want to check with local law enforcement agencies.</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>
PRA - 2018-01094	Parisi	Irene	5/15/2018	<p>My brother Patrick F. Duarte B-29204 is requesting records maintained by the Department of Justice. They are available under the Public Records Act, which is contained in Government Code Sections 6250 through 6260.</p> <p>He would like the complete case file and all information regarding People vs. Patrick Fuentes Duarte criminal No. D-351585, P.C. 187 Murder First Degree &amp; P.C. 211 Robbery First Degree, Los Angeles County. Date of arrest 02/02/1970. His records would be stored under the Code of Civil Procedure, section S269 for paper copies, because of the date of arrest and possibly updated to Code of Civil Procedure, Section S271, computer readable format. In both an original transcript shall be on paper. His birth date is 07/02/1949. He would like the below, but not limited to the following and any additional records you may have in storage.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Police reports</li> <li>2. Arraignment charge, municipal courthouse</li> <li>3. Arraignment charge, superior courthouse</li> <li>4. Rulings and correspondence from/to the courts</li> </ol>	Completed	Rosalinda Rosas	<p>Please be advised that our office does not have any responsive records in People v. Patrick Fuentes Duarte.</p> <p>6-1-18 response to follow up question: You can try Los Angeles County Superior Court – Archives and Records Center at <a href="http://www.lacourt.org/generalinfo/Archives/GI_AR001.aspx">http://www.lacourt.org/generalinfo/Archives/GI_AR001.aspx</a></p>

				5. Clerks transcripts, municipal court/superior court 6. Reporters transcripts, municipal court/superior court 7. Briefs 8. Judge memorandums and notes 9. Deputy district attorney memorandums and notes 10. Public defender memorandums and notes 11. Hired attorneys memorandums and notes (there were two) Follow up request 05.30.18: Where can I find these records?			
PRA - 2018-00949	Wherley	Cody	5/16/2018	Requesting any information I can get on Shara Watts. I believe her name was Shara Bakke when she was in California. She is on the Registered Sex Offender list in Montana for something that happened in California prior to 1997. The register says she was convicted of a lewd act in California. Currently she is a primary after school caregiver for my 8 year old daughter. My ex wife often uses her as a babysitter, often involving spending the night. I can get nowhere with her. After seeing Shara Watts was a registered sex offender, I am concerned for her safety. Any information would be greatly appreciated. Thank you	Completed	Michael Dolid	While most of the information provided by registering sex offenders during the registration process is confidential (i.e., not open to public inspection), California Penal Code (PC) section 290.46, provides the public limited access to specified information through the Megan's Law website. Any requests submitted for additional information not displayed on the Internet site must be denied as confidential. If you desire to know more information about a specific sex offender and can independently identify the county in which she was prosecuted for an offense, you may be able to obtain that information by requesting it directly from the court of record. These public agencies may have additional information in case files or court files that can be disseminated to members of the public. Please be advised, if you believe any subject may be engaged in illegal or inappropriate activity, we recommend you contact local law enforcement immediately to report this matter.
PRA - 2018-00950	Amit	Jonathan	5/16/2018	I am seeking my records regarding an incident where i was held past 18 at a Los Angeles treatment facility, I don't know what was used to keep me there past 18 and want to find out and have a copy of this.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to a treatment facility, you may wish to direct your request to that facility directly.
PRA - 2018-00951	Valdez	Georgina	5/16/2018	Copy of Marriage license for Janis Diane Jordan date of birth [REDACTED]. Need a copy of Janis Diane Jordan's marriage license.	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA - 2018-00952	McBride	Roscoe	5/16/2018	I am trying to find my nephew, Curt Hansen, whose last known address was on Hyde Street in San Francisco. He is mentally challenged and may be a ward of the state or receiving financial aid from the state. I need to find him to let him know if the death of his mother per her request in her will.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)

							Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.
PRA - 2018-00955	Ferguson	Aryn	5/16/2018	Defendant: Melissa Miller Solano County Case #141051 April 29, 2014 I would like to obtain the public record pertaining to the case above.		Completed	Erin Nickless We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.
PRA - 2018-00956	Powell	Richard	5/16/2018	Marriage License for ceremony performed on [REDACTED] at Palos Verdes Estates, California. This was the marriage between Richard S. Powell and Florence M. Walt.		Completed	Erin Nickless To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA - 2018-00957	Hewlett (FOIA tracking numbers)	Iris	5/16/2018	Perhaps then you can release acknowledge letters with the FIOA tracking #. I cannot locate such records.		Completed	Erin Nickless It is unclear what you mean by "acknowledge letters." In regard to your reference to FOIA tracking numbers, our office tracks requests for Public Records by name and date of receipt. Therefore, we do not have records responsive to your above request. Our office generally does not send letters acknowledging receipt of PRAs in addition to our formal responses to the request. At this time, my records do show two matters pending under your name. The first request I show pending was received on May 16, 2018, and you are requesting: "I seek records relating to the State of California in full compliance per The Clinical Laboratory Improvement "CLIA " high complex proficiency licensures requirements assuring data, diagnosis and treatments are accurate and reliable by the time the Right to Die Bill was enacted in 2015." The second request I show pending is your May 18, 2018, appeal to our May 18, 2018 response to the following request: "Per California Gov. Cide SEC. 6250 et seq., I seek records in the possession of California State Attorney Office supporting California settlements against Lab Corp and Quest Laboratories also involved violations per the Clinical Laboratory Improvement act CLIA." Someone from our office should be in touch with you soon about the two pending matters listed earlier in this paragraph.
PRA - 2018-00958	Hewlett (acknowledgement, Quest Diagnostic)	Iris	5/16/2018	Yes, I do have acknowledge letters! However where are the various responses while the Quest Diagnostic request is still being processed?		Completed	Erin Nickless It is unclear what you mean by "acknowledge letters." In regard to your reference to FOIA tracking numbers, our office tracks requests for Public Records by name and date of receipt. Therefore, we do not have records responsive to your above request. Our office generally does not send letters acknowledging receipt of PRAs in addition to our formal responses to the request. At this time, my records do show two matters pending under your name. The first request I show pending was received on

								May 16, 2018, and you are requesting: "I seek records relating to the State of California in full compliance per The Clinical Laboratory Improvement "CLIA " high complex proficiency licensures requirements assuring data, diagnosis and treatments are accurate and reliable by the time the Right to Die Bill was enacted in 2015." The second request I show pending is your May 18, 2018, appeal to our May 18, 2018 response to the following request: "Per California Gov. Cide SEC. 6250 et seq., I seek records in the possession of California State Attorney Office supporting California settlements against Lab Corp and Quest Laboratories also involved violations per the Clinical Laboratory Improvement act CLIA." Someone from our office should be in touch with you soon about the two pending matters listed earlier in this paragraph.
PRA - 2018-00976	Thompson	Richard	5/16/2018	Files from the Department of Public Health. Complaint number is CA00538869. The date was June 9, 2017.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Responded via telephone. The requester has already been in contact and will work with DPH to get the appropriate records, as these are not in DOJ's possession.
PRA - 2018-01043	Hewlett	Iris	5/16/2018	Per Government. Code sec. 6250 et seq., I seek records relating to the State of California in full compliance per The Clinical Laboratory Improvement "CLIA " high complex proficiency licensures requirements assuring data, diagnosis and treatments are accurate and reliable by the time the Right to Die Bill was enacted in 2015.		Completed	Cheryl L. Feiner	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California Department of Justice. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records from the California Department of Public Health, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to submit a records request to their office can be found on their website at: <a href="http://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/OSPHLD/LFS/!Pages/CLIA.aspx">www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/OSPHLD/LFS/!Pages/CLIA.aspx</a>
PRA - 2018-00959	Emery	Shailey	5/17/2018	Any public records available to see		Completed	Erin Nickless	We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows: -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. If you can provide more information regarding the records you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control.

PRA - 2018-00960	Fahey	G.	5/17/2018	<p>A list of the Private Water Source Operator Licenses that shall expire 6/30/18 as issued by the California Department of Public Health, Food and Drug Branch, pursuant to the California Health and Safety Code Section 111120; including the Name of Firm, Facility Address, City, State and Zip Code, and Operators Name, Address and Phone Number.</p> <p>I have no objection to the records being requested sent to me via email, [REDACTED], or U.S. Mail.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records issued by the California Department of Public Health, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to submit a records request to their office can be found on their website at <a href="https://cdph.mycusthelp.com/WEBAPP/_rs/%28S%28c0dxnq1blr41lvabw0tqmko4%29%29/supporthome.aspx">https://cdph.mycusthelp.com/WEBAPP/_rs/%28S%28c0dxnq1blr41lvabw0tqmko4%29%29/supporthome.aspx</a>.</p>
PRA - 2018-00961	Sims (DUI)	Betty	5/17/2018	<p>Name: Betty L. Sims  Date: June 29, 2015  Trail Case No: C-352224  Trail Dates: February (17,18,19). 2017  Case Description: Driving Under The Influence  Doc's Requesting: Transcripts/Records, Video &amp; Jurisdiction Doc's.  Court Location: East County Courthouse Of Superior Court El Cajon, CA 92020</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have searched our legal indices and were unable to locate records based on the description provided. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. If you are seeking court transcripts and case files, you may wish to direct your request to the court. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA - 2018-00962	Sims (Appellate Case)	Betty	5/17/2018	<p>Name: The People Of The State Of California Vs Betty L. Sims  Date: 01/01/2016 Thru 09/29/2017  Appellate Case No: # Ca-265880  Doc's Description: Electronic Recording, Oral Argument Attorney Tom Lavaut, Da Oral Argument /Disposition William Lafond Jurisdiction Met, Evidences Submitted, Transcripts.  Trail Case No: Ca-265880</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have searched our legal indices and were unable to locate records based on the description provided. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. If you are seeking court transcripts and case files, you may wish to direct your request to the court. Since you mention the oral argument of a district attorney, you may wish to contact the District Attorney's office. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the</p>

							Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA - 2018-00963	Sims (Mutiple Case Info)	Betty	5/17/2018	<p>Case No.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. 37-2012-00020346 CV-SC-CTL-05/24/2012</li> <li>2. 37-2012-000-20347- SC-SC-CTL-05/24/2012</li> <li>3. 37-2016-000-25981-CU-BC-CTL-07/29/2016</li> <li>4. 37-2016-00338994-SC-SC-CTL-09/02/2016</li> <li>5. 37-2017-0000-6272-CU-WM-CTL-02/21/2017</li> <li>6. 37-2016-00340011-SC-SC-CTL-10/14/2016</li> <li>7. 17-CV-00294-JAH-NLS-02/14/2017 Federal Court</li> </ol> <p>Requesting: Status Of Case &amp; Initial Complaint And Ending Results. No. 1-6 Are In Superior Court Civil &amp; Court Of Appeal. No. 7 Is In Federal Court Of Appeal Appealing Social Security Administration Decision.</p>	Completed	Angel a Tamb er	<p>Our office contacted you on June 26, 2018 in response to your Public Records Request which we received on May 17, 2018. There was some information missing from your request, therefore, we are unable to process it until we receive this information. As our previous email indicated, the seven cases you listed on your request, attached here, omit the courts in which these cases are located. Please provide us with this information so we can proceed with your request. In addition, could you also clarify in which U.S. Federal Court of Appeal the seventh case listed in your request was filed. We will proceed with your request upon receipt of this information.</p>
PRA - 2018-00966	Kim	Howard	5/17/2018	<p>Pursuant to the California Public Records Act, I am requesting that the State of California, including your office provide me with copies of the following documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) All documents in your possession regarding World Christian Theological University, a California non-profit religious corporation;</li> <li>(2) All communications with World Christian Theological University;</li> <li>(3) Any documents regarding World Christian Theological University's standing with Bureau of Private and Post-secondary Education;</li> <li>(4) All documents in your possession regarding WCTU, Inc., a California non-profit religious corporation;</li> <li>(5) All communications with WCTU, Inc.;</li> <li>(6) Any documents regarding WCTU, Inc.'s standing with Bureau of Private and Post-secondary Education.</li> </ol> <p>Please provide me with copies of the foregoing documents within 10 days of the date of this request.</p> <p>On or about July 16, 1999, World Christian Theological University was incorporated by an individual named Moses Joonsuk Lee ("Moses"). Shortly after being incorporated, World Christian Theological University registered with Bureau of Private and Post-secondary Education and obtained an exemption verification. In 2007, World Christian Theological University obtained Student and Exchange Visitor Program ("SEVP") certification through Immigration and Custom Enforcement of Department of Homeland Security. In 2012, an individual named Yong Pyo Hong ("Hong") obtained a judgment against World Christian Theological University and Moses in the approximate amount of 1.2 million dollars and \$120,000, respectively. After Hong obtained the judgment, Moses transferred all assets to an entity named "WCTU, Inc." An individual named Chuck Yoo aka Kenny Yoo ("Kenny") operates WCTU, Inc. World Christian Theological University becomes suspended. However, WCTU, Inc. uses World Christian Theological University SEVP certification to issue F-1 visas which is illegal. This has been going on since 2013.</p>	Completed	Carter Ott	<p>Some of the records that you requested are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product exception, and statutory exemptions covering confidential law enforcement records. (Gov. Code § 6254(f) and (k)). With the exception of records that fall into any of these categories, attached are records responsive to your request.</p> <p>We note that your public records request is directed to the Office of the Attorney General, but appears to seek records from other state agencies (in particular, the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education). Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Office of the Attorney General responds solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of another agency. If you wish to receive records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p>
PRA - 2018-01004	Cook Jr.	Maurice	5/17/2018	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Copies of all orders(except minute orde.rs),Judgments(except abstract of judgments), and decrees issued in or deriving from court case no. CRF-2002-2141-3 thats maintained in the register of actions per Government Code§§69844-69845</li> <li>2. Copies of all Writ(s) of Garnishment issued in any action in regards to court case no. CRF-2002-2141-3</li> <li>3. Copies of all Writ(s) of Sequestration issued in any action in regards to court case no. CRF-2002-2141-3</li> </ol>	Completed	Michael Dolid a	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Copies of all orders (except minute orde.rs), Judgments (except abstract of judgments), and decrees issued in or deriving from court case no. CRF-2002-2141-3 that is maintained in the register of actions per Government Code§§69844-69845 No responsive documents.</li> <li>2. Copies of all Writ(s) of Garnishment issued in any action in</li> </ol>

				<p>4. Copies of all writ(s) of Execution issued in any action in regards to court case no. CRF-2002-2141-3</p> <p>5. Copies of all other Writ(s), otherwise not herein named, issued in any action in regards to court case no. CRF-2002-2141-3</p> <p>6. Copies of all Notice(s) of administrative offset, Notice(s) of Public Sale, Notice(s) of delinquency, Notice(s) of default, Notice(s) of Payment, and Notice(s) of Enforcement of judgments issued in any action in regards to court case no. CRF-2002-2141-3</p> <p>7. Copies of all Application(s) granting enforcement of judgments remedies issued in any action in regards to court case no. CRF-2002-2141-3</p>			<p>regards to court case no. CRF-2002-2141-3</p> <p>No responsive documents.</p> <p>3. Copies of all Writ(s) of Sequestration issued in any action in regards to court case no. CRF-2002-2141-3</p> <p>No responsive documents.</p> <p>4. Copies of all writ(s) of Execution issued in any action in regards to court case no. CRF-2002-2141-3</p> <p>No responsive documents.</p> <p>5. Copies of all other Writ(s), otherwise not herein named, issued in any action in regards to court case no. CRF-2002-2141-3</p> <p>No responsive documents.</p> <p>6. Copies of all Notice(s) of administrative offset, Notice(s) of Public Sale, Notice(s) of delinquency, Notice(s) of default, Notice(s) of Payment, and Notice(s) of Enforcement of judgments issued in any action in regards to court case no. CRF-2002-2141-3</p> <p>No responsive documents.</p> <p>7. Copies of all Application(s) granting enforcement of judgments remedies issued in any action in regards to court case no. CRF-2002-2141-3</p> <p>No responsive documents.</p> <p>If you have not done so already, you may try contacting the local District Attorney's Office and/or Yolo County Superior court where the offense(s) were committed. These public agencies may still have the information in their case files that they can provide to you.</p>
PRA - 201 8- 010 44	Smith	Chris	5/17/ 2018	<p>Original request, received May 17, 2018: Open Records Requests Referencing OCLC: I am requesting copies of all records requests submitted to the Ohio Attorney General's office – pursuant to Ohio Public Records Law – which make (direct or in-direct) reference to the “Online Computer Library Center”, “OCLC”, or “Julie Presas”, between January 1, 2010 and April 25, 2018.</p> <p>Email Correspondence with OCLC Representatives: I am requesting copies of all incoming-and-outgoing email correspondence between staff of the Attorney General's Antitrust section, Consumer Protection section, Education section, and the following email accounts (listed below) from January 1, 2010 to April 25, 2018 (or as available):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (2001-Present) – presasj@oclc.org</li> <li>• (2010-Present) – lew.clark@squirepb.com</li> <li>• (2010-Present) – annette.demmel@squirepb.com</li> <li>• (2010-Present) – cwatchorn@ulmer.com</li> <li>• (2010-Present) – huu.nguyen@squirepb.com</li> <li>• (2010-Present) – traci.martinez@squirepb.com</li> <li>• (2010-Present) – mglong@vssp.com</li> <li>• (2010-Present) – bdowney@fbtlaw.com</li> <li>• (2010-Present) – mtrue@ustrademarklawyer.com</li> <li>• (2010-Present) – bwisoff@fbm.com</li> <li>• (2010-Present) – jawilson@vorys.com</li> <li>• (2010-Present) – mcbrewer@vorys.com</li> <li>• (2010-Present) – drmatthews@vssp.com</li> <li>• (2010-Present) – jawilson@vorys.com</li> <li>• (2010-Present) – kwherlihy@vorys.com</li> <li>• (2010-Present) – mglong@vorys.com</li> </ul> <p>Corrected request, received May 29, 2018: California Attorney General's office – pursuant to California Public Records Act – which make (direct or indirect) reference to the “Online Computer Library Center”, “OCLC”, or “Julie Presas”, between January 1, 2010 and April 25, 2018.</p> <p>Email Correspondence with OCLC Representatives: I am requesting copies of all incoming-and-outgoing email correspondence between staff of the Attorney General's Antitrust section, Consumer Protection section, education section, and the following email accounts (listed below) from January 1, 2010 to April 25, 2018 (or as available):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (2001-Present) – presasj@oclc.org</li> </ul>	Complete	Nancy Doig	<p>On May 29, 2018, an extension letter was sent.</p> <p>As to your first request, we reviewed our available records of Public Records Act requests received by this office. The only responsive records we found were the letter you sent on May 17, 2018, and your May 29, 2018, letter, which superseded the May 17, 2018, letter.</p> <p>As to your second request, to the extent it seeks records that might have been part of an investigation conducted by this office, those records would be exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. (§ 6254, subd. (t).) To the extent this request seeks other types of records, we have conducted a search of the department's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records.</p>



				<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• (2010-Present) – <a href="mailto:lew.clark@squirepb.com">lew.clark@squirepb.com</a></li><li>• (2010-Present) – <a href="mailto:annette.demmel@squirepb.com">annette.demmel@squirepb.com</a></li><li>• (2010-Present) – <a href="mailto:cwatchorn@ulmer.com">cwatchorn@ulmer.com</a></li><li>• (2010-Present) – <a href="mailto:huu.nguyen@squirepb.com">huu.nguyen@squirepb.com</a></li><li>• (2010-Present) – <a href="mailto:traci.martinez@squirepb.com">traci.martinez@squirepb.com</a></li><li>• (2010-Present) – <a href="mailto:mglong@vssp.com">mglong@vssp.com</a></li><li>• (2010-Present) – <a href="mailto:bdowney@fbtlaw.com">bdowney@fbtlaw.com</a></li><li>• (2010-Present) – <a href="mailto:mtrue@ustrademarklawyer.com">mtrue@ustrademarklawyer.com</a></li><li>• (2010-Present) – <a href="mailto:bwisoff@fbm.com">bwisoff@fbm.com</a></li><li>• (2010-Present) – <a href="mailto:jawilson@vorys.com">jawilson@vorys.com</a></li><li>• (2010-Present) – <a href="mailto:mcbrewer@vorys.com">mcbrewer@vorys.com</a></li><li>• (2010-Present) – <a href="mailto:drmatthews@vssp.com">drmatthews@vssp.com</a></li><li>• (2010-Present) – <a href="mailto:jawilson@vorys.com">jawilson@vorys.com</a></li><li>• (2010-Present) – <a href="mailto:kwherlihy@vorys.com">kwherlihy@vorys.com</a></li><li>• (2010-Present) – <a href="mailto:mglong@vorys.com">mglong@vorys.com</a></li></ul>				
PRA - 2018-00967	Youngblood	Brittney	5/18/2018	I'm requesting any and everything stated or obtain concerning my public record I've be I am currently a victim and also a abandoned spouse of a purple heart veteran I went to seek help from the DOJ not once but twice and they pull my background with out my acknowledgment and I'm was also refer to come down well the second time a man told me due to a statement I was profile and I've been sta k harassment from financial depriving from my money and also jobless and tickets in my name	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You may be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .	
PRA - 2018-00968	Dattage	Monica	5/18/2018	Any records, including but not limited to search warrants and evidence logs, pertaining to the search and seizure of evidence at the residence of Jeffrey Scott Kirschenmann in Bakersfield California. Also any records pertaining to charges filed against Jeffrey Scott Kirschenmann. I believe that search happened during the month of April 2018.	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)	

							<p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>. Access to the telecommunications system utilized by law enforcement agencies, including the DOJ, is restricted by law (Gov. Code § 15153). Access to records relating to California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS) inquiries is similarly restricted. Confidentiality provisions I ke these are expressly incorporated into the PRA. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k).) As such, your request for records is denied. As warrant information falls under records relating to CLETS inquiries, we must deny your request.</p>
PRA - 2018-00969	Illouz-Thompson	Carole	5/18/2018	<p>I'm requested the record of Paul Braund, who is known to be a Campbell's resident but in fact left the US about 9 to 10 years ago and never came back. He is a British National of 60 years old as far as I know. His last known address in the US is [REDACTED]. I'm concern that Paul Braund with whom we are in a business relationship doesn't represent himself accurately. We never saw him. In 10 years he never managed to come back in the US. I'm interested to know if they are any particular reasons for this. Thank you for your help</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>

PRA - 201 8- 009 70	Lousen	John	5/18/ 2018	Information on Kyle Jay Galan of Lathrop Ca 26 years old I am gathering information on Kyle and his boyfriend William of 12 years due to when they stayed with me for 6 months they had gone through every thing in my house and stole whatever items they wanted. They accessed my computer copied all financial records using this information tried opening up accounts in my name. When they moved out I helped them by renting a uhaul van with my credit card loaded up all of their stuff and a lot of my personal items while I was at work and took off with the van and have not returned it. They stole the van and are still using it 35 days later. uhaul is now wanting me to pay for the loss of the van. They were living in their car with 2 dogs when I said they could stay here not pay rent for the first 2 months then they have to pay or leave. They owe me for 4 months rent, utilities, food, items they stole which is over 15,000.00 some of it they pawned. They used my residence to get credit cards by fraudulent identity theft, made up checks and cashed them using the information they stole from the person, opened up accounts in other peoples name with out their knowledge or consent.		Com plete d	Erin Nickles	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You may be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA - 201 8- 009 71	Mills	Thomas	5/18/ 2018	Any public records relating to David Richard Fett, M.D. (License #37237). This would involve documents related to Court of Appeal Case # 8262469, Medial Board Case No. 17-2012-223253 and any earlier investigations/cases especially where Bernice Z Brown, M.D. served as medical reviewer or expert. Any public documents related to Dr. Fett's medical problems that allowed him to surrender his physician's license would be helpful. Dr. Fett apparently died on [REDACTED] (State death certificate Reference Number: 3052017163045). The volume of records may be massive. Please contact me if there is any cost associated with copying or providing the records on electronic file.		Com plete d	Gloria Castro, SAAG	Some of the records that you seek are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory an.cf security files of the Attorney General. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).]) The attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., section 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, section 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. Some of the records that you are seeking are exempt from

							<p>disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254., subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.) In the present case, the attorneys in our department provide legal advice to the Medical Board of California and to the Department of Consumer Affairs, Health Quality Investigation Unit (HQUI). Accordingly, all communications between the the department's attorneys and the Medical Board or HQUI concerning Dr. Fett are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>Additionally, information that was "acquired in confidence" by the Attorney General's Office related to Dr. Fett, and not open, or officially disclosed, to the public, remains confidential pursuant to Evidence Code section 1040, and is thus exempt from disclosure pursuant to Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k).</p> <p>We have made diligent inquiries with knowledgeable personnel and searched electronic records. We have attached responsive documents as follows:</p> <p>Medical Board Case Number 17-2012-223253</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Accusation filed February 5, 2014</li> <li>2. Notice of Defense and Request for Discovery received February 11, 2014</li> <li>3. First Amended Accusation filed October 28, 2015</li> <li>4. Notice of Defense received November 12, 2015</li> <li>5. Office of Administrative Hearings Trial Setting Order dated February 17, 2016</li> <li>6. Notice of Hearing dated February 17, 2016</li> <li>7. Petition for Order for Depositions filed February 24, 2016 [redacted]</li> <li>8. Opposition to Petition for Order for Depositions filed February 24, 2016</li> <li>9. Reply in Support of Petition for Order for Depositions dated March 1, 2016</li> <li>10. Office of Administrative Hearings Notice of Continued Hearing dated March 21, 2016</li> <li>11. Request for Continuance dated March 23, 2016</li> <li>12. Order Denying Request for Depositions dated March 29, 2016</li> <li>13. Order Granting Continuance dated March 1, 2016</li> <li>14. Request for Continuance dated June 1, 2016</li> <li>15. Order Granting Continuance dated June 10, 2016</li> <li>16. Request for Continuance dated October 19, 2016</li> <li>17. Order Granting Continuance dated October 25, 2016</li> <li>18. Notice of Hearing dated October 26, 2016</li> <li>19. Order Granting Continuance dated December 2, 2016</li> <li>20. Off Calendar Request dated January 23, 2017</li> <li>21. Decision and Order dated February 13, 2017</li> </ol> <p>Los Angeles Superior Court Case Number BS145657</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Petition for Motion to Quash Subpoena for Records, Patient S.G., dated June 25, 2013</li> <li>2. Petition for Motion to Quash Subpoena for Records, Patient J.G., dated June 25, 2013</li> <li>3. Petition for Motion to Quash Subpoena for Records, Patient B.P., dated June 25, 2013</li> <li>4. Notice of Ruling Relating Cases dated August 23, 2013</li> <li>5. Petition for Order to Show Cause and for Order to Compel</li> </ol>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

							<p>Compliance with Medical Board Investigative Subpoena Duces Tecum dated October 4, 2013</p> <p>6. Order to Show Cause dated October 24, 2013</p> <p>7. Opposition to Motion to Quash Subpoena for Records, Patient S.G., dated October 28, 2013.</p> <p>8. Opposition to Motion to Quash Subpoena for Records, Patient J.G., dated October 28, 2013</p> <p>9. Opposition to Motion to Quash Subpoena for Records, Patient B.P., dated October 28, 2013</p> <p>10. Response to Order to Show Cause dated November 13, 2013</p> <p>11. Case Management Statement dated January 9, 2014</p> <p>12. Substitution of Attorney dated January 20, 2014</p> <p>13. Stipulation to Stay of Proceedings on Motion to Quash dated January 30, 2014</p> <p>14. Order Relating Cases dated February 20, 2014</p> <p>15. Stipulation to Consolidation of Cases filed July 8, 2014</p> <p>16. Notice of Ruling Re: Consolidated Cases dated July 30, 2014</p> <p>17. Ex Parte Application for Order to Show Cause dated August 8, 2014</p> <p>18. Order to Show Cause dated August 13, 2014</p> <p>19. Notice of Status Conference and Order dated August 8, 2014</p> <p>20. Reply in support of Petition for Order to Compel Compliance with Medical Board Subpoena Duces Tecum filed January 7, 2015</p> <p>21. Tentative Ruling dated January 23, 2015</p> <p>22. Ruling dated March 3, 2015</p> <p>Second District Court of Appeals Case Number B262469</p> <p>1. Notice of Filing of Notice of Appeal dated March 10, 2015</p> <p>2. Notice Designating Record on Appeal dated March 17, 2015</p> <p>3. Notice of Filing of Reporter's Transcript dated June 19, 2015</p> <p>4. Stipulation to Extend Time to File Appellant's Opening Brief dated June 29, 2015</p> <p>5. Order Granting Continuance dated June 29, 2015</p> <p>6. Appellant's Opening Brief dated September 21, 2015</p> <p>7. Respondent's Brief filed October 12, 2015</p> <p>8. Appellant's Reply Brief filed November 2, 2015</p> <p>9. Request for Oral Argument dated December 16, 2015</p> <p>10. Opinion filed February 3, 2016</p> <p>11. Letter Requesting Publication dated February 10, 2016</p> <p>12. Petition for Rehearing dated February 18, 2016</p> <p>13. Order Granting Publication dated February 26, 2016</p> <p>14. Request for Depublication dated April 18, 2016</p> <p>15. Order Extending Time For Grant of Review dated April 20, 2016</p> <p>16. Order Denying Depublication dated May 18, 2016</p> <p>17. Application for Stay of Remittitur dated June 21, 2016</p> <p>18. Denial of Application for Stay of Remittitur dated July 8, 2016</p>
PRA - 2018-00973	Griewe	Matt	5/18/2018	<p>I am with the National Pawnbrokers Association and we are needing the following for updating our records. Please provide the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Verify most up to date total quantity of Pawnbrokers and Pawnshops in California.</li> <li>- Verify most up to date total quantity of Pawnbrokers and Pawnshops by District.</li> <li>- Latest Annual Report of registered Pawnbrokers in California for 2018.</li> </ul>		Completed	<p>Jaimie Tackett</p> <p>The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p> <p>The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.</p>

PRA - 2018-00974	Khuu	Diana	5/18/2018	We recently received the total number of DUI arrests by county and offense level from the CJSC, and was wondering if this data is available by demographic (gender, race, age) for 2014-2016. We used to be able to obtain this data from <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/crime/cjsc/stats/arrests">https://oag.ca.gov/crime/cjsc/stats/arrests</a> , but it seems that the data is no longer available from the website. Thank you so much.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOI has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.
PRA - 2018-00975	Khundkar	Imran	5/18/2018	1. Any and all communications between the California Department of Justice ("DOJ") or any agent, employee or representative of DOJ and Assemblyman Chris Holden's office, or any agent, employee or representative of Assemblyman Chris Holden concerning Assembly Bill ("AB") 3199 and/or firearm raffles.	Micheal & Associates	Completed	Robert Wilson	The Department does not have any records responsive to your request.
PRA - 2018-00977	Hudlow	Bill	5/18/2018	All documents used to purchase or justify the purchase of the CalATERS and CalATERS Global software including, but not limited to NCB and LTB contract justifications.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of our office. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) The DOJ did not purchase CalATERS or CalATERS Global software licenses to use the CalATERS system. The State Agency responsible for the CalATERS program is the State Controller's Office. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of the State Controller's Office, you would need to contact them directly. Information on how to contact them can be found on their website at <a href="https://www.sco.ca.gov/eo_contact.html">https://www.sco.ca.gov/eo_contact.html</a> .
PRA - 2018-00981	Smith	Annie	5/18/2018	My name is Annie Smith, and I would like a copy of my divorce decree		Completed	Erin Nickless	Called the requester and referred her to the San Diego Superior Court.
PRA - 2018-00982	Ferreira	William	5/18/2018	All Accusations and ISO's filed by the AG's office on behalf of Bureau of Automotive Repair May 1-18, 2018.		Completed	Molly E. Selway	In response, we are providing a list of the Accusations and ISO' s served between May 1, 2018, and May 18, 2018. At the outset, we note that your request is directed to the Department of Justice but may refer to or include documents in the possession of other agencies, such as the Bureau of Automotive Repair. If you wish to review records that are in the possession of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Please contact me should you have any questions regarding this response.
PRA - 2018-00983	Tucker	Kenneth	5/18/2018	All		Completed	Erin Nickless	We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows: -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record.

								-Indicating the city in which the record was created. If you can provide more information regarding the records you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control.
PRA - 2018-00984	Eginton	Beau	5/18/2018	Any and all court records.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows: -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. If you can provide more information regarding the records you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control.
PRA - 2018-01080	Lalchandan	Priti	5/18/2018	Thank you so much for the documents - I did receive them. A few questions as I was reviewing the data: 1. What is the Los Angeles county code (is it 37?) 2. In the DROS documents 2010–2015 ... what does rec_tyoe mean?(CRIS vs DROS) ...and what does the sum(cnt) column refer to?		Completed	Audrey Durfor	In response to your follow up questions, the "COUNTY_CODE" column matches the alphabetical list of counties in the county code system (attached). The "REC_TYPE" column indicates how the firearm information was reported to the Department. "CRIS" is the California Reporting Information System, which includes firearms that are self-reported to the Department. The CRIS system includes intra-familal transfers, firearm ownership reports, curio or relic firearm reports, law enforcement gun release applications, new resident reports, and operation of law reports. "DROS" is the Dealer Record of Sale system that sends firearm purchase and transfer information from the dealer to the Department. The "SUM(CNT)" column is the total.
PRA - 2018-00985	Quinn	Jeff	5/21/2018	Ventura county case # 2013001036. Ventura has destroyed the record and informed me I could get it from the DOJ		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. If the case was handled by a District Attorney's office, you may be able to request the records from them. In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining



							Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA - 2018-00986	Toft	Jordan	5/21/2018	Robert (Bobby) Bronson sonny		Completed	Erin Nickless Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA - 2018-00988	Kreindel	Brandon	5/21/2018	I am just trying to get a current print out of my CURES REPORT as of 05/19/18 as I had some identity theft and had a problem the other day at the pharmacy and am afraid some of the identity theft could be involved with the pharmacy as well, So I was told I could just walk into anyplace that has access to CURED and offer them a few dollars for a recent print out or if you could send one over email you have no idea how much more time that would save me and how much easier it would make this whole process if you needed any additional information to verify it is actually me that is calling I have no problem giving you my social or talking to you over the phone are you asking me any questions or you feel necessary that are needed in order to send this over but I am looking to get it is soon as possible I'm gonna try and go to pharmacy right now because that was what I was originally instructed to do now the first pharmacy I went to didn't have the main tech available to do the report so I'm hoping By the time you read this I will already have the cure his report but if not I hope to have it very soon I appreciate your help please try and get it to me as soon as possible if it's not too much of a hassle but I would greatly appreciate it I have no problem you charging my card if you dollars I know it does cost money to run a report it would be greatly appreciated and I do appreciate your help thank you so much and I hope you have a wonderful weekend bye-bye		Completed	Jaimie Tackett The Department of Justice responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. Individuals seeking their Controlled Substance Utilization Review and Evaluation System records may do so under the Information Practices Act (IPA) as described on the Attorney General's Internet website at <a href="http://www.oag.ca.gov/cures/fags">www.oag.ca.gov/cures/fags</a> . Note, the only mechanism available for an individual to obtain his or her prescription history report is through the IPA. Attached you will find the authorization form for release of protected health information.
PRA - 2018-00989	Smith	Jennifer	5/21/2018	Babb family trust Carlton Russell babb Case number PROMSP04-00184		Completed	Erin Nickless We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. If this is in regard to a probate matter,



							you may wish to contact the local court in which the probate matter would be heard.
PRA - 2018-00990	Pagel	Keith	5/21/2018	Diversion San Diego sheriff office 07/06/1989. I need a letter sent to TN TBI showing I completed this Diversion so TICS can release my pistol in pawn. Fax #615 744 4660.	Completed	Robert Wilson	Rob contacted requester and issue was resolved. No records needed from DOJ.
PRA - 2018-00991	Worden	Berry	5/21/2018	(RFQ)# 17-2117216 LinkedIn Pilot Renewal: We would like to view the RFQ responses. Good Morning Team, If I could request " Spin Recruitment" response to RFQ# 17-2117216 LinkedIn Pilot Renewal, that would be great.	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records based on the information provided. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.
PRA - 2018-00992	Kolb	Michelle	5/21/2018	This is a records search for any available records for the listed properties. Records that are important/needed include any environmental responses or environmental violations at these properties. This is a request for any information for these addresses that would be included in a report for a new tenant of the property. It is to disclose of any information about the site in relation to violations recorded for the address. The five addresses we are seeking records for are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 690 Mendocino Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA</li> <li>• 908 Diablo Avenue, Novato, CA</li> <li>• 1524 2nd Street, San Rafael, CA</li> <li>• 1799 19th Avenue, San Francisco, CA</li> <li>• 2749 Hillcrest Avenue, Antioch, CA</li> </ul> Please let me know if there are any pertinent records for this site regarding environmental regulation/violations.	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you are seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ, we have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. Since these locations relate to oil-change businesses, it is possible that the Department of Toxic Substances and Control might have records.
PRA - 2018-00993	Swanson	Lisa	5/21/2018	In accordance with the California Public Records Act, I am writing to request the following records: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All labor complaints (including – but not limited to – wage complaints and workplace complaints) received regarding any of the following entities during the following timeframes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o KSL Capital Partners: January 1, 2010 to the present</li> <li>o Squaw Valley Alpine Meadows: November 16, 2011 to the present</li> <li>o Squaw Valley Ski Holdings: January 1, 2013 to the present</li> <li>o Squaw Valley Resort: December 6, 2010 to the present</li> <li>o Squaw Valley Development Company: December 6, 2010 to the present</li> <li>o Squaw Valley USA: December 6, 2010 to the present</li> <li>o The Village At Squaw Valley: December 6, 2010 to the present</li> <li>o Squaw Valley Resort's Base Village: December 6, 2010 to the present</li> <li>o Squaw Valley Real Estate, LLC: November 16, 2011 to the present</li> <li>o Alpine Meadows Ski Resort: November 16, 2011 to the present</li> <li>o Alterra Mountain Company: July 31, 2017 to the present</li> <li>o June Mountain: July 31, 2017 to the present</li> <li>o Big Bear Mountain Resort: July 31, 2017 to the present</li> <li>o Mammoth Mountain Ski Resort: July 31, 2017 to the present</li> <li>o Mammoth Resorts: July 31, 2017 to the present</li> <li>o The Village Lodge: July 31, 2017 to the present</li> <li>o The Westin Monache: July 31, 2017 to the present</li> <li>o Juniper Springs Resort: July 31, 2017 to the present</li> <li>o Tamarack Lodge: July 31, 2017 to the present</li> <li>o Mammoth Mountain Inn: July 31, 2017 to the present</li> <li>o Altis Luxury Home: July 31, 2017 to the present</li> <li>o NewCo: July 31, 2017 to the present</li> <li>o Intrawest Resorts Holdings Inc: July 31, 2017 to the present</li> <li>o St. Regis Monarch Beach Resort &amp; Spa: May 21, 2014 to the present</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Since you are seeking wage and workplace complaints, our research into the matter shows that the records you seek may be in the custody or control of another state agency. You may be able to direct your request to the Department of Industrial Relations. Information on how to request Public Records from them can be found on their website at <a href="https://www.dir.ca.gov/pr_request.html">https://www.dir.ca.gov/pr_request.html</a> .

				<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>o Monarch Beach Resort: May 21, 2014 to the present</li><li>o KSL La Costa Resort Corporation: March 17, 2010 to July 31, 2013</li><li>o La Costa Resort &amp; Spa: March 17, 2010 to July 31, 2013</li><li>o KSL LC Management II LLC: March 17, 2010 to July 31, 2013</li><li>• All investigative materials on the aforementioned companies, including – but not limited to – investigation findings, reports, warning letters, citations, charges, fines or enforcement matters.</li></ul>			
PRA - 2018-01002	Zabala (NBC)	L berty	5/21/2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>o A database, list or any equivalent document of active 5150, 5151 and 5152 holds reported to the California Department of Justice each fiscal year from 2016 to 2018 from San Diego County facilities. Those facilities should include, but not be limited to the following:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>■ Alvarado Parkway Institute, 7050 Parkway Dr, La Mesa, CA 91942</li><li>■ Aurora Behavioral Health, 11878 Avenue of Industry, San Diego, CA 92128</li><li>■ Bayview Behavioral Health Services, 330 Moss St, Chula Vista, CA 91911</li><li>■ San Diego County Emergency Screening Unit, 4309 Third Ave, San Diego, CA 92103</li><li>■ Las Colinas Detention Facility, 451 Riverview Parkway, Santee, CA 92071</li><li>■ Naval Medical Center San Diego, 34800 Bob Wilson Dr, San Diego, CA 92134</li><li>■ Palomar Health, 15615 Pomerado Rd, Poway, CA 92064</li><li>■ Paradise Valley Hospital, 2400 E 4th St, National City, CA 91950</li><li>■ Pomerado Hospital: Gero-Psychiatric Unit, 15615 Pomerado Rd, Poway, CA 92064</li><li>■ Rady Child and Adolescent Psychiatry Services with Rady Children's Hospital San Diego, 3020 Children's Way, San Diego, CA 92123</li><li>■ San Diego Central Jail, 1173 Front St, San Diego, CA 92101</li><li>■ San Diego County Psychiatric Hospital, 3853 Rosecrans St, San Diego, CA 92110</li><li>■ Scripps Mercy, 4077 Fifth Ave, San Diego, CA 92103</li><li>■ Sharp Grossmont Hospital, 5555 Grossmont Center Dr, La Mesa, CA 91942</li><li>■ Sharp Mesa Vista Hospital, 7850 Vista Hill Ave, San Diego, CA 92123</li><li>■ Tri City Medical Center, 4002 Vista Way, Oceanside, CA 92056</li><li>■ UCSD Medical Center, 200 W Arbor Dr, San Diego, CA 92103</li><li>■ Veteran Affairs Medical Center San Diego, 3350 La Jolla Village Dr, San Diego, CA 92161</li></ul></li></ul> <p>July 2, 2018 follow up: I'm following up with you to get an idea when we should expect to receive the records? Have you sent them out to us yet?</p>	Complete	Robert Wilson	<p>The Department does not maintain this information in the ordinary course of business. As such, special programming would be required to generate the requested information, and the Department is allowed by law to charge for this programming. (See Gov. Code, § 6253.9, subd. (b); see also California Department of Justice Guidelines for Access to Public Records [When the Department must compile electronic data, extract information from an electronic record, or undertake computer programming to satisfy a request, the Department may require the requester to bear the full costs, not just the direct cost of duplication.] )</p> <p>In this case, it will take an Information Technology Specialist I a minimum of five hours to compile this information at a cost of \$141.95. If you still desire this information, please make a check payable to the California Department of Justice in the amount of \$141.95 and send it to:</p> <p>California Department of Justice Public Records Coordinator 1300 I Street, Room 1710-6 Sacramento, CA 95814</p> <p>If we do not receive payment within 20 days, we shall consider the request for records withdrawn and the matter closed.</p> <p>July 9, 2018 response: We received your check and have enclosed the documents that are responsive to your request.</p> <p>August 3, 2018 response: We have received your check to cover the preparation costs. Enclosed is the document that is responsive to your request.</p>
PRA - 2018-01005	Greenspoon (Grunder Memo)	Michael	5/21/2018	<p>Thank you for your prompt response to my public records request. However, I must ask you to please reconsider producing the requested records. First of all, these records are already in the public domain and I'm merely attempting to authenticate them by requesting their production from your offices. Second, these records are clearly not privileged and are matters of public importance and relevant to public policy and reporting. If you cannot release these records then it becomes a question of whether the purpose of the public records act is being met. Therefore, I would appreciate it if you could please release the requested documents and/or escalate this matter for further review.</p>	Complete	Amos Hartston	<p>This letter is in response to your email dated May 19, 2018, related to your two online requests, both dated May 15, 2018, in which you sought various records pursuant to the Public Records Act as set forth in Government Code section 6250 et seq. We responded to your Public Records Act requests on May 17, 2018.</p> <p>You ask that we reconsider producing records that we have identified as privileged and confidential law enforcement records exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) as well as other applicable privileges. We respectfully decline your request. There are important policy reasons behind both privileges and exemptions from disclosure under the Public Records Act that are necessary for the Attorney General to perform his law enforcement function.</p>
PRA - 2018-01008	Rothfield (WSJ)	Michael	5/21/2018	<p>May 21, 2018 request: I am requesting the following records held by your agency:</p> <p>1) Records from March 1, 2018 until the present of any communications, including telephone calls, e-mail, text messages or otherwise, or any calendar entries reflecting meetings, or meeting notes, involving Attorney General Xavier Becerra and or any employees of the California Department of Justice on the one hand, and Michael Avenetti, any employee or associate of Michael Avenetti's law firm, Ronan Farrow, or any employee of the New Yorker, on the other hand. I request records of such communications involving employees of your office whether or not they were made using official government devices and email accounts or personal, non-governmental devices and email accounts.</p> <p>2) Any records relating to the procurement, downloading or distribution of Michael D. Cohen's financial records and Suspicious Activity Reports related to him.</p>	Complete	Gisele Rainer	<p>On June 1, 2018, Gisele Rainer sent an extension letter.</p> <p>On June 19, 2018, Gisele responded: As to the records you requested referencing "Farrow," we have assumed that you are seeking records that reference Ronan Farrow, but not records that reference other persons whose name or surname coincidentally includes "Farrow."</p> <p>Based on the foregoing, we have no records responsive to your requests.</p>

PRA - 2018-01037	Phelan (Muckrock)	Matthew	5/21/2018	Memos, emails, letters, formal complaints, reports, and other related documents related to the following business operating in California: Universal Student Housing, Inc. On August 6, 2018, Mr. Phelan followed up on this request. Public records re-sent the response on August 7, 2018.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)
PRA - 2018-01050	Horoupian	Gregory	5/21/2018	Under the California Public Records Act (Government Code §§6250– 6276.48), I kindly request all records on file with the State of California Department of Justice relating to the deceased individual, Maude Hughey Kemper of Madera County, California. Maude Hughey Kemper was born in Kansas on [REDACTED] and resided in Madera County. I am specifically interested in all identifying documents and files submitted to the DOJ relating to the murder of this individual on August 27, 1964 in North Fork, CA. I understand that the DOJ does not provide records held by other state agencies and local government agencies. However, I'm aware that the DOJ is in possession of documents related to this event. For example, there are several documents within the DOJ's Bureau of Criminal Identification and Investigation (with an address in 1964 at PO Box 1859, Sacramento, CA) which I hope may be provided, along with all other responsive records. Thank you for your support and if I can provide any additional information, please reach out.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA - 2018-01060	Hostettler	Cindy	5/21/2018	I am completing an Administrative Review on the applicant identified below as she has requested unsupervised contact with children under the legal jurisdiction of the state of Washington. I am requesting all police reports pertaining to the applicant identified below. Fipe Vasa Tanu, [REDACTED] Ms. Tanu was convicted in 1992 of Offensive Words in Public. She was charged with inflicting corporal injury on spouse as well but these charges were dismissed as she pled to another charge. I am requesting the probable cause, court ordered services and final disposition for this docket. If the report no longer exists due to your retention schedule, please notify me of this in writing.	Washington State Department of Social and Health Services	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. If the case was handled by a district attorney, you may wish to direct your request to their office or to the local court in which the case was heard. To the extent that you are seeking police reports, police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody.

							(Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA - 2018-00995	Hennessy	Antoinette	5/22/2018	3/20/2017, case name: JAIME BELTRAN V. THE HOME DEPOT INC, case #: S-1500-CV-283696, Nick Rowley of Carpenter Zuckerman and Rowley told jurors during his opening statement that Jaime Beltran, 54, is unlikely to further recover from the traumatic brain injury that he sustained while shopping, and that his medical expenses alone could reach \$8 million. He claimed Beltran suffers from pain, anxiety, depression, memory problems and a decreased capacity for "executive function." Home Depot, which has admitted liability for the accident, maintains that Beltran suffered a less serious brain injury than Rowley described, and that Beltran's current symptoms are the result of untreated psychological issues from which he can recover, and that he would be fairly compensated with an award of \$1.3 million.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.
PRA - 2018-00996	Pease	Brandy	5/22/2018	8/2017-20018 Jacob William Adkison criminal and public records 12/2013-20018 Brandy Marie Please criminal and public records	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You may be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253 (c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2CStill-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2CStill-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .

PRA - 2018-00997	Bishop	Keith	5/22/2018	Please provide a copy of all notices received by the Office of Attorney General pursuant to Corporations Code Section 2207(a)(2)(A) during the period January 1, 2004 until the date of this request.	Completed	Erin Nickless	On May 31, 2018, our office extended the date to respond to your request to June 14, 2018. Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.
PRA - 2018-00999	Hensler	Glenn	5/22/2018	Articles of Incorporation for THE SPORTSMANS CLUB OF SAN BERNARDINO, 5092 North Orange Drive, San Bernardino, Ca. 92407 EIN 330549168 Requested by Glenn G. Hensler, Treasurer of The Sportsmans Club of San Bernardino. Over the years our copy has been damaged and parts of our copy are missing. We are requesting a new copy for our files.	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have searched our legal indices and resources and were unable to locate responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records for articles of incorporation, you may wish to direct your request to the California Secretary of State's office. Information on how to contact their office can be found online at <a href="http://www.sos.ca.gov/administration/contact-information/">http://www.sos.ca.gov/administration/contact-information/</a> .
PRA - 2018-01000	Mohamed	Abdina sir	5/22/2018	all	Completed	Erin Nickless	We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows: -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. If you can provide more information regarding the records you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control.
PRA - 2018-01001	Cason	Cindy	5/22/2018	Records related to the arrest and/or conviction of Richard Lisle Reece during the late 1960s to 1970 in the Los Angeles area. This was most likely related to vehicular theft and possibly inclusive of child molestation. I request these records through Megan's Law as Richard Reece is a convicted sex offender (sexual assault of child 6 or under).	Completed	Michael Dolid	The records of all our case files are organized and maintained electronically in a case management software application called Pro law. After an exhaustive search of Pro law, we were unable to locate any records pertaining to Richard Lisle Reece. Thus, we have no responsive documents. If you have not done so already, you may try contacting the local District Attorney's Office and/or the local state courthouse where the offenses were committed. These public

				agencies may have additional information in case files or court files that can be disseminated to members of the public.			
PRA - 201 8- 010 03	Armstrong	Julie	5/22/ 2018	records of any legal proceeding involving Bruce Charles Ardren, aka Bruce Charles Day between 1968 and 1978. His date of birth is [REDACTED]. I understand there were several court cases, and possibly a settlement in lieu of a trial. I am Bruce's niece and conservator looking for more information about his legal history. Thanks.		Com plete d	Erin Nickles  Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You may be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking court records, you may wish to contact the local court in which the cases you seek records for would have been filed. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA - 201 8- 010 06	Sturm	Karina	5/22/ 2018	How many medical malpractice lawsuits were filed in 2017? Could you share any relevant documents with me that state the number of filed claims in 2017, what the claim was about (diagnostic error, treatment failure, etc.) and how many of those were because of claimed diagnostic errors?		Com plete d	Valerie Mendoza  In response to your request, we have made inquiries with knowledgeable personnel and searched electronic records. In doing so, we have determined that there are no responsive, nonprivileged records in the custody of the Attorney General's Office. Investigative records, attorney-client privileged communications, and attorney work product are exempt from disclosure under the following statutory provisions below: Investigative Records Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).]) Attorney-Client Privilege Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.) In the present case, the attorneys in our department provide legal advice to the Medical Board's Executive Officer and her designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's

								<p>attorneys are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>Attorney Work Product</p> <p>The attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc. section 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, section 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>Primarily, we note that while your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, it seeks documents under the custody and control of the Medical Board of California, as well as the boards of other medical professions within the Department of Consumer Affairs.</p> <p>Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency you must direct your request to that agency. You can find information and links to contact the Medical Board of California at <a href="http://www.mbc.ca.gov">http://www.mbc.ca.gov</a>, and for other medical professions at <a href="http://www.dca.ca.gov">http://www.dca.ca.gov</a>.</p> <p>Information specifically relevant to your request can be found at: <a href="http://www.mbc.ca.gov/Publications/AnnualReports/annual%20report%202016-2017.pdf">http://www.mbc.ca.gov/Publications/ Annual Reports/annual report 2016-2017 .pdf</a>.</p>
PRA - 2018-01069	Ja'vah Bey	Khalifa	5/22/2018	Please record my my signed Proclamation and Declarations in the system. All Rights Reserved without Prejudice or Recourse. The link is below: <a href="https://drive.google.com/open?id=1Jk0_0T9SbNcThqFnbPVlvsbuqnsz5kdl">https://drive.google.com/open?id=1Jk0_0T9SbNcThqFnbPVlvsbuqnsz5kdl</a>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>There was no record description or comment included with your contact information to identify any records that you might be seeking. As there were no records identified in your Public Records Act request, we are unable to provide records that are responsive. If you can provide more information as to which documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of the documents that are within the control and custody of DOJ.</p> <p>To the extent that you are asking to have a "Proclamation and Declarations [recorded] in the system," we are unable to process your request as that is not within the Public Records Unit's purview. We process requests for access to Public Records.</p>
PRA - 2018-01007	Anonymous	Anonymous	5/23/2018	I'm requesting any and all records for Steven T. Bowen		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time</p>



							frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA - 2018-01012	Esparza	Ruth	5/23/2018	My name is Ruth Esparza, WSBA No. 37236. I am an attorney in Wenatchee Washington. I am conducting an investigation on Jaime L. Harum. Therefore, I am requesting records, including incident reports, supplemental reports, calls, court cases and any other documents for Jaime L. Harum (DOB [REDACTED]). I would like records from January 1, 2006 to December 31, 2013. Should you have any questions please feel free to call me or email me. Thank you.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, since you are seeking court records, you may wish to contact the court. If you are seeking police reports, these are typically maintained by the local police department that generated the report. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA - 2018-01013	Kingsbury	Marian	5/23/2018	Clarence A. Frank (Fronk) is my grandfathers brother. On his death cert. it is listed as "murder". Can you direct me to someone who can tell us what happened to Clarence. He was born [REDACTED] in Breckenridge Co., Kentucky. He died [REDACTED] at 91 years old in L. A. County, California. He is buried in Joshua Memorial Park, Lancaster, Ca.	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .



							Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx.
PRA - 2018-01014	Santiago	Judith	5/23/2018	We are a law firm that currently represents Jesus M. Garcia-Castro. Requesting incident report for Jesus M. Garcia-Castro DOB [REDACTED]. Incident occurred in San Diego, CA.		Completed	Erin Nickless Called the requester to clarify, they were seeking police reports and they were referred to the local police Department.
PRA - 2018-01015	Har (AP)	Janie	5/23/2018	Using the Crime in California, 2016 edition at <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a> , I would like the numbers behind the aggregate figures for: (1) Felony Marijuana Offenses in Table 31 broken down by race and county for years 2007-2016 (2) Misdemeanor Arrests in Table 34 broken down by race and county for years 2007-2016 I would like the data in a downloadable CSV form. I expect DOJ has these numbers since you have an aggregate but I don't know how easy the information is to get. PLEASE call or email if I can make this request more manageable or if you can share the parameters of what you have.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.
PRA - 2018-01016	Lee	Christine	5/23/2018	Any and all records from January 1, 2009 through the present relating to 401 N. Vista St., Los Angeles CA 90036 / APN 5526032013. Specifically: - Case No. 528642: Systematic Code Enforcement Program, Inspector Carlos Perez; - Case No. 461974: Complaint, Inspector Michael Kaufman; - Case No. 363759: Systematic Code Enforcement Program, Inspector Edmond Chao; and - Case No. 209828: Systematic Code Enforcement Program, Inspector Dan Matsumura.		Completed	Erin Nickless You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides. Our research into the term "Systematic Code Enforcement Program," additionally shows that the records you are looking for may be in the possession of the "Los Angeles Housing + Community Investment Department," (HCIDLA) and not DOJ. If you are seeking records related to HCIDLA, you would need to direct your request to their office.
PRA - 2018-01021	DuPont	Katherine	5/23/2018	Is there a way I can see a complete list of the licensed sellers of travel in California?		Completed	Steven DeSalvo On June 4, 2018 an extension letter was sent. June 7, 2018 response: Enclosed is a list of sellers of travel registered with the Attorney General's Office. Please note, "[R]egistration as a seller of travel does not constitute approval by the State of California." (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 17550.24.) Also, we have a search function for sellers of travel available on our website at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/travel">https://oag.ca.gov/travel</a> .
PRA - 2018-01029	Carr	Claude	5/23/2018	The People's Fair Sentencing and Public Safety Act of 2018.		Completed	Anabel Renteria A copy is enclosed. This completes our response to your request.
PRA - 2018-01017	Strayhand	Marvin	5/24/2018	State Compensation Insurance Fund hired independent investigator to interview parties involved in motor vehicle accident on Thursday, March 9, 2017, on perimeter road on California State prison Solano. I would like a copy of report independent investigator turned into State Compensation Insurance Fund.		Completed	Erin Nickless You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should

							direct your request to that agency, in this case, the State Compensation Insurance Fund (SCIF). Information on requesting public records from SCIF can be found on their website at <a href="http://www.statefundca.com/Home/StaticIndex?id=http://content.statefundca.com/news/cpra/CPRAguidelines.asp">http://www.statefundca.com/Home/StaticIndex?id=http://content.statefundca.com/news/cpra/CPRAguidelines.asp</a> .
PRA - 2018-01018	Niemi	Michelle	5/24/2018	Eviction Record		Completed	Erin Nickless You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking eviction records, you may wish to direct your request to the local superior court in which the case was filed.
PRA - 2018-01020	Avellaneda	Emilio	5/24/2018	Records of dates and times of when a person enters and exits the U.S. border between California and Mexico. We currently need such information for our office to assist a client with their immigration case and to respond to a Request for Evidence from USCIS accordingly. California Border Patrol informed me they are unable to disclose such information. The Department of Homeland Security FOIA division informed me to contact the Office of the Attorney General responsible for handling FOIA. I was informed to request assistance from your offices in obtaining our client's traveling information and to possibly have our request expedited as the DHS FOIA division is backed up to 2 or 3 months in FOIA requests and the response to a request for evidence regarding our client is due 06/10/18.		Completed	Erin Nickless Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, the California DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. We have conducted a search of our legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the California DOJ is a State agency, and independently run from the United States Department of Justice (US DOJ). If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of the US DOJ, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to submit a records request to US DOJ under the Freedom of Information Act can be found on their website at <a href="https://www.justice.gov/oip/make-foia-request-doj">https://www.justice.gov/oip/make-foia-request-doj</a> . Since you are requesting records of border crossings, the records you seek may be in the possession of the United States Customs and Border Protection agency.
PRA - 2018-01023	Davila	Oscar	5/24/2018	I seek the police Record/Report for Raquel Davila Sanchez. I don't know if she went under just her maiden name (Sanchez) or the other. More specifically there should have been an arrest made on December 6th/7th, 2008 in Yuba city, CA. I am her son and was involved in the indecent that lead to her arrest, which involved gasoline and a domestic dispute. If I could receive the records via e-mail or postage that would be greatly appreciated. I feel like I could receive some sort of closure if just saw the filed associated with my greatest source of childhood trauma.		Completed	Erin Nickless To the extent that you are seeking police report records, police reports are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history

							records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> . We note that you mention you may be the victim of a crime related to your request. The Victims' Services Unit (VSU) offers crime victims and their families support and information at every stage of the criminal process. For more information on these services, please visit our website at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/victimservices">https://oag.ca.gov/victimservices</a> .
PRA - 201 8- 010 24	Miner	Monica	5/24/ 2018	<p>June 25, 2018 Follow-up Request: Please advise if Derry Knight is currently a Retired Annuitant for DOJ.</p> <p>May 24, 2018 Request: 1. Please provide a current list of all persons employed by the Department of Justice as retired annuitants who are in a Bargaining Unit 2 designated position. 2. Please identify the basis for their appointment as retired annuitants under Government Code section 21224 or 21221, subdivision (h). 3. Please identify each person's date of appointment to retired annuitant. 4. Please identify each person's anticipated date of separation from the department as a retired annuitant. 5. Please identify the reason for all current retired annuitants in designated Bargaining Unit 2 classifications to be hired as a retired annuitant. Please contact me if there is a cost associated with production of this request. CASE prefers that the documents and information be supplied electronically. We also prefer to make arrangements to pick up documents response to this request.</p>		Com plete d	<p>Gisel e Raine r</p> <p>June 25, 2018 Follow-up Response: Derry Knight is currently a Retired Annuitant for the Department. Attached is a revised spreadsheet incorporating the information responsive to your initial requests number 1 and 3 with respect to Mr. Knight. We apologize that this information was inadvertently omitted from our June 19, 2018 response to you. The Department's Office of Human Resources informs us that the information provided to you is now complete. We have identified no records responsive to your requests number 2 and 5 for Mr. Knight. Finally, the Department does not collect the information sought by your request number 4, and thus we have no records responsive to such request. This completes our response to today's follow-up request.</p> <p>June 19, 2018 response: We have attached to the email transmitting this letter a spreadsheet prepared by the . Department's Office of Human Resources responsive to your requests number 1 and 3. Also attached to the email transmitting this letter are records responsive to your requests number 2 and 5 relating to the following retired annuitants: Douglas Danzig, Denise Hoffman, Thomas Lazar, Patricia Nevonen, Tyler Pon, Kerry Weisel, and Clarence Binninger. We have identified no records responsive to your requests number 2 and 5 for any other retired annuitant. Finally, the Department does not collect the information sought by your request number 4, and thus we have no records responsive to such request. You should also be aware that agencies may withhold the disclosure of records pertaining to pending litigation to which the public agency is a party until the pending litigation has been finally adjudicated or otherwise settled. (Gov. Code. § 6254, subd. (b).) The pending litigation exemption does not duplicate the attorney work product exemption but is broader and covers documents prepared by the agency in anticipation of, or for use in, litigation. (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 831; Fairley v. Superior Court (1998) 66 Cal.App.4th 1414, 1422.) Additionally, the attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research, or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Act as an exemption from disclosure. (§ 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles, supra, 82 Cal.App.4th at p. 833.) Certain information may reflect an attorney's conclusions relating to how to proceed in a litigation matter, and therefore, be exempt for that reason. (Cf. Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors v. Superior Court (2016) 2 Cal. 5th 282, 297 [finding that information contained on bills that communicate how much</p>

							work on a pending litigation was occurring were privileged].) We have withheld a portion of one responsive record under the foregoing exemptions. This completes our response to your request.  On June 4, 2018, an extension letter was sent by Stacy Heinsen of DAS.
PRA - 2018-01028	Cartwright	Kenneth	5/24/2018	Any judgements against me or my property in the state of California.		Completed	Mary Cain-Simon  In response to your request, we respectfully provide a copy of the December 21, 2015 Decision and Order in the "Matter of the Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation Against Kenneth Paul Cartwright, M.D." Please be respectfully advised that the Department of Justice responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PRA - 2018-01076	McCarthy	Donna	5/24/2018	Criminal file for Jim Long Criminal File No. MT62846JL.		Completed	Erin Nickless  We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. Based on your request as it is currently cast, you appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.  To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to process requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> . Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. If you are seeking court records, you may wish to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed.
PRA - 2018-01087	Markley	Stephanie	5/24/2018	I am looking to get the case file and notes on my DFEH claim (Department of Fair Employment and Housing) case #649202-298432. My case handler' name is Carolina Torreiza, and her supervisor is Griselda Ballasteros.		Completed	Erin Nickless  You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Since you are seeking records from the Department of Fair Employment and Housing, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to contact them can be found on their website at <a href="https://www.dfeh.ca.gov/contact-us/">https://www.dfeh.ca.gov/contact-us/</a> .

PRA - 2018-01025	Phan	Dat	5/25/2018	My name is Dat Phan and I am an attorney for Behdad Sepanj. I seek a copy of Mr. Sepanj's entire DFEH investigation file, including notes, recordings, and final records, related to Mr. Sepanj's DFEH complaint against his employer California Department of Transportation ("Caltrans"). The case number is 848837-270749. The EEOC Number: 37A-2017-02154-C. Furthermore, I believe the investigation was conducted by: Maria Valenzuela, Staff Services Analyst Department of Fair Employment and Housing 2218 Kausen Drive, Suite 100, E k Grove, CA 95758 Telephone: 213-337-4530 maria.valenzuela@dfeh.ca.gov<mailto:maria.valenzuela@dfeh.ca.gov>		Completed	Erin Nickless	We note that your request states that you are seeking a file from the Department of Fair Employment and Housing. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the Department of Fair Employment and Housing, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to contact them can be found on their website at <a href="https://www.dfeh.ca.gov/contact-us/">https://www.dfeh.ca.gov/contact-us/</a> .
PRA - 2018-01026	Isaacs	Kenneth	5/25/2018	All records between the years of 2013-2018 issued by the City and County of San Francisco regarding city inspections, incident reports, design studies, and maintenance of street repairs related to the area of Doyle Street and the Marina Way in San Francisco. All records regarding any written communications between the City and County of San Francisco and Parkwide Bike Rentals regarding bike riding safety procedures and their compliance to related city code regulations. All communications, accident reports, and any investigations of a bike riding accident occurring on January 15, 2018 involving Mark Walther, the City and County of San Francisco, and Parkwide Bike Rentals. All records between 2013-2018 regarding any structural modification(s), inspection(s), and maintenance repair made by the City and County of San Francisco, to the side walkway surrounding the area of Doyle Street and the Marina Way.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We note that your request seeks documents issued by the City and County of San Francisco. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the City and County of San Francisco, you may wish to direct your request to those agencies.
PRA - 2018-01027	Ellis	Larry	5/25/2018	California State Attorney General's written Oath of Office, surety bond number, and name and address of the bonding company. I claim damage that a Constructive Fraud is taking place and seek evidence it is Not being perpetrated where no remedy and recourse is available. Either this so-called public official has, or has Not, verifiable documentation which can tested as authentic. NOTE: I am making a copy of ALL of this information to be known to the the world by way of United States Code Title 17 section 107 Fair Use for educational purposes for the general population of the world.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have attached the Oath of Office for Attorney General Xavier Becerra. California law does not require a bond in addition to the oath of office. Therefore, we do not have a record of a bond responsive to your request.
PRA - 2018-01030	Martincic	Leslie	5/25/2018	Property History - 119443 Hatteras St, Tarzana, Ca 91356 - MLS #: 18059634 I Think This Property Just Sold. Need To Know The Owner That Sold The Property And Sale Price. Thank You Have A Great Weekend		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides.
PRA - 2018-01041	Culver	Stephanie	5/25/2018	Trying to get accident scene photographs from the Lake Elsinore police department.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Responded to Ms. Culver via telephone and referred her to the Lake Elsinore PD.

PRA - 201 8- 010 88	Edison	Lewis	5/25/ 2018	hi I believe a state attorney may have something like a fake case and trials against me without my knowledge without telling me violating my rights saying a attorney represents me when they do not and never have making factual allegations about me denying me my rights without due process of law invading my privacy with surveillance electronic surveillance doing human experiments on me without my informed consent or knowledge harassing torturing me slandering me threatening insulting me misidentifying me intentionally and holding me prisoner with injury distress caused by the above I request records about the above		Com plete d	Erin Nickles	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.
PRA - 201 8- 010 92	Sorrels	Amanda	5/25/ 2018	I am trying to obtain any records with my name being established as an informant.		Com plete d	Erin Nickles	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.  To the extent that your request seeks investigative files, we must decline your request. Investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)
PRA - 201 8- 010 31	Yaffee	Marilyn	5/29/ 2018	Nathan Yaffee reported to be found walking on the freeway. Police picked him up and took him to a hospital. this would have occurred sometime in April of 2018. I am requesting the incident report for this event.it would have occurred in the Sacramento area.		Com plete d	Erin Nickles	Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PRA - 201 8- 010 32	Stewart	Starlyn	5/29/ 2018	Divorce record		Com plete d	Erin Nickles	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA - 201 8- 010 33	Stone	Aaron	5/29/ 2018	case number CM027636   PEOPLE VS STONE, AARON MICHAEL Butte County Jail Release Record, may I get a pdf. file for my own records		Com plete d	Erin Nickles	We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.  Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records of release from Butte County Jail, you may wish to direct your request to their office.

PRA - 2018-01034	Perez	John	5/29/2018	Case number: 06660HAJQ Case filed: 8/11/2011 Case info.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.
PRA - 2018-01035	Kealey	James	5/29/2018	Concerning Dallas Udovch and Joe Udovch: Any and all writings containing information relating to the conduct of the Department of Housing and Community Development business with Dallas Udovch and his father Joe Udovch prepared, owned used or retained by the Department of Housing and Community Development regardless of physical form or characteristic for the period January 1, 2010 to May 25, 2018 including but not limited to permit applications, fees paid, all correspondence with Dallas Udovch and the notes and reports of employees of the Department of Housing and Community Development working in Sacramento and working in the field in Lake County, California.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the California Department of Housing and Community Development, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information about how to contact them can be found on their website at <a href="http://www.hcd.ca.gov/about/contact.shtml">http://www.hcd.ca.gov/about/contact.shtml</a> .
PRA - 2018-01036	Brown	David	5/29/2018	I am requesting any court records for John W. Roach DOB: [REDACTED] in the state of California. Aliases he has used: Johnny Roach, John Roch, Johnny Roch This is a general public records inquiry and is not being requested for housing or employment.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking court records, you may wish to direct your request to the court in which the cases were filed.
PRA - 2018-01038	Lumbra	Amber	5/29/2018	I am looking for anything that show this is a real person, and has not been in trouble in anyway with the law. I am seeing this man from Mill Valley, CA, and due to past situations with dating, I was looking to make sure that he is who he says he is, and that he is a safe guy. Pls. do not lv. phn. messages, and use my gmail provided, thanks. I at this time have no fears, but best safe then sorry, thank you for your time and I hope this request is okay		Completed	Erin Nickless	There was no name included for the person whose identity you wish to verify to identify which records you are seeking. As the request is missing critical information, we are unable to provide records that are responsive. If you can provide more information as to which documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of the documents that are within the control and custody of DOJ. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a

							personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA - 2018-01039	Serbin	Danielle	5/29/2018	All employment records, Human Resource Files, and personnel files, and administrative complaints, of Phil Janowicz (may also go by "Philip Janowicz" or "Phillip Janowicz"), from the California State University, Fullerton. This request shall be for available records from January 1, 2005 to present.	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to one or more schools within the California State University (CSU) System, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to contact the offices of CSU can be found at <a href="https://www2.calstate.edu/Pages/contact.aspx">https://www2.calstate.edu/Pages/contact.aspx</a> . We hope this information has been of assistance.
PRA - 2018-01040	Wibur	Kenneth	5/29/2018	I am requesting an opportunity to obtain electronic copies of public records related to firearms Dealers Records of Sale (DROS) data. Specifically, I would like to obtain detailed, disaggregated transaction records of all firearms sold in the state of California between January 1, 2006 and the current date. Each record should indicate the sale date, the firearm type (e.g., rifle, shotgun, handgun, etc.), firearm manufacturer and model, the county in which the firearm was sold, the nature of the sale (e.g., dealer sale, private party, etc.), the gender of the purchaser, and any other non-identifying transaction characteristics data available in the DROS database.	Fees Requested	Robert Wilson	The Department does not maintain DROS data with these specific fields in the ordinary course of business. We do, however, have a breakdown by county of DROS statistics for 2010 to 2015, and DROS information for 2016 and 2017, sorted by date. We have enclosed this information, along with yearly statistics from 1972 to 2017. Special programming will be required to generate the specific DROS information you requested and the Department is allowed by law to charge for this programming. (See Gov. Code, § 6253.9, subd. (b); see also California Department of Justice Guidelines for Access to Public Records [When the Department must compile electronic data, extract information from an electronic record, or undertake computer programming to satisfy a request, the Department may require the requester to bear the full costs, not just the direct cost of duplication.]) In this case, it will take an Information Technology Specialist I, at minimum, 5 hours to complete this report at a cost of \$141.95. If you still desire this information, please make a check payable to the California Department of Justice in the amount of \$141.95 and send it to: California Department of Justice Public Records Coordinator 1300 I Street, Room 1710-6 Sacramento, CA 95814 If we do not receive payment within 20 days, we shall consider the request for records withdrawn and the matter closed.
PRA - 2018-01042	Fonner	Andrew	5/29/2018	A complete copy of any and all current contracts that the agency has entered into for the provision of online legal research with any provider, including but not limited to Thomson Reuters® (West/aw®). A complete copy of any and all current contracts that the agency has entered into for the provision of practice management software (Case Management, Billing Management, Accounting management) with any provider, including but not limited to Clio, Abacus (Amicus) or Thomson Reuters (Firm Central®).	Completed	Stacy Heinsen	An EOT letter was sent on June 8. On June 22, our office responded: Attached are copies of the following contracts/purchase orders responsive to your request. Online legal research: • 17-5084 West Publishing Corp., dba West, A Thomson Reuters Business • 17-6446 RELX, Inc. • 17-6445 RELX, Inc. • 17-6484 RELX, Inc., Amendment 1 • 17IT-0976 RELX, Inc. Practice management software: • 17IT-0052 West Publishing Corp., dba Elite, a Thomson Reuters Business



								<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• 15IT-1076 West Publishing Corp., dba West, a Thomson Reuters Business</li><li>• 16IT-0038 West Publishing Corp., dba West, a Thomson Reuters Business</li><li>• 17IT-0693 RELX, Inc., dba LexisNexis</li><li>• 17IT-1238 Freedom Solutions Group, LLC</li><li>• 17IT-0026 Levit &amp; James, Inc. (Best Authority)</li><li>• 17IT-0017 HotDocs Corp.</li></ul>
PRA - 2018-01096	Hewlett	Iris	5/29/2018	I seek records in the possession of the California Attorney General relating to a complaint forward by former State Assembly woman Beth Gaines in 2015 on behalf of Iris Hewlett titled "Illegal bonds and derivatives under a deregulated FDA/CLIA in the state of California".		Completed	Erin Nickless	Attached please find documents responsive to your request. This completes our response to this records request.
PRA - 2018-01104	Khundkar	Imran	5/29/2018	1. Any and all documents or communications between the California Department of Justice ("DOJ"), or any agent, representative or employee of the DOJ and the Office of Administrative Law ("OAL"), or any agent, employee, or representative of the OAL regarding the OAL's Recent Action (File # 2018 -0409-01) titled Firearms: Identifying Info and the Unique Serial Number Application (USNA) Process.	Michael & Associates	Completed	Robert Wilson	Please find enclosed the information we have that is responsive to your request. In providing the records requested, we have redacted certain private identifying information, such as non-commercial addresses, email addresses, and telephone numbers, along with other sensitive personal information such as social security numbers and credit card numbers based on considerations of personal privacy. (Cal. Const., art. I, § 1, as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.)
PRA - 2018-01045	Jansen	coreen	5/30/2018	I just need to see if the person I am seeking records on has legal divorced my father who is now deceased.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA - 2018-01046	Rivas	Richard	5/30/2018	I am looking for information about my Fathers marriage and divorce dates to a woman named Morgan Rivas (last maiden name unknown) They were married I think about between 1965-1975 in Los Angeles. My Fathers name: A berto Carlos Rivas, born in Nicaragua (from what little I remember from that time he was employed as a Marshall/bailiff for Santa Monica, CA Courts) I need the marriage and divorce dates for the VA...My father has dementia and we need to get him into a home with help from VA but they need this info.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA - 2018-01047	Anonymus	Anonymous	5/30/2018	Nasim Aghdam (April 3, 2018) YouTube headquarters in San Bruno, California. I would like as much information as possible on the details of this shooting, specifically the model of handgun used in the shooting.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Our research into the matter shows that the incident to which you refer in your request was responded to by a local police and/or Sheriff's Department. Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody.

							(Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.	
PRA - 2018-01048	Sciarrillo	Joan	5/30/2018	Need a certified copy of the Affidavit Regarding Change of Name notarized March 22, 1985. I have recently moved to AZ from CA and need to get an Arizona ID Card. The Arizona MDV will not accept a copy of my Affidavit Regarding Change of Name which indicated the name on my Birth Certificate (Marilyn Joyce Campbell) is different from the name I have been know by since birth (Joan Joyce).		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to a name change, you may wish to direct your request to the local court in which the name change was filed.
PRA - 2018-01051	Dunkley	Vernell	5/30/2018	M127754CA		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.
PRA - 2018-01055	Ramirez	Daisy	5/30/2018	June 13, 2018 Request: Thank you for the information. Under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq., I am also requesting copies of public records pertaining to: Data on in custody deaths under the Orange County Sheriff's Department jurisdiction from January 2010 to June 2018. I would specifically like to know the following about each death: full name of person, date of birth, race, sex, custody status, date of death, location where cause of death occurred, facility where death occurred, manner of death, and means of death. The California Public Records Act requires a response within ten business days. If access to the records I am requesting will take longer, please contact me with information about when I might expect copies or the ability to inspect the requested records. If you deny any or all of this request, please cite each specific exemption you feel justifies the refusal to release the information and notify me of the appeal procedures available under the law.  May 30, 2018 Request: Total number of deaths in custody (broken down by year) under the jurisdiction of the Orange County Sheriff's Department from January 2010 to January 2018. Any criminal charges filed against any Orange County Sheriff's Department personnel for any of the deaths in custody from January 2010 to January 2018.	ACLU	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	June 11, 2018 Response: The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. July 25, 2018 The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents. Please note, the DOJ does not have responsive records for charges filed as we do not collect this information.
PRA - 2018-01056	Quach	Hoa	5/30/2018	Under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq., I am requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of public records for all death in custody reports submitted to the Department of Justice from all Kern County prisons and state correction facilities for deaths that occurred between 2008 and 2018.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.
PRA - 2018-01049	Horouopian	Gregory	5/31/2018	As your attachment appears to be a criminal history record, in the event the Department of Justice (DOJ) has any information on the named individuals, unfortunately, the DOJ is unable to comply with your request. The California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to California Penal Code section 11076, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records. The only exception to this rule is an individual requesting his or her own records. The record you provided makes reference to the Madera County Coroner's Office. You may		Completed	Erin Nickless	As your attachment appears to be a criminal history record, in the event the Department of Justice (DOJ) has any information on the named individuals, unfortunately, the DOJ is unable to comply with your request. The California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to California Penal Code section 11076, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records. The only

				be able to get more information on the Kempers through their office. I hope this information is of assistance.			exception to this rule is an individual requesting his or her own records. The record you provided makes reference to the Madera County Coroner's Office. You may be able to get more information on the Kempers through their office. I hope this information is of assistance.
PRA - 2018-01052	Pontillas	John	5/31/2018	Seeking active and inactive court case records on Nina Rosario Pontillas Vaca born approximately [REDACTED] with a recent residence in San Jose, California Nina Rosario Pontillas Vaca is obstructing a court ordered marshalling of assets	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. Since you are seeking court records, you may be able to get the records you are seeking from the court in which the cases were filed.
PRA - 2018-01053	Brewer	Dustin	5/31/2018	I'm looking for information about my estranged father, Mark Lee Katri, born [REDACTED]. My mother has told me that he was incarcerated in California during part of my childhood and I am requesting information regarding any cases that he was a part of. Please do not send mail to my address, as I am moving soon. I do check my email frequently and would prefer to be contacted at [REDACTED]	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA - 2018-01054	Gaglio Inge	Catherine	5/31/2018	I would like to obtain a copy of the firearms division order to Jimenez Arms to cease production of firearms in Costa Mesa.	Completed	Robert Wilson	Please find enclosed the information we have that is responsive to your request.
PRA - 2018-01057	Appleseed	Johnny	5/31/2018	All arrest, court and correctional records pertaining to Ramin Alizadeh on or after January 1, 2002.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public

								Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA - 2018-01058	Barrios	Claudia	5/31/2018	Good Afternoon. I am seeking information on Case Name: Eri A berto Barrios Case #: BA372604 Date of conviction: 09/15/10. Charges: 288(c)(1) (Felony) The required information needed: if possible, All personnel involved in case. Court documents. Thank you. Any information regarding this request please send to EMAIL provided in the form above.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have searched our legal indices and logical places and found no records that are responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. You may wish to contact the court for the court documents that you are seeking. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA - 2018-01061	Flaherty	Renee	5/31/2018	I am requesting copies of the following documents from January 1, 2017, until the present: 1) All complaints submitted to the California Attorney General's Office or California Department of Justice in which the complainant alleges any person or entity has violated Cal. Fam. Code § 8609; and 2) All cease-and-desist letters sent by the California Attorney General's Office or California Department of Justice to any person or entity alleging that the person or entity has violated Cal. Fam. Code § 8609.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.
PRA - 2018-01062	Hewlett (Fieldman Rolapp)	Iris	5/31/2018	Pursuant to Gov Code sec. 6250 et seq., I hereby seek records in the possession of the Attorney General/DOJ that relate to Fieldman Rolapp Associates from 2000-2016.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We do not have any records in our possession, other than the following information regarding "Fieldman Rolapp & Associates": Contract No.: 98-398U Contractor Name: Fieldman Rolapp & Associates Dates of Service: 05/17/99 – 06/30/00 Amount of Contract: \$75,000.00 Comments: There are no hard copies of this contract in our office as the records have been destroyed pursuant to our records retention schedule. Contract No.: 98-398U, Amendment No. 1 Contractor Name: Fieldman Rolapp & Associates

							<p>Dates of Service: 05/24/00 – 06/30/01</p> <p>Amount of Contract: N/A. Amendment was for extension of time.</p> <p>Comments: There are no hard copies of this contract in our office as the records have been destroyed pursuant to our records retention schedule.</p>
PRA - 2018-01063	Hewlett (Chroma Vision, GE Healthcare, Clariant)	Iris	5/31/2018	Pursuant to Gov Code sec. 6250 et seq., I hereby seek records in the possession of the Attorney General/ DOJ relating to Chroma Vision Medical Systems from 1996-2005 and after name was changed to Clariant Inc, from 2005-2010 including any records relating to GE/ Healthcare as the result of GE buyout of Clariant Inc.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.</p>
PRA - 2018-01064	Hewlett (Safeguard)	Iris	5/31/2018	Pursuant to Gov. Code SEC. 6250 et seq , I hereby seek records in the possession of the Attorney General/DOJ that relate to Safeguard Scientifics Inc, incorporated in Delaware with California business ties.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.</p>
PRA - 2018-01065	Cutino	Michael	5/31/2018	<p>I am requesting the home address of a YAHOR ZGURSKI DOB [REDACTED]</p> <p>His inmate # 15662375 Copy of all the records</p> <p>The court case #15003373 which I am apart of, who was served and addresses</p> <p>Would like a copy of his arrest with the San Francisco Police Dept.</p> <p>Thank you, I got a judgement of this person and need his address to collect it.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, if this case was handled through the local district attorney's office, you would need to request the records from them. They may also be available through the court in which the case was heard.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p> <p>Since you are seeking information related to an inmate, you would need to go through the county Sheriff's Department if they are in a local jail, or the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR) if they are in a state prison. Information on locating inmates within the CDCR system can be found at <a href="http://inmatelocator.cdcr.ca.gov/">http://inmatelocator.cdcr.ca.gov/</a>.</p>

PRA - 201 8- 010 66	Dalessandro	Sherry	5/31/ 2018	Seeking records related to a company called YYB Construction.		Complete	Marc Greenbaum	<p>At the outset, we note that your request is directed to the Department of Justice but may refer to or include documents in the possession of other agencies, such as the Contractors State License Board of California. If you wish to review records that are in the possession of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We are providing documents responsive to your request which are attached to this email. The documents are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Adopted Decision in the Topaz Construction matter</li> <li>2. Accusation case No. N2015-498</li> <li>3. Request to take Matter [Ace No. N2015-498] Off Calendar/Notice of Withdrawal</li> </ol> <p>Any documents which are exempt from disclosure are not being produced. These documents that may be in our possession are exempt from disclosure under one or more of the following exemptions:</p> <p><b>Attorney-Client Privilege</b> Some of the records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954, which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.) Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and any client agency are exempt from disclosure under the Act.</p> <p><b>Attorney Work Product</b> The attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that are maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc. § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833 .) Records such as confidential analyses and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Act.</p> <p><b>Pending Litigation Exemption</b> Records related to pending litigation involving the parties identified in your request and the Bureau of Automotive Repair are exempt from disclosure. Records pertaining to pending litigation to which a public agency is a party are exempt until the pending litigation has been finally adjudicated or otherwise settled. (Board of Trustees of the California State University v. Superior Court (2005) 132 Cal.App.4th 889; Gov. Code. § 6254 subd. (b).)</p> <p><b>Investigative Records Exemption</b> In addition, some of the records you seek are exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), which expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files compiled by the state for correctional, law enforcement, or licensing purposes. (See, Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Such investigative records remain exempt even after an investigation is closed. (Id. at p. 355)</p> <p><b>Privilege Log Not Required</b> Under the Public Records Act, government agencies are not obligated to provide a privilege log or list of every record withheld along with a statutory justification for withholding.</p>
------------------------------------	-------------	--------	---------------	---	--	----------	----------------	---

							(Haynie v Superior Court (2001) 26 Cal.4th 1061 , 1074. Providing such a log would be unduly time-consuming and burdensome under the public interest balancing test. (Id. at 1074-75; Gov. Code§ 6255.)	
PRA - 2018-01067	Del Ponte (MuckRock)	Joey	5/31/2018	<p>All available records (including consumer complaints, memos, communications, and investigations) containing the following non-case sensitive phrases:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Conversion Therapy,</li><li>- Sexual Orientation Change Efforts (SOCE),</li><li>- Ex-Gay Therapy,</li><li>- Reparative Therapy, and/or</li><li>- Same-Sex Attraction (SSA) Therapy.</li></ul> <p>The requested documents will be made available to the general public, and this request is not being made for commercial purposes.</p> <p>In the event that there are fees, I would be grateful if you would inform me of the total charges in advance of fulfilling my request. I would prefer the request filled electronically, by e-mail attachment if available or CD-ROM if not.</p> <p>Thank you in advance for your anticipated cooperation in this matter. I look forward to receiving your response to this request within 10 calendar days, as the statute requires.</p>		Completed	Amie L. Medley	<p>Your request covers all records located anywhere in the Department, and does not provide a specific timeframe. The California Attorney General's Office, located in six different cities with approximately 5,000 employees, handles hundreds of matters each year. In addition to these six offices, there are other law enforcement divisions. In order to respond to your request, each Department division, including each section, branch, unit or bureau would have to be canvassed, requiring each individual Department employee or official, or the head of each section, branch, unit or bureau, to review each and every file for each individual matter assigned to that employee or official, or to that section, branch, unit or bureau, to determine if they have any documents responsive to your request. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.) Nevertheless, in an effort to be responsive to your request, we have contacted knowledgeable individuals in several sections of the Department that could reasonably be expected to have responsive documents, to the extent they exist. We limited our inquiry to the time period of February 2012, when SB-1172 (now codified at Business and Professions Code section 865 et seq.), a bill relating to your search terms, was first introduced, to the present.</p> <p>Non-exempt records responsive to your request will be produced in electronic format via CD, which will be sent to you via U.S. mail.</p> <p>Some of the records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney work product exception, which protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc. Section 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, section 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. Other records that you seek are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)</p> <p>Finally, some records that you seek are subject to the deliberative process privilege exemption, which exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is surely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from</p>



							<p>public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255.</p> <p>An extension letter was sent on June 12, 2018 by Erin Nickless, Public Records Coordinator.</p>
PRA - 201 8- 010 68	Peplin	Joshua	5/31/ 2018	I would like any and all records with information attached to Joshua G Peplin.		Completed	Erin Nickless <p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.</p>
PRA - 201 8- 010 71	Wright	Peggy	5/31/ 2018	Complaint about our business to the State of California Industrial Relations Labor Commissioner. Our Business is TREATS located in Nevada City California Who made the complaint?		Completed	Erin Nickless <p>We note that your request seeks a complaint submitted to the California Industrial Relations Labor Commissioner. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. Our research into the matter shows that the records you seek may be in the custody or control of another state agency. We suggest that you direct your request to the Department of Industrial Relations. Information on how to request Public Records from them can be found on their website at <a href="https://www.dir.ca.gov/pr_request.html">https://www.dir.ca.gov/pr_request.html</a>.</p>



## PRA Requests

Received From: 6/1/2018

Received To: 6/30/2018

Total: 233

Request ID	Requestor Last Name	Requestor First Name	Received Date	Request Description	Requestor Business Name	Request Status	Responder	Response Summary
PRA-2018-01072	Smith	Megan	6/1/2018	<p>1. Any and all records related to the case captioned Citizens Against Corporate Crime, LLC, a Wyoming limited liability company, as Relator v. Lennar Corporation et al., Case No. 34-2017-00208469, which was filed in the California Superior Court for the County of Sacramento on February 24, 2017.</p> <p>2. Any and all records related to the case captioned Citizens Against Corporate Crime, LLC, a Wyoming limited liability company, as Relator v. Lennar Corporation et al., Case No. 2:18-cv-01269-TLN-DB, which is pending in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California.</p> <p>3. Any and all records related to Citizens Against Corporate Crime, LLC, a Wyoming limited liability company formed on February 23, 2017.</p> <p>4. Any and all records related to Mr. Nicolas Marsch.</p>		Completed	Joanna Forster	<p>For the reasons set forth below, we cannot grant your request in toto: A. Pending Litigation Exemption. Agencies may withhold the disclosure of records pertaining to pending litigation to which the public agency is a party until the pending litigation has been finally adjudicated or otherwise settled. (Gov. Code, § 6254 subd. (b).) The pending litigation exemption does not duplicate the attorney work product exemption but is broader and covers documents prepared by the agency in anticipation of, or for use in, litigation. (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (Axelrad) (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 831; Fairley v. Superior Court (1998) 66 Cal.App.4th 1414, 1422.) B. Attorney Client Privilege. Some of the records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.)</p> <p>In the present case, the attorneys in our department provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys concerning the Lennar matter and/or Mr. Marsch and/or Citizens Against Corporate Crime, LLC are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>C. Attorney Work Product. The attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, §6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>D. Deliberative Process Privilege. The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255.</p> <p>In the instant case, records such as analyses, draft language, and memoranda concerning the strengths and weaknesses of a</p>

							<p>particular proposal would be covered by the deliberative process privilege and, therefore, absent special circumstances would be exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6255.</p> <p>E. Investigative Records. The records that you seek are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355).</p> <p>Please note that under the Public Records Act, government agencies are not obligated to provide a privilege log or list of every record withheld along with a statutory justification for withholding. (Haynie v Superior Court (2001) 26 Cal.4th 1061, 1074 [where the California Supreme Court noted that public agencies must articulate the specific PRA exemption pertaining to records withheld. "What section 6255 does not require, however, is for the agency to go further and describe each of the documents falling within the statutory exemption." (Italics in original.)].) Moreover, providing such a log would be unduly time-consuming and burdensome under the public interest balancing test. (Haynie v Superior Court (2001) 26 Cal.4th 1061, 1074-75; Gov. Code, § 6255.)</p> <p>Subject to and without waiving any of the foregoing objections, we believe that the only documents that would be both responsive to your request and subject to disclosure are publicly available court documents and filings in Citizens Against Corporate Crime, LLC, a Wyoming limited liability company, as Relator v. Lennar Corporation et al., Case No. 34-2017-00208469 (Sac. Sup. Ct.). We assume that you are able to access and obtain these documents. If that is not the case, please advise and we can provide them to you.</p>
PRA-2018-01073	Robbins	Caleb	6/1/2018	My public records have my wrong date of birth		Completed	Erin Nickless <p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01074	Beck (nee Selden)	Danielle	6/1/2018	I am looking for any records for My grandfather that you may have. His name was Frank Reyes Selden. I think possibly using Frank Reyes. He was born [REDACTED] in San Francisco. Married my grandmother Elizabeth Drignat who was born Iowa. She emigrated to Canada as a child with her family. My grandfather married her in Winnipeg But they left Canada and headed for a meeting with Mr Walt Disney in 1929. They were never heard of since. I think that my Grandfather ended up in prison in Sacramento for ABH. But there is still no trace of my grandmother his wife, of the second child they had named Emmogene Reyes Selden in October 1929 in Sacramento county hospital. I have been over to the library in Sacramento twice and trapedes the streets looking for any other clues. But can find nothing. I did find a Frank Reyes on the books of The prison there and even a photo that looks just like my dad. Is there any records of him, either in prison or		Completed	Erin Nickless <p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request</p>

				missing persons in 1929-1935 period. His Wife Elizabeth or Emmogene.				processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-01075	Heo	Elizabeth	6/1/2018	Documents served on the Attorney General's Office pursuant to California Insurance Code section 10134, et seq. from (05/01/2018 through 05/31/2018) Please contact me to arrange a time to inspect and copy these records.		Completed	Howard Wayne	We will provide you with such documents. Please contact Nancy Quach at 415-703-5648 to schedule time for you to review these records. If you wish to copy these records, you will need to provide your own scanning equipment.
PRA-2018-01097	Olfati (June 2018)	Parvin	6/1/2018	Pursuant to the California Public Records Act (PRA), I hereby request the California Department of Justice (DOJ) documents that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any DOJ communications with The Sacramento Bee or any person employed by The Sacramento Bee, including but not limited to reporter Adam Ashton, that were created, transmitted, received and/or stored on any DOJ computer or other medium on or after January 1, 2016 through and including the date of your response to this PRA request letter, and that: 1. Mention, refer to, and/or relate to Parvin Olfati, Patty Olfati, Patti Olfati and/or Ms. Olfati. 2. Mention, refer to, and or relate to any civil or administrative action to which any person named "Olfati" is a named party. 3. Mention, refer to, and/or relate to any Public Records Act (PRA) and/or Information Practices Act (IPA) request in which any person named "Olfati" is a named requestor. 4. Mention, refer to, and/or relate to any document under the name "Olfati" delivered to the Assembly Rules Committee; the Assembly Rules Committee Subcommittee on Harassment, Discrimination, Retaliation Prevention and Response; and/or any Member of the Assembly. 5. Mention, refer to, and/or relate to the State Board of Equalization, California Department of Justice, California Department of Personnel Administration, California Department of Human Resources, State Personnel Board and/or State Compensation Insurance Fund. 6. If any of the documents requested in Request Items 1, 2, 3, 4 and/or 5 have been destroyed or are otherwise unavailable, please provide the records of their destruction or unavailability, together with applicable records retention policies.		Completed	Nancy Doig	On June 25, 2018, our office sent you a letter indicating that we would provide you with non-exempt communications with reporter Adam Ashton that are in the possession of employees in our communications division, Office of Legislative Affairs, and Employment and Administrative Mandate Section, or in the possession of our Public Records Act Coordinator. Enclosed please find such records we have located that are responsive to your request. This completes our response to your June 1, 2018, request.  June 25, 2018 Response: As a preliminary matter, the Department of Justice and The Sacramento Bee are both large organizations. Thus, a search for any documents related to any communications that (a) are between the Department of Justice and any Sacramento Bee employee and (b) relate to the Department of Justice would involve asking hundreds of employees to search their records, many of which either might not be indexed or kept in a uniform fashion. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code, § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.) Moreover, given the breadth of your request, responsive records might include documents protected under the attorney-client privilege, attorney work product doctrine, and deliberative process privilege, and therefore exempt from a Public Record's Act disclosure under Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k). In light of the above, we have focused our search to look for non-exempt communications with reporter Adam Ashton that are in the possession of employees in our communications division, Office of Legislative Affairs, and Employment and Administrative Mandate Section, or in the possession of our Public Records Act Coordinator. We anticipate providing you with documents identified using these criteria within the next three weeks. Additionally, in light of item 6 of your request, we will provide you with a copy of the Department of Justice's document retention policy.  On June 11, 2018, Nancy Doig sent an extension letter.

PRA-2018-01117	Clemmons	Marlon	6/1/2018	Request For Quote (RFQ) 17-346 Fujitsu Scanners		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.
PRA-2018-01139	Gardner	Jeremy	6/1/2018	<p>This complaint is in response to address reply sent by your office, signed by you on the date of May 11th, 2018, where it is you had provided me with limited information. In response to my Public Record's Act request, California Government Code 6250 et. seq. you exempted information of importance sought by me.</p> <p>On the date of April 22nd 2018, I had drafted and sent a request to the Department of Justice under the "Freedom of Information Act" Title 5 § 552. You had made correction to such an error by stating that your department is none more than a "state" agency. You went further to add that my request would be better honored under the alternative of California's Public Record's Act, and then assembled your reply.</p> <p>In my request I specifically asserted my need to obtain information of a common public knowledge. I had requested records in the form of business address for correspondence purposes. The address for certain government agencies and or office. All of which located within California territory. The addresses as for items I require are as follows: A) U.S. Postal Services California's H.Q. B) California's Secretary of Corrections (Central Office); C) C.D.C.R. Office of Legal Affairs, D) Division of Adult Institutions. E) California's Victim Compensation Board. F) American Civil Liberties Union.</p> <p>Also articulated in my letter of request before closing I had informed the reader that should the event arise that I be denied any or all of my requested information you send with your notification a provision of instructions needed for my filing an appeal as to your decision of exempting the items requested appropriately constructed by your department. Once again you had failed in providing! There was not one bit of mention as to procedure and policy for my filing of an appeal.</p> <p>Unfortunately, now that the event had arised in which your withholding of information requires my filing of an appeal where it is you felt it necessary to deprive me. I need contact you yet again in order I receive instruction for appealing your decision. Your withholding both the primary requested information just as well as the latter surely proves your response inadequate on more than one matter. Back to the primary subjects in request that of being corresponding business addresses for my acquire. You denied me such information on the argument that the "DOJ" does not or "is not in a position to perform legal research or to provide legal advice to" private individuals." That being the only ground for your neglect, I must return argument in dispute of your actions.</p> <p>I must continue my argument by also adding this. First and foremost as an American (United States) citizen, a "private individual" as was stated by yourself, leaves me all the more under the protection of our most highly respected and cherished United States Constitution just as well as that of the California Constitution. The California Constitution of course inferior but nonetheless significant</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>As stated in our previous response, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>In regard to your request seeking to have our office research addresses of other government agencies for you, we once again deny your request. Our office does not conduct research on behalf of private individuals.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking information regarding formally appealing our decision, we cannot provide such information as our Department does not have a written appeal process relating to Public Records Act requests.</p>

				<p>for its own standards presented by the state legislature and adopted by the people as of March 7th 1879, and since been amended and enforced November 4th 2018.</p> <p>Therefore, I am promised privilege by either U.S. Congress or State Legislature and Assembly, actually all of the said combined, which guarantees that I am entitled to the knowing of standard and common knowledge presentable to the consumer. As piece meal that knowledge including simple address for government offices, departments, and agencies, to further my involvement by participation which has been expected of me as an American citizen. For the furthering of my intellect and the progression of our nation.</p> <p>The items for my request were done so not by any means of threat in purpose, not by any hazard in legality, not for any reason as you have stated. If as such threat is present according to your beliefs. I had given no indications of such in my letter of request. I did not suggest of posing any threat, not legally, not physically, nor selfishly. For I gave no suggestion, in fact I gave no statement as to why I needed possess the listed item. I did not once assert my reason for acquiring the information other than the obvious potential use of one's correspondence whether it be for private or public gains. In other words, no place in my letter did I ask for legal advice nor did I show intent for hazard. I simply looked to obtain unrestricted business address of common access and availability for the average citizen. And who better to obtain it from than a representative of the organizations (government) which they all belong to. I believe your claim as for reason to withhold such information is without substantial merit.</p> <p>In conclusion to this matter I need to acquire your department's (DOJ) instruction in procedure for my filing of an appeal regarding your decision of not providing a viable work product, for not having supplied me with such request in the first place, for instruction on taking reasonable action for my dissatisfaction it too will be deserving of recognition with superior review.</p> <p>However, I will leave you with option as for remedy by still reserving you the choice to supply me with the addresses originally requested accompanied with the instructions for my filing should an unfortunate situation similar to this one happen again in the future. Thank you for your time and efforts.</p>			
PRA-2018-01059	Junkert	Ronald	6/4/2018	<p>Can you please provide me with a copy of the letter to Tim Bero, TNW, Inc. dated 3/28/2000, signed by Randy Rossi? Also, please include any subsequent DOJ letters concerning the classification of a Browning 1919A4 and Browning M2 firearms as CA-legal semi-automatic "crew guns".</p>	Completed	Robert Wilson	The Department does not have any documents responsive to your request.
PRA-2018-01077	Enriquez	Roldan	6/4/2018	<p>all pertaining to financials collected on your department and names of banks where you deposits OCA 389210039 station id SID .A030388373. APP.ORI A0448 were all realty and loans committed. if you had a full records included the lenders data statistic as originations of my lenders that was not reporting to me records of all states banks lender if possible for me to compare records I had on my sba retrieved from ffiec. as I am the examining all sourced with financial obligations. if you have concerned on your department you must worked according to your descriptions obligated to Roldan Enriquez .in connections</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Your request is unfocused and we were unable to determine what exactly you are seeking. You mention records of "all financials," and "realty loans" which is vague and unspecific. As a result, your request is too broad and we must decline your request for records. As you may be aware, the Attorney General is the head of the Department of Justice. There are Offices of the Attorney General in six different cities and additional offices for DOJ's law enforcement divisions. A search for records responsive to your request as it is currently cast would require each division of the DOJ (including each section, branch, unit or bureau) to be canvassed, requiring staff or each individual deputy attorney general to review every individual</p>

				<p>to all P.O. Box 903417 Sacramento .CA 94203-4170 deposit box I instructed you to send to Roldan Enriquez at address: [REDACTED]</p> <p>pertaining to all the documents and properties on records ,mandated .original records sent to casdsocial serv ccl - crcb .properties i had requested to send and delivered the documents to my current address from failed agencies and manufactured and industries .example of failed lender industries are all car manufacturer and dealers ,banks, credit union, cash checking, store, groceries, supermarkets, usps, federal officers ,court of alameda were i requested to send back to my physical address in regards of court calls collected .these were failed participants and terminated lenders for future transactions. specific industries of car manufacturing industry is tesla located in Fremont .car dealer in mission blvd .along Hayward California. all banks branches and store at the same area .i do not have the names right now but these individuals and staff are convicted .i designated you to get the identities and conduct fingerprints to identify the staff employees on duties as active employees .i advice you to terminates those employees .bank of america hayward branch A street ,mission blvd,bank of America from not giving my box deposits and refused to cash my checks.</p> <p>wells fargo southmall from refusal of instructed i made but illegally collect my deposits .the san francisco federal securities from not allowing me to enter to my building preventing me to transact my legal business .police officers on hayward station from illegal arrest and confiscated cdl and so on and so fort. these are happening everywhere here in where they benefits wealth and treasures were tax concerned collected by each municipalities that were all convictions and convicted officers and government officials and agencies departments whose respons ble for my stolen money and records excluded is the lucky store near in bishop ave .i was not harassed by employees from allowing me to get what i need inside the store without proper payment on records i paid due to stolen moneys i done those unpaid items .these are only events that was not in plan to happen but if you do not act as department of justice what else is your job here? i do not allowed you participates and be accessories of rights violated i want you to serve and do your work job described according to what i want not according to your playmates. i will cut your payroll system if i found you obstructing my rights.</p> <p>instructions for the staff and employees who are receiving payroll .send my records described above as your obligations and liabilities to me as member of the public and as your source of income why you lived .send me the paid fees and tax collected from finger printing agencies and all court calls with paid fees rates on all services under your department. this lists includes app.</p>			<p>assigned matter to determine if they have any “documents concerning” a specific statute. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code, § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking realty loan records, you may wish to direct your request to the financial institution that handled the loan, or the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides.</p> <p>If you can provide more specificity, we may be able to conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control. However, as it is currently cast, we must decline your request.</p>
PRA-2018-01078	Ahola	Paul	6/4/2018	<p>Janitorial service for city of Brentwood, ca 94513 Interested in cost figures</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct</p>

							your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the City of Brentwood, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PRA-2018-01081	Azimi	Shawheen	6/4/2018	I want every single police document, every criminal and civil court document that has that name on it, either as witness, plaintiff, or defendant. Shawheen Azimi all police documents will be from San Diego Northern Division.		Completed	Erin Nickless We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with a court, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the San Diego Northern Division.
PRA-2018-01082	Loera	Michael	6/4/2018	Court Records For Michael G Loera For Civil Rape Conviction All Related Court Rape Documents Related To Michael Grady Loera.		Completed	Erin Nickless Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.
PRA-2018-01083	Hobson	Christin	6/4/2018	I am looking for a current outline of open cases and active warrants for myself, Christin Hobson, in the state of Ca. Also, a full transcript of the family law case named Johnston vs Hobson at lamoreaux justice center in Orange County, ca.		Completed	Erin Nickless Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. Since you are seeking records filed with a family law court, you may wish to direct your request to their office. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .

PRA-2018-01084	Fenner	Matthew	6/4/2018	<p>June 4, 2018 request: The number of total juvenile arrests for felony and misdemeanor crimes in the city of Fontana, California for 2008-2016, totaled by year.</p> <p>June 16, 2018 follow up: Prop 47 makes this difficult. Are you able to send me the crimes that were committed each year in a spreadsheet? I see there were 138 misd. crimes committed in Fontana in 2014. I would like a breakdown for each crime. So for example, 594 PC in a column, then how many times a juvenile offender was arrested for that crime in Fontana below it.</p>		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p> <p>The California DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.</p> <p>On June 28, 2018 an extension letter was sent by Jaimie Tackett, CJIS.</p> <p>June 14, 2018 response: The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p> <p>The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.</p> <p>June 18, 2018 follow up: Please submit any future requests to the Public Records Ombudsman who is charged with facilitating the DOJ's response to record requests, here:  <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/contact/publicrecords_form">https://oag.ca.gov/contact/publicrecords_form</a>.</p> <p>For your follow-up request below, If you are looking for a breakdown of the arrests, we can provide you with the code level (a grouping of Penal Codes (PC) for statistical reporting purposes) as we do not collect the PC code for the Monthly Arrest and Citation Register. We could also provide you with a manual that lists the specific PC codes within each grouped code.</p> <p>If this is what you would like, please confirm and advise on the time frame.</p>
PRA-2018-01085	Sethi	Mukul	6/4/2018	<p>BHAKTIVEDANTA BOOK TRUST is a Trust in the state of California, 9701 Venice Blvd # 3, Los Angeles, CA 90034, USA</p> <p>Phone: +1 310-559-4455. Please provide a copy of the 1972 BHAKTIVEDANTA BOOK TRUST AGREEMENT May 29 1972. If you do not hold records, please direct this to relevant department or inform me the name of the department I should contact.</p>		Completed	Tania M. Ibanez, SAAG	<p>This office does not have any records for the Bhaktivedanta Book Trust as this organization is an exempt organization and does not need to register or file reports with this office. You may want to check with the California Secretary of State (SOS) as the organization was incorporated and exempt by the SOS as a religious nonprofit.</p>
PRA-2018-01086	Estrada	Francisco	6/4/2018	<p>Any and all records complaints and warrant of liability records of March 2017-current. Please inform me how to get mobile inspection</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. If the inspections you mention in your request are for mobile homes, you may wish to direct your request to the Department of Housing and Community Development. Information on how to contact them can be found on their website at <a href="http://www.hcd.ca.gov/">http://www.hcd.ca.gov/</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01089	Schmidt	Collin	6/4/2018	<p>I am requesting the public records and criminal records of Rosemarie M. Geiger of Santa Ana, CA. More specifically, the criminal records that pertain to a one-year prison sentence for drug trafficking.</p> <p>I am a former business partner of Rosemarie M. Geiger and may be entering a law suit due to a break of contract and because of information that has surfaced regarding her being involved in a past drug trafficking ring. These records</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to</p>



				are solely requested for proof of past, and to be used in a court of law.			individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. To the extent that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-01090	Torchinsky	Jason	6/4/2018	<p>I seek any and all records, existing in any format whatsoever, including, but not limited to, paper documentation, electronic documentation, written correspondence, email correspondence, records of telephone correspondence, records pertaining to in-person meetings, calendar or scheduling entries, videotapes, photographs, computer print-outs, telephone messages, or voice mail messages, etc., and consisting of correspondence in any form whatsoever and without limitation, or detailing meetings or discussions in any form whatsoever and without limitation, regarding:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Any documents, correspondence, or records related to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Braeburn Pharmaceuticals (aka Braeburn);</li> <li>o Michael Derkacz;</li> <li>o Apple Tree Partners;</li> <li>o Seth Harrison;</li> <li>o Clean Slate Recovery Centers;</li> <li>o Kelly Clark;</li> <li>o Probuphine; or</li> <li>o Buprenorphine;</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Any documents, correspondence, or records related to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Indivior PLC (aka Indivior);</li> <li>o Shaun Thaxter; or</li> <li>o Suboxone;</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Any documents, correspondence, or records related to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Advocates for Opioid Recovery;</li> <li>o Van Jones;</li> <li>o Patrick Kennedy; or</li> <li>o Newt Gingrich;</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Any documents, correspondence, or records related to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o American Society for Addiction Medicine (ASAM);</li> <li>o Penny Mills; or</li> <li>o Stuart Gitlow;</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Any documents, correspondence, or records related to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o California Society for Addiction Medicine (CSAM); or</li> <li>o David Kan;</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Any documents, correspondence, or records related to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Coalition to Stop Opioid Overdose;</li> <li>o Carol McDaid;</li> <li>o Holly Strain; or</li> <li>o Capitol Decisions;</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Any documents, correspondence, or records related to Leana Wen;</li> <li>• Any documents, correspondence, or records related to Andrew Kolodny;</li> <li>• Any documents, correspondence, or records related to</li> </ul>	Completed	John Killeen	<p>Responsive documents are attached to this letter. As you will see, nearly all responsive, non-exempt documents were blast emails containing newsletters, invitations, and similar content. Based on our interpretation of the intent of your request, we did not include documents referring to Van Jones, Newt Gingrich, or Patrick Kennedy, where the documents had nothing to do with opioids or related topics.</p> <p>Some otherwise responsive documents are being withheld from production. Some documents are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act to the extent they may fall within our office's investigatory files. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (f).) Other documents are exempt from disclosure because they are "records of complaints to ... the Attorney General and the Department of Justice." (Ibid.) Still other documents are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act because they are subject to the attorney-client privilege and/or protections against disclosure of attorney work product, the deliberative process privilege, and the official information privilege. (§ 6254, subd. (k).)</p> <p>On June 14, 2018, John Killeen sent an extension letter.</p>

				Joshua Sharfstein; or • To the extent such communications or correspondence are not included in the above list ( and for the avoidance of any doubt), any communications or correspondence from any individual or entity related to Kamala Harris with any of the above entities or individuals.				
PRA-2018-01112	DeLuz	Craig	6/4/2018	I am requesting that you email to me, a copy of the proposed "Assault Weapons Definitions" regulations (See attached).	Completed	Audrey Durfor	Attached, please find the document, proposed "Assault Weapons Definitions" regulations, you requested.	
PRA-2018-01135	Walczak	Ryan	6/4/2018	I would like to see if my father left or had a last Will and testament. He is my father and he has always told us four kids that he left a will for us kids but I have reason to believe my mom forged paper work and is keeping it from us kids I would just like to know to see if I have to take legal action	Completed	Erin Nickless	Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice, therefore we must deny your request. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). Please note that our office is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records regarding a probate matter. You may wish to contact the local court in which the probate matter would be heard, or contact the attorney who managed the will mentioned in your request. If you are seeking unclaimed property linked to an estate, you may wish to contact the State Controller's office.	
PRA-2018-01157	Olfati (June 2018)	Parvin	6/4/2018	[A]ny and all documents, records, electronic mail messages, text messages, memoranda, and or writings, created, transmitted, stored and/or received on any California Department of Justice department, Deputy Attorney General, board, office, officer, employee, agent or representative (DOJ) computer or other medium on or after January 1, 2017 through and including the date of your response to this PRA/IPA request letter, that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any investigation, surveillance, monitoring and/or actions taken with regard to Parvin Olfati, Patty Olfati, Patti Olfati and/or Ms. Olfati, including but not limited to any so-called "intelligence" investigation. In addition, please produce any and all documents, records, and/or writings reflecting any destruction, alteration, removal, transfer or non-availability of any of the records requested herein, together with applicable records retention policies.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records. To the extent that your request seeks investigative records, we must decline your request. Investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)	
PRA-2018-01091	Valle	Brayant	6/5/2018	In Search of my personal public record. Searching for a traffic violation I was Involved in 2012 I believe.	Completed	Erin Nickless	We note that your request seeks driving records. You appear to be seeking records that are in the custody of the Department of Motor Vehicles. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). Information on requesting your driver records can be found at <a href="http://www.dmv.org/ca-california/driving-records.php">http://www.dmv.org/ca-california/driving-records.php</a> . If you are seeking criminal records regarding a DUI, they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> . We hope the information we have provided is of assistance.	

PRA-2018-01093	Trotta	Irene	6/5/2018	I need a copy of my marriage and divorce certificate under the name Irene Valdez to George D. Camargo I got married in April around 1992-4 can't remember.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA-2018-01095	Sipple	Wyn	6/5/2018	Arrest and court records for Joseph Wadginski		Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. To the extent that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-01098	Tsyrenzhapova	Dariya	6/5/2018	I am writing to request under the California Public Records Act an electronic copy of the California State Sex Offender Registry. Specifically, I am looking for record-level data for registered sex offenders who moved out of state from January 1, 2015 to present day. It is important that the data is broken down by year. I am requesting all the fields in the database, including but not limited to Name, Date of Birth, Offense, Offense Date, Conviction Date, Risk Level, Victim Age, Victim Gender, Country/State an offender moved to. If there are any fields that you must withhold by law, please let me know what those fields are. I am sure we will be able to generate a workable data format. I often deal with large raw databases, and I would be able to accept information in ascii, .csv (delimited) or .xls via an e-mail attachment, flash drive, etc. In addition, please include record layouts, code sheets or any other documentation necessary to interpret the data.		Completed	Michael Dolida	On June 15, 2018, an extension letter was sent. On June 18, 2018, our office responded: Unfortunately, we must deny your PRA request for the following reasons: (1) Public access to confidential sex offender registration information is controlled by Megan's Law which, for database integrity reasons, limits such access to real time internet searches on the Megan's Law web site; and (2) Given the constantly-changing nature of the Megan Law's database, the public's interest is best served by limiting public access to confidential sex offender registration information that is accurate and up-to-date. Megan's Law Provides for Internet Access Only Most of the information provided by registering sex offenders during the registration process is confidential (i.e., not open to public inspection). (Pen. Code, § 290.021.) The same is also true for "criminal offender record information" kept in the Department of Justice's California Sex and Arson Registry ("CSAR"). However, despite the confidential nature of this information, California provides members of the public with limited access to some of the sex offender registration information via the Megan's Law internet web site. Specifically, Penal Code section 290.46 provides that "the Department of Justice shall make available information concerning persons who are required to register pursuant to Section 290 to the public via an Internet Web site as specified in this section." (Pen. Code, § 290.46, subd. (a)(l), italics

							<p>added.) The statute further requires the department to "update the Internet Web site on an ongoing basis." (Ibid.) As mentioned in the notes to this code section, the Legislature created the Megan's Law web site with the intent "that the Department of Justice [ would] continue to maximize all available resources to ensure the highest degree of accuracy in the sex registration database." (Pen. Code, § 290.46, Stats. 2004. ch 745, §. 2.) Additionally, the Legislature enacted Megan's Law with the intent that "California's infrastructure for collecting, maintaining, and disseminating information about registered sex offenders [ would] be retooled to ensure that law enforcement and the public have access to accurate, up-to-date, and relevant information about registered sex offenders." (Pen. Code, § 290.46, Stats 2006 ch. 337, § 2, subd. (c), italics added.) Thus, in order to help ensure and maintain the accuracy of this information, the Legislature limited the public dissemination of sex offender registration information to the Megan's Law web site.</p> <p>Government Code section 6254 sets forth various exceptions to the disclosure requirements under the PRA. Significantly for this matter, section 6254, subdivision (k), exempts from disclosure "Records, the disclosure of which is exempted or prohibited pursuant to federal or state law, including, but not limited to, provisions of the Evidence Code relating to privilege." (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k), italics added.) Thus, the PRA cannot extend the public's right of access to sex offender registration information beyond the limitations and restrictions set forth in Megan's Law. Consequently, the public's right of access to this information is limited to accessing the Megan's Law database.</p> <p>The Public Interest is Best Served by Limiting Access to Current and Accurate Information.</p> <p>In your request, you state you are looking for an electronic copy of "record-level data" regarding registered sex offenders that have moved out of the state starting on January 1, 2015 to present. You further request the Department to provide the name, date of birth, offense, offense date, date of conviction, risk level, victim age and gender, and the country or state the offender moved to. Under Government Code section 6255, a government agency may withhold disclosure of a public record "by demonstrating ... that on the facts of the particular case the public interest served by not disclosing the record clearly outweighs the public interest served by disclosure of the record." (Gov. Code, §6255, subd. (a).) As a separate legal basis, we believe the circumstances in this case necessitate nondisclosure of the records that you seek from us. The information that appears on the Megan's Law web site is processed through a thirdparty vendor. The purpose of the web site is to provide members of the public access to the most current sex offender registrant data. Thus, the site, which is updated daily to reflect any new additions, deletions, and modifications to the registrant database, stores and displays only the current day's data.</p> <p>The Offender Registration Application Unit (ORAU) is the internal unit within the Department of Justice that provides technology support and maintenance for CSAR, the State's repository for sex and arson registration information. Every day, ORAU creates a file which contains a snapshot of the registration data for that day. Our vendor then downloads that file from our site and stores the file on the hard drive of the server that creates the files used for the web site. Specifically, our vendor runs a program that takes that day's data and completely recreates the data files that are used by the web servers to provide the data to the public. Our vendor does not employ any database for this process, only temporary ISAM files. No transaction or other record keeping measures are utilized. The previous day's data on the web servers is overwritten with the new data. The data is also overwritten on the computer where these daily</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

							<p>data files are created.</p> <p>On our end, ORAU keeps a backup for seven days of the extract file that is sent to our vendor. However, the information on the backup files is not a mirror image of what appears on the public web site because it contains information that is excluded from public view during our vendor's processing of the information. Additionally, even if our vendor reprocessed the information from one of the backup files, we could not ensure the accuracy of all the information because of the ever-changing nature of the registration database. In other words, because the registration database changes on a daily basis (i.e., newly added registrants, newly removed registrants, and changes to registrant's data) even a delay of one day would result in a report that contained inaccurate data. Such results do not comply with the Legislature's stated intent "that the Department of Justice... ensure the highest degree of accuracy in the sex registration database" (Pen. Code, § 290.46, Stats 2004 ch 745, §. 2) and "ensure that ... the public have access to accurate, up-to-date, and relevant information about registered sex offenders" (Pen. Code, § 290.46, Stats 2006 ch 337, §. 2, subd. (c)). While we understand and appreciate that you are not concerned with the ongoing accuracy of the information provided, the law requires us as discussed ante to provide the public updated and accurate information on an ongoing basis. Due to the constantly changing nature of the information in the registry, a "snapshot" view of the information for any given day of the Megan's Law web site would almost certainly be obsolete the following day. In other words, the day-old "snapshot" would contain some information that should have been removed, and it would be missing other information that should have been added. Given the sensitive nature of the information at issue, we cannot allow such inaccurate records to exist. The problem is further compounded by the fact that if we provide a "snapshot" for one member of the public we have to provide a "snapshot" to every member of the public that requests it. In doing so, we would be creating a situation wherein an untold number inaccurate "snapshots" of the database would exist.</p> <p>Furthermore, given the highly sensitive nature of sex offender registration information, providing the public with inaccurate information could cause real public safety concerns. For instance, if registration information is disseminated about a person who is no longer required to register or be posted on the web site, that person may be subjected to undue harassment or even danger. Additionally, if a registrant moves, the person who occupies the registrant's old address may be mistakenly harassed or injured. And, as every day goes by, the obsolescence of the report and the inaccuracies contained within it continue to grow.</p> <p>Finally, we receive a multitude of similar requests from members of the public, especially broadcast and print media outlets. Depending on the volume and requested frequency (i.e., daily, weekly, monthly) of such PRA requests if we were required to produce sex offender registration database information, the additional time and work required for responding to the requests could have a dramatic impact on our ability to successfully perform the core functions of CSAR, which in turn could affect public safety.</p>
PRA-2018-01099	Thomas	Darwin	6/5/2018	I'm requesting trial transcripts for my brother Kevin Demarcious Thomas Birth date [REDACTED], Arrest Date 2-20-1995, Appearance Date 05-28-1996, Complaint Filed 05-28-1996, Counts PC 211, Superior Court No. GA028614, Superior Court Of Los Angeles Pasadena Judicial District, BA108977-01, GA-022428-03	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the</p>

								entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with Los Angeles Superior Court, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PRA-2018-01100	Morgan Jr.	James	6/5/2018	I need my divorce month, date and year. From 30 years ago.		Completed	Erin Nickless	The Public Records Coordinator called Mr. Morgan to let him know that divorce records are not maintained by DOJ, and suggested he try the family law court of office of vital records.
PRA-2018-01171	Munsey	Linda	6/5/2018	Can someone tell me how to request California SDI records for another person? I work for a law firm in Utah. We are defending a physician in a medical malpractice claim and I would like to find the form to request records for the person at issue in the lawsuit.	CAMPBELL, HOUSER, FERENCE & HALL	Completed	Erin Nickless	Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. The Department of Justice cannot produce records that are responsive to your request. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records regarding disability claims. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the Employment Development Department. Information on submitting requests regarding disability to their office can be found at <a href="http://www.edd.ca.gov/disability/How_to_File_a_DI_Claim_in_SDI_Online.htm">http://www.edd.ca.gov/disability/How_to_File_a_DI_Claim_in_SDI_Online.htm</a> .
PRA-2018-01172	Zinnerman	Vasco	6/5/2018	Any information you could provide me with regarding the 3 Strikes Law of 2018 Initiative# 17-0046 also known as The People's Fair Sentencing and Public Safety Act of 2018.		Completed	Anabel Renteria	A copy of Initiative 17-0046, "The People's Fair Sentencing and Public Safety Act of 2018" is enclosed for your records. This completes our response to your request.
PRA-2018-01101	Bennett	Brian	6/6/2018	I'm looking for any documents regarding a 1958 trial of the National Wrestling Alliance (NWA). The NWA was accused of monopolizing the professional wrestling industry. I am interested in any and all official statements made by either side. I apologize for being so vague, I don't know anything about the court system or how any of this works. Thank you!		Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Our research into the matter shows that this case may have been handled by the United States Department of Justice, rather than the California Department of Justice. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. If the case you are looking for is the same as the case mentioned at <a href="http://wrestlingperspective.com/consentdecree.html">http://wrestlingperspective.com/consentdecree.html</a> , then the records you are seeking are not records of the California Department of Justice. You may be able to obtain such records through the United States Department of Justice, or the United States District Court for the Southern District of Iowa, Central Division.
PRA-2018-01102	Horn	Joseph	6/6/2018	Divorce decree between Stacy Ann McIntyre and myself. Her last name was Horn at the time. It was completed in 2006 at Fort Irwin, CA. Both Stacy and myself are/were CA residents at the time I am in the military and I have to have these records in order to do a VA Claim. If any further information is needed to help this process and get the divorce decree, please email me and I will get it to you ASAP. Thank you.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .

PRA-2018-01103	McDonnell	Steven	6/6/2018	CHP investigation concerning Cal Fire Employee Timothy L. Edgmon, whom was investigated for an incident (Breach of public trust) taking place in April of 2014 and terminated January 21st of 2015. The case involved sexual misbehavior, lying to CHP investigators and violations of several Cal Fire policies and lead to his termination. Mr. Edmond is undergoing a investigation for suitability as a civil service employee.		Completed	Chris Knudsen	<p>Although your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, it seeks records from other state departments and agencies. The California Highway Patrol conducted the investigation. Moreover, the Department of Justice did not represent the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection before the State Personnel Board. Accordingly, the Department is responding on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other state agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of the California Highway Patrol, State Personnel Board, California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection, or another state agency or constitutional officer, we suggest that you direct your request to them. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in the law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act.</p> <p>(Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4111 363.) Similarly, the attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc. section 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is also incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833 .)</p> <p>Accordingly, records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General 's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>Similarly, documents provided to us in confidence by our client agencies are protected by the attorney-client privilege. The Department of Justice represents both the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection and the California Highway Patrol in the litigation filed by Mr. Edgmon.</p> <p>The litigation between Mr. Edgmon and the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection and California Highway Patrol remains ongoing. Agencies may withhold the disclosure of records pertaining to pending litigation to which the public agency is a party until the pending litigation has been finally adjudicated or otherwise settled. (Gov. Code. § 6254 subd. (b).)</p> <p>We are also not producing records that are confidential and protected by the right of privacy. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (c).) Government Code Section 6254, subdivision (c) makes exempt personnel, medical, or similar files the disclosure of which would cause an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. We cannot produce documents that were provided to us in confidence and relate to private matters. For that reason, we are only producing responsive documents that are a matter of public record such as documents filed with the court.</p> <p>Enclosed please find copies of the non-privileged, discloseable records responsive to your request.</p> <p>On June 18, Chris Knudsen sent an extension letter.</p>
PRA-2018-01105	Clenney-Martinez	Carla	6/6/2018	I need a certified copy of CASE #99AS02793, an OAG case against Fidelity National Financial, Chicago Title, etc. I do not have the dates but one of the attorneys listed is Christina V. Tusan, 300 S. Spring St, LA CA, 90013		Completed	Amos Hartston	<p>Enclosed is a copy of the October 2002 Final Judgment in The People of the State of California, et al. v. Fidelity National Title Insurance Company, et al., Case No. 99AS02793, Superior Court of California, County of Sacramento. Our Office is not able to provide a certified copy.</p> <p>We hope the information we are able to provide is of assistance to you.</p>

PRA-2018-01106	Mercer	Tracey	6/6/2018	<p>I am looking for court records and documents related an attempted kidnapping. The arrest was May 7, 1959 and the court appearance was May 8, 1959 at 9:30 am at the Citrus Municipal Court.</p> <p>He received a sentence of 6 mos for this, and lesser charges of battery. I am not sure if he ever spent any time in jail though.</p> <p>The suspect was my estranged father - Gordon Theodore Hewell (aka Gordon Ted Hewell, Gordon T. Hewell, Gordon Leslie Hewell), who is now deceased.</p> <p>After his arrest for the 1959 kidnapping attempt, he also confessed to the killing of 2 El Segundo Police officers, terrorizing a group of teens, and raping one of those girls in 1957. I would like all records related to his confession as well.</p> <p>Gordon Hewell is my estranged father (now deceased), listed on my birth certificate. I was born December 19, 1968 in Bellflower, California as Tracey Lee Hewell (female). My mother was listed as Donna Hewell (maiden name Desparicio).</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with Citrus Municipal Court, you may wish to direct your request to their office.</p>
PRA-2018-01179	B.	Kirsten	6/6/2018	<p>Requesting information on the conviction that resulted in his incarceration on 01/15/2015.</p> <p>I would like to obtain the criminal record of Tyrone Douglas Brand (date of birth [REDACTED]). I am one of his victims, however I am not the victim who was involved in his most recent incarceration. I would like information on his criminal history because he has made threats against me in the past, and has threatened to harm me and my family before he was incarcerated. I don't have his case number, but I do have his CDCR number: AV6148. I'd like to know what he was charged with, what his sentence is, and when he is expected to be released on parole or probation. This information is pertinent to me because since he has threatened to harm me in the past, I would like to know when he is released back into the public.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p> <p>If you are seeking information regarding victim rights and services, you can contact our Victims' Services unit. More information about Marsy's Law for victims can be found on our website at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/victimservices/content/bill_of_rights">https://oag.ca.gov/victimservices/content/bill_of_rights</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01187	Lucas	Carlos	6/6/2018	<p>I am Lucas Carlos, I need a copy of my probation report, case # BA358376.</p>		Completed	Philip J. Lindsay	<p>We must decline your request.</p> <p>California Civil Code section 1798 et seq. provides for the protection of personal information in the possession of governmental and other agencies. The document that you seek is a confidential probation officer's report.</p> <p>The confidential report contains criminal offender information which is protected pursuant to California Penal Code sections 11081, 11105 et seq., 11125, 11141, and 13302.</p> <p>These statutes generally provide that a member of the California Department of Justice or local criminal justice agency may not furnish a summary criminal history information unless specifically authorized. Unauthorized release is a misdemeanor. Cal. Penal Code §§ 11141, 13302. The report also contains victim/witness information. This information is also protected under California law. See, e.g., Cal. Penal Code §§ 841.5, 1054.2.</p> <p>Should you wish to obtain a confidential probation report, you may attempt to contact either the probation department or a CDCR counselor to request such report.</p>
PRA-2018-01200	Maass	Dave	6/6/2018	<p>1) The names of agencies and organizations with which the Agency shares ALPR data;</p> <p>2) The names of agencies and organizations from which the Agency receives ALPR data;</p> <p>3) The names of agencies and organizations with which the</p>	Electronic Frontier Foundation	Completed	Robert Wilson	<p>Please find enclosed the Information from the Bureau of Firearms that is responsive to your request.</p> <p>On June 18, 2018, Robert Wilson sent an extension letter.</p>



			<p>Agency shares "hot list" information;</p> <p>4) The names of agencies and organizations from which the Agency receives "hot list" information;</p> <p>This information is easily available within the Agency's LEARN system. The simplest way to extract this data is to generate an "Agency Data Sharing Report" PDF file from within LEARN. To do this, a user may simply go to the "Sharing" section of LEARN and select "Output Report." A CSV/XLS file containing these records would also satisfy this request.</p> <p>The instructions for extracting this data is described on pages 62-63 of the LEARN Agency Manager Guide, which may be found at this link: <a href="https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/3860685-LEARN-5-1-Agency-Manager-Guide.html">https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/3860685-LEARN-5-1-Agency-Manager-Guide.html</a></p> <p>An example of this record may be found at this link: <a href="https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/3936113-Palos-Verdes-Estates-Police-Department-Data.html">https://www .documentcloud.org/documents/3936113-Palos-Verdes-Estates-Police-Department-Data.html</a></p> <p>A number of other agencies have regularly determined the above information constitutes a public record and have provided an "Agency Data Sharing Report." These agencies include:</p> <p>Anaheim Police Department. Antioch Police Department, Bakersfield Police Department Chino Police Department, Clovis Police Department, Elk Grove Police Department. Fontana Police Department, Fountain Valley Police Department. Glendora Police Department, Hawthorne Police Department, Irvine Police Department, Livermore Police Department, Lodi Police Department, Long Beach Police Department, Montebello Police Department, Orange Police Department. Palos Verdes Estates Police Department, Red Bluff Police Department ,Sacramento Police Department, San Bernardino Police Department, San Diego Police Department, San Rafael Police Department, San Ramon Police Department, Simi Valley Police Department, and the Tulare Police Department.</p> <p>We further request the following records</p> <p>The aggregate number of "detections" (i.e. license plate scans and associated data) collected during 2016.</p> <p>The aggregate number of detections collected during 2017.</p> <p>The aggregate number of "hits" (i.e. times that a plate on a hotlist was detected) during 2016.</p> <p>The aggregate number of "hits" during 2017.</p> <p>This information is easily available within the Agency's LEARN system. The simplest way to extract this data is to generate a "Dashboard Hit Ratio Report" PDF file from within LEARN. We would prefer the data for each year to be provided separately.</p> <p>An example of this document may be found at: <a href="https://www .documentcloud.org/documents/3870802-Sacramento-PD-Hit-Ratio-Rpt-010112-051917-Redacted.html">https://www .documentcloud.org/documents/3870802-Sacramento• PD-Hit-Ratio-Rpt-010112-051917-Redacted.html</a></p> <p>The instructions for extracting this data is described on pages 78-79 of the LEARN Agency Manager Guide, which may be found at this link: <a href="https://www .documentcloud.org/documents/3860685-LEARN-5-1-Agency-Manager-Guide .html">https://www .documentcloud.org/documents/3860685-LEARN-5-1-Agency-Manager-Guide .html</a></p> <p>The following agencies have regularly determined the above information constitutes a public record and have provided an "Dashboard Hit Ratio Report." These agencies include: Anaheim Police Department; Bakersfield Police Department; Chino Police Department; Clovis Police</p>				
--	--	--	---	--	--	--	--

				Department; Elk Grove Police Department; Fontana Police Department; Irvine Police Department; La Habra Police Department; Laguna Beach Police Department; Lodi Police Department; Sacramento Police Department; San Diego Police Department; San Ramon Police Department; and the Red Bluff Police Department.				
PRA-2018-01107	Prera	Kenia	6/7/2018	All, please.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows: -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. If you can provide more information regarding the records you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control.
PRA-2018-01108	Johnson	Sidra	6/7/2018	Year 1991 CA vs Comerlis Delaney Jr. I am requesting records regarding Statement of facts and court ruling		Completed	David Baskind	Two emails, dated June 8, 2018: 1) Our records indicate that the Court of Appeal issue an opinion on October 7, 1991. That opinion should contain a Statement of Facts as well as the court's disposition. I will request our file from storage. However, we do not generally keep files for more than 20 years. So, it is very likely the record has been destroyed. I will contact you again when I receive the file or learn that it has been destroyed. 2) As a follow-up to my previous email, I am sorry to inform you that our file was destroyed in 2012. Therefore, I cannot provide any substantive response to your request. You may wish to contact the First District Court of Appeal to see if they can provide you with a copy of their opinion.
PRA-2018-01109	Hopp	Richard	6/7/2018	1. Under Cal. Gov't Code, § 6253.9 an electronic copy of the Court of Appeal, Fourth titled Gamestop, Inc. v. The Superior Court; The People, Case No. E068701 document filed on 06/05/2018 Filed additional cites for oral argument. Riverside County District Attorney		Completed	Amos Hartston	We interpret your request to be for a document filed on June 5, 2018, by the Riverside County District Attorney in the in the matter Gamestop, Inc. v. The Superior Court, Case No. E068701, pending in the California Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District Division Two. We are not in possession of this document, and, therefore, we are not able to provide you with a copy. We respectfully suggest that you seek a copy of this document from the public files of the Court of Appeal where the case is pending or from the Riverside County District Attorney's Office.
PRA-2018-01110	Wieg	Christina	6/7/2018	Requesting any settlement agreement re Proposition 65, AG Number 1998-00136, date filed 6/25/1998, Noticing party - Gregory Lee, Esq., Plaintiff Attorney - Law Office of Edward H. Cross, Alleged Violators - Arizona Natural Resources, Inc., Aromatique, Inc., Banana Republic, Inc., Boston Warehouse Trading Corp., Candle Corp. of America, Candle Light Company, Candle Lite, etc. Time sensitive -Requesting information as soon as possible.		Completed	Harrison M. Pollak	We have not located any records responsive to your request. Ordinarily our document retention period is seven years. The Prop. 65 Clearinghouse, a private organization, might have a copy of what you're looking for.
PRA-2018-01113	Zamora	Caesar	6/7/2018	AIMS 14V2D08526 I'm a deaf person requesting my case record		Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the

								custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.
PRA-2018-01114	Damien	Christopher	6/7/2018	I request "Death in Custody & Arrest-Related Deaths" data for Riverside County, Los Angeles County, San Diego County, San Bernardino County, and Imperial County from 2016 to the present. An example of this data can be found at Open Justice: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/data">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/data</a> . I am a reporter for The Desert Sun, a newspaper in Palm Springs, Ca. This data will be used for citation in a story I am producing for public transparency into in-custody deaths. I am willing to receive a partial distribution and I am willing to receive data as it is available.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.
PRA-2018-01116	Matsumoto	Takeshi	6/7/2018	Please send me a copy of annual compliance report from Pacifica, Aspen, Northstar, Keiro, and CAB for the "Conditions for the Sale..."		Completed	Scott Chan	Enclosed is a CD containing the annual report for Keiro for 2018.
PRA-2018-01122	Yaffee	Marilynn	6/7/2018	I am a bit confused as I was told if the CHP interacted with my son on this event that this was where I would request those records/incident report? Is there a better place to request from CHP? Sacramento Sheriff's office has been very difficult to work with as has the Sacramento police department. I was reviewing the California public records laws and if I understand it right anyone can request records. Whether you are able to receive all you ask for will then be determined and you should get a response in 10 days and the records must be in their original for even if parts may be redacted. Sacramento police refused to let me request records and the sheriff's office has been rude, baiting, and have told me they were only following the directions of a detective Girdlestone who by doing this appears to be violating the public records law. I have contacted internal affairs of the sheriff's office but they do seem to be ignoring me. It makes me all the more concerned the content of these records as my request is somewhat straightforward. Thank you for any help or suggestions. Marilyn Yaffee		Completed	Erin Nickless	Your below request was submitted to the California Department of Justice, but seeks reports generated by the California Highway Patrol (CHP). You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) We suggest that you direct your request to the California Highway Patrol. Information on how to contact their office can be found on their website at <a href="https://www.chp.ca.gov/home/contact-us">https://www.chp.ca.gov/home/contact-us</a> .
PRA-2018-01186	Patterson	Vester	6/7/2018	1. U.S. District Court Order to Show Cause issued to the Attorney General in both cases Patterson v. Sherman, No.15-cv-00053-MJS, and Patterson v. Martinez, No.16-cv-01215-SAB.		Completed	Philip J. Lindsay	In response to your request, I have enclosed a copy of the following documents: 1. Order dated January 14, 2015, in Vester Lee Patterson v. Stu Sherman, United States District Court for the Eastern District of California, case number 15-cv-00053-MJS (3 pages). 2. Order dated August 30, 2016 (3 pages), Order dated September 30, 2016 (2 pages), and Order dated October 12, 2016 (2 pages), in Vester L. Patterson v. Joel Martinez, United States District Court for the Eastern District of California, case number 6-cv-01215-SAB. In accordance with the California Public Records Act, the Attorney General's Office charges \$.10 per page for duplication of public records. However, because you requested documents from two cases in which you were a party, I have waived the duplication fee as a courtesy.
PRA-2018-01115	Moore	Lisa	6/8/2018	Divorce Documents for John Leroy Bartsch and Lisa Ann Knight, my last name is now Moore. I need this in order to update my Drivers License # [REDACTED] dob [REDACTED]		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior

								Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .									
PRA-2018-01118	Smidt	Dayle	6/8/2018	Any information about my father's imprisonment in San Quentin: Earl Leighton Wilson, about 1958. Thank you.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. The Department of Justice cannot produce records that are responsive to your request. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks prison records from another government agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c).</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Information on how to contact them can be found at <a href="http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html">http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html</a>.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>									
PRA-2018-01119		Jazz	6/8/2018	As a child, I was a victim and it is getting close to the offender's parole date so now that I'm older and this date is very close. I would just feel some type of closure if I had the details that retain to case number# INF054077. Located in Riverside county California. Offenders' name is William Robert Evans. I am the victim in this case also recognized as "J.F."		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p> <p>If you are seeking information regarding victim rights and services, you can contact our Victims' Services unit. More information about Marsy's Law for victims can be found on our website at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/victimservices/content/bill_of_rights">https://oag.ca.gov/victimservices/content/bill_of_rights</a>.</p>									
PRA-2018-01120	Freskos (The Trace)	Brian	6/8/2018	<p>Pursuant to the California Public Records Act, I hereby request the following data from the California Department of Justice:</p> <p>-- The number of firearms dealer compliance inspectors employed for each year 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016 and 2017.</p> <p>-- The number of firearms dealer compliance inspections conducted by the DOJ for each year 2012, 2013, 2014,</p>		Completed	Robert Wilson	<p>For your first and second requests, we have included the chart below that includes the information you seek. Please note that the number of inspectors may fluctuate throughout the year, so the numbers provided below are from December of each respective year.</p> <table><thead><tr><th>Year</th><th>Number of Inspectors</th><th>Number of Inspections conducted</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>2012</td><td>7</td><td>163</td></tr><tr><td>2013</td><td>7</td><td>251</td></tr></tbody></table>	Year	Number of Inspectors	Number of Inspections conducted	2012	7	163	2013	7	251
Year	Number of Inspectors	Number of Inspections conducted															
2012	7	163															
2013	7	251															

				2015, 2016, 2017. -- The NAME and LOCATION of each firearms dealer inspected for each year 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, as well as the DATE of each inspection, the DATE of any followup inspections, whether the initial or followup inspections found any VIOLATIONS, and if so, what TYPES OF VIOLATIONS, what TYPES OF CORRECTION ACTIONS were recommended, and whether the dealer completed the recommended CORRECTION ACTIONS. -- The PERCENTAGE of firearms dealers inspected for each 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, and 2017.				<table><tr><td>2014</td><td>6</td><td>471</td></tr><tr><td>2015</td><td>7</td><td>341</td></tr><tr><td>2016</td><td>7</td><td>196</td></tr><tr><td>2017</td><td>5</td><td>185</td></tr></table> <p>In regards to your third and fourth requests, the Department declines to provide this information. Under the PRA, government records are open and subject to inspection by, and disclosure to, the public unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) The PRA specifically exempts certain types of documents from public disclosure, including those described in Government Code sections 6254 and 6255. In addition, Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k) incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, and makes those privileged documents exempt from the disclosure requirements of the PRA.</p> <p>California law requires the Department to maintain a centralized list of all state-licensed firearm dealers. (Pen. Code, § 26715.) Information about these dealers may only be released to specified persons for specified purposes: (1) to law enforcement for investigatory reasons, (2) for the determination of license status for the shipment/receipt of firearms, and (3) to determine license status for participation in gun shows. (Ibid.) Even when the Department receives a request for dealer information from an authorized party for a lawful purpose, we may disclose no more information than is necessary to corroborate an individual's current license status. (Pen. Code, § 26715, subd. (c).) The percentages you are seeking in your fourth request would have to be compiled from this list so the Department declines to provide that information.</p> <p>Because of these extremely strict and specific limitations on the release of dealer Information, it is "inconceivable that the general terms of the Public Records Act were intended to render them void. [citation]" (See Younger v. Berkeley City Council (1975) 45 Cal.App.3d 825, 832.) Furthermore, Penal Code section 26725 outlines the only publically available information concerning dealers and dealer inspections. We therefore must decline to comply with your request.</p>	2014	6	471	2015	7	341	2016	7	196	2017	5	185
2014	6	471																		
2015	7	341																		
2016	7	196																		
2017	5	185																		
PRA-2018-01121	Deni	Joseph	6/8/2018	Defendant: Margaret Moore (aka Peggy King) - age 25 Conviction 2nd Degree Murder - 1955 Sentence: 5 years to life Victim: Katie Hayden - age 71 Husband of victim: Samuel Hayden Location: <span style="background-color: black; color: black;">[REDACTED]</span> Please provide arrest and conviction records for this case. Please provide information on prison location and release date.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p> <p>Since you are seeking to ascertain the location of an incarcerated individual, you would need to go through the county Sheriff's Department if they are in a local jail, or the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR) if they are in a state prison. Information on locating inmates within the CDCR system can be found at <a href="http://inmatelocator.cdcr.ca.gov/">http://inmatelocator.cdcr.ca.gov/</a>.</p>												
PRA-2018-01124	Sterling (CBS)	Abigail	6/8/2018	Number of gun owners that have applied to register their bullet button assault weapons, including dates that they applied and status of their application. June 19, 2018 follow up: Hi Jennifer: It's been two weeks now since I sent in this request. Legally your department is	CBS	Completed	Robert Wilson	There have been 27,579 applications for assault weapon registration from August 3, 2017 through June 11, 2018. Within that time period, 13,211 applications have been approved, 1,252												

				required to send some kind of response. I would like to know how many bullet button gun owners have registered their guns. I also need to know if folks who have started but not completed the registration process by the 30th of June will be considered in or out of compliance. If I don't hear back I shall be forced to report that the AGs office would not respond. I hope you understand and appreciate that I am just doing my due diligence				applications have been rejected, 849 applications were incomplete, and 12,267 are in progress.
PRA-2018-01158	Morgan	Chelsie	6/8/2018	1) Team G Capital's and/or AGIII's petition for approval; 2) All written disclosure statements; 3) The Purchase and Sale Agreement which was executed in June 6, 2000 in favor of AGIII and the first, Second, and Third Amendments thereto which were all executed in 2009; 4) All Testamentary Agreements executed by the Dunlaps in favor of AGIII and/or Team G Capital; 5) All acknowledgments signed by the Dunlaps and their heirs; 6) The annuity contract with New Hampshire Insurance Company; 7) All assignments of any rights under the Purchase and Sale Agreement mentioned above, or any amendments thereto, from AGIII to Team G Capital; 8) The settlement agreement between Jay D. Dunlap and New Hampshire Insurance Company dated May 19, 1988; 9) The Court order approving the structured settlement between Jay D. Dunlap and New Hampshire Insurance Company; 10) A copy of proof of notice to the interested parties; 11) A verified statement from Team G Capital and/or AGIII that it complied with the Insurance Code; and 12) All other documents relating to the transfer of structured settlement payment rights from Jay D. Dunlap to Team G Capital and/or AGIII.	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have searched our legal indices and found no records based on the information provided. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows: -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name and number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. If you can provide more information regarding the records you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control. Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.	
PRA-2018-01123	Sobati	Ramin	6/11/2018	Request for dash cam and body cam footage of officer Neuner, badge #20832 and Officer Decker, badge #19376 of CHP. This is regard of encroachment of 4th amendment and harassment. Case number HM36476 and case number HM36715, both citations issued at approximately 3:11 and 3:25 Pm on June 6, 2018. Request for dash cam and body cam footage to corroborate my claims and personally gathered evidence to disputed these claims and take to trial. These officers were in clear violation of protocol and request for dash cam footage that shows the events prior to being pulled over were hostile driving tactics by officer neuner to entrap and harass.	Completed	Erin Nickless	In regard to your request for dispatch records, we must deny your request as you appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Dispatch records are maintained by the local department that dispatched the emergency responder. Since the records you are seeking are from the California Highway Patrol, you would need to direct your request to that agency. Information on how to contact their office can be found on their website at <a href="https://www.chp.ca.gov/home/contact-us">https://www.chp.ca.gov/home/contact-us</a> .	
PRA-2018-01125	Ordiway	Sam	6/11/2018	BRN accusation number 2018-840. Formal accusation filed against RN licensee Marissa Arcilla Tan. I would like any/all records available regarding this complaint/accusation against Marissa Tan.	Completed	Shannon M. Brubaker	In response to your request, I have enclosed a copy of the Accusation filed against Marissa Arcilla Tan. We decline to provide responsive records in our possession that are exempt from disclosure under the following exemptions: Pending Litigation Exemption: Records related to pending litigation involving the party you identified in your request and the Board of Registered Nursing are exempt from disclosure. Agencies may withhold the disclosure of records pertaining to pending litigation to which the public agency is a party until the pending litigation has been finally adjudicated or otherwise settled. (Board of Trustees of the California State University v. Superior Court (2005) 132 Cal.App.4th 889; Gov. Code § 6254 subd. (b).)	

							<p>Investigative Records Exemption: In addition, some of the records you seek are exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), which expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files compiled by the state for correctional, law enforcement; or licensing purposes. (See, Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Such investigative records remain exempt even after an investigation is closed. (Id. at p. 355)</p> <p>Attorney-Client Privilege: Some of the records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code§ 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.)</p> <p>In the present case, the attorneys in our department provide legal advice to the Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing or his designees and the attorneys employed with the Office of the Attorney General concerning Agency Case No. 2018-840, In the Matter of the Accusation Against Marissa Arcilla Tan, are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>Attorney Work Product: The attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research, or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc. section 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, section 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>Privilege Log Not Required: Under the Public Records Act, government agencies are not obligated to provide a privilege log or list of every record withheld along with a statutory justification for withholding. (Haynie v Superior Court (2001) 26 Cal.4th 1061, 1074. Nor is the agency to describe each of the documents falling within the statutory exemption. Providing such a log would be unduly time-consuming and burdensome under the public interest balancing test. (Id. at 1074-75; Gov. Code § 6255.)</p>	
PRA-2018-01126	Klein	Christine	6/11/2018	1) The name(s), business address, and business e-mail address(es) of all sex offender registration and/or community notification officers/deputies/coordinator(s) for each city/county in the State of California.		Completed	Michael Dolida	We are able to partially comply with your request. Enclosed please find an agency listing, sorted by county, listing the name of the agency, address, and agency contact phone number. We are however, unable to provide email addresses and names of officers/deputies/coordinator for each agency as we do not possess that information. I recommend contacting the actual agency to find out who the designated sex registration staff is at that facility.
PRA-2018-01127	Sponem	Mason	6/11/2018	Please produce all consumer complaints regarding ENGIE Resources LLC. Relevant complaints are limited to those relating to calls from said company to the consumers, received on or after January 1, 2016 through present. If possible, please produce the records electronically, via email to [REDACTED]		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)

				or on a CD. We are willing to pay all reasonable costs associated with the production of records.				
PRA-2018-01128	Freskos (The Trace)	Brian	6/11/2018	-- The list of jurisdictions with a local firearms dealer inspection program The California Department of Justice's website states that firearms dealers are required to pay a \$95 annual inspection fee per dealership location unless such dealership is located in a jurisdiction with a local inspection program. The website states that a list of those jurisdictions is available upon request. I am requesting the most recent version of that list. The above information is located on the California Department of Justice's website here: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/firearms/dlrfqs">https://oag.ca.gov/firearms/dlrfqs</a>		Completed	Robert Wilson	Los Angeles County is the only jurisdiction with a local firearms dealer inspection program.
PRA-2018-01133	Flores	Carlos	6/11/2018	On 2.3.2005 during a Board of Prison terms hearing I received my transcripts from the Board of Prison terms process!?! But I lost those documents? I would like to know?? if you cant sent me copy's from the hearing date February 3 2006		Completed	Philip J. Lindsay	We have searched our records and we do not have the records you seek. Accordingly, we suggest that you contact the Board of Parole Hearings by submitting your request by mail at the following address: Board of Parole Hearings P.O. Box 4036 Sacramento CA, 95812-4036 Attention: Transcript Request For additional information please visit <a href="http://www.cdcr.ca.gov">www.cdcr.ca.gov</a> or call (916) 445-4072.
PRA-2018-01138	Rubin	Jonathan	6/11/2018	Any briefs filed by the state in the case of "People v. Brock Allen Turner" (Case No. HO43709). Please provide via email only. Given the small number of records, and the ease of transmission (email), I ask that fees be waived.		Completed	David Baskind	The only brief filed by the state was the Respondent's Brief, which I have attached. Because it was already in electronic format, and we did not need to copy or scan the document, there is no charge.
PRA-2018-01156	Diaz	Luis	6/11/2018	Information regarding the status of, Initiative 17-0046, "The People's Fair Sentencing and Public Safety Act of 2018."		Completed	Anabel Renteria	This initiative is still currently in circulation and has not yet qualified for ballot. This completes our response to your request.
PRA-2018-01168	Goldblum (CCWRO)	Sherman	6/11/2018	July 19, 2018 clarification: From these two descriptions, I think we would like to see both. Thank you so much for the previous email and being so accommodating. If there is a way to simply forward the request to the city, or if I have to resend the request to a specific department within the city, if you could let me know. July 12, 2018 Clarification Received: I'm sorry the PRA was unclear, more specifically we would like to see any and all communication between the city and state legislature regarding homelessness if possible. June 11 request: Writings as defined in 6253(G) relative to state budget assistance request for any communication by a member or committee of the state committee relative to homelessness from the period October 1 2017 - June 1 2018.		Completed	Anna Ferrari	August 6, 2018 response: On June 21, 2018, we sent a letter seeking to clarify your request, and we engaged in several follow-up discussions via e-mails dated July 12, 2018, and July 19, 2018. In those e-mails, you suggested that you are interested in communications involving the California Legislature and a particular city. We asked twice to identify that city, but you did not respond with that information. Accordingly, without the benefit of that clarifying information, we construe your request to refer to communications involving the Select Committee on Homelessness of the California State Assembly regarding state budget assistance requests. After conducting a reasonable search, we have found no records responsive to your request. As I suggested in my email of July 12, you might consider directing your request to the Select Committee on Homelessness or the government of the particular city you have in mind. July 19, 2018: I can try to find out where to direct your request. What city? July 12, 2018 Further Clarification: Thank you for your response. Can you please confirm to which city you are referring? CCWRO's request was received by the California Department of Justice (DOJ). If CCWRO is seeking communications between a city on one side and the State Legislature on the other (in other words, communications which do not directly involve DOJ), you may wish to direct CCWRO's request to the State Legislature or the government of that particular city, instead of DOJ. If, for example, CCWRO is interested in communications which are both (1) between a particular city on one side and the State Legislature on the other, and (2) in the possession of DOJ (although DOJ may not be the



								<p>communicant), please let me know, and we can search for such records.</p> <p>I would be happy to speak by phone if that would help to address any concerns or questions.</p> <p>June 22, 2018 Clarification:</p> <p>We seek to clarify your request. In particular, it would help to understand (1) what is meant by "state budget assistance request," (2) whether the "state committee relative to homelessness" refers to the Select Committee on Homelessness of the California State Assembly, and (3) whether you intend to request communications between the Select Committee on Homelessness (or any committee member) and the DOJ.</p> <p>Please feel free to respond to me directly at the phone number or email address listed above. Without some clarification, we cannot conduct a reasonable search of our records or produce responsive documents.</p> <p>August 6, 2018 response: On June 21, 2018, we sent a letter seeking to clarify your request, and we engaged in several follow-up discussions via e-mails dated July 12, 2018, and July 19, 2018. In those e-mails, you suggested that you are interested in communications involving the California Legislature and a particular city. We asked twice to identify that city, but you did not respond with that information. Accordingly, without the benefit of that clarifying information, we construe your request to refer to communications involving the Select Committee on Homelessness of the California State Assembly regarding state budget assistance requests.</p> <p>After conducting a reasonable search, we have found no records responsive to your request. As I suggested in my email of July 12, you might consider directing your request to the Select Committee on Homelessness or the government of the particular city you have in mind.</p>
PRA-2018-01173	Jackson	Keith	6/11/2018	A copy of Initiative 17-0046, "The People's Fair Sentencing and Public Safety Act of 2018."		Completed	Anabel Renteria	A copy is enclosed. Additionally, you sought information regarding status of this initiative. This initiative is currently in circulation and has not yet qualified for ballot. This completes our response to your request.
PRA-2018-01184	Sponem	Mason	6/11/2018	Please produce all consumer complaints regarding MCE (Marin Clean Energy). Relevant complaints are limited to those relating to calls from said company to the consumers, received on or after January 1, 2016 through present.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)
PRA-2018-01190	Delgado	Sabrina	6/11/2018	Probate case information and minute orders 17CEPR01270. It's my case		Completed	Erin Nickless	Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice, therefore we must deny your request. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). Please note that our office is not the depository for records for the entire state of California.
								We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records regarding a probate matter. You may wish to contact the local court in which the probate matter would be heard. If you are seeking unclaimed property linked to an estate, you may wish to contact the State Controller's office.
PRA-2018-01191	Moore	Jeannette	6/11/2018	My Uncle, Shelton Leo Morey, was incarcerated approximately 1935-1940. He was born [REDACTED]. We were told he was incarcerated in San Quentin for 5 years for car theft. I am interested in copies of his records for family history purposes.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary

							<p>criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, such as his prison records, you may wish to direct your request to the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Information on how to contact them can be found at <a href="http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html">http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01192	Sobati	Ramin	6/11/2018	Request for dash cam footage of Officer Neuner on June 6th, 2018 from 3-4 PM. Request for investigative notes and/or any writings or dispatch recordings/calls for case numbers HM36476 and HM36715 cited by officer Neuner and Officer Decker during time frame previously requested.		Completed	Erin Nickless <p>In regard to your request for dispatch records, we must deny your request as you appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Dispatch records are maintained by the local department that dispatched the emergency responder.</p> <p>Since the records you are seeking are from the California Highway Patrol, you would need to direct your request to that agency. Information on how to contact their office can be found on their website at <a href="https://www.chp.ca.gov/home/contact-us">https://www.chp.ca.gov/home/contact-us</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01193	Mckenna	Michael	6/11/2018	I am requesting my records from my divorce, adoption, criminal /civil cases, and any other records that are between the date of 1990 to 2006. Thank you I'm not sure of any case numbers but please also include child support records		Completed	Erin Nickless <p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p> <p>We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records regarding adoption. Our research into the matter shows that you may wish to contact the</p>

							adoption agency that was involved, or the Department of Social Services for the records you are seeking. More information on requesting records from the Department of Social Services can be found at <a href="http://www.cdss.ca.gov/Public-Record-Requests#">http://www.cdss.ca.gov/Public-Record-Requests#</a> .
PRA-2018-01196	Babinski	Elaine	6/11/2018	Case discovery request: Defendant is requesting case discovery for a DUI arrest. SDPD Incident date: 3/24/18 incident number: 18030038136 booking number: 1811874		Completed	Erin Nickless Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-01202	Von Borstel	Geraldine	6/11/2018	I am requesting a copy of all criminal public records found under the following name: • ALBINO SANTIBANEZ • Date of birth: <span style="background-color: black; color: black;">[REDACTED]</span> • Place of Birth: Mexico		Completed	Erin Nickless The above request appears to be identical to the request you submitted online on May 7, 2018, and which our office denied via e-mail on May 16, 2018. As stated in our May 16 e-mail, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-01209	Johnson	Jimmy	6/11/2018	All civil and criminal records		Completed	Erin Nickless We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows: -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your

							request to that agency. If you can provide more information regarding the records you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control.
PRA-2018-01210	Richey	Rebecca	6/11/2018	Trying to find incarceration dates both admissions and exit dates for my father who is now deceased. Name: David Eugene Gutowski DOB: [REDACTED]		Completed	Erin Nickless  Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. The Department of Justice cannot produce records that are responsive to your request. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records from another government agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to incarceration, these records may be available through the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation if he was located in a California State prison. Information on how to contact them can be found at <a href="http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html">http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html</a> .
PRA-2018-01129	Addica	Kerry	6/12/2018	Beverly Hills Police Department Homicide File, Case No. 599, 1929, Doheny / Plunkett Coroners report, Edward L. Doheny Jr., Los Angeles County, February 18, 1929, CC # 29-285 Coroners report, Theodore Hugh Plunkett, Los Angeles County, February 1929, performed by Chief Autopsy Surgeon A.F. Wagner Probate File, Edward L. Doheny Jr, County of Los Angeles, File No. 101995, filed on March 22, 1929 Probate File, Theodore Hugh Plunkett, County of Los Angeles, File No. 102684, filed on April 24, 1929		Completed	Erin Nickless  You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records from Beverly Hills Police Department, you may wish to direct your request to their office. To the extent that you are seeking records from the Coroners' office, you would need to direct your request to that agency. To the extent that you are seeking probate files, you would need to direct your request to the court in which the probate matter was heard.
PRA-2018-01130	Jaramillo	Rene	6/12/2018	There is a man named by Jorge Alfredo Solis Leyva listing a property for rent (44598 Camino Veste, Temecula CA 92592). I am wondering if he is the owner of the house or this is a scam. Also, he is not using a property management and he hasn't been able to confirm he is the home owner and has the right to rent it out/list it. Thank you. I am active duty moving to CA first week of July. I can't physically see the homes so I am doing everything online and it is easy to get scammed like that.		Completed	Erin Nickless  You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides.
PRA-2018-01131	Prior	Stephen	6/12/2018	Public records from the DHA case. I was sued by the state for overpayment of cash aid from my x-wife without my knowledge. I never got served and was told to access the public records.		Completed	Erin Nickless  We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to an agency you refer to as "DHA," you may wish to direct your request to their office. However, we were unable to locate a

							California State agency that uses this acronym to be able to direct you to the correct location.
PRA-2018-01134	Sponem	Mason	6/12/2018	Consumer Complaints regarding phone calls from Sonoma Clean Energy Authority. Please produce all consumer complaints regarding Sonoma Clean Energy Authority. Relevant complaints are limited to those relating to calls from said company to the consumers, received on or after January 1, 2016 through present.	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)
PRA-2018-01136	Russo	Jennie	6/12/2018	George S. Hall Inc. requests the incumbent contract documents including cover letters, pricing, technical proposal, etc. submitted by the company currently providing the California Department of Justice with "DOJ-Bureau of Forensic Services (BFS)-HVAC and Building Engineering Services."	Completed	Shannon Patterson	The DOJ has determined that there are records within the department that are responsive to your records request and that also are disclosable, in whole or in part. Attached you will find "contract documents" related to contract number 16-6670, proposal, shipping documents, standard agreement and other records associated to your request.
PRA-2018-01137	Sponem	Mason	6/12/2018	Consumer complaints about phone calls from Hudson Energy Services Dear Public Records Officer, Please produce all consumer complaints regarding Hudson Energy Services. Relevant complaints are limited to those relating to calls from said company to the consumers, received on or after January 1, 2016 through present.	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)
PRA-2018-01140	Sponem	Mason	6/12/2018	Consumer complaints relating to phone calls from TransCanada Power Marketing, Ltd. Dear Public Records Officer, Please produce all consumer complaints regarding TransCanada Power Marketing, Ltd. Relevant complaints are limited to those relating to calls from said company to the consumers, received on or after January 1, 2016 through present.	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)
PRA-2018-01141	Sponem	Mason	6/12/2018	Provide records of consumer complaints regarding Ambit Energy Holdings LLC. Please produce all consumer complaints regarding Ambit Energy Holdings, LLC. Relevant complaints are limited to those relating to calls from said company to the consumers, received on or after January 1, 2016 through present.	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)
PRA-2018-01142	Bruecken	Sean	6/12/2018	I am trying to get RFP response information for the City of Richmond's recent solicitation for: Request For Proposals For Parking Enforcement License Plate Recognition System. I would like to get the proposal responses for all parties that responded. Request located at: <a href="http://www.ci.richmond.ca.us/bids">www.ci.richmond.ca.us/bids</a> < <a href="http://www.ci.richmond.ca.us/bids">http://www.ci.richmond.ca.us/bids</a> >	Completed	Erin Nickless	We note that your request was submitted to the California Department of Justice, but seeks records from the City of Richmond. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to City of Richmond, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PRA-2018-01143	Mainen	Matthew	6/12/2018	I am requesting items related to an arrest. The complaint number is EPA 181430025. The detained individual is "Matthew Mainen." The incident happened on May 23 between 10:15 and 10:30 AM outside of the house at [REDACTED] I am requesting the following: 1. A transcript of the relevant 911 call or a recording if a	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.

				<p>transcript is not available. The 911 call probably came from the following phone number: [REDACTED]</p> <p>2. All written documentation obtained and produced by the police for this incident.</p> <p>3. A transcript of all police radio communication or a recording if a transcript is not available.</p> <p>4. The body camera footage of all officers involved in this incident.</p> <p>5. A copy of the search warrant, if a search warrant was in fact issued.</p> <p>6. Any other relevant files related to the incident. The arresting officers included, but were not limited to Travis Draeger (#2232), Aleyda Romero (#2211), and Spencer Lawrence (#2246).</p>			<p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01144	Sponem	Mason	6/12/2018	<p>Retrieve all consumer complaints for phone calls from Champion Energy Services. Please produce all consumer complaints regarding Champion Energy Services. Relevant complaints are limited to those relating to calls from said company to the consumers, received on or after January 1, 2016 through present.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)</p>
PRA-2018-01145	Hammond	Jeffrey	6/12/2018	<p>CAL Fire report on the October 8, 2017 fire on Atlas Peak Rd, Napa (Known as the Atlas Fire). Incident #: CALNU 010105</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have searched our legal indices and consulted knowledgeable persons and found no responsive records to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (CalFire), you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to request public records from CalFire can be found on their website at: <a href="http://calfire.ca.gov/about/about_contact_us_recordsandrequests">http://calfire.ca.gov/about/about_contact_us_recordsandrequests</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01146	Wozniak	Brittney	6/12/2018	<p>Each Autonomous Vehicle Tester (AVT) Program Application for Manufacturer's Testing Permit, including all attachments thereto and documents submitted therewith, submitted by Navya Inc., Nissan, BMW, and Nuro, Inc. to the California Department of Motor Vehicles, Autonomous Vehicle Program. Specifically, the Driver/Operator Training Program Outline that was attached to each application, which describes the training program for the driver/operator of the autonomous vehicle.</p> <p>The applications described above are sent to Department of Motor Vehicles, Autonomous Vehicle Program, P.O. Box 932342, MS L224, Sacramento, CA 94232-3420. The telephone number for the autonomous vehicle applications is 916-229-3346.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are in the custody of the Department of Motor Vehicles. Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p>
PRA-2018-01167	Seang	Revear	6/12/2018	<p>All records courts, citation, etc</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office.</p> <p>In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically</p>

								as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows: -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. If you can provide more information regarding the records you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control.
PRA-2018-01195	Davis (Bay Area News Group)	Aaron	6/12/2018	I'm a reporter in Contra Costa County and I just wanted to ask the AG's office's response to law enforcement requirements under the Truth Act <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/news/press-releases/attorney-general-becerra-issues-law-enforcement-bulletins-providing-guidance">https://oag.ca.gov/news/press-releases/attorney-general-becerra-issues-law-enforcement-bulletins-providing-guidance</a> . Specifically, the AG's office found that: All records relating to ICE access provided by local law enforcement agencies, including all communication with ICE, shall be public records for purposes of the California Public Records Act (Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 6250)), including the exemptions provided by that Act. The TRUTH Act explicitly provides that personal identifying information may be redacted prior to public disclosure as provided under the California Public Records Act. When responding to such requests, law enforcement agencies should therefore keep in mind California's privacy laws and all applicable exemptions under the California Public Records Act that protect such personal information from disclosure.6 (Gov. Code, § 7283.1, subd. (c).) However, I have received a response from the Contra Costa County Sheriff's Office stating that this would be an undue burden. My record request was for: All records relating to ICE access provided by any and all law enforcement agencies governed by the county, including all communication with ICE. I've attached the sheriff's office's response.	Bay Area News Group	Completed	Erin Nickless	Thank you for your June 12 email to the Attorney General regarding the response you received to a request for records you submitted under the California Public Records Act. In particular, you express concern that the Contra Costa County Office of the Sheriff may be violating the Act. The Act provides for access to public records, but also provides exceptions to that requirement. Exceptions include protections for personal information and information made confidential by other laws, as well as exceptions that apply in other circumstances. You may wish to consult with a private attorney to evaluate your options under the Act. Please note that the Attorney General's Office cannot provide you with legal advice or represent you in personal legal actions.
PRA-2018-01205	Hopp	Richard	6/12/2018	1. Under Cal. Gov't Code, § 6253.9 an electronic copy of the Court of Appeal, Fourth titled Gamestop, Inc. v. The Superior Court; The People, Case No. E068701 document filed on 06/11/2018 Issued tentative opinion or disputed issued memo.		Completed		Withdrawn by requester.
PRA-2018-01213	Hinerman	Kimberly	6/12/2018	I am looking to obtain court records on my twin brother-Matthew Dale Sparks, who was murdered in California around 1981 by the family's nanny. My maiden name was Kimberly Anne Sparks. my mom's name was Teresa Caruso Sparks and my father's name was Walter Dale Sparks. I would like to be able to see for myself through the records of the court what happened and what was said in court by the various witnesses. I also would like to know what happened to the lady that did this. I do not have her name because she used a fake name and social security number when she started working for my family. All I know is she went by the Alias "MARTHA." Please help me find records on my deceased brother. This would help bring some closure to myself and my family. Thanks you so much for your time and God Bless. ----- Kim Hinerman		Completed	Erin Nickless	We must deny your request as we were unable to locate records based on the information provided. In general, we do not maintain, index or categorize our files based on the criteria provided in your request. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, such as the case name, case number, or other identifying information, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office. If this case was prosecuted by a District Attorney's office, you would need to inquire with them directly. The local court may also have more information on the case, if you know which county the case was tried in. Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. If you can provide more information regarding the records you are seeking, we can conduct a



							more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control.
PRA-2018-01221	Smith	Samantha	6/12/2018	This is a request for copies of any and all police reports, court records, court dockets, or other documents that you may have in your possession for the above-referenced matter involving our client, Noe Rosales, the victim of Fraud and Identity Theft in the incident.		Completed	Erin Nickless Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, such as a case name and number, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. You may be able to request the documents you are seeking from the court in which they were filed. Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PRA-2018-01147	Foard	Morgan	6/13/2018	Patrick J. Quick, all records		Completed	Erin Nickless Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-01148	Murphy	Erin	6/13/2018	I am requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of public records that provide the demographic characteristics of your state level (SDIS) DNA database. Specifically, I am seeking a snapshot in time of: 1) the total		Completed	Shannon Patterson (1) As of July 5, 2018, there were 2,019,899 offender profiles in the convicted offender index of California's State DNA "Index System (SDIS), and 751,822 profiles in the arrestee index of California's SDIS. Please note that these totals do not include: Count for the presence



			<p>number of DNA profiles held in the convicted offender and arrested offender databases; 2) the percentage or number of those profiles that belong to females versus males; 3) the percentage or number of those profiles that fall within specific racial and ethnic categories, in terms of the major racial/ethnic groups (e.g., Caucasian/White, African-American/Black, Hispanic, Asian, etc.). I do not seek any personally identifying or individualized information; I only want an aggregate statistic. I also recognize that the composition of the database may change day-to-day, which is why I ask only for a recent snapshot at any moment in time (ideally within the last year). Finally, I prefer to receive the information according to the categories described (convicted offender and arrestee) but will accept data that combines those groups.</p>			<p>of duplicate profiles generated from multiple samples collected from the same offender. Also note that the contents of the arrestee index are quite fluid. The Department is continually converting arrestees to convicted offender status based on ongoing review of criminal history records. But, there is necessarily a time lag following conviction during which recently convicted offenders remain in the arrestee index. Further, the conversion software may not catch a substantial number of arrestees, including, but not limited to, those (1) whose felony convictions were subsequently reduced to misdemeanors, but who nonetheless qualify for retention in the convicted offender index based on the felony conviction, and (2) who are convicted of a misdemeanor but qualify for retention in the convicted offender index based on a prior felony conviction. Consequently, the numbers provided overestimate the number of true arrestees, and underestimate the number of true convicted offenders.</p> <p>(2) As of July 5, 2018, there were 309,827 convicted offender profiles attributable to females in California's SDIS, 1,603,222 convicted offender profiles attributable to males in California's SDIS, and 106,850 convicted offender profiles with an unknown gender in California's SDIS. Note that the percentages for males and females you seek can be calculated accordingly, in conjunction with the data provided in response (1), above. In addition, gender classification is not considered a required field on the collection card. Also note that the Department of Justice does not verify the accuracy of reported gender classifications.</p> <p>As of July 5, 2018, there were 208,225 arrestee profiles attributable to females in California's SDIS, 524,231 arrestee profiles attributable to males in California's SDIS, and 19,366 arrestee profiles with an unknown gender in California's SDIS. Note that the percentages for males and females you seek can be calculated accordingly, in conjunction with the data provided in response (1), above.</p> <p>(3) As of July 5, 2018, in California's convicted offender SDIS index there were approximately 368,952 offenders whose race was marked as African American, 588,555 offenders marked as Caucasian, 652,121 offenders marked as Hispanic, and 16,384 offenders marked as Asian. Note that racial classification is not considered a required field on the collection card; thus, an unknown number of offenders may have no racial classification listed. Also note that the Department of Justice does not verify the accuracy of reported racial classifications. Any data on this point result from either self-reporting by the offender or speculation on the part of the law enforcement officer supervising the collection.</p> <p>(4) As of July 5, 2018, in California's arrestee SDIS Index there were approximately 104,741 arrestees marked as African American, 231,313 arrestees marked as Caucasian, 308,450 arrestees marked as Hispanic, and 11,191 arrestees marked as Asian. The same qualifications noted in response (3), above, apply here as well. Finally, it is important to understand that neither race nor any other personal identifying information is actually contained or searched in SDIS. SDIS contains only the DNA profile itself and an anonymous specimen identification number for any given offender. The information we are providing to you is maintained in a separate, non-CODIS, database, and is not searched for criminal identification purposes. In other words, race is not, and cannot, be used as a search criterion when operating COD IS databases, and does not appear in any search result. Moreover, there is no reference to an offender's race in hit notification letters generated by the Department of Justice and provided to investigating law enforcement agencies.</p> <p>On June 20, 2018, Shannon Patterson sent an extension letter.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

PRA-2018-01149	Sponem	Mason	6/13/2018	Please recall all consumer complaints about phone calls from Calpine Energy Solutions. Please produce all consumer complaints regarding Calpine Energy Solutions. Relevant complaints are limited to those relating to calls from said company to the consumers, received on or after January 1, 2016 through present.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)
PRA-2018-01150	Batroff	Gary	6/13/2018	I am trying to find any statistics or estimates that the California DOJ has on the use of DNA in identifying suspects and/or determining guilt across all crime types.		Completed	Shannon Patterson	The Department of Justice website publishes California's data on hits and investigations aided by the state's forensic identification DNA database program. (See <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/bfs/prop69">https://oag.ca.gov/bfs/prop69</a> and DNA Laboratory Monthly Statistics, pdf.) However, COD IS does not also track hit outcomes. There is no legal requirement for investigating agencies to report the outcome of hits and investigative leads provided by the state. Therefore, DOJ has no comprehensive data table of convictions or other outcomes associated with database hits and investigations aided.
PRA-2018-01151	Hicks	Brittany	6/13/2018	KERAMIDA is conducting a records search in fulfillment of the due diligence requirements enumerated in the Superfund Recycling Equity Act (SREA). To this end, KERAMIDA requests that any environmental files associated with the property listed below be made available to us within three weeks of the receipt of this request. Files related to hazardous chemicals, underground storage tanks, dumping, releases, leaks, spills, wastewater disposal and environmental complaints, investigations and citations are of particular interest. SA RECYCLING COLTON 790 East M St. Colton, CA 92324		Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. Our research into the matter shows that the records you seek may relate to matters overseen by the Department of Toxic Substances Control. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the Department of Toxic Substances Control, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to contact them can be found on their website at <a href="http://www.dtsc.ca.gov/ContactDTSC/index.cfm">http://www.dtsc.ca.gov/ContactDTSC/index.cfm</a> .
PRA-2018-01152	Bezinque	Alissa	6/13/2018	I would like some raw data files. We used to generate county reports based on your online system, but it doesn't list out the level of detail we need. We are specifically looking for data about alcohol and drug arrests by county. We specifically want these arrest offenses: Felony Arrests: Narcotics, Marijuana, Dangerous Drugs, Other Drugs, Driving Under the Influence. Misdemeanor Arrests: Marijuana, Dangerous Drugs, Other Drugs, Drunk, Liquor Laws, Driving Under the Influence, Glue Sniffing. We would like 2008 arrests to the most current year available. We also want to be able to analyze these by gender, age, and race.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.
PRA-2018-01153	Carter	Angela	6/13/2018	I just spoke with a helpful analyst at the Public Inquiry Unit and learned that the only way to request additional information about the Criminal Justice Statistics Center is via email. I'm hoping to follow up on a message I sent		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has

				<p>some time ago related to available data on juvenile monetary sanctions: fines, fees, and restitution. At the Policy Advocacy Clinic at Berkeley Law, we are researching differences in the likelihood that juveniles will be required to pay monetary sanctions.</p> <p>We were excited to see the Juvenile Court and Probation Statistical System database on the OpenJustice website - thank you for making it available. We are hoping to gain access to additional variables related to: 1) county identifiers and 2) whether the disposition involved monetary sanctions. We realize this may pose challenges related to confidentiality, and are happy to draft data use agreements if need be.</p>				<p>complied with your request and enclosed the responsive documents within the CD-ROM. Unfortunately the DOJ does not have information on whether the disposition involved monetary sanctions.</p>
PRA-2018-01154	Cundle	Heddi	6/13/2018	<p>Please can you email me over the police records related to the SFPD being called out to [REDACTED] between January 2010 and April 2012. Two men lived in this home and the neighbors informed me they regularly had to call the police based on these men causing extreme and continuous noise disturbance, violence and aggression. The neighbors also told me each male also called the SFPD to report each other. I live at this address and have done since April 2012. I just need the dates, reason for call out per incident and if there's anything else additional noted by the SFPD based on each incident. If you also find (within those dates) any police call out incident reports about [REDACTED] that'd be great. The residents at [REDACTED] live above me and may have called the police related to [REDACTED]</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p>	
PRA-2018-01155	Wright	Charles	6/13/2018	<p>Request for copies of all court reporters transcripts under [B230864].</p>	Completed	Irene Chavez	<p>As per your records request, we have located the following documents from our file for the appeal in People v. Charles A. Wright, California Court of Appeal Case No. B230864:</p> <p>1. Reporter's Transcripts - Vols. 1 of 5.</p> <p>Thank you for your anticipated cooperation with the above request.</p>	
PRA-2018-01159	Cubeiro (Dealership Requirements)	Matthew	6/13/2018	<p>July 30, 2018 Follow-up:</p> <p>This letter concerns a prior submitted request under the Public Records Act, California Government Code Section 6250, et seq. to which the California Department of Justice ("DOJ") has failed to respond. This request was directed individually (1) to each person/entity identified in the addressee section above, and (2) to the Public Records Coordinator or designee for each entity or person identified in the addressee section above.</p> <p>This failure to respond is a violation of the California Public Records Act, California Government Code Section 6250, et seq., as amended by California Assembly Bill 2799, effective January 1, 2001, and as further informed by the heightened right to information as provided by the California Constitution, article 1, section 3, as amended by Proposition 59 (the "Act").</p> <p>On June 13, 2018, Requestor submitted a written Public Records request (the "Request") to the Public Records Coordinator (identified in the addressee section above and in the original request). This Request was sent by US Mail and e-mail. DOJ sent a letter on June 25, 2018 and requested an extension until July 9, 2018.</p> <p>A copy of the Request and DOJ's extension request are attached as Exh bit A. The Requests sought information relating to dealership requirements. The Request stated that the Public Records Act required a response within ten</p>	Michel & Associates, P.C.	Rolling Production	<p>Public Records</p> <p>Estimated Production Date Response:</p> <p>In conducting our search for records responsive to your request, we have checked with knowledgeable persons and searched logical places. Some of the records we have identified that are responsive to your request are exempt from disclosure in whole or in part under the deliberative process privilege, the attorney work-product privilege, and/or the attorney client privilege. For those records found for which only a portion would be exempt due to privilege we will redact the privileged portion. The following is an explanation of why the materials we are withholding are exempt due to privilege:</p> <p>Deliberative Process Exemption</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>o The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255.</li><li>o In the instant case, some of the records we identified contain analyses concerning the strengths and weaknesses of a particular proposal, and are, therefore, covered by the deliberative process privilege and, therefore, absent special circumstances would be exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6255.</li></ul>	

				<p>days of the Request. Nevertheless, Requestor did not receive a response within the ten days required by the Act, or by July 9, 2018 as requested by DOJ.</p> <p>The requested documents and information consist of "public records" within the meaning of Government Code § 6252(e), and such records are within the possession, custody or control of the Department. The documents and information requested are not exempt from public disclosure. Requestor has the right to inspect and obtain copies of the information and documents requested per Gov. Code § 6253(a) and (b). Per Gov. Code § 6253(c), upon receipt of a Public Records Act Request, an official such as the Public Records Clerk "shall, within 10 days from receipt of the request, determine whether the request, in whole or in part, seeks copies of disclosable public records in the possession of the agency and shall promptly notify the person making the request of the determination and the reasons therefor."</p> <p>The DOJ was obligated "upon a request for a copy of records that reasonably describes an identifiable record or records, [to] make the records promptly available to any person .... "Gov. Code § 6253(b). The LASD has failed to comply with Government Code § 6253(c). The DOJ did not make any determination within 10 days, and did not promptly notify Requestor or the undersigned law firm of such a determination and the reasons therefor. DOJ has failed to make the records "promptly available" as required by Government Code § 6253(b). The records requested, as set forth in Exh bit A, are being withheld from Requestor by the DOJ in violation of the Act. Please consider this letter a final demand that you provide electronic copies of the requested records and documents to the Requestor, no later than August 9, 2018.</p> <p>1. All documents and/or communications regarding requirements for firearms dealers located on Military Bases, federal land, and/or Indian reservations as referenced in the Department of Justice Bureau of firearms DROS Entry System (DES) firearms Dealership User Guide.</p>			<p>Attorney Work-Product and Attorney-Client Privilege Exemption</p> <p>o Some of the records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege and the attorney work-product privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 (which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client) and the attorney work-product privilege contained in Code of Civil Procedure section 2018.030 (which protects any writing reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential) are incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal. 4th 363; County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal. App. 4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>o In the present case, the attorneys in our department, including attorneys working in the Bureau of Firearms, provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees and prepare confidential analyses as part of their work. Accordingly, communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys, and analyses prepared by those attorneys are privileged materials exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>We will provide you with non-exempt responsive records on or about August 24, 2018.</p> <p>Response sent July 9, 2018 requesting more time to search: Our 14-day extension expires today, and I would like to provide you a status of our progress. We have been working on determining whether the Department has any responsive information. At this point, we are still working on this request. We would like to continue our search and will keep you informed of the progress.</p> <p>An extension letter was sent 06.25.18 by Robert Wilson, BOF.</p>
PRA-2018-01166	Mashhour	Christopher	6/13/2018	I'd like to request a copy of my public records, which include any judgments on my record. Thank you. Public record for Christopher Naim Mashhour	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at:</p> <p><a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>.</p>

							<p>They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01237	Waters	Michael	6/13/2018	Copy of the Case report related to Case TA051029.		Completed	Erin Nickless <p>We have searched our legal indices and files and found no responsive records. Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p>
PRA-2018-01160	Rogers	Dean	6/14/2018	Police report from an accident on 10/4/2017. Can you please tell me how I can obtain the police report from an accident I was in involving 3 vehicles on 10/4/2017. It was outside of Susanville Ca. Location is US 395 south of Sunnyside road at 8:20 pm. The investigating officer was McLain. If I cannot get this through can you please tell me where I can get this. Thank you.		Completed	Erin Nickless <p>Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p>
PRA-2018-01161	Sims	Melissa	6/14/2018	R/C for D/V incident report. Either 5226 or 6432 is the INC. Number I did not receive a report regarding this incident.		Completed	Erin Nickless <p>Our research into your request shows that you may be referring to a police report for domestic violence. If this is not the case, please clarify what is meant by "R/C for D/V." If you are seeking police report records, they are maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p>
PRA-2018-01162	Brothers	Rachel	6/14/2018	I have a request pursuant to he California Public Records act. I am trying to locate information on a (former?) registered sex offender located in California. At the time, the individual's name was Stephen Brown (DOB [REDACTED], SSN [REDACTED]). On 7/20/1990, Stephen Brown was convicted of three counts of Lewd or Lascivious Acts w/a Child Under 14 in Ventura County Superior Court, California, and was sentenced to 3 years in state prison. He was also required to register as a sex offender. Since that time, Stephen Brown has become Stephanie Martinez (female) and my firm is working on her case. Anything you can provide would be helpful. Please let me know if you have any questions or need anything else from me.		Completed	Michael Dolida <p>Initially regarding the request for public records, of all our case files are organized and maintained electronically in a case management software application called Prolaw. A search of Prolaw did not reveal any records pertaining to Stephen Brown with the information you provided.</p> <p>While most of the information provided by registering sex offenders during the registration process is confidential (i.e., not open to public inspection), California Penal Code (PC) section 290.46, provides the public limited access to specified information through the Megan's Law website. Any requests submitted for additional information not displayed on the Internet site must be denied as confidential.</p> <p>However, we can confirm that Stephen Brown had registered in California as a sex offender in 1994; our records further indicate in 1999, Stephen Brown registered in the state of Texas.</p> <p>If you desire to know more information about a specific sex offender</p>

								and can independently identify the county in which he/she was prosecuted for an offense, you may be able to obtain that information by requesting it directly from the court of record. These public agencies may have additional information in case files or court files that can be disseminated to members of the public.
PRA-2018-01163	Park	Nicole	6/14/2018	1. Contracts with Farmers' Rice Cooperative, Inc., EJP International or JFC International. 2. Contracts buying, selling, or transporting Diamond G or JFC international rice.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have searched our legal indices and consulted with knowledgeable persons and found no responsive records. Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.
PRA-2018-01164	McMahon	Nancy	6/14/2018	Marriage Certificate and Divorce Papers Marriage: [REDACTED] Divorce date unknown- prior to 09/1977 when the spouse died Maiden name: [REDACTED] Spouse: Fabian Pihana Please provide best method to obtain these records. They are necessary for taking nurse test for credentials in the state of Oregon		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA-2018-01165	Soller	Sarah	6/14/2018	I am currently attempting to locate a business address for my previous Landlord. I believe the Fictitious Business Name is DBA Kimberly Dugan Rental, and they operate out of the bay area but own property in the Sacramento Area.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking information regarding a business, you may wish to direct your request to the Secretary of State. Information on business entities can be found on their website at <a href="http://www.ca.gov/Agencies/Secretary-of-State">http://www.ca.gov/Agencies/Secretary-of-State</a> .
PRA-2018-01169	Damien	Christopher	6/14/2018	'Death in Custody & Arrested-related Deaths' data for all of California (i.e. all law enforcement agencies and counties) from 2016 to the present. Example: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/data">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/data</a> This is in follow-up to my email correspondence with Nicole Arnaiz, CJIS Division, dated 6/14/2018. Please call regarding any questions: 760-218-2317		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.
PRA-2018-01170	Fernandez	Robert	6/14/2018	I am looking for the CA DOJ's response to Defendant's Attorneys Motion for Discovery of Oct 2017 in criminal case from San Francisco Superior Court case no. 2502505 People of the State of California v. David Robert Daleiden and Sandra Susan Merritt. Please include any replies or orders related to this Motion for Discovery		Completed	Robert Morgester, SAAG	Attached is 21109373.pdf [Response In Opposition . . . To Compel Email Unredacted In Discover] and 21142511.pdf [Surrogate Reply to Response to Request for Discovery and Motion To Strike] that is responsive to your request. In providing the records requested, we have redacted certain private identifying information, such as noncommercial addresses, email addresses, and telephone numbers, along with other sensitive personal information such as social security numbers and credit card

							<p>numbers based on considerations of personal privacy. (Cal. Const., art. I, §1, as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.)</p> <p>We interpret your request for "orders related to this Motion for Discovery" in this instance to refer to the reporter's transcript of oral proceedings in court as opposed to either deposition transcripts or the clerk's transcript of official events in connection with the litigation. For the reasons set forth below, such transcripts are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>Government Code section 69954, subdivision (d) provides as follows:</p> <p>(d) Any court, party, or person who has purchased a transcript may, without paying a further fee to the reporter, reproduce a copy or portion thereof as an exhibit pursuant to court order or rule, or for internal use, but shall not otherwise provide or sell a copy or copies to any other person or party.</p> <p>We understand this statutory provision to require members of the public who seek reporter transcripts of oral court proceedings to acquire them only from the reporter, and not from public agencies that may have purchased them in the course of litigation. This statutory directive is incorporated into the Public Records Act by Government Code section 6254 subdivision (k). Accordingly, this office cannot provide you with copies of transcripts of oral court proceedings. The Court Reporter's Office and/or the Clerk of the Court of the county in which the legal proceedings were conducted may be able to provide information concerning how to locate and purchase court transcripts of such proceedings.</p>
PRA-2018-01174	Miller	Natalie	6/14/2018	Pedro Calvo convict #64336 from San Quentin in 1939. I'm looking to find any and all records for Pedro Calvo. He was imprisoned in 1939 for rape/incest then released in 1941.		Completed	Erin Nickless <p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, if you are seeking records from San Quentin State Prison, you would need to contact the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Information on how to contact them can be found at <a href="http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html">http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html</a>.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01189	Nouman	Camille	6/14/2018	I'm looking for the marriage record of Antoinett Nicole Founders. My son was married to her.		Completed	Erin Nickless <p>Called and referred her to the Office of Vital Records</p>

PRA-2018-01333	Dawson	Gary	6/14/2018	Any information on any initiative that may be coming up on the November voting ballot. Namely the Citizens Safety Act of 2018 and other pertaining to the prison overcrowding.		Completed	Anabel Renteria	For these reasons, we must decline your request for records as it is currently cast. I've enclosed a courtesy copy of the Initiative 17-0046, "The People's Fair Sentencing & Public Safety Act of 2018" for your records. However, we are willing to consult with you to determine if a more specific request would be likely to generate additional records. (Gov. Code, section 6253.1.) If you wish to discuss this matter further, please contact me at Initiative Coordinator, Office of the Attorney General, 1300 I St., Sacramento, CA 95814. This completes our response to your request.
PRA-2018-01175	Roesner	Sabrina	6/15/2018	All records		Completed	Erin Nickless	We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows: -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. If you can provide more information regarding the records you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control.
PRA-2018-01176	Stevens	Holly	6/15/2018	I would like the divorce dates for two marriages: Holly Ann Stevens/Thomas Lee Black [REDACTED] wedding date in Falbrook, CA. Holly Ann Stevens/Boris Temujin Jocoy (he might now be using his hyphenated name: Boris Temujin O'Rourke-Jocoy) I would also like to get the Social Security number for Thomas Lee Black. All of this information is required by the social security administration for my pending disability report.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth-Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth-Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . Social Security numbers are maintained by the United States Social Security Administration. Information on how to contact them can be found at <a href="https://www.ssa.gov/ssnumber/ss5doc.htm">https://www.ssa.gov/ssnumber/ss5doc.htm</a> .
PRA-2018-01177	VerBeek	Jennifer	6/15/2018	We are seeking a copy of any and all records the California DOJ maintains regarding the Jason Paul Manser, DOB [REDACTED]. Upon information and belief, it is our understanding that Mr. Manser has been convicted of crimes in the State of California, possibly in Palm Springs and/or Cathedral City, California. We are involved in pending litigation with Mr. Manser who is suing our client. Should you require additional information to complete this request, please contact me.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) To the extent that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney



							General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-01178	Ramirez	Jessica	6/15/2018	doj		Completed	Erin Nickless  We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows: -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. If you can provide more information regarding the records you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control.
PRA-2018-01180	Martin	Jennifer	6/15/2018	Divorce Decree for Shannon M Sandbank v. Cory Light. Court Case Number #SDR-19409		Completed	Erin Nickless  To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA-2018-01181	Rodriguez	Suly Argentina	6/15/2018	Court documents of Case #SWM047704, CASC PERRIS Court: 20060809; there is no longer a Perris Court House and the County Records were unable to locate any documents that are highly important to provide to the Immigration Dept of the United States.		Completed	Erin Nickless  We have searched our legal indices and were unable to locate responsive records based on the information provided. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. If you are seeking records from the District Attorney's Office, you would need to direct your request to their office.

PRA-2018-01182	Paterson	Blake	6/15/2018	Under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq., I am requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of public records related to the state's costs associated with litigating Martinez v. Regents of the University of California, a challenge to a state statute exempting certain nonresidents including unlawful aliens from paying nonresident tuition at state colleges and universities. Records should provide a breakdown of all spending – including how much was spent, what each expense was for, and who received each payment – for the entire window of litigation. It should include, but is not limited to, costs associated with attorney's fees (including the salary of state attorneys and any external attorneys hired by the state), expenses, fees associated with expert witness testimony and discovery expenses.		Completed	Cheryl L. Feiner	<p>August 2, 2018 response: Consistent with County of Los Angeles Bd. of Supervisors v. Superior Court (2017) 12 Cal.App.5th 1264, our office will not provide any further billing records. The records we have previously produced provide the fee totals. More detailed billing invoices are privileged attorney-client communications that are exempt from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k).)</p> <p>Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k) incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law. The attorney-client privilege is contained in Evidence Code section 954 and protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client. In the case of Roberts v. City of Palm Dale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363, the Supreme Court held that Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k) expressly exempts from disclosure matters privileged under the Evidence Code, which includes the attorney-client privilege.</p> <p>You can find information relative to your request for salaries of state attorneys on the following website:  <a href="http://www.calhr.ca.gov/cccc/Pages/cccc-salaries.aspx">http://www.calhr.ca.gov/cccc/Pages/cccc-salaries.aspx</a>. This concludes our response to this request.</p> <p>July 6, 2018 Response:  This letter is in response to your June 15, 2018 correspondence requesting records under the Public Records Act which is contained in Government Code section 62501 et seq. We have provided you with a cost of suit report that illustrates the administrative and legal costs associated with the Martinez v. Regents of the University of California matter. Due to the extent of the request, our office needs more time to search and collect the remaining information to complete your request. We anticipate having supplemental documents within the next two to three weeks. Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact our office.</p> <p>On June 25, 2018 an extension letter was sent by Cheryl Feiner, HEW.</p>
PRA-2018-01183	McGlinn	Jack	6/15/2018	<p>SEIU Local 1000 requests documents pursuant to Article 14.8 of the MOU that are referred to in contract #17IT-1081 with Dajani Consulting.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. contract #17IT-1081 with Dajani Consulting and all amendments</li> <li>2. RFO#17-272 and vendor's responses</li> <li>3. "Required Qualifications Table" for contractor resources submitted per the Statement of Work</li> <li>4. Government Code section 19130(b) written justification for this contract</li> <li>5. All DOJ job postings for civil service positions in the Information Technology series since June 1, 2016</li> </ol>	SEIU	Completed	Stacy Heinsen	<p>Hello Char and Stacy,  The SEIU contract request is complete. At 4 today, I will be delivering a confidential envelope with a CD containing the requested documents to SEIU. I already called the front desk and they confirmed that is acceptable and that they will deliver the envelope to Mr. McGlinn. (I tried calling him multiple times but he did not answer).  Thanks, Shaun</p> <p>Hi Jack,  I will be delivering a CD in your name today containing the documents requested below. The information was too large to send via email.  Have a great weekend and let me know if you need anything else,  Shaun</p>
PRA-2018-01185	Sponem	Mason	6/15/2018	Please produce all consumer complaints regarding Shell Energy North America. Relevant complaints are limited to those relating to calls from said company to the consumers, received on or after January 1, 2016 through present.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)
PRA-2018-01188	Wang	Rujun	6/15/2018	Our team is updating the number of active Concealed Weapon or Firearm License in each state. Would you please help to point me in the right direction via email and/or telephone how I might get the recent		Completed	Robert Wilson	There is no statewide public database for concealed carry weapons (CCW) permits. California is a "may-issue" state, so local law enforcement agencies (like county Sheriffs and local police departments) have discretion over issuing CCWs. Therefore, the

				number of active concealed handgun permits in California? It will be greatly appreciated if you could also provide the gender and/or race breakdown for the permit. Besides, we would also like to have the revocation data on concealed handgun permit. I would be happy to provide you with more information and/or answer any questions you may have about me and/or CPRC. Thank you for your time and look forward to your reply.			process for issuing CCW permits varies county by county. The only obligation for the Department of Justice (Department) under the law is to review eligibility and provide local law enforcement agencies with information on whether or not the applicant is prohibited, for reasons having to do with applicable laws. The actual issuance of CCWs takes place on the local law enforcement agency level. You may wish to contact local law enforcement agencies for additional information. More information about the CCW process can be found at: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/firearms/pubfags#4">https://oag.ca.gov/firearms/pubfags#4</a> . Please keep in mind that CCW permits generally expire and need to be renewed every two years, so the "total number" can fluctuate on a daily basis. We have enclosed a break down of the most recent CCW statistics, which were prepared on July 2, 2018. However, the Department does not keep race or gender information for CCWs, so we are unable to provide you with that information.
PRA-2018-01194	Connolly	Joseph	6/15/2018	<p>Pursuant to the California Public Records Act, Government Code section 6250 et seq., I respectfully request the following records from the California Attorney General's Office, at the earliest possible time in accordance with the Act.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Confirmation of the expenses incurred to contest, review or otherwise act in appeal number C080256, from April 3, 2018 to the present, including any administrative and legal representation expenses. I understand the Attorney General's Office is exempt from filing fees pursuant to Government Code section 6103.</li> <li>2. Confirmation of the expenses incurred to contest, review, or otherwise act in appeal number C083238, from April 3, 2018 to the present, including any administrative and legal representation expenses. I understand the Attorney General's Office is exempt from filing fees pursuant to Government Code section 6103.</li> <li>3. Confirmation of the expenses incurred to contest, review, or otherwise act in Supreme Court case number S247712, from March 21, 2018 to the present, including any administrative and legal representation expenses. I understand the Attorney General's Office is exempt from filing fees pursuant to Government Code section 6103.</li> <li>4. Confirmation of any legal briefs prepared for or by the Attorney General's Office in appeal case numbers C080256, C083238, Supreme Court case number S247712, or El Dorado County Superior Court case number PFL20030299, from January 16, 2018 to the present, including any pending legal briefs. For each brief the Attorney General's Office may have prepared, assisted with, or is currently working on, the title of the brief, the name of the attorney who signed the brief, which court the brief was filed in, and the date of filing.</li> <li>5. Confirmation of the expenses incurred to review, or otherwise act in El Dorado County Department of Child Support case number 0170056216-01 from May, 2014 to the present, excluding the legal expenses directly related to appeal case numbers C080256 and C083238, and/or Supreme Court case number S247712.</li> </ol>	Completed	Cheryl L. Feiner	<p>An extension letter was sent 06.25.18 by Robert Wilson, BOF.</p> <p>On August 2, 2018, Cheryl Feiner sent a final response: Consistent with County of Los Angeles Bd. of Supervisors v. Superior Court (2017) 12 Cal. App. 5th 1264, our office will not provide any further billing records. The records we have previously produced provide the fee totals. More detailed billing invoices are privileged attorney-client communications that are exempt from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k).) Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k) incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law. The attorney-client privilege is contained in Evidence Code section 954 and protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client. In the case of Roberts v. City of Palm Dale (1993) 5 Cal. 4th 363, the Supreme Court held that Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k) expressly exempts from disclosure matters privileged under the Evidence Code, which includes the attorney-client privilege.</p> <p>Pursuant to the statute mentioned above, we are unable to provide you with any further billing information. Therefore, this concludes our response to this request.</p> <p>July 19, 2018 Response sent by Angela Tamber, Legal Analyst: Please see the responses to your questions below:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Page 1 of the "Cost of Suit Summary" totals \$26,675. I presume this amount corresponds to subparagraph "a" of the accompanying two page letter; i.e., that amount equals the AG costs for appeal case number C080256 from April 3, 2018 to the present. Yes, the amount of \$26,675 equals to the amount of AG costs for the appeal number C080256 from April 3, 2018 to the present.</li> <li>2. Page 4 of the "Cost of Suit Summary" totals \$23,597.50. Similarly, I presume this amount corresponds to subparagraph "b" of the accompanying two page letter; i.e., that amount equals the AG costs for appeal case number C083238 from April 3, 2018 to the present. The amount of \$23,597.50 equals the amount of AG costs for appeal number C083238 from April 3, 2018 to the present.</li> <li>3. Page number 5 of the "Cost of Suit Summary" totals \$36,032.50. Similarly, I presume this amount corresponds to subparagraph "e" of the accompanying two page letter; i.e., that amount equals the AG costs related to the El Dorado County Dept. of Child Support services case number 0170056216-01 from May, 2014 to the present.</li> </ol> <p>The Office of the Attorney General does not have any records of costs related to the superior court case referenced. The Cost of Suit Summary on page 5 of the production summarizes the total costs associated with appeal number C083238 (\$36,032.50) rather than</p>

							<p>just the costs incurred after the date of April 3, 2018 identified in your request.</p> <p>4. Pages 2-3 of the "Cost of Suit Summary" is duplicative of an earlier public records request I submitted to the AG's office. How do those pages/cost relate to any of the subparagraphs of the accompanying letter? In subparagraph "e," for example, I asked for appellate costs to be excluded. Pages 2-3 were provided to you as a courtesy to provide an overall picture of the AG costs associated with appeal number C083238 from the time that matter was opened in our office to the present.</p> <p>5. Thank you for confirming the legal briefs information. I was aware of all those briefs you cited on page 2 of your letter; however, there are three post-appellate briefs filed by the El Dorado County Department of Child Support Services which I strongly believe were written by the AG's office, and then forwarded to the EDC DCSS for filing. If I provide information for those briefs, can you confirm the AG's potential part in them under the public records request you are responding to, or would I need to submit a new records request? Per our records, the legal briefs that have been provided to you are the only records that were prepared and filed by the AG's office. A search of our records did not locate any other records responsive to your request.</p> <p>6. Since the AG's office substituted out of the appeals on March 9, 2018 the additional costs you have documented don't make sense to me. Is there any way, under the records request you are responding to, to substantiate WHAT those costs in #s 1-3 were for, or would I need to submit a new records act request?</p> <p>The two individuals who can answer this question are out of the office until Tuesday July 31, 2018. We will have a response to you by Monday August 6, 2018.</p> <p>Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.</p> <p>On June 25, 2018 an extension letter was sent by Cheryl Feiner, HEW.</p>
PRA-2018-01197	Babinski	Elaine	6/15/2018	Case discovery request. Contact: Elaine Babinski, defendant. [REDACTED] request for case discovery and BAC test results from DUI arrest. Traffic collision report date: 5/13/18 report number: 9645-2018-02543 chp officer: Raul carrasco 020479		Completed	Erin Nickless <p>Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>

PRA-2018-01198	Babinski	Elaine	6/15/2018	Case discovery request. Contact: Elaine Babinski, defendant [REDACTED]. Request for case discovery and BAC test results from DUI arrest. Incident date: 5/6/18 booking number: 18128350 agency: chp 645 officer R. Sanchez 20265		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01199	Garcia	Myrna	6/15/2018	Birthday [REDACTED] Last 4 of SSN- [REDACTED] LA County San Bernardino County Orange County San Diego County		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We are construing your record request to be seeking documents related to Myrna Garcia. We have conducted a general search of our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request based on the information provided. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you can provide more information regarding the records you are seeking, we may be able to conduct a more directed search of the records that are in our custody.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>

PRA-2018-01225	Ibrahimovic (Muckrock)	Sanjin	6/15/2018	<p>The ADL has confirmed that officer(s) from this department have attended the Advanced Training School program put on by the ADL in Washington DC, The Advanced Training School has been held since 2003. These events are usually by invite only and usually high ranking officers/commanders/lieutenants are sent.</p> <p>I am seeking documents</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-sufficient to show which officer(s) attended this event and in what year(s)</li> <li>-Any records seeking permission to travel to this event</li> <li>-Records to show invoices, contracts, or requests for travel by supervisor approval</li> <li>-Records to show communication between the Durham Police Department and the ADL.</li> </ul> <p>Specifically, e-mails between@adl.org e-mail addresses and the Durham Police Department that should be found within 6 months of each trip, For example, if officer x attended the ATS in September of 2007, there should be documents in the officer's e-mail from the ADL within a 6 months time frame from the ADL, These e-mails already exist, as documents need to be sent to the ADL to confirm the trip itinerary and so forth, I am also requesting any e-mails from superiors that involve the ADL domains, for example if a superior is asked to recommend an officer for the trip, I would like those e-mails as well, I can send additional assistance that can be made with the IT department to seek these e-mails.</p>	Completed	Shannon Patterson	We have conducted a diligent search of our records and found no records that are responsive to your request.
PRA-2018-01242	Smith	Wendy	6/15/2018	<p>This request is for any and all public records for: Kristal Anne Abner [REDACTED] and for: Christopher Dale Abner DOB: [REDACTED]</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at:</p> <p><a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. California law authorizes certain governmental and private organizations to conduct criminal offender record information background checks to help determine the suitability of a</p>

							person applying for a license, employment, or a volunteer position working with children, the elderly, or the disabled. Law enforcement agencies, public and private schools, non-profit organizations, and in-home supportive care agencies are some of the organizations authorized to conduct these fingerprint-based background checks. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .	
PRA-2018-01201	Tylko	Kristin	6/18/2018	Case # C1518914 Date 8/13/15		Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records based on the information provided. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.
PRA-2018-01203	Rebolledo	Amy	6/18/2018	Name change from Kaufman to Rebolledo for Jack, Amy and son Marco in 2000 or 2001. I need to renew my drivers license however the DMV needs a copy of the record.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are name change records, you may wish to direct your request to the court or other agency in which the name change was filed.
PRA-2018-01204	Kiss	Orsolya	6/18/2018	I am looking for an official document to show my past address on [REDACTED]. I need it to recover some money from California Unclaimed Property		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides.
PRA-2018-01206	Saccoliti	Howard	6/18/2018	Case Number: JE1501478D Case: Civil Judgement Court Jurisdiction: Justice of the Peace Precinct 2 place 1 – Garland. This individual is applying for housing/rental and Civil Search indicates this case is regarding is an Eviction. However, he refutes that and indicates it was regarding a deposit and the individual he was working with was dishonest.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking eviction records, you may wish to direct your request to the local superior court in which the case was filed.
PRA-2018-01207	Leuning	Jennifer	6/18/2018	Michelle Neil, Et Al. Vs Michael Esposito On 09/07/2017 a Small Claim - Other Small Claim case was filed by Michelle Neil against Michael Esposito in the jurisdiction of Los Angeles County Superior Courts, Stanley Mosk Courthouse		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency,

				located in Los Angeles, California. I would like to request the details of this case and the court ruling.				DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with a small claims court, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PRA-2018-01208	Tapia	Liliana	6/18/2018	I am requesting information regarding Case number RIM 447361. I have requested the information from the court house and Justice hall and Riverside sheriff's department and I am told that they do not have any records. I have given them the case number, name and the date of birth all information that has been requested to try and get something and I am told that they do not have any information. Please send me any information regarding this case.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, such as the case name, Deputy Attorney General's name, or other information to help identify the case, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. If this case was handled by a District Attorney, you would need to direct your request to the District Attorney's office.
PRA-2018-01211	Gomez	Antonio	6/18/2018	I am requesting my own dates of custody records for when I was incarcerated in prison. My CDC# was P25295		Completed	Erin Nickless	Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. The Department of Justice cannot produce records that are responsive to your request. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records from another government agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Information on how to contact them can be found at <a href="http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html">http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html</a> . In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-01212	Donovan	Katherine	6/18/2018	RECORDS OF: MICHAEL J. BADELLA - BADELLA CUSTOM (firearms dealer/gunsmith) DOB: [REDACTED] Business address: 1779 Road 24, Madera, CA [REDACTED] Residence address: [REDACTED] Any and all records, writings, etc. of any type/format relating to the raid/investigation conducted at either of the addresses referenced herein by the Department of Justice for alleged weapons violations by Mr. Badella from January 1, 2018 to the present. It is believed that the DOJ conducted a raid in either May of June 2018.		Completed	Robert Wilson	As a preliminary matter, we note that under the Public Records Act government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public, unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) Section 6254, subdivision (k), incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law. The records that you seek are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (t) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation.



							(Id. at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (t).].)	
PRA-2018-01214	Fox	Robbie	6/18/2018	Please accept this letter as a request under the Public Records Act, Open Records Act or Freedom of Information Act for the State of California to obtain a current electronic/digital copy of the Sexual Offender Registry for the State of California. I am very much aware and do understand that the actual list changes frequently. The fact that it changes is not a problem. Please know that this information is not being used to harass anyone. I would respectfully ask that you forward whatever data is "current" as of the date you respond. A Microsoft Excel spreadsheet or a Microsoft Access database format would be preferred, if possible. Please advise if there is a fee or charge for this service. If so, I will forward a check or money order prior to you sending the information. Thank you in advance for your help and assistance in this very important matter. If you have questions, or if I can be of assistance to you in anyway please do not hesitate to contact me at (865) 428-9460.	Dollywood	Completed	Erin Nickless	Unfortunately, we must deny your PRA request for the following reasons: (1) Public access to confidential sex offender registration information is controlled by Megan's Law which, for database integrity reasons, limits such access to real time internet searches on the Megan's Law web site; and (2) Given the constantly-changing nature of the Megan Law's database, the public's interest is best served by limiting public access to confidential sex offender registration information that is accurate and up-to-date. Megan's Law Provides for Internet Access Only Most of the information provided by registering sex offenders during the registration process is confidential (i.e., not open to public inspection). (Pen. Code, § 290.021.) The same is also true for "criminal offender record information" kept in the Department of Justice's California Sex and Arson Registry ("CSAR"). However, despite the confidential nature of this information, California provides members of the public with limited access to some of the sex offender registration information via the Megan's Law internet web site. Specifically, Penal Code section 290.46 provides that "the Department of Justice shall make available information concerning persons who are required to register pursuant to Section 290 to the public via an Internet Web site as specified in this section." (Pen. Code, § 290.46, subd. (a)(l), italics added.) The statute further requires the department to "update the Internet Web site on an ongoing basis." (Ibid.) As mentioned in the notes to this code section, the Legislature created the Megan's Law web site with the intent "that the Department of Justice [would] continue to maximize all available resources to ensure the highest degree of accuracy in the sex registration database." (Pen. Code, § 290.46, Stats. 2004. ch 745, §. 2.) Additionally, the Legislature enacted Megan's Law with the intent that "California's infrastructure for collecting, maintaining, and disseminating information about registered sex offenders [would] be retooled to ensure that law enforcement and the public have access to accurate, up-to-date, and relevant information about registered sex offenders." (Pen. Code, § 290.46, Stats 2006 ch. 337, § 2, subd. (c), italics added.) Thus, in order to help ensure and maintain the accuracy of this information, the Legislature limited the public dissemination of sex offender registration information to the Megan's Law web site. Government Code section 6254 sets forth various exceptions to the disclosure requirements under the PRA. Significantly for this matter, section 6254, subdivision (k), exempts from disclosure "Records, the disclosure of which is exempted or prohibited pursuant to federal or state law, including, but not limited to, provisions of the Evidence Code relating to privilege." (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k), italics added.) Thus, the PRA cannot extend the public's right of access to sex offender registration information beyond the limitations and restrictions set forth in Megan's Law. Consequently, the public's right of access to this information is limited to accessing the Megan's Law database. The Public Interest is Best Served by Limiting Access to Current and Accurate Information. In your request, you state you are looking for an electronic copy of the "sexual offender registry." You further indicate that you are aware that the list changes frequently and that is not a problem; you are only looking for "current" data as the date we respond. Under Government Code section 6255, a government agency may withhold disclosure of a public record "by demonstrating

							<p>... that on the facts of the particular case the public interest served by not disclosing the record clearly outweighs the public interest served by disclosure of the record." (Gov. Code, §6255, subd. (a).) As a separate legal basis, we believe the circumstances in this case necessitate nondisclosure of the records that you seek from us.</p> <p>The information that appears on the Megan's Law web site is processed through a thirdparty vendor. The purpose of the web site is to provide members of the public access to the most current sex offender registrant data. Thus, the site, which is updated daily to reflect any new additions, deletions, and modifications to the registrant database, stores and displays only the current day's data. The Offender Registration Application Unit (ORAU) is the internal unit within the Department of Justice that provides technology support and maintenance for CSAR, the State's repository for sex and arson registration information. Every day, ORAU creates a file which contains a snapshot of the registration data for that day. Our vendor then downloads that file from our site and stores the file on the hard drive of the server that creates the files used for the web site. Specifically, our vendor runs a program that takes that day's data and completely recreates the data files that are used by the web servers to provide the data to the public. Our vendor does not employ any database for this process, only temporary ISAM files. No transaction or other record keeping measures are utilized. The previous day's data on the web servers is overwritten with the new data. The data is also overwritten on the computer where these daily data files are created.</p> <p>On our end, ORAU keeps a backup for seven days of the extract file that is sent to our vendor. However, the information on the backup files is not a mirror image of what appears on the public web site because it contains information that is excluded from public view during our vendor's processing of the information. Additionally, even if our vendor reprocessed the information from one of the backup files, we could not ensure the accuracy of all the information because of the ever-changing nature of the registration database. In other words, because the registration database changes on a daily basis (i.e., newly added registrants, newly removed registrants, and changes to registrant's data) even a delay of one day would result in a report that contained inaccurate data. Such results do not comply with the Legislature's stated intent "that the Department of Justice ... ensure the highest degree of accuracy in the sex registration database" (Pen. Code, § 290.46, Stats 2004 ch 745, §. 2) and "ensure that ... the public have access to accurate, up-to-date, and relevant information about registered sex offenders" (Pen. Code, § 290.46, Stats 2006 ch 337, §. 2, subd. (c)). While we understand and appreciate that you are not concerned with the ongoing accuracy of the information provided, the law requires us as discussed ante to provide the public updated and accurate information on an ongoing basis. Due to the constantly changing nature of the information in the registry, a "snapshot" view of the information for any given day of the Megan's Law web site would almost certainly be obsolete the following day. In other words, the day-old "snapshot" would contain some information that should have been removed, and it would be missing other information that should have been added. Given the sensitive nature of the information at issue, we cannot allow such inaccurate records to exist. The problem is further compounded by the fact that if we provide a "snapshot" for one member of the public we have to provide a "snapshot" to every member of the public that requests it. In doing so, we would be creating a situation wherein an untold number inaccurate "snapshots" of the database would exist. Furthermore, given the highly sensitive nature of sex offender registration information, providing the public with inaccurate</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

							information could cause real public safety concerns. For instance, if registration information is disseminated about a person who is no longer required to register or be posted on the web site, that person may be subjected to undue harassment or even danger. Additionally, if a registrant moves, the person who occupies the registrant's old address may be mistakenly harassed or injured. And, as every day goes by, the obsolescence of the report and the inaccuracies contained within it continue to grow. Finally, we receive a multitude of similar requests from members of the public, especially broadcast and print media outlets. Depending on the volume and requested frequency (i.e., daily, weekly, monthly) of such PRA requests if we were required to produce sex offender registration database information, the additional time and work required for responding to the requests could have a dramatic impact on our ability to successfully perform the core functions of CSAR, which in turn could affect public safety.	
PRA-2018-01215	Maero	Jacqueline ne	6/18/2018	A GWU law student called in asking about the mugshots.com case and requesting court filing information. She is performing research, not calling on behalf of media.		Completed	Robert Morgester, SAAG	Attached are five documents: the arrest warrant; color production of page 19 from the arrest warrant; complaint; letter for amended complaint; and the amended complaint that is responsive to your request In providing the records requested, we have redacted certain private identifying information, such as noncommercial addresses, email addresses, and telephone numbers, along with other sensitive personal information such as social security numbers and credit card numbers based on considerations of personal privacy. (Cal. Const., art. I, §1, as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.)
PRA-2018-01216	Huth	Diana	6/18/2018	Divorce between Diana L Huth and Gearld C Huth Jr. Need date of final divorce for passport application		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA-2018-01217	Segovia Gutiettez	David	6/18/2018	Bankruptcy		Completed	Erin Nickless	We note that your records request is directed to the California Department of Justice but seeks records poss bly in the control or custody of a federal agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code § 6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a federal government agency, you may wish to direct your request to that agency. Bankruptcy cases cannot be filed in state court. Bankruptcy cases are heard in the US Bankruptcy Court. Please find information regarding the US Bankruptcy Court on the internet at: <a href="http://www.uscourts.gov/bankruptcycourts.html">http://www.uscourts.gov/bankruptcycourts.html</a> .
PRA-2018-01244	Ohm	Ryan	6/18/2018	Are there any legally-valid opinions or decisions published by or known to Attorney General Mr. Becerra allowing or regulating any form of GPS tracking of persons with their consent in respect to cell phone technology or mobile technology specifically?		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. To the extent that you are seeking legal advice or informal opinions, the DOJ is not in a position to perform legal research or to provide legal advice to private individuals. You may wish to consult with a private attorney to evaluate your options regarding your records

							request. Any legal opinions we would be able to provide would be viewable on our website at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/opinions">https://oag.ca.gov/opinions</a> .
PRA-2018-01245	Leach	Peter	6/18/2018	I would like to be served with a copy of each one and all the records of the communication between Deputy District Attorney (Major Crimes Division) Michael J. Duarte and the United States Penitentiary ATLANTA, Georgia of the U.S. Bureau of Prisons, including letters, faxes, e-mails or otherwise, regarding PETITIONER (Peter Alan Leach) during 1999 to 2003	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We note that your request was sent to the California Department of Justice, but seeks communication between a Deputy District Attorney and the United States Bureau of Prisons. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records from a District Attorney's Office and the United States Bureau of Prisons, you would need to direct your request to their respective offices.</p>
PRA-2018-01329	Cox	Kenny	6/18/2018	A copy of Initiative 17-0044, "Reducing Crime and Keeping California Safe Act of 2018".	Completed	Anabel Renteria	A copy is enclosed. This completes our response to your request.
PRA-2018-01397	Zinnerman	Vasco	6/18/2018	In regards to the initiative# 17-0046 "3 Strikes Law of 2018" my question is to find out how many signatures the initiative has as of the date this letter is received by you.	Completed	Anabel Renteria	The Department of Justice has no records responsive to your request. For signatures regarding a specific initiative you may contact the Secretary of State's Election Division at 1500 11th, St., 5th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814.
PRA-2018-01218	Montoya	Beatriz	6/19/2018	Edward Allen Schiff, D.O.B. [REDACTED] currently in prison at Avenal State Prison, Prisoner number is BE1343, admission date 9/8/17 also known as Schieff, Edward. Arrest report, court records and sentencing time. Request criminal/ felony case report. Would like to know what is the reason of prison time and sentencing and release information	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records that may be in the possession of another government agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation for prison records. Information on how to contact them can be found at <a href="http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html">http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html</a>.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01219	Hernandez	Frank	6/19/2018	Annual income statement for taxed earnings verification during years 2013-2017.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on tax records, we suggest that you contact the State of California Franchise Tax Board. Information on how to request records from the Franchise</p>

								Tax Board can be found on their website at <a href="https://www.ftb.ca.gov/aboutFTB/Public_Records_Act/index.shtml?WT.mc_id=..">https://www.ftb.ca.gov/aboutFTB/Public_Records_Act/index.shtml?WT.mc_id=..</a> . If you are seeking the IRS W-2 form that is issued by your employer, you should direct your request to the personnel office of your employer.
PRA-2018-01220	Penn	Carol	6/19/2018	I want to know the dates I left the US and the dates I returned from other countries.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a federal agency, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Since you are seeking records related to Border Crossings and/or Customs, you may wish to visit the U.S. Department of Homeland and Security's Customs and Border Protection website at <a href="https://www.cbp.gov/">https://www.cbp.gov/</a> for more information on that topic.</p>
PRA-2018-01222	Haake	Kate	6/19/2018	<p>I am requesting any available documents and correspondence related to the Aetna and CVS merger. Specifically, I am requesting any information related to the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Documents, emails, correspondence, etc. between the companies, Aetna and CVS, and the state of California;</li> <li>2. Documents, emails, correspondence, etc between California and other states in reference to the merger of Aetna and CVS;</li> <li>3. Documents, emails, correspondence, etc. between the California Department of Insurance and the Department of Managed Health Care and the California state attorney general and/or state attorney general's office regarding Aetna's merger with CVS.</li> <li>4. Documents, emails, correspondence, etc. between California state attorney general and the US Department of Justice regarding the state attorney general.</li> </ol>		Completed	Catherine Brown	<p>Response by Michelle Mitchell for Catherine Brown.</p> <p>As an initial matter, we note that your public record request does not include any specific time period. Nonetheless, since items 1 through 3 of your request relates to a proposed merger that was announced in December 2017, we have considered the relevant period for purposes of responding to your request to be from December 1, 2017 to the date of your request.</p> <p>We also note that your public record request is directed to the Department but seeks records of the State of California generally or of certain other state agencies. Absent a request by a separate agency, the Department will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other state departments or agencies. If your request seeks records that are in the custody or control of another state agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>Further, item 4 of the request as drafted seeks records "regarding the state attorney general" without any further limit as to subject matter. Unspecific and unfocused requests for government records under the Act are contrary to the purposes of the Act, and requestors should provide specific, focused requests in order to give agencies a reasonable opportunity to respond. General, unfocused requests compel agencies to deny them thereby leading to litigation. (Rogers v. Superior Court (1993) 19 Cal.App.4th 469, 481-482.) We must decline item 4 of your request for records as it is currently cast. However, we are willing to consult with you to determine if a more specific request would be likely to generate responsive records. (Gov. Code, § 6253.1.)</p> <p>In the case of a proposed merger, our office may obtain information concerning a proposed merger under confidentiality agreements and subject to confidentiality requirements in federal law, including in the Hart-Scott-Rodino Act (15 USC § 18a). To the extent that we may have any of these or other types of records responsive to items 1-3 of your request, they would be exempt from disclosure under the following exemptions. To the extent your request seeks confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General, the records are exempt. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).])</p>

							<p>Some of the records that would be implicated by your request would be exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.) The attorneys in our department provide legal advice not only to other state agencies, but also to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, communications between the department's attorneys and other state agency clients, or between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys, included within the responsive records are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Act.</p> <p>The attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is also incorporated into the Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Act.</p> <p>Agencies may withhold from disclosure records pertaining to pending litigation to which the public agency is a party until the pending litigation has been finally adjudicated or otherwise settled. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (b).) The pending litigation exemption does not duplicate the attorney work product exemption but is broader and covers documents prepared by the agency in anticipation of, or for use in, litigation. (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (Axelrad) (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 831; Fairley v. Superior Court (1998) 66 Cal.App.4th 1414, 1422.)</p> <p>The official information privilege applies to information gathered by a government agency under assurances of confidentiality, which may be withheld from disclosure if it is in the public interest to do so. The official information privilege in Evidence Code section 1040 is incorporated into the Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); San Gabriel Tribune v. Superior Court (1983) 143 Cal. App. 3d 762, 775.) In the case of a proposed merger, our office may obtain information concerning a proposed merger under confidentiality agreements and subject to confidentiality requirements in federal law, including in the HartScott-Rodino Act (15 USC§ 18a).</p> <p>The Act also exempts from disclosure preliminary drafts, notes, or interagency or intra-agency memoranda that are not retained by the public agency in the ordinary course of business, if the public interest in withholding those records clearly outweighs the public interest in disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (a); Citizens for a Better Environment v. Department of Food &amp; Agriculture (1985) 171 Cal.App.3d 704, 712.)</p> <p>The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325, 1342.) Records that reveal deliberative processes</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

							are protected through application of Government Code section 6255. In the instant case, requested records relating to review of a proposed merger would be covered by the deliberative process privilege and, therefore, absent special circumstances would be exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6255. We have completed our search and did not locate any non-exempt records responsive to your request.	
							An extension was sent by Catherine Brown, GLS on June 27, 2018.	
PRA-2018-01223	Yeargin	Cole	6/19/2018	Hello, I am looking to obtain records which would give a complete listing of all attorneys who have been admitted to practice in the four California US District Courts. I am seeking only that information which the US District Courts make available through their website. Such as attorney name, firm name, address, Bar number, etc. The California District Courts make this information available through their websites, but I am hoping for an all inclusive record, or records, which would alleviate the need to search for attorneys one name at a time. If there is a cost associated with providing the requested records, please contact me so arrangements can be made. My thanks for your prompt consideration of my request.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We note that you have submitted your request to the California Department of Justice, but seek records from the California US District Courts. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records from the United States District Court, you may wish to direct your request to the Courts' offices.
PRA-2018-01224	Gordon	Mandy (Cameron)	6/19/2018	Certification of Absence of Police Records. This is needed by June 27th, for a appt at the Spanish Consulate LA to apply for a student Visa to study in Spain this fall.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	Unfortunately, the Department of Justice (DOJ) is unable to comply with your request. California law allows you to request a record clearance from the DOJ for Visa/Immigration purposes. The following link describes the basic steps to complete your request for a background check: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/visaimmigration">https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/visaimmigration</a> . Failure to follow these procedures may result in a delay or the rejection of your application for a DOJ response under Penal Code Section 11105(c)(9). If you currently reside in California, with limited exceptions, you must submit your fingerprints electronically from a Live Scan site. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PRA-2018-01226	Chen	Benjamin	6/19/2018	I'm looking for the Annual NPDES Report for O'Neill Forebay for 2016 and 2017. This Annual NPDES report is a general discharge report for aquatic herbicides submitted annually to the State Water Resource Control Board. The current bid is named IFB# 10144508, I do not have the previous bid information. The bid is put out by the Department of Water Resources, San Luis Field Division. Lisa Huff is the project manager.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a federal agency, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Since you are seeking records related to State Water Resource Control Board, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Please visit their website at <a href="https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/">https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/</a> for more information on how to contact them for your records request.
PRA-2018-01227	Chen	Benjamin	6/19/2018	I'm looking for the past winning bid for Aquatic Herbicide and Application Services @ O'Neill Forebay. The current bid is named IFB# 10144508, I do not have the previous bid information. The bid is put out by the Department of Water Resources, San Luis Field Division. Lisa Huff is the project manager.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a federal agency, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Since you are seeking records related to State Water Resource

							Control Board, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Please visit their website at <a href="https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/">https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/</a> for more information on how to contact them for your records request.
PRA-2018-01229	Walsh	Rebecca	6/19/2018	Branden G Godson, 19, Chino California, Police Records, Warrants, Current Address		Completed	Erin Nickless  Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) To the extent that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-01232	Moralez	David	6/19/2018	Case File N2017-166 Contact Information: I request the name and spelling, position title, email address and telephone number of the direct supervisor(s) of Desiree Tulleners, Deputy Attorney General, State Bar No. 157464 and Mr. Thomas Rinaldi, Supervising Deputy Attorney General, State Bar No. 206911. I also request the name and spelling, position title, email address and telephone number of the Director of the Licensing Section and Health Quality Enforcement Section. Thank you for your assembling my request for information.		Completed	Armando Zambrano  The following information is in response to your request: 1) Direct supervisor(s) of Desiree Tulleners, Deputy Attorney General Thomas L. Rinaldi Supervising Deputy Attorney General <a href="mailto:Thomas.Rinaldi@doj.ca.gov">Thomas.Rinaldi@doj.ca.gov</a> 213.269.6310 2) Direct supervisor(s) of Mr. Thomas Rinaldi, Supervising Deputy Attorney General Linda K. Schneider Senior Assistant Attorney General <a href="mailto:Linda.Schneider@doj.ca.gov">Linda.Schneider@doj.ca.gov</a> 619.738.9411 3) Director of the Licensing Section Linda K. Schneider Senior Assistant Attorney General <a href="mailto:Linda.Schneider@doj.ca.gov">Linda.Schneider@doj.ca.gov</a> 619.738.9411 4) Director of the Health Quality Enforcement Section Gloria L. Castro Senior Assistant Attorney General <a href="mailto:Gloria.Castro@doj.ca.gov">Gloria.Castro@doj.ca.gov</a> 213.269.6507
PRA-2018-01233	Snoke	Brian	6/19/2018	"I am seeking disclosure of statewide information policies re: Expanded Law Library materials and supplies. See 2017 Ed. Title 15 CCR 3120-3124..." "On May 30, 2018, the United States Department of Justice, Office of the Pardon Attorney issues "Full Grant" Re: FOIA. DOJ.OPA.2018-277944. The disclosure		Completed	Erin Nickless  We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. Your request also references a letter from the United States



				favorable may result in adversities. I now require the grant to extend to state research tools of the trade not available to me as of most resent Inmate Appeal."			Department of Justice, Office of the Pardon Attorney, regarding a grant and seeks access to "state research tools." Please note that the California DOJ is a State agency, and independently run from the United States Department of Justice (US DOJ). If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of the US DOJ, you may wish to direct your request to their office. The California DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.
PRA-2018-01247	Black	Sharon	6/19/2018	Two e-mail requests: 1) I Have Been In Hell All Morning Only To Find Out You Have My Name Incorrect. Wrong Wrong Wrong. Please Fix And Make It Accurate And Correct On Your Site Once And For All. I Am Sharon Black With No Middle Initial. Is That Clear. I Am Sharon Black Not Sharon B Black As You Have It. I Will Have Another Stroke And Heart Attack If This Persists. 2) This Is A Followup On Mistakes My Name Is Sharon Black Not Not Not Sharon B Black. Additionally I am NOT related or know of a Barbara Black remove that name from any association with myself. My ss# is [REDACTED]	Completed	Erin Nickless	The Public Records Unit processes requests to the California Department of Justice for copies of Public Records. There was no record description or comment included with your contact information to identify which records you are seeking. As there were no records identified in your request, we are unable to provide records that are responsive. If you can provide more information as to which documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of the documents that are within the control and custody of DOJ. Since you appear to be trying to amend records of some sort, you would need to direct your request to the appropriate unit or agency. Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody or control. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you are seeking to correct criminal history records, if an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> . Additionally, we note that your request references your name being on our site. A search of our site, which is <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/">https://oag.ca.gov/</a> , did not produce any exact matches for "Sharon Black," "Sharon B. Black," or "Barbara Black." If you are attempting to have your record altered on another agency's website, you would need to contact them directly.
PRA-2018-01293	Smith	Samantha	6/19/2018	This is a request for copies of any and all police reports, court records, court dockets, or other documents that you may have in your possession for the above-referenced matter involving our client, Noe Rosales, the victim of Fraud and Identity Theft in the incident. Although we are requesting this specific information, we request a copy of any and all documentation in your possession. We made a request to your office on 06/11/18 to which you responded on 06/19/18 that you had conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and were unable to locate responsive records. Your response also stated that if we have any additional information on the documents we are seeking, such as a case name and number, you can conduct a search of your records within the care and custody of your office. We do have a possible incident number from the Escondido Police	Completed	Erin Nickless	As stated in our June 19, 2018 response, police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) In regard to the court records you are seeking, we are unable to search our legal indices based on the criteria provided. You may be able to direct your request to the local court in which the case was heard.

				Department, unfortunately their records relating to this incident have been purged.				
PRA-2018-01401	Kaufman	Stephen	6/19/2018	1. Publicly filed documents concerning Thomas G. Del Beccaro, et al. v. Edmund Gerald "Jerry" Brown Jr., etc. et al., Sacramento County, Case No. 06AS04494. 2. Any response from the Attorney General's Office to the May 21, 2018 and May 22, 2018 letters on behalf of Dave Jones for Attorney General 2018, requesting investigations related to campaign committee advertisements by Xavier Becerra for Attorney General 2018.		Completed	Thomas S. Patterson	We possess records responsive to your request, which are attached to the email message transmitting this letter. Please note that concerning your first request, paper files of the documents that you sought are no longer retained by the Department under our records-retention policy. We are providing all publicly filed documents that are available from our electronic case management system concerning the matter.
PRA-2018-01228	Phene	Robert	6/20/2018	Public Request for Information regarding DOJ - Request for Offer 17-231 Smile Business Products, Inc. is requesting copies of the following documentation from DOJ - Request for Offer 17-231 1. Copies of the bidders responses to RFO 17-231 2. Copies of the final executed Contract for RFO 17-231 3. Copies of the awarded Std 65 Purchase Order for RFO 17-231		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.
PRA-2018-01230	Willcox	Alexandra	6/20/2018	Tmf Trustee Limited V. M/t Megacore Philomena Et Al Case Number: 2:17-cv-09010 Court: California Central if these documents are publicly available I would like to receive as much information as possible. Thanks in advance.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with California Central Court, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PRA-2018-01231	Davis	Joseph	6/20/2018	Inv.# BOF-FR 2018-0056. Please provide the record of this case regarding weapons confiscation.		Completed	Robert Wilson	As a preliminary matter, we note that under the Public Records Act government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public, unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) Section 6254, subdivision (k), incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law. Section 6254, subdivision (f) of the California Government Code exempts investigative files from disclosure to the public. However, state and local law enforcement agencies are required to disclose the names and addresses of persons and non-confidential witnesses involved in an incident and the statements made by such witnesses. State and local law enforcement agencies also are required to disclose a description of any property involved, the date, time, and location of the incident and all diagrams that may have been a part of the report. Disclosure is not required if it would endanger the safety of a witness or other person involved in the investigation, or unless disclosure would endanger the successful completion of the investigation or a related investigation. Nothing in this division requires the disclosure of that portion of those investigative files that reflects the analysis or conclusions of the investigating officer. The Department declines to disclose information contained in its investigative report on the grounds that it is unable to determine whether the safety of a witness or other person involved in the investigation might be jeopardized by the disclosure.
PRA-2018-01234	Hopp	Richard	6/20/2018	1. Under Cal. Gov't Code, § 6253.9 an electronic copy of the 06-19-2018 Respondent's Brief in regards to Ivory Education Institute vs. The State of California, California Court of Appeal Case No. B282558.		Fees Requested	Carol A.Z. Boyd	The Department of Justice is authorized to charge the direct cost of duplication for providing copies of records in response to Public Records Act requests. (Gov. Code, § 6253 subd. (b).) The cost for making a photocopy of a paper record or creating an electronic copy

							<p>is \$0.10 per page. Here, the entire cost of providing the requested electronic record is \$4.70 for 47 pages. If you decide you want a paper copy, you will incur fees for photocopying and postage (regular U.S. ground mail). Please let me know if you want a paper copy and we will calculate those fees. Or, you may avoid a postage fee by arranging to pick up the paper records at the street address listed in the above letterhead.</p> <p>Assuming that you want electronic copies of these records e-mailed to you, please write a check for \$4.70 made payable to the "California Department of Justice" and send your check to:  California Department of Justice  Public Records Coordinator  1300 I Street, Room 1710-6  Sacramento, CA 95814</p> <p>Upon receipt of your check in the above-stated amount, we will promptly forward to you the disclosable record responsive to your request.</p> <p>If we do not receive your payment within 20 days of this letter, we will consider your request for records withdrawn and the matter closed.</p>
PRA-2018-01235	Schwartz	Matthew	6/20/2018	Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act, I hereby request a copy of all records, including but not limited to, all correspondence, reports, findings, orders and recommendations, pertaining to the Attorney General of the State of California's File No. 2018-CT0153623; which is styled - In the Matter of the Order to Cease and Desist and Notice of Assessment of Penalties Against: American Veterans Foundation.	Completed	Caroline Hughes	<p>For the reasons set forth below, we decline to provide records in response to your request.</p> <p>There are public records on the Attorney General's website that relate to your request, which you may find at <a href="http://rct.doj.ca.gov/Verification/">http://rct.doj.ca.gov/Verification/</a>, click the link to search for an Organization, and then type in "American Veterans Foundation" under the "Organization Name". Any additional records that may exist would be classified as confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts investigatory and security files of the Attorney General. including complaints about unlawful practices. In the case of Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, the California Supreme Court held that investigative records in the possession of law enforcement agencies are exempt from disclosure. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (b) exempts records pertaining to pending litigation until the pending litigation or claim has been finally adjudicated or otherwise settled.</p> <p>To the extent that your request is for any other documents or information related to these records, Code of Civil Procedure section 2018.030 exempts from disclosure the Work product of an attorney. The attorney work-product exemption exempts from disclosure any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that are maintained as confidential. It is also incorporated into the Public Records Act by Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k). (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Under the attorney work-product exemption, records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memorandum prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office would be subject to this exemption, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p>
PRA-2018-01240	Kretchman	Johnna	6/20/2018	<p>I will need any and all publicly available documentation for each of the following records. They are all for projects related to IT goods and services. There are eight (8) in all. Thank you for your time!</p> <p>Purchase Document #: 17IT-0175  Project Start and End Dates: 11/20/2017 to 12/31/2019  Fiscal Amount: \$2,256,408.00  Vendor Name: Celer Systems Inc.  Purchase Document #: 17IT-0158</p>	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>When I sought clarification on June 22, 2018, you confirmed that you wanted the Request for Offers (RFOs), submitted bids, and selection and scoring reports for each record. Below, please find your specific requests followed by our office's response and the responsive documents that are attached.</p> <p>1. Purchase Document #: 17IT-0175  Project Start and End Dates: 11/20/2017 to 12/31/2019  Fiscal Amount: \$2,256,408.00  Vendor Name: Celer Systems Inc.</p>

			<p>Project Start and End Dates: 12/06/2017 to 12/31/2019  Fiscal Amount: \$3,263,005.00  Vendor Name: Celer Systems Inc.  Purchase Document #: 17IT-0070  Project Start and End Dates: 09/14/2017 to 09/13/2019  Fiscal Amount: \$805,552.00  Vendor Name: Cloverleaf Solutions Inc.  Purchase Document #: 16IT-1311  Project Start and End Dates: 06/29/2017 to 06/28/2018  Fiscal Amount: \$419,971.20  Vendor Name: Cloverleaf Solutions Inc.  Purchase Document #: 17IT-0764  Project Start and End Dates: 03/01/2018 to 02/28/2019  Fiscal Amount: \$726,560.00  Vendor Name: Cloverleaf Solutions Inc.  Purchase Document #: 16IT-1348  Project Start and End Dates: 06/28/2017 to 02/28/2018  Fiscal Amount: \$970,237.40  Vendor Name: Dajani Consulting Inc.  Purchase Document #: 17IT-0017  Project Start and End Dates: 07/01/2017 to 06/30/2018  Fiscal Amount: \$29,803.99  Vendor Name: HotDocs Corporation  Purchase Document #: 17IT-0669  Project Start and End Dates: 12/08/2017 to 12/07/2018  Fiscal Amount: \$566,700.40  Vendor Name: Kovarus Inc.</p>			<p>Response: Contract # 17IT-0175 - This contract was procured by the California Department of General Services (DGS) on behalf of the California Department of Justice (DOJ). The DOJ has provided the documents we have related to the request; however, additional documents will need to be requested via a PRA request to DGS.  Attachments:  • Awarded Vendor's Response  • RFO  • RFO, Addendum 1 Q&amp;A Set  • Bid Quote/Offer Selection Document  2. Purchase Document #: 17IT-0158  Project Start and End Dates: 12/06/2017 to 12/31/2019  Fiscal Amount: \$3,263,005.00  Vendor Name: Celer Systems Inc.  Response  Contract #17IT-0158 - This contract was also procured by DOS on behalf of the DOJ. The DOJ has provided the documents we have related to the request; however, additional documents will need to be requested via a Public Records Request to DGS.  Attachments:  • Awarded Vendor's Response  • RFO  • RFO Q&amp;A Set  • Bid Quote/Offer Selection Document  3. Purchase Document #: 17IT-0070  Project Start and End Dates: 09/14/2017 to 09/13/2019  Fiscal Amount: \$805,552.00  Vendor Name: Cloverleaf Solutions Inc.  Response  Attachments:  • Celer Systems RFO Response  • Cloverleaf RFO Response  • Dajani RFO Response  • Firstapps RFO Response  • RFO  • RFO Statement of Work (SOW)  • Bid Quote/Offer Selection Document (the DOJ doesn't have scoring reports as this contract was not awarded based on scoring; therefore, the DOJ is providing the Bid Quote/Offer Selection document.)  4. Purchase Document #: 16IT-1311  Project Start and End Dates: 06/29/2017 to 06/28/2018  Fiscal Amount: \$419,971.20  Vendor Name: Cloverleaf Solutions Inc.  Response  Attachments:  • Celer Systems RFO Response  • Cloverleaf RFO Response  • The iFish Group RFO Response  • RFO  • RFO SOW  • RFO Addendum 1  • Bid Quote/Offer Selection Document (the DOJ doesn't have scoring reports as this contract was not awarded based on scoring; therefore, the DOJ is providing the Bid Quote/Offer Selection document.)  5. Purchase Document #: 17IT-0764  Project Start and End Dates: 03/01/2018 to 02/28/2019  Fiscal Amount: \$726,560.00  Vendor Name: Cloverleaf Solutions Inc.  Response  Attachments:</p>
--	--	--	---	--	--	---

							<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Celer Systems RFO Response</li> <li>• Cloverleaf RFO Response</li> <li>• Softsol RFO Response</li> <li>• RFO</li> <li>• RFO SOW</li> <li>• Bid Quote/Offer Selection Document (the DOJ doesn't have scoring reports as this contract was not awarded based on scoring; therefore, the DOJ is providing the Bid Quote/Offer Selection document.)</li> </ul> <p>6. Purchase Document #: 16IT-1348  Project Start and End Dates: 06/28/2017 to 02/28/2018  Fiscal Amount: \$970,237.40  Vendor Name: Dajani Consulting Inc.  Response  Attachments:  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Dajani RFO Response</li> <li>• QualApps RFO Response</li> <li>• RFO</li> <li>• RFO SOW</li> <li>• Bid Quote/Offer Selection Document (the DOJ doesn't have scoring reports as this contract was not awarded based on scoring; therefore, the DOJ is providing the Bid Quote/Offer Selection document.)</li> </ul> </p> <p>7. Purchase Document #: 17IT-0017  Project Start and End Dates: 07/01/2017 to 06/30/2018  Fiscal Amount: \$29,803.99  Vendor Name: HotDocs Corporation  Response  This contract was procured via a Request for Quote (RFQ) versus RFO; therefore, the DOJ has provided the RFQ documents.  Attachments:  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• HotDocs RFQ Response</li> <li>• RFQ</li> <li>• Bid Quote/Offer Selection Document (the DOJ doesn't have scoring reports as this contract was not awarded based on scoring; therefore, the DOJ is providing the Bid Quote/Offer Selection document.)</li> </ul> </p> <p>8. Purchase Document#: 17IT-0669  Project Start and End Dates: 12/08/2017 to 12/07/2018  Fiscal Amount: \$566,700.40  Vendor Name: Kovarus Inc.  Response  This contract was procured on DGS' mandatory statewide contract; therefore, the DOJ has no solicitation documents to provide.  Attachments:  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bid Quote/Offer Selection Document</li> </ul> The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>
PRA-2018-01295	Trumble	Ross	6/20/2018	Please send any complaints filed with the CA Attorney General's office against the following entities, associated with Charles C Sells: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CHIMERA LLC</li> <li>• PIP-GROUP LLC</li> <li>• PLATINUM PROPERTY ACQUISITIONS LLC</li> <li>• PLATINUM REOS &amp; ACQUISTIONS LLC</li> <li>• SNS OF HHI LLC</li> <li>• VISION TAX LIEN SERVICES INCORPORATED</li> <li>• ORCHID CLEANERS, INC</li> <li>• ACCUITY INC</li> </ul>	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)

				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SECURECOMPUTERFIX LLC</li> <li>• DANUBE INTERNATIONAL LLC</li> <li>• PLATINUM REOS AND ACQUISITIONS, LLC</li> <li>• BOVAIN ENTERPRISES LLC</li> <li>• PIP GROUP</li> <li>• CALDWELL INVESTMENTS LLC</li> <li>• NATIONAL REALTY GROUP LLC</li> <li>• NRG TAX DEEDS LLC</li> <li>• PLATINUM INVESTMENT PROPERTIES LLC</li> <li>• VISION TAX LIEN SERVICES INC</li> <li>• E &amp; N ENTERPRISES LLC</li> <li>• POSITIVE PRESS PR INC</li> <li>• THE ASILO GROUP INC</li> <li>• LAKESIDE ONE STOP LLC</li> <li>• COMMONWEALTH COMMUNITY SPECIALISTS LLC</li> <li>• MAXB LLC</li> <li>• VISION TAX LIEN SERVICES INC</li> </ul>			
PRA-2018-01238	Jackson	Aharown	6/21/2018	evictions: Need to rent a place in California and wondering if I have any evictions in my record.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking eviction records, you may wish to direct your request to the local superior court in which the case was filed.</p>
PRA-2018-01239	Vance	Gerold	6/21/2018	Time and place where my grandmother died, Betty Murial Murial Lee, lived Idlewild, California, other relatives, Robert and Betty Bailey, apparently I have received nothing but an email saying my grandmother died, with no further information, I would like to know how and where, possibly to send flowers ? Where is my grandmother? don't I have a right to know?	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01241	Ran	Matthew	6/21/2018	<p>Case Number: BH 6BV00819-01</p> <p>Defendant Name: RAN, MATTHEW ADAM</p> <p>Violation Date: February 26, 2006</p> <p>Filing Date: April 26, 2006</p> <p>Courthouse: Beverly Hills Courthouse</p> <p>I need all court documents and certificates of disposition for the case above.</p> <p>Please contact me if any other information is needed.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.</p> <p>Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with a court, you may wish to direct your request to their office.</p> <p>In the event that the records that you are seeking are criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose</p>

							state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .	
PRA-2018-01243	Young	Cacy	6/21/2018	We would like to request a copy of the winning proposal and the evaluation sheet for the RFO 17-266. We would also ask for the scoring information. Please advise if this email is sufficient or we need to follow a certain procedure?		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached responsive documents. Note, we have redacted sensitive personal information from records based on considerations of personal privacy. (Art. I, sec. 1 of Cal. Con. as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.) The DOJ does not score the information therefore does not have documents responsive to scoring information.
PRA-2018-01313	Brink	Prentice	6/21/2018	Bids and related documents submitted by bidders to Health Plan of San Mateo (HPSM) in response to its Home-Based Assessment Vendor Request for Proposals dated February 22, 2018, as well as documents related to HPSM's evaluation of those bids.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records submitted to Health Plan of San Mateo, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PRA-2018-01317	Agostino	Frank	6/21/2018	. . . copies of the administrative files maintained by the California Department of Justice ("DOJ") that relate to the above identified individual, including but not limited to the following. Notices Sent to the Individual by the DOJ; Documents Sent to the DOJ by the Taxpayer All correspondence, including notices, letters, contact history sheets, audit reports, and any other documents sent by the DOJ to the Taxpayer or his authorized representative, or received by the DOJ from the taxpayer, including but not limited to: 1. Criminal investigations 2. Criminal indictments 3. Identity theft allegations 4. Assistance to and from sister state and foreign government criminal investigations Notice of contact(s) of third parties All records of persons contacted by the DOJ with respect to the taxpayer, including but not limited to: 5. The notice(s) to the taxpayer that contacts with persons other than the taxpayer may be made. 6. A record of persons contacted by DOJ in connection with any of the above categories 1-4 of documents relating to the taxpayer.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We were unable locate any responsive records based on the information provided. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows: -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.

							<p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p> <p>To the extent that your request seeks investigative files, we must decline your request. Investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)</p> <p>Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking audit reports and you refer to Uriah Shitrit as "the taxpayer," if you are seeking tax-related documents, you may wish to contact the State of California Franchise Tax Board. Information on how to request records from the Franchise Tax Board can be found on their website at <a href="https://www.ftb.ca.gov/aboutFTB/Public_Records_Act/index.shtml?WT.mc_id=..">https://www.ftb.ca.gov/aboutFTB/Public_Records_Act/index.shtml?WT.mc_id=..</a></p>
PRA-2018-01246	Lopez	Holly	6/22/2018	Any		Completed	Erin Nickless <p>We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office.</p> <p>In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Providing the actual name or title of the record.</li> <li>-Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record.</li> <li>-Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record.</li> <li>-Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created.</li> <li>-If related to a case, providing the case name or number.</li> <li>-Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record.</li> <li>-Indicating the city in which the record was created.</li> </ul> <p>Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. If you can provide more information regarding the records you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control.</p>
PRA-2018-01248	Orejuela	Peter	6/22/2018	<p>Cal. Sup Ct. Van Nuys case no.: LAV9PY0468601  booking number 002124201  citation # 997752  Could this copy of ticket be sent via email or mail?</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>i need either one of these: copy of the arresting officer's report, complaint, indictment, trial disposition, sentence, appeal, probation report and certified copy of conviction. I am applying for the state bar of California.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless <p>Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of</p>



								the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-01249	Kennedy	Jennifer	6/22/2018	<p>This is my second request under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq. for the opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of public records in the following litigation: Brown v. State of California et al., 2nd Dist. Court of Appeal Case #B279936, pertaining to the final motions filed by plaintiffs' counsel and the OAG's response. I request the opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Appellants' motion for expedited hearing date;</li><li>2. Respondent's opposition to appellants' motion for expedited hearing date;</li><li>3. Appellants' motion to withdraw as attorney of record;</li><li>4. Attorney T. Matthew Phillips's Declaration in support of motion to withdraw as attorney of record;</li><li>5. Appellants' opposition to motion to withdraw as attorney of record;</li><li>6. T. Matthew Phillips' response to appellants' letter filed May 2, 2018;</li><li>7. Order granting motion for leave to withdraw; and</li><li>8. Any and all correspondence between T. Matthew Phillips and the Office of the Attorney General regarding any of the above motions.</li></ol> <p>This request seeks to examine the aforementioned documents because this information is of public interest in monitoring this lawsuit.</p> <p>NB: The California Public Records Act requires a response within ten (10) business days. My first request was submitted online on April 28, 2018. I received no response from the OAG. A response to this second request will be expected on or before July 3, 2018.</p> <p>If access to the records I am requesting will take longer, please contact me with information about when I might expect copies or the ability to inspect the requested records.</p> <p>In addition, if you deny any or all of this request, please cite each specific exemption you feel justifies the refusal to release the information and notify me of the appeal procedures available to me under the law. Thank you for your prompt consideration of my request.</p>	Completed	Cheryl L. Feiner	<p>On July 3, 2018, Cheryl Feiner replied: On May 10, 2018 our office provided you, in compliance with your previous request, the following documents: (1) Appellant's Motion for Expedited Hearing Date; (2) Respondents' Opposition to Appellants' Motion for Expedited Hearing; (3) Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of record; and (4) Declaration of T. Matthew Phillips. Additionally, on May 29, 2018 our office also provided you with the following documents; Letter to the Court from Sharon Brown regarding Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of record, filed May 2, 2018; and the Court of Appeal Order granting the Motion to Withdraw.</p> <p>For your convenience, we have provided you these documents again.</p> <p>On June 25, 2018 an extension letter was sent by Cheryl Feiner, HEW.</p>	
PRA-2018-01250	Lenca	Melissa	6/22/2018	<p>Pursuant to the California Public Records Act 6250 et seq., please provide our office with any and all tapes, records, hand-written records of the like involving 911 calls on 4/6/18 at approximate time 6:15 p.m. for an accident involving Esteban Perez, Mariah Catania, and Jose Trinidad, report # 9580-2018-01311 at SR-170 N/B (Hollywood FWY.) Please send the requested information to Phillips Law Offices, 161 N. Clark St., Ste 4925, Chicago, IL 60601, Fax No.: 312/346-0003; email <a href="mailto:mlenca@phillipslegal.com">mlenca@phillipslegal.com</a>&lt;<a href="mailto:mlenca@phillipslegal.com">mailto:mlenca@phillipslegal.com</a>&gt;. Thank you in advance for your cooperation.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Specifically, you are seeking a recording of a 9-11 call. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p>	
PRA-2018-01251	Hopp	Richard	6/22/2018	<p>1. Under Cal. Gov't Code, § 6253.9 an electronic copy of and in regards to Ivory Education Institute vs. The State of California, California Court of Appeal Case No. B282558 as follows: 06/07/2018 Record omission letter received. Respondent</p>	Fees Requested	Carol A.Z. Boyd	<p>The third record you requested appears to be an e-mail from the Court of Appeal to the Superior Court. We did not receive a copy and therefore cannot produce it.</p> <p>The Department of Justice is authorized to charge the direct cost of duplication for providing copies of records in response to Public</p>	

				<p>State of California dated June 4, 2018</p> <p>06/08/2018 Record omission letter received. Respondent State of California dated June 5, 2018</p> <p>06/12/2018 Email sent to: civil appeals re 6/4/2018 and 6/5/2018 record omission letters</p> <p>06/19/2018 Respondent's brief. Defendant and Respondent: The State of California Attorney: Carol A. Z. Boyd Joinder filed by Respondent-Intervenors' Natural Resources Defense Council. Filed in on 6/20/18.</p> <p>06/20/2018 Errata filed to: RESPONDENT'S BRIEF : Attorneys for Respondent California Department of Fish and Wildlife.</p> <p>06/20/2018 Filed joinder of: Respondent-Intervenors? Joinder in Respondent Department of Fish and Wildlife?s Brief in Opposition to Appellant?s Opening brief.</p>			<p>Records Act requests. (Gov. Code, § 6253 subd. (b).) The cost for making a photocopy of a paper record or creating an electronic copy is \$0 .10 per page. Here, the entire cost of providing the requested electronic records is \$10.20 for 102 total pages. If you decide you want a paper copy; you will incur fees for photocopying and postage (regular U.S. ground mail). Please let me know if you want a paper copy and we will calculate the cost. Or, you may avoid a postage fee by arranging to pick up the paper records at the street address listed in the above letterhead.</p> <p>Assuming that you want electronic copies of these records e-mailed to you, please write a check for \$10.20 made payable to the "California Department of Justice" and send your check to: California Department of Justice Public Records Coordinator 1300 I Street, Room 1710-6 Sacramento, CA 95814</p> <p>Upon receipt of your check in the above-stated amount, we will promptly forward to you the disclosable records responsive to your request.</p> <p>If we do not receive your payment within 20 days of this letter, we will consider your request for records withdrawn and the matter closed.</p>
PRA-2018-01305	Hamilton	George	6/22/2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A copy of the California DOJ manual, "Legal Rights of Persons with Disabilities."</li> <li>• A copy of the California DOJ civil rights handbook, "Unlawful Discrimination, Your Rights and Remedies."</li> <li>• A copy of all published/promulgated California DOJ information that clarifies the rights and remedies of persons with mental health conditions/illness.</li> <li>• A copy of a list of all California agencies that offer services to all people who suffer mental health conditions/illness, including name of contact person/official.</li> </ul>	Completed	Anthony V. Seferian	<p>We have carefully evaluated your request, and this letter will set forth our response to your request.</p> <p>1) A copy of the California DOJ manual, "Legal Rights of Persons with Disabilities."</p> <p>Response to Request 1: This record is included with this correspondence.</p> <p>2) A copy of the California DOJ civil rights handbook, "Unlawful Discrimination, Your Rights and Remedies."</p> <p>Response to Request 2: This record is included with this correspondence.</p> <p>3) A copy of all published/promulgated California DOJ information that clarifies the rights and remedies of persons with mental health conditions/illness.</p> <p>Response to Request 3: This office does not have any records responsive to this request. We would suggest that you contact the California Department of Health Care Services, Mental Health Services Division, for information on those topics. The Web site is <a href="http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/services/-Pages/MentalHealthPrograms-Svcs.aspx">http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/services/-Pages/MentalHealthPrograms-Svcs.aspx</a>. You may also want to contact the Department of Developmental Services and the Department of State Hospitals.</p> <p>4) A copy of a list of all California agencies that offer services to all people who suffer mental health conditions/illness, including name of contact person/official.</p> <p>Response to Request 4: This office does not have any records responsive to this request. We would suggest that you contact the California Department of Health Care Services, Mental Health Services Division, for information on those topics: <a href="http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/services/Pages/MentalHealthPrograms-Svcs.aspx">http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/services/Pages/MentalHealthPrograms-Svcs.aspx</a>. You may also want to contact the Department of Developmental Services, the Department of State Hospitals, and the Commission on Aging.</p> <p>On July 2, 2018, Anthony Seferian sent an extension letter.</p>
PRA-2018-01314	Lopez	Jose	6/22/2018	Document was recovered from a case involving a fraudulent man who went by as an attorney Oficina Guerrero located in 436 W Manchester Blvd, Inglewood,	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We were unable to locate responsive records based on the information provided. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records</p>

				CA 90301, the document is a renewal of a workers permit which belongs to Jose Antonio Lopez with Guerrero by renewing DACA document address in document is different from current one the one that appears is [REDACTED]				within the care and custody of our office. You appear to be seeking a work permit or other records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to work permits, you may wish to direct your request to the office that issued the permit.
PRA-2018-01321	Cutino	Michael	6/22/2018	Under the Public Information Act (FOIL), I am requesting all the information and records you have on a YAHOR ZGURSKI, DOB [REDACTED] ... His DA CASE# 15003373.		Completed	Robert Morgester, SAAG	In past requests you noted that the purpose for this information was so that you could serve legal process on this individual. Although we cannot provide you with the home address (See Cal. Const., art. I, §1, as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.) we can provide his next court appearance date and location. He has a trial scheduled in San Francisco Superior Court on August 17, 2018 at 9:00 in Department 22.
PRA-2018-01252	Rohwer	Ian	6/25/2018	Seeking ALL criminal and investigative records I would like a list of the files so that I may request specific files for re-production		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> . To the extent that your request seeks investigative files, we must decline your request. Investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)
PRA-2018-01253	Doyle	George	6/25/2018	DOB of Wendell Ken Griffin - born in So. CA about 1936 (His former wife - Bette Rae Griffin - now deceased - was my wife's sister). Bette and Wendell were divorced in CA not long before his death around 1990 in Australia of heart trouble. If possible, we would also like to verify her DOD in La Quinta, CA in late 2016. We are not interested in purchasing Birth or Death records as there is no need for them and we cannot locate them anyway. What we are attempting to do is close out family records for family members. I realize this is asking a lot for this DOB - DOD info and if you cannot do it, and neither can we, I guess it is just se la vie, etc. I wish to thank you for just reading this mess in any case. I worked for SSA for many years before retirement and I know unusual requests from the public can be a real pain. I just thought perhaps you might have some little trouble getting those dates for our records and in any case I will say a few nice prayers for you. (I'm now retired 21 years from Uncle Sam - can you believe it?).		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .

PRA-2018-01254	Cook	Scott	6/25/2018	My great uncle , James P. Mullin, served time in San Quinten prison from March 14, 1903 to April 7, 1906. His inmate number was 20030. I would like to access his prison records. Although it would all be interesting, I am most interested in what information was collected during the intake process.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. The Department of Justice cannot produce records that are responsive to your request. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records from another government agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Information on how to contact them can be found at <a href="http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html">http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html</a> .
PRA-2018-01255	Vega	Bernice	6/25/2018	Property owner's information of the following address. 3585 Platt Avenue, Lynwood, CA 90262		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides.
PRA-2018-01256	Altheim	Monique	6/25/2018	Name: Marc S. Pollick Record 45637TUMP Date: February 20, 2015 Los Angeles, CA Record 5966VTPOLICK530421 Orange, CA Date: May 3, 2005		Completed	Maria E. Silva	Contacted requestor to obtain more information and she explained she is dating this individual and wanted his criminal history. I explained criminal history records are expect and only available to the individual holding the record.
PRA-2018-01257	Kerr	Catherine	6/25/2018	Case Title: Sinclair v Johnson. Case Number: 459152. Case Location: San Diego. Case Type: Civil. Date Filed: 9/25/1980. Category: A69611. Plaintiff/Petitioner: Sinclair, Bradley H. Defendant/Respondent: Johnson, Dan P. Comments: If you have any additional documents that involve Bradley H Sinclair between 1978 and 1983, I also would like to request those. I don't have any more information because I don't know what other records exist. Please email the documents to me at [REDACTED] or mail them to me at [REDACTED]		Completed	Maria E. Silva	Called requester to obtain more information. Requestor was seeking marriage records and name change. I explained those records would not be in custody and control of DOJ and provided referral information.
PRA-2018-01258	Slater	Keith	6/25/2018	Case #000134223 Date: January 20, 2000 Name: Keith Ellis Slater, Jr. San Bernardino County		Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. You may wish to contact the court in which the case was heard for the records that you are seeking.
PRA-2018-01259	Smith	Kim	6/25/2018	A tenant in my home in Laguna Niguel was arrested. His name is Brian F Doyle. The property address is [REDACTED]. The arresting officer was Dep. C. Bu(e)chler. The number I was given by the OC sheriff's office is 180213-0321. I would like a copy of the		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary

				arrest record, and charges filed by the OC district attorney's office as well as any other documents related to this case. Thank you, Kim Smith Property Owner			<p>criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking records from a district attorney's office, you appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records from the District Attorney's Office, you would need to direct your request to their office.</p>
PRA-2018-01260	Hiller	Malissa	6/25/2018	I would like documents that contain criminal history, medical records, and court cases with their case number on Wendy Stubblefield from the past 10 years		Completed	Erin Nickless <p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. You may wish to contact the court in which the cases you are seeking were handled.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p> <p>DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire State. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. We have no obligation or ability to disclose records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c).</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking medical records, we must deny your request. Our office does not typically maintain medical records, and if we did, we would not be able to produce them. Government Code §6254(c) provides that "Personnel, medical, or similar files, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy" are exempt from disclosure.</p>
PRA-2018-01261	Edmon	Irene	6/25/2018	Copy of a order of protection		Completed	Maria E. Silva <p>Called requestor to obtain more information. Requestor confirmed it was not a case handled by our office. She stated she wanted a copy of a protection order a judge had signed. I explained she would need to obtain it from the court/judge who issued the order.</p>

PRA-2018-01262	Lee	Eun	6/25/2018	Police Report Request Station: Cerritos Report Number: 918-02720-2310-472 Classification of Incident: Traffic Collision Date of Incident: 06/19/2018 Drivers: Ki Won Jeon and Brittany Joan Lim Our office represents driver, Mr. Ki Won Jeon.		Completed	Maria E. Silva	Called requestor to obtain more information to determine if the case involved DOJ. Requestor confirmed the request as submitted to DOJ in error.
PRA-2018-01263	Rockwell	Gabrielle	6/25/2018	I would like all of the BGC 203 Annual Reports for all major league sports raffles for the following years: 2015-2016, 2016-2017, 2017-2018. Thank you.		Completed	Riann Kopchak	In response, the Bureau has enclosed the BGC 203 and 204 Major League Sports Raffle Eligible Organization Annual Reports and supporting documentation for all parties that have participated. Please be advised that you can directly find these documents at the following State of California, Department of Justice website address: <a href="http://rct.doj.ca.gov/Verification/Web/Search.aspx?facility=Y">http://rct.doj.ca.gov/Verification/Web/Search.aspx?facility= Y</a> The decisions herein with respect to the requested records have been made by Deputy Attorney General Timothy Muscat and Associate Governmental Program Analyst Kaylee Joseph.
PRA-2018-01264	Martin	Marie	6/25/2018	I need certified copies of both marriage and divorce		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA-2018-01265	Damien (Death In Custody)	Christopher (Desert Sun)	6/25/2018	I respectfully request all "State of California Death In Custody Reporting Form", form BCIA 713, that have been filed by Riverside County Sheriff's Department with California Department of Justice from 2006 to the present. Comments: I am a member of the media, reporting for The Desert Sun. Please send these forms in digital format christopher.damien@desertsun.com. If they are not available digitally, please contact me regarding paper copies before printing. I am willing to receive interim distribution as documents become available.  Please contact me with any questions regarding this request at: 760-218-2317 christopher.damien@desertsun.com		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents. Note, the DOJ only receives one form for Death in Custody reporting, which is entered into a database.
PRA-2018-01266	Bhoomi	Anisha	6/25/2018	I would like to request a bid tabulation/analysis for RFQ 17-317 IT Security Policy Enhancements. Here is some information we are seeking: 1. Awarded Vendor 2. All bids submitted (soft copies) 3. Bid Tabulation / Score sheet		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	After consulting with various programs within the California Department of Justice (DOJ), the California Justice Information Services Division has determined that it has no responsive records for this request as we did not issue a contract based on Request for Quote 17-317 - it was cancelled before bids could be submitted. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PRA-2018-01267	Burt (Lic to carry Firearms)	Evan	6/25/2018	July 26th Follow-up: On June 25, 2018, I submitted a request for California carry license-related public records and information (attached for your reference). On July 5, you e-mailed me a letter confirming that my request "was received by [you] on June 25, 2018," requesting an additional "fourteen days" because of an alleged "need for consultation," and stating that "[f]ourteen days beyond [the original 10-day deadline] is July 19, 2018." I have not heard or received anything		Completed	Public Records	August 29, 2018 response from Public Records: Response was sent to Paul Nicolas Boylan  Mr. Burt noted that a similar request for records was made by Mr. Brandon Combs on December 8, 2017, and indicated that: We do not seek an additional copy of records provided under the DOJ's February 8 response to a previous request for similar records dated December 8, 2017, that was submitted to the Department by Mr. Brandon Combs.

			<p>from you since July 5. Please provide me with the public records and information I requested on June 25. Should you have any questions or concerns, please contact our attorney, Mr. Paul Nicholas Boylan, at pnboylian@gmail.com.</p> <p>June 25, 2018 Request: We respectfully request a copy of all public records and information about: The "forms to be prescribed by the Attorney General." Cal. Penal Code section 26175(a)(1). The "committee composed of one representative of the California State Sheriffs' Association, one representative of the California Police Chiefs Association, and one representative of the Department of Justice to review, and, as deemed appropriate, revise the standard application form for licenses." Cal. Penal Code section 26175(a)(2). Any "uniform license that may be used as indicia of proof of licensure throughout the state." Cal. Penal Code section 26175(a)(3)(A). "[U]se of licenses issued by local agencies that contain all the information required in subdivision (i), including a recent photograph of the applicant, and are deemed to be in substantial compliance with standards developed by the committee described in subparagraph (C), if developed, as they relate to the physical dimensions and general appearance of the licenses." Cal. Penal Code section 26175(a)(3)(B). "[E]xemplars of approved licenses" and "list of agencies issuing local licenses." Cal. Penal Code section 26175(a)(3)(B). The "committee composed of two representatives of the California State Sheriffs' Association, two representatives of the California Police Chiefs Association, and one representative of the Department of Justice" that is "to review and revise, as the committee deems appropriate, the design standard for licenses issued by local agencies that may be used as indicia of proof of licensure throughout the state, provided that the design standard meets the requirements of subparagraph (B)." Cal. Penal Code section 26175(a)(3)(C). Given the potential for the loss of property and serious criminal liability under California's handgun carry statutes for reliance on potentially unlawful local forms that have no legal recognition, force, or effect, time is of the essence. To the extent that responsive records are available in a standard electronic format, please provide all responses to this records request in the form of electronic documents delivered via email attachment(s) (i.e., .pdf or spreadsheet files .xls/.csv). This request potentially requires the production of records that exist or are maintained in electronic form, including but not limited to e-mails. For all electronic records, please take all steps necessary to prevent the intentional or accidental destruction/loss of these records during the pendency of this request. Electronic records are often subject to "retention policies" that require their automatic deletion after a certain period of time. If any electronic records that are potentially responsive to this request are subject to such a retention policy, please prevent their automatic deletion before you</p>			<p>We sent Mr. Burt an extension of time to respond to his request, and have since received communications from him regarding his desire that we provide him with an update, and on August 3, 2018, he requested that we send any response to his request for an update to you. As such, we are sending this response to you and trust that you will share it with him. In conducting our search for records responsive to Mr. Burt's request, we have checked with knowledgeable persons and searched logical places, and we have not identified any documents responsive to Mr. Burt's request that were not provided to Mr. Combs in February 2018.</p> <p>July 27, 2018 Rob Wilson sent a Status Response:</p> <p>We are in receipt of your follow up letter dated July 26, 2018. Our 14-day extension has expired, and I would like to provide you a status of our progress. We have been working on determining whether the Department has any responsive information. At this point, we are still working on this request, due to the need to search for, collect, and appropriately examine a voluminous amount of separate and distinct records. We also need to search for records from field offices and other facilities that are separate from the office processing the request. We would like to continue our search and will keep you informed of the progress.</p> <p>Please let me know if you have any questions.</p> <p>July 5, 2018, an Extension of time was sent by Robert Wilson, BOF.</p>
--	--	--	---	--	--	--

				<p>can locate, review and provide me with copies.</p> <p>We do not seek an additional copy of records provided under the DOJ's February 8 response to a previous request for similar records dated December 8, 2017, that was submitted to the Department by Mr. Brandon Combs.</p> <p>Please let us know if you would like a copy of your February 8 response.</p> <p>Please feel free to e-mail me at <a href="mailto:ebu@cgfhq.org">ebu@cgfhq.org</a>&lt;<a href="mailto:ebu@cgfhq.org">mailto:ebu@cgfhq.org</a>&gt; should you have any questions.</p> <p>Thank you, in advance, for your assistance with this request.</p> <p>July 26, 2018 follow up: On July 5, you e-mailed me a letter confirming that my request "was received by [you] on June 25, 2018," requesting an additional "fourteen days" because of an alleged "need for consultation," and stating that "[f]ourteen days beyond [the original 10-day deadline] is July 19, 2018."</p> <p>I have not heard or received anything from you since July 5.</p> <p>Please provide me with the public records and information I requested on June 25. Should you have any questions or concerns, please contact our attorney, Mr. Paul Nicholas Boylan, at <a href="mailto:pnboylan@gmail.com">pnboylan@gmail.com</a>.</p>				
PRA-2018-01301	Meyer (Hunter)	Kimberli	6/25/2018	<p>Pursuant to the state open records act, I request access to and copies of any and all content, including but not limited to dashcam video and related audio, dispatcher logs, police reports, internal memos, related departmental policies, civil rights investigations and reform agreements with law enforcement agencies and all correspondence the Community Oriented Policing Services office has had concerning/ from the incident that occurred on June 24th, 2014 in Compton, California, near North Poinsettia Avenue and East Palmer Street involving Antoine D Hunter. Also, please include any and all information related to the number, date, and outcome of complaints made against Sheriff Eddie Hernandez. If my request is denied in whole or part, I ask that you justify all deletions by reference to specific exceptions of the act.</p>	California State University, Long Beach	Completed	John M. Applebaum	<p>To the extent that this office may have records responsive to this request, the request seeks records of complaints and investigations, or contents from investigatory files, which are expressly exempt from production under the PRA and the official information privilege, the request is denied. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subds. (f) and (k), Evid. Code, § 1040; Haynie v. Superior Court (2001) 26 Cal.4th 1061, 1069; County of Orange v. Superior Court (2000) 79 Cal.App.4th 759, 764.) The request is also denied to the extent it seeks records subject to the attorney-client privilege and work-product doctrine which are also expressly exempt from production. (Gov. Code, §§ 6254, subds. (b),(k), (r), 6254.10; Evid. Code, §§ 950, et seq., 1040; County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Further, to the extent this office may have records, this request seeks peace officer personnel records, including records of citizens' complaints and related investigations, such records are confidential and exempt from production, the request is denied. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (c); Pen. Code, § 832.7; City of Richmond v. Superior Court (1995) 32 Cal.App. 4th 1430, 1439.) To the extent this office may have records responsive to this request, the request seeks photographs or video recordings of the deceased taken by or for the coroner at the scene of death or in the course of a post mortem examination or autopsy, such records are protected from disclosure, and the request is denied. (Code Civ. Proc. § 129, subd. (a); Marsh v. County of San Diego (9th Cir. 2012) 680 F.3d 1148, 1156.)</p> <p>July 5, 2018 an extension was request via telephone by Maria Silva and accepted by Ms. Meyer.</p>
PRA-2018-01302	Meyer (Pickett)	Kimberli	6/25/2018	<p>Pursuant to the state open records act, I request access to and copies of any and all content, including but not limited to dashcam video and related audio, dispatcher logs, police reports, internal memos, related departmental policies, civil rights investigations and reform agreements with law enforcement agencies and all correspondence the</p>	California State University, Long Beach	Completed	John M. Applebaum	<p>To the extent that this office may have records responsive to this request, the request seeks records of complaints and investigations, or contents from investigatory files, which are expressly exempt from production under the PRA and the official information privilege, the request is denied. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subds. (f) and (k), Evid. Code, § 1040; Haynie v. Superior Court (2001) 26 Cal.4th 1061,</p>



				<p>Community Oriented Policing Services office has had concerning/ from the incident that occurred on 11/19/2015 in BARSTOW, CALIFORNIA near 112 E MAIN ST involving NATHANIEL PICKETT and DEPUTY KYLE WOODS. Also, please include any and all information related to the number, date, and outcome of complaints made against KYLE WOODS.</p> <p>As an educational institution with scholarly research programs, we believe we qualify for free search time and copies of documents at no charge. We meet the requirements under the following: disclosure will serve "a scholarly research goal of the institution, rather than an individual goal." We have no commercial interest in disclosure.</p> <p>If my request is denied in whole or part, I ask that you justify all deletions by reference to specific exceptions of the act.</p>				<p>1069; County of Orange v. Superior Court (2000) 79 Cal.App.4th 759, 764.) The request is also denied to the extent it seeks records subject to the attorney-client privilege and work-product doctrine which are also expressly exempt from production. (Gov. Code, §§ 6254, subds. (b),(k), (r), 6254.10; Evid. Code, §§ 950, et seq., 1040; County a/Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Further, to the extent this office may have records, this request seeks peace officer personnel records, including records of citizens' complaints and related investigations, such records are confidential and exempt from production, the request is denied. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (c); Pen. Code, § 832.7; City of Richmond v. Superior Court (1995) 32 Cal.App.4th 1430, 1439.) To the extent this office may have records responsive to this request, the request seeks photographs or video recordings of the deceased taken by or for the coroner at the scene of death or in the course of a post mortem examination or autopsy, such records are protected from disclosure, and the request is denied. (Code Civ. Proc. § 129, subd. (a); Marsh v. County a/San Diego (9th Cir. 2012) 680 F.3d 1148, 1156.)</p>
PRA-2018-01303	Meyer (Perkins)	Kimberli	6/25/2018	<p>Pursuant to the state open records act, I request access to and copies of any and all content, including but not limited to dashcam video and related audio, dispatcher logs, police reports, internal memos, related departmental policies, civil rights investigations and reform agreements with law enforcement agencies and all correspondence the Community Oriented Policing Services office has had concerning/ from the incident that occurred on 11/15/2015 in OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA at 90th AVE AND BANCROFT AVE involving RICHARD PERKINS and SARGEANT JOSEPH TURNER and OFFICERS JONATHAN CAIRO, JOSHUA BARNARD, and ALLAHNO HUGHES. Also, please include any and all information related to the number, date, and outcome of complaints made against JOSEPH TURNER, JONATHAN CAIRO, JOSHUA BARNARD, and ALLAHNO HUGHES. As an educational institution with scholarly research programs, we believe we qualify for free search time and copies of documents at no charge. We meet the requirements under the following: disclosure will serve "a scholarly research goal of the institution, rather than an individual goal." We have no commercial interest in disclosure.</p> <p>If my request is denied in whole or part, I ask that you justify all deletions by reference to specific exceptions of the act.</p>	California State University, Long Beach	Completed	John M. Applebaum	<p>To the extent that this office may have records responsive to this request, the request seeks records of complaints and investigations, or contents from investigatory files, which are expressly exempt from production under the PRA and the official information privilege, the request is denied. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subds. (f) and (k), Evid. Code, § 1040; Haynie v. Superior Court (2001) 26 Cal.4th 1061, 1069; County of Orange v. Superior Court (2000) 79 Cal.App.4th 759, 764.) The request is also denied to the extent it seeks records subject to the attorney-client privilege and work-product doctrine which are also expressly exempt from production. (Gov. Code, §§ 6254, subds. (b),(k), (r), 6254.10; Evid. Code, §§ 950, et seq., 1040; County a/Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Further, to the extent this office may have records, this request seeks peace officer personnel records, including records of citizens' complaints and related investigations, such records are confidential and exempt from production, the request is denied. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (c); Pen. Code, § 832.7; City of Richmond v. Superior Court (1995) 32 Cal.App.4th 1430, 1439.) To the extent this office may have records responsive to this request, the request seeks photographs or video recordings of the deceased taken by or for the coroner at the scene of death or in the course of a post mortem examination or autopsy, such records are protected from disclosure, and the request is denied. (Code Civ. Proc. § 129, subd. (a); Marsh v. County a/San Diego (9th Cir. 2012) 680 F.3d 1148, 1156.)</p>
PRA-2018-01304	Meyer (Yancy)	Kimberli	6/25/2018	<p>Pursuant to the state open records act, I request access to and copies of any and all content, including but not limited to dashcam video and related audio, dispatcher logs, police reports, internal memos, related departmental policies, civil rights investigations and reform agreements with law enforcement agencies and all correspondence the Community Oriented Policing Services office has had concerning/ from the incident that occurred on May 11th 2014 in Imperial, California involving Tommy Yancy. Also, please include any and all information related to the number, date, and outcome of complaints made against the five California Highway Patrol officers involved. As an educational institution with scholarly research</p>	California State University, Long Beach	Rolling Production		<p>July 19, 2018 Rolling Production Response by John Applebaum: To the extent that this office may have records responsive to this request, the request seeks records of complaints and investigations, or contents from investigatory files, which are expressly exempt from production under the PRA and the official information privilege, the request is denied. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subds. (f) and (k), Evid. Code, § 1040; Haynie v. Superior Court (2001) 26 Cal.4th 1061, 1069; County of Orange v. Superior Court (2000) 79 Cal.App.4th 759, 764.) The request is also denied to the extent it seeks records subject to the attorney-client privilege and work-product doctrine which are also expressly exempt from production. (Gov. Code, §§ 6254, subds. (b),(k), (r), 6254.10; Evid. Code, §§ 950, et seq., 1040; County of Los Angeles v. Superior</p>

				<p>programs, we believe we qualify for free search time and copies of documents at no charge. We meet the requirements under the following: disclosure will serve "a scholarly research goal of the institution, rather than an individual goal." We have no commercial interest in disclosure.</p> <p>If my request is denied in whole or part, I ask that you justify all deletions by reference to specific exceptions of the act.</p>				<p>Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Further, to the extent this office may have records, this request seeks peace officer personnel records, including records of citizens' complaints and related investigations, such records are confidential and exempt from production, the request is denied. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (c); Pen. Code, § 832.7; City of Richmond v. Superior Court (1995) 32 Cal.App.4th 1430, 1439.) To the extent this office may have records responsive to this request, the request seeks photographs or video recordings of the deceased taken by or for the coroner at the scene of death or in the course of a post mortem examination or autopsy, such records are protected from disclosure, and the request is denied. (Code Civ. Proc. § 129, subd. (a); Marsh v. County of San Diego (9th Cir. 2012) 680 F.3d 1148, 1156.)</p> <p>To the extent this office may have records responsive to this request, the request is also denied to the extent it seeks California Highway Patrol policies and procedures which are expressly exempt from production, except the record will be produced to the extent that it was otherwise made public through the judicial process. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (f); Northern Cal. Police Practices Project v. Craig (1979) 90 Cal.App.4d 116, 121.) To the extent that records are not covered by these exceptions, they will be produced.</p> <p>Because of the sheer volume of records related to the first request and the fact that some records must be retrieved from archived storage, we anticipate being able to produce records by September 19, 2018.</p>
PRA-2018-01327	Page	Richard	6/25/2018	<p>I am respectfully requesting your assistance in providing the following:</p> <p>1.) Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department, Arrest Report URN. No. 509-11929-0454-050, Dated July 23, 2009.</p>		Completed	Rosalinda Rosas	<p>Please be advised that our file does not include a copy of the Los Angeles County Sheriffs Department Arrest Report, URN No: 509-11929-0454-050, dated July 23, 2009, in People v. Richard Page. Please forward your request to the Los Angeles County Sheriffs Department, Records and Identification Bureau, 211 West Temple Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012.</p>
PRA-2018-01328	Dostart	Zach	6/25/2018	<p>Pursuant to the CPRA, I respectfully request copies of the following categories of documents.</p> <p>1. Any and all documents that relate to the investigation of Time Inc., or its subsidiaries, which led to the Assurance of Voluntary Compliance or Discontinuance entered into between Time Inc. and the Attorneys General of twenty-three states, including the State of California, in March 2006.</p> <p>2. Any complaints or grievances that pertain to or regard Time Inc., or its subsidiaries, including without limitation Synapse Group, Inc., and/or SynapseConnect, Inc.</p> <p>3. Any and all documents regarding Synapse Group, Inc. and/or SynapseConnect, Inc.</p> <p>4. Any and all documents that may have been received prior to, or in connection with, the investigation of Time Inc., or its subsidiaries.</p> <p>I am affiliated with a private company and am seeking information for use in my company's business. I am willing to pay fees for this request up to a maximum of \$500. If you estimate that the fees will exceed this limit, please inform me first.</p>	Dostart, Hannink & Coveney, LLP	Completed	Amos Hartston	<p>We have conducted a search of our records. Please find enclosed a copy of the March 2006 Assurance of Voluntary Compliance or Discontinuance entered into by the Attorneys General of several states, including California, and Time Inc. Also enclosed is a news release related to the agreement.</p> <p>We respectfully deny the remainder of your requests. Consumer complaints and investigative files are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts from disclosure records of complaints to, or investigations conducted by, the Office of the Attorney General and the Department of Justice. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (f).) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status after the close of an investigation. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5Cal.4th 337, 355.)</p>
PRA-2018-01398	Zinnerman (2 requests)	Vasco	6/25/2018	<p>1) I wrote you about a week ago seeking information about the 3 strikes law of 2018. However in your response it never elaborated on rather the initiative 17-0046 is still active or has it been discontinued for any reasons by law.</p> <p>2) I would like to know if this initiative is still active to be placed on the November ballot. If so how many signatures do we currently have and or what percent of the votes have we already obtained.</p>		Completed	Anabel Renteria	<p>For signatures regarding a specific initiative you may contact the Secretary of State's Election Division at 1500 11th St., 5th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814. This initiative is still active and currently in circulation; it did not qualify for the November 2018 election.</p>

PRA-2018-01443	Chodos	David	6/25/2018	We received a telephone inquiry request for the dates of registration under Civil Code section 1789.25 for Enrich Financial, a credit services organization.		Completed	Amos Hartston	You recently contacted our office requesting the dates of registration, under Civil Code section 1789.25, for Enrich Financial. You indicated that you represent Zenith Financial, a competing credit services organization, and that you may request a declaration or deposition confirming the registration dates in connection with an ongoing dispute between the two companies. The dates of registration are public information. We have interpreted your request for the dates of registration pursuant to the Public Records Act as set forth in Government Code section 6250 et seq. Enclosed are copies of the December 8, 2016, January 1, 2017, and January 1, 2018, certificates of registration, pursuant to California Civil Code section 1789.25 of the Credit Services Act, for Enrich Financial along with corresponding cover letters.
PRA-2018-01268	Raines	Christy	6/26/2018	Divorce records for Glen Calzadilla and Christy Calzadilla		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA-2018-01269	Barragan	Mariah	6/26/2018	I would like to request the inmate files, inmate call logs/records, inmate visitations and any other information pertaining to the subject, "Raymond John Trillo Jr" DOB- [REDACTED]; pursuant of request to inspect, in accordance with California Government Code (CGC) Section 6253 and the Guidelines for the Inspection of Public Records (CDCR form 1431), established by the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR), records of the following name or type, maintained at the below CDCR location. During 2017, the subject was housed at the Santa Clara county main jail and Elmwood. He was transferred to prison and was in Kern, satf and he's now at the CTP prison in Soledad. The inmate and call records im requesting is provided by Global tel link telecom, I would like if possible to get a transcript or cd rom of audio of all calls made from 2017/2018. GTL is the inmate phone service being used at the main jail and Elmwood facilities. The visitation records I'm requesting are from Elmwood and the Santa Clara county main jail facilities for the year 2017 to present. The inmate files I'm requesting are from the years 2017 these cases are now closed please let me know if you need more information.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. The Department of Justice cannot produce records that are responsive to your request. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records from another government agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Information on how to contact them can be found at <a href="http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html">http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html</a> .
PRA-2018-01270	Marritz	Ilya	6/26/2018	I am writing to make a freedom of information request of the California Department of Justice under California's Public Records Act. The specific records I am seeking are the audited financial statements of the 58th Presidential Inaugural Committee, a 501 (c)(4) corporation with federal EIN 81-4463688. The committee's registered office is in Virginia, but it raised funds in California (and most other states) in 2017. California Gov. Code §12586(e)(1) requires that a charitable corporation with gross annual revenue of \$2 million or more and which that is already required to file reports with the Attorney General must file an audited		Completed	Erin Nickless	The 58th Presidential Inaugural Committee is not a charity. It is a political action committee. This organization did register with us in error and then withdrew its registration. As it is not a charity, it is not required to give the registry of charitable trusts any documents. There may be filing and reporting requirements with the California Fair Political Practices Commission for this organization. More information on how to contact the California Fair Political Practices Commission can be found on their website at <a href="http://www.fppc.ca.gov/">http://www.fppc.ca.gov/</a> . July 19, 2018 response: Your public records request dated July 9, 2018 was referred to me.

				<p>financial statement prepared by an independent CPA. I am seeking a full, unredacted copy of this document, and any related documentation.</p> <p>Follow up request, July 9, 2018: However, I believe your response was in error. The 58th Presidential Inaugural Committee is an IRS-recognized 501 (c)(4.) Several other states have shared with me filings made by the 58th PIC with their charities bureaus.</p> <p>Can you please share with me any filings you have for the 58th PIC? If they withdrew their registration, I would like to read those documents.</p>				<p>Your request seeks records pertaining to the 58th inaugural committee.</p> <p>You may download our records by visiting: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/charities">oag.ca.gov/charities</a>.</p> <p>Under resources and tools use our registration verification search feature. Type in the name of the organization and press enter. All of our public documents are viewable on our website.</p> <p>If you have problems, please contact me.</p>
PRA-2018-01271	Schooley	Taylor	6/26/2018	<p>Raw data on all California DOJ juvenile arrest records from January 1, 2017 to December 31, 2017, including the following data elements:</p> <p>Record ID, NCIC Jurisdiction Code, County, Summary Level, Level of Offense, Offense, Summary Offense, FBI Offense, Birthdate, Age, Race/Ethnic Group, Gender, Type of Status, Disposition.</p>		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>Unfortunately, the DOJ is unable to provide the requested data as it is not yet available. We anticipate the 2017 data will be available in the summer - when the Attorney General releases the Publications.</p>
PRA-2018-01272	Ramesh	Madhav	6/26/2018	<p>I am looking for Employer Identification Numbers (EIN) that you may have on your database. Thanks, it would be much appreciated.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We are seeking clarification of your request. As you may be aware, the California Attorney General is the head of the Department of Justice. There are Offices of the Attorney General in six different cities and additional offices for DOJ's law enforcement divisions. We maintain many different types of databases within our offices, including but not limited to law enforcement data bases, legal case management databases, and administrative and personnel databases. A search for records responsive to your request as it is currently cast would require each division of the DOJ (including each section, branch, unit or bureau) that utilizes any database to be canvassed for EIN numbers. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code, § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.)</p> <p>If you can provide additional information to help identify the documents you are seeking, such as specifying a date range and identifying a type of record you are seeking (e.g., vendor contracts, purchase agreements, charities), we may be able to conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office and will be better able to assist you with this request.</p> <p>As of July 19, 2018, requestor did not provide clarification.</p>
PRA-2018-01273	Waters	William	6/26/2018	<p>I am looking for a copy of my Divorce Papers. I was married to Kathryn Louise (Phillips) Waters. We were divorced some time between 1971 and 1974.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01274	Peek	Christine	6/26/2018	<p>DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS</p> <p>1. COMMUNICATION and COMMUNICATIONS: The terms "COMMUNICATION" and "COMMUNICATIONS" shall mean every manner or method of disclosure or transfer or exchange of information, whether orally or by document, and whether face to face, by telephone, mail, e-mail, facsimile, text message, video,</p>		Completed	Jose ZelidonZepe da	<p>Your request was received on June 26, 2018. By letter dated July 5, 2018, this office extended the date for responding to your request by 14 days, to July 20, 2018. (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (c).) Additionally, our letter requested clarification under Government Code section 6253.1, subdivision (a). Specifically, we asked whether you seek communications solely between "Michele Landis Dauber" and our office on these subjects, or, alternatively, seek</p>

			<p>personal delivery or otherwise.</p> <p>2. NATIVE: The term "NATIVE" and all iterations of this word shall mean and include the original file format or structure of an electronic document in which it was created. Native files include .doc, .xls, .pst, .pdf, etc. Word documents or Excel spreadsheets should always be produced in native format.</p> <p>3. PERSKY v. BUSHEY: The terms "PERSKY v. BUSHEY" shall mean and include Santa Clara County Superior Court Case No. 1-17-CV-314311, Sixth District Court of Appeal Case Nos. H045096 and H045129, and California Supreme Court Case Nos. S247897 and S247971.</p> <p>4. RECALL CAMPAIGN: The terms "RECALL CAMPAIGN" shall mean the campaign to recall Aaron Persky.</p> <p>5. RELATING TO: The term "RELATING TO" and all iterations of these words shall mean and include "constitute, refer, reflect, discuss, show, demonstrate, evidence or be in any way logically or factually connected with the matter discussed or identified."</p> <p>6. WRITING and WRITINGS: The term "WRITING" and "WRITINGS" shall have the meaning set forth in California Government Code section 6252(g). These terms shall also mean and include "Writing" as defined in California Evidence Code section 250.</p> <p>7. A request for a "WRITING" or "WRITINGS" shall mean and include all responsive WRITINGS, however created, stored, or transmitted, and specifically shall mean and include WRITINGS created, stored, or transmitted using personal equipment such as personal email accounts or personal electronic devices, regardless of whether or not a copy of the WRITING exists on government servers or property.</p> <p>8. Responsive materials should include all associated metadata, including without limitation and as applicable: the date on which an e-mail was sent; the date on which a document or e-mail was obtained, created, modified, accessed, deleted, copied, moved, or saved; the author of an e-mail message ("from" field); the primary recipient(s) of an e-mail message ("to" field); other recipients of an e-mail message ("cc" and "bcc" fields); the subject line of an e-mail message; the title of a document; the author(s) of a document; the file name and file path of a document; the file type; and all other embedded or associated data RELATING TO the document or e-mail.</p> <p>COMMUNICATIONS should be produced along with any attachments to those COMMUNICATIONS.</p> <p>9. The responding parties are reminded that Government Code section 6253.3 prohibits state and local agencies from "allow[ing] another party to control the disclosure of information that is otherwise subject to disclosure pursuant to [the CPRA]."</p> <p>10. If the responding parties find this request insufficiently specific, please provide the assistance required by section 6253.1 in identifying records and information that are responsive to the request or purpose of the request.</p> <p>11. If the responding parties believe any portion of the records requested is exempt from disclosure by express provision of law, section 6253(a) of the Government Code additionally requires segregation or deletion of the material and the release of the remainder of the information. With regard to all records, please provide information as to (1) the existence of such records;</p>			<p>communications between her and her agents, attorneys, etc., and our office. We pointed out that our office was involved in litigation to which Ms. Dauber was a party, and thus there are numerous litigation-related communications with her attorneys. To date, you have not responded to our request for clarification.</p> <p>b. Responses to Request.</p> <p>Assuming that your request includes solely communications between "Michele Landis Dauber" and our office regarding the subjects above, our office has no responsive documents.</p> <p>To the extent your request includes communications between "Michele Landis Dauber" and her agents, attorneys, etc., and our office, we have recovered some records which are exempt from disclosure. Specifically, some records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege and related doctrines. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363, 370.) Our office provides legal advice to the Attorney General and client agencies. Accordingly, communications between the Attorney General or client agencies and the Department's attorneys concerning various litigation and advice matters are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>Moreover, the attorney work product doctrine protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>Finally, certain records that are potentially responsive to the request are covered by the common interest doctrine. When parties share common interests, confidential disclosure of otherwise attorney-client privileged communications or attorney work product by one party to the other party does not waive privilege if the disclosure is reasonably necessary to assist the disclosing party in accomplishing the purpose for which that party's lawyer was consulted. (OXY Resources California LLC v. Superior Court (2004) 115 Cal.App.4th 874, 890; see Meza v. H Muehlstein &amp; Co. (2009) 176 Cal.App.4th 969, 981.)</p> <p>The potentially responsive records include the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Emails between the Attorney General's Office and counsel for Professor Dauber-but not to any party other than the respondents in the case- regarding their clients' positions in and responses to the case Persky v. Bushey. These documents are covered by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work product doctrine, and the common interest doctrine.</li> <li>2. An email by Professor Dauber's lawyer to the Secretary of State's office, and copying the Attorney General's Office, regarding the case Persky v. Bushey. This document is covered by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work product doctrine, and the common interest doctrine.</li> <li>3. Various case-related emails on which all parties were copied- both counsel for Professor Dauber and counsel for Petitioner Persky. This includes, for example, service (or courtesy copies) of filed pleadings, notice of an ex parte appearance, emails about the still pending motion for attorney's fees, and the like. These documents do not appear to be privileged, and they are documents that have been</li> </ol>
--	--	--	---	--	--	---

				<p>(2) whether you intend to make such records available; and (3) whether you claim any exemption or privilege to any record or portion of a record.</p> <p>REQUESTS</p> <p>1. All WRITINGS evidencing COMMUNICATIONS between Michele Landis Dauber and any member of the Attorney General's Office RELATING TO Brock Turner, including those in NATIVE format, from January 18, 2015 to the present.</p> <p>2. All WRITINGS evidencing COMMUNICATIONS between Michele Landis Dauber and any member of the Attorney General's Office RELATING TO the case of People v. Brock Allen Turner, including those in NATIVE format, from January 18, 2015 to the present.</p> <p>3. All WRITINGS evidencing COMMUNICATIONS between Michele Landis Dauber and any member of the Attorney General's Office RELATING TO Aaron Persky, including those in NATIVE format, from January 18, 2015 to the present.</p> <p>4. All WRITINGS evidencing COMMUNICATIONS between Michele Landis Dauber and any member of the Attorney General's Office RELATING TO the case of PERSKY v. BUSHEY, including those in NATIVE format, from August 10, 2017 to the present.</p> <p>5. All WRITINGS evidencing COMMUNICATIONS between Michele Landis Dauber and any member of the Attorney General's Office RELATING TO the RECALL CAMPAIGN, including those in NATIVE format, from June 2, 2016 to the present.</p> <p>6. All WRITINGS evidencing COMMUNICATIONS between Michele Landis Dauber and any member of the Office of the Secretary of State RELATING TO the case of PERSKY v. BUSHEY, including those in NATIVE format, from August 10, 2017 to the present.</p> <p>7. All WRITINGS evidencing COMMUNICATIONS between Michele Landis Dauber and any member of the Office of the Secretary of State RELATING TO the RECALL CAMPAIGN, including those in NATIVE format, from June 2, 2016 to the present.</p>			<p>forwarded through the regular course of litigation to your office, as counsel for Petitioner Persky. As noted above, we sought clarification whether your request seeks these documents and did not receive a response.</p> <p>If you would like our office to provide copies of these documents, please so advise and we will make them available for your review at our office or make other appropriate arrangements.</p> <p>Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions or concerns, or would like to further discuss any aspect of this response.</p> <p>July 6, 2018 an extension was sent by Jose Zelidon-Zepeda, Gov Law.</p>
PRA-2018-01275	Percy	Jenae	6/27/2018	Any records pertaining to debt owed where the creditor has decided to file suit against me in court. Should include two credit cards and medical debt between 2015 and present. Zales Jeweler is one of the accounts. Arch Health Partners is the medical. I do not recall the other credit card company's name.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records from a private lawsuit filed with a court, you may wish to direct your request to the court's office.</p>
PRA-2018-01276	Simon	Margarita	6/27/2018	I am seeking information on an individual from Vacaville, CA. Michele't Shawnee Jordan AKA, Michelina S Jordan	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to</p>

							individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .	
PRA-2018-01277	Pingry	Heather	6/27/2018	Anything regarding Kevin Somers, age 44, lives in San Diego.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-01278	Blutstein (Katie Porter)	Allan	6/27/2018	1. Records reflecting the salary history and job description of former employee Katherine "Katie" Porter, March 2012 to October 2014. 2. Ms. Porter's performance evaluations for her entire tenure. 3. Job application and resume of Ms. Porter. Please notify me if fees will exceed \$25.		Completed	Stacy Heinsen	A review of Department records did not show an employee by the name of Katherine "Katie" Porter during the specified period; therefore, the Department has no responsive records. However, a Katherine Porter provided consulting services to the Department during some or all of the period you designated. We assume your requests relate to her. We have attached to the email transmitting this letter records that are rough equivalents to the types of records described in your requests number 1 and 3. We are

							<p>providing the following:  (1) two contracts (including a series of five amendments to one of them and a copy of her resume); (2) a vendor payment report; and (3) 24 invoices sent to the Department under the contracts. Three of the invoices have been redacted. These invoices contain billing information that would reveal litigation strategy and/or legal consultation. Such information is exempt from disclosure under the Act, and we decline to provide it. (See County of Los Angeles Board of Supervisors v. Superior Court (2017) 12 Cal.App.5th 1264, 1274-1275 [holding that such information "lies in the heartland of attorney-client privilege"], internal quotations and citation omitted, italics in original); Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Evid. Code, § 954 [attorney-client privilege]; Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030, subd. (a) [attorney work product doctrine].) In order to protect personal privacy, we have also redacted home addresses and cell phone numbers. (Cal. Const. art. I, § 1; Gov. Code, §§ 6254, subd. (k), 6255.)  Regarding your request number 2, the records you seek are not public records subject to the Act. (Public Contract Code, §§ 10369, 10370 [evaluations for certain consulting services contracts are not public records].)  This concludes our response to your request.</p> <p>On July 9, 2018 an extension was sent by Stacy Heinsen - OPS.</p>
PRA-2018-01279	Schaal	Julie	6/27/2018	2015 Death certificate, will, divorce and child custody decree (Jacquelyn Sara Brown and Charles John Schaal) Family information needed before signing affidavit of heir.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking child support and/or custody records, you may wish to direct your request to the court that issued the child support or custody order, or the California Department of Child Support Services (DCSS). Information on how to contact DCSS can be found on their website at <a href="http://www.childsup.ca.gov/home/dcsccontactinformation.aspx">http://www.childsup.ca.gov/home/dcsccontactinformation.aspx</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01280	Miller	Kaitlyn	6/27/2018	I'm looking for a written policy dealing with legal representation and/or indemnification of civil servants such as police officers.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.</p>
PRA-2018-01281	Ryan	Nora	6/27/2018	I would like to find any information listed for any court cases, arrests, ANYTHING that anyone else might be able to obtain and utilize to know about us or to create fake IDs, to just anything that puts us in the public space, that might be available related to myself and/or my son, who recently	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you</p>



			<p>became an adult. I do so because, due to my son's autism, there were some misunderstandings and cases that were dismissed, arrests where no charges were filed or they were dismissed, etc. Simply, as I'm getting older and take care of my adult autistic son now, it's best that I ensure all records that might be utilized in any prejudicial way against my family, and my son by extension, as we're a quiet family and don't really do anything to get into trouble, I should do a double check that there's no incorrect information still floating about, or I request expunges, seals, esp if it relates to my son, who should have zero records of any type, civil, etc. as I am able so he is less visible to would-be possible criminals or people to hurt his public reputation. I'd also prefer to get family law records of any type (there is 1 case with child support) removed from public view for the same reason --- I don't want any possible predatory types to have any easy access or knowledge of his existence and it's hard for me to project how criminals may utilize info in the future:</p> <p>I see, but these might not be all:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. C1368073 - Santa Clara County - expunged 6/15/2018 RYAN NORA</li> <li>2. SM 392420A - arrest - arrest related to a tantrum my then minor son had - I think - RYAN NORA</li> <li>3. SF383259(a) SM - arrest related to a tantrum my then minor son had - I think - RYAN NORA</li> <li>4. RYAN NORA --- Orange County - 5/2007 (8/2007 listed as well) 49678DERYAN630430 - any driving incident we've had should not be of a nature to be in existence any more so am confused why this one even exists. I think I had a fix it ticket where my license plate on the front of the car was not affixed, but the back license plate was current and properly affixed. Or possibly that my latest insurance card was lost in the mail so I had to go show that my insurance was in fact current (I always have and have had current insurance). I have no moving violations of which I'm aware ever in past 35 years of driving.</li> <li>5. BOA - 16CLIJ02545, 16CLIJ2624, 17CIV00155, 18CIV01994 - all civil suits that BOA is apparently dismissing with prejudice right now by BOA without any intervention by me. 17CIV00155 filed for dismissal by BOA 6/4/2018. Just received copy. Will they be available for someone to create false identities once the dismissals are accepted by the court without prejudice as filed by Bank of America? One is already filed at minimum and I'm told all 4 will do the same.</li> </ol> <p>2/27/14 CIV 527079 (2/2014) San Mateo County - ????????? No idea. RYAN, NORA 9/2004 - San Mateo Family court - Peter Tapscott, my son's father. Is my son or I named and can I have the records sealed so no one can use it to know of my son to create a false identity?</p> <p>4/2016 - I filed against Twingco, a Delaware company that does business in Northern California, esp. San Mateo County, at that time. . SC5133432 - former client who would not pay me and hired lawyers to waste my time in court so I finally just gave up as the owner would never pay me back. He has insufficient income to pay fees owed.</p> <p>??? - Civil case just filed on behalf Daniel Stansbury, who claims a dog bite - Scheduled August 2018. USAA insurance company is primary defendant and plans to take it to court I simply am trying to find out what records can be found and which I might then choose to expunge or seal, if</p>			<p>should direct your request to that agency. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking records related to court cases, we were unable to locate records based on the information provided. Since you are seeking records filed with a court, you may wish to direct your request to the court's office, or to the local district attorney's office if they were involved in the case.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

				legally possible, so that to best extent possible all records are accurate, current, and that the minimal number are publicly available that might create any unforeseen danger against my disabled son and myself by extension. If there are ANY records for Patrick Ryan, same address, same county, 20 years old, my son, I'd want to know of them to ... (repeat of concerns above) Many thanks if you can look up what you can find in your database as relates to Patrick Andrew Ryan and myself.			
PRA-2018-01320	Black	Sharon	6/27/2018	This is a follow up re my name is wrong in PUBLIC RECORDS NO, I do not have a criminal background quite the opposite but, I ran into a big problem finding out my name was changed somewhere in the past by putting a middle initial, the letter B in my name; and, or adding a very old married name. I Want All And Everything Corrected To My Birth Name Which Is And Has Been My Name For Many Years Now It Is Sharon Black Ss# [REDACTED] No Middle Initial Or Added Name Plain And Simple Sharon Black	Completed	Erin Nickless	Our Department is unable to assist you with your request. As stated in our June 22, 2018 e-mail, the Public Records Unit processes requests to the California Department of Justice for copies of Public Records. The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you mention that there is an issue with your name, birth records might be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . If this relates to a name change that was filed through a court, you would need to direct your request to the court in which it was filed. This completes our response to your request.
PRA-2018-01282	Stewart	Karen	6/28/2018	Divorce papers for James Rodger Stewart and Karen Lynne Stewart (median name Janke) not sure of year 1977 1978 1979 1980 could have been filed. Orange county CA I moved to Michigan recently they will not accept my birth certificate due to my median name on it to get a MI drivers license unless I have copy of divorce degree. The divorce was 40 yrs ago or later	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA-2018-01283	Keane	Bunny	6/28/2018	I would look to know the number of registered assault weapons prior to Dec 30th, 2016. I would also like to know the most recently registered assault weapon CFARS system registration number. I am not asking for the firearm's serial number. I am asking for the internal CFARS registration number indicating the number of registered assault weapons as of the day this record requests is received for processing. If possible, I would like to know separately the number of long guns and handguns on the registered assault weapon list.	Completed	Robert Wilson	July 9, 2018 Fees Request: The most recent assault weapon registration period closed on June 30, 2018. As such, there a number of applications still being processed. An application that is being processed is not considered "registered." An assault weapon would only be registered if the applicant meets all the firearm and background requirements and is approved by the Department. As of July 3, 2018, there are 6,213 individuals who have registered assault weapons and 13,519 assault weapons that have been registered. The Department does not maintain a breakdown of the number of registered handguns and long guns in the ordinary course of business. As such, special programming would be required to generate the requested information, and the Department is allowed by law to charge for this programming. (See Gov. Code, § 6253.9, subd. (b); see also California Department of Justice Guidelines for Access to Public Records [When the Department must compile electronic data, extract information from an electronic record, or undertake computer programming to satisfy a request, the Department may require the requester to bear the full costs, not just the direct cost of duplication.].) In this case, it will take an Information Technology Specialist I a minimum of 30 minutes to compile this information at a cost of

							<p>\$14.19. If you still desire this information, please make a check payable to the California Department of Justice in the amount of \$14.19 and send it to:</p> <p>California Department of Justice Public Records Coordinator 1300 I Street, Room 1710-6 Sacramento, CA 95814</p> <p>If we do not receive payment within 20 days, we shall consider the request for records withdrawn and the matter closed.</p>
PRA-2018-01284	Parvanian	Paul	6/28/2018	<p>The term "Documents", as used herein, has the same meaning as the term "Writing" has in California Evidence Code section 250, and includes any electronically stored information as well.</p> <p>1. Any Documents referencing Tina Merie Sharp ("Sharp"). Sharp's last known address, phone number, driver's license number and description are as follows: [REDACTED] California Driver's License [REDACTED]; Phone [REDACTED]; Female, brown eyes, black hair; 5'7" height; 175 lbs weight; d/o/b/ [REDACTED] African- American; Social Security Number [REDACTED]</p> <p>2. Any Documents referencing a weapon with serial number [REDACTED]. The weapon is believed to be a Bersa Thunder 380 Duotone, but has also been described as "H.W. Lion Industrial Serial number [REDACTED] Cal 380 mad thunder 380".</p> <p>3. Without limiting the foregoing, please provide a copy of any documents related to any concealed carry permit or application filed or requested by or on behalf of Sharp.</p> <p>4. Any Documents referencing a weapon with serial number [REDACTED] believed to be a Sundance Ind Boa .25 ACP.</p> <p>5. Any licenses, permits, or similar Documents issued to Sharp, including without limitation any concealed carry permits or Documents related to sale of fireworks</p> <p>6. NICS or State of California transaction number [REDACTED]</p> <p>I respectfully ask for a determination on this request within 10 days of your receipt of it, and an even prompter reply if you can make that determination without having to review the record[s] in question. Should some of the records be available sooner than others, I ask that any records available sooner be provided to our firm immediately.</p> <p>If I can provide any clarification that will help expedite your attention to my request, please contact me at the law firm of Coleman &amp; Horowitz, LLP, at (559) 248-4820. I ask that you please notify me immediately of the costs for these documents, if any, so that I may promptly provide those amounts to you for quick processing of this request. Thank you for your time and prompt attention to this matter.</p> <p>My full contact information is as follows: Paul M. Parvanian, Esq. Coleman &amp; Horowitz, LLP 499 W. Shaw Ave., Suite 116 Fresno, CA 93704 559-248-4820 pparvanian@ch-law.com&lt;mailto:pparvanian@ch-law.com&gt;</p>	Completed	Robert Wilson	<p>As a preliminary matter, we note that under the Public Records Act government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public, unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) Section 6254, subdivision (k), incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law.</p> <p>Penal Code Section 11106 requires DOJ to retain certain firearms information, including copies of fingerprints, copies of CCW permits, and "information reported to the Department of Justice pursuant to Section 26225." DOJ must disclose this information "upon proper application ... to the officers referred to in Section 11105." (Pen. Code § 11106 (a).) California courts have consistently held that data subject to the disclosure limits in Penal Code Section 11105 may be disclosed only to the individuals authorized by that section to receive the information.</p> <p>For example; the California Supreme Court has noted that Penal Code Section 11105 "prohibits furnishing such information to persons other than those listed therein 'or as provided by law.'" (Hill v. Superior Court (1974) 10 Cal.3d 812, 818.)</p> <p>Therefore, information protected by Penal Code Section 11105 is not subject to public disclosure in response to a PRA request. (Younger v. Berkeley City Council (1975) 45 Cal. App. 3d 825, 832.)</p> <p>The "restrictions upon release of such information are so carefully set out in the Penal Code sections 11106, 11081 and 11105 ... that it is inconceivable that the general terms of the Public Records Act were intended to render them void." (Ibid.)</p> <p>State law specifies both the persons who are authorized to obtain firearms records, and the purposes that justify the DOJ's retention and disclosure of those records and therefore impliedly prohibits the release of such information to other persons, or for other purposes. The maxim of statutory construction "expressio uni us est exclusio alterius" applies: the express inclusion of some things in a statute necessarily means the exclusion of other things not expressly included. (Fischer v. Los Angeles Unified School Dist. (1999) 70 Cal.App.4th 87, 97.)</p> <p>Firearms records retained by the DOJ as described in Section 11106 are subject to disclosure only to the individuals listed in Section 11105.</p> <p>In addition, the Department of Justice is subject to the Information Practices Act of 1977. (People v. McCray (2006) 144 Cal.App.4th 258.) In pertinent part, Civil Code section 1798.24 prohibits the Department from disclosing any personal information in a manner that would link the information disclosed to the individual to whom it pertains unless the information is disclosed to the individual to whom the information pertains, or with his or her prior written voluntary consent - but only if that consent has been obtained not more than 30 days before the disclosure, or in the time limit agreed to by the individual in the written consent.</p> <p>Inasmuch as you are not the individual to whom the information pertains, the Department cannot release it to you absent the statutorily required consent. As such, the Department cannot provide the information you requested.</p>

PRA-2018-01287	Metzger	Raphael	6/28/2018	<p>August 22, 2018 Letter from Brust of Metzger Law Group: I write regarding the August 20, 2018 supplemental production of records made by the Office of the Attorney General ("AG's Office"), in response to our client's June 26, 2018 Public Records Act request. To call it a "production" might be somewhat charitable, given that the A G's Office has redacted almost all of what was produced. Indeed, the redactions include several entire pages, and the production as a whole appears to be little more than a series of documents repeated multiple times. This latest production is not consistent with the public policy goal of the Public Records Act, which is "to open agency action to the light of public review, with its core purpose designed to contribute significantly to public understanding of the operations or activities of the government."</p> <p>Caldecott v. Superior Court (2015) 243 Cal.App.4th 212, 223 ( quoting Los Angeles Unified School Dist. v. Superior Court (2014) 228 Cal.App.4th 222,241). Compounding the problem is the fact that the A G's Office continues to refuse to provide any kind of privilege log that would allow our client to determine whether the purported privileges are validly asserted, are inapplicable, or have been waived. This refusal, combined with the overwhelming nature of the redactions, has prejudiced our client in its efforts to avail itself of the full benefit for which the Public Records Act was intended.</p> <p>Second, your August 17th letter indicates that the AG's Office has "located some additional records that may be responsive, and will begin reviewing them next week," with a "hope" that additional documents will be produced "within the next three weeks." We informed you in our original PRA request (almost two months ago) that our office needs the responsive records as soon as possible in order to prepare CERT's submissions to OEHHA for its proposed rule-making proceeding, which is currently pending. Unfortunately, it is evident that the AG's Office has not even commenced a review of at least some of its documents, and will not be producing anything further until at least early September, which is after the public comment period closes. This is not acceptable. If the responsive documents held by the AG's Office are not promptly provided, and if the Office does not either unmask the redacted documents or provide the previously-requested privilege log, then our client will have no choice but to file an action to compel compliance with the Public Records Act, along with a request for attorney's fees and costs. Thank you for your anticipated prompt attention to the above.</p> <p>June 28, 2018 request: As you know, my firm represents the Council for Education and Research on Toxics (CERT), a public benefit corporation whose charitable purposes are education and research regarding toxic substance and the maintenance of a safe food supply. As you are also aware, for the past eight years CERT has successfully litigated a Proposition 65 case against the coffee industry whose goal is to encourage the industry to remove acrylamide from coffee by requiring the industry to provide cancer hazard warnings for all coffee sold in California. On behalf of CERT, pursuant to the California Public Records Act, Government Code§ 6250 et seq., we hereby request the Office of the Attorney General ("AG's office") to provide us copies of records described by category below. Please</p>	Metzger Law Group	Rolling Production	Laura Zuckerman	<p>August 27, 2018 - Response sent by Laura Zuckerman - Environmental Law Section to Scott Brust. We received your August 22 letter regarding the Office of the Attorney General's production of records in response to Mr. Metzger's June 26, 2018 Public Records Act request.</p> <p>The fact that we were able to locate only a few non-privileged, responsive records (many of which contained privileged portions that needed to be redacted) is not reflective of the large number of attorney hours spent reviewing material that turned out to be privileged. Moreover, we have responded to Mr. Metzger's request in good faith, in a timely manner, and in compliance with the law. Our office is mindful of your desire to obtain these records as promptly as possible, and we are doing our best to get any responsive, non-privileged documents to you as soon as feasible.</p> <p>Please feel free to call me if you have any questions.</p> <p>August 17, 2018 - Response sent by Laura Zuckerman - Environmental Law Section. As promised in my August 7, 2018 response to your Public Records Act Request dated June 26, 2018, we are hereby enclosing a CD with responsive documents Bates-numbered AGOMETZGER0000000453 through AGOMETZGER0000000520. We have located some additional records that may be responsive, and will begin reviewing them next week. We hope to produce any remaining responsive, non-privileged documents within the next three weeks.</p> <p>To reiterate, we will not be producing copies of any responsive 60-day notices or settlements, as these are posted on the AG's website. We also do not plan to produce copies of documents that were authored by you or persons in your office, exact duplicates of documents already produced once, or copies of pleadings filed in the CERT LAWSUIT (as defined in the Request). If you wish us to produce such documents, let us know.</p> <p>August 7, 2018 - Response sent by Laura Zuckerman - Environmental Law Section.</p> <p>As promised in my July 23, 2018 response to your Public Records Act Request dated June 26, 2018, we are hereby enclosing a CD with responsive documents Bates-numbered AGOMETZGER0000000001 through AGOMETZGER0000000452. We are continuing our review of e-mails and currently anticipate we will produce any remaining responsive, nonprivileged documents within the next week to ten days. Please note that, in addition to the categories of documents that were enumerated in the July 23 letter, we will not be producing copies of any responsive 60-day notices or settlements, as these are posted on the AG's website.</p> <p>Again, please note that we do not plan to produce copies of documents that were authored by you or persons in your office, exact duplicates of documents already produced once, or copies of pleadings filed in the CERT LAWSUIT (as defined in the Request). If you wish us to produce such documents, let us know.</p> <p>July 23, 2018 - Response sent by Laura Zuckerman - Environmental Law Section.</p> <p>I am responding to your Public Records Act Request dated June 26, 2018, which requested production of 15 separate categories of documents from June 1, 2017 to the present covering communications with the Attorney General's office on topics that appear to relate, either directly or indirectly, to acrylamide in coffee (the "Request"). The requests encompass writings on such subjects as – besides acrylamide in coffee – the regulation of coffee and acrylamide under Proposition 65, the association between coffee and cancer, OEHHA's recently proposed regulation, "Exposures to Listed Chemicals in Coffee Posing No Significant Risk,"</p>
----------------	---------	---------	-----------	--	-------------------	--------------------	-----------------	--

			<p>send us copies of the records, or, if they have been published and may be accessed through another public office or website, please advise where copies may be obtained or purchased. My office has made several Public Records Act requests and we promptly pay all reasonable bills for copying costs consistent with the provisions of the Government Code. However, if you are unable to bill us for the copies, please advise us of the estimated cost and we will forward advance payment or pay for the records on delivery, whichever you prefer.</p> <p>In the event that the AG's office determines that any requested records fall within a claim of privilege, this letter will also serve as a request that a privilege log be promptly provided, identifying all such writings. The purpose of a privilege log is "to allow a fair way to test a claim of privilege." Waymo LLC v. Uber Technologies, Inc. (N.D. Cal. 2017) 319 F.R.D. 284, 292; see also CCP § 2031.240 (c). It is only through the production of a privilege log that a demanding party may properly determine whether the asserted privilege is validly asserted, is inapplicable, or has been waived. In order to allow such a determination to be made, CERT requests that the privilege log identify any and all writings that are being withheld based on a claim of privilege, stating the following as to all such writings:</p> <p>(a) The nature of the writing (i.e., letter, memo); (b) The date the writing bears or was created; (c) The date the writing was sent and/or received; (d) The subject matter of the writing; (e) The author(s) of the writing, and the persons on whose behalf the writing was written; (f) The addressee(s) and copyee(s) identified on the writing and the persons or entities they represented; (g) The person(s) to whom the writing was given, distributed, or shown, and the persons/entities they represented; (h) The location of the original and each copy of the writing; (i) The name and present or last known address of the custodian of the original and each copy of the writing; and (j) The privilege claimed, and all facts supporting the claim of privilege.</p> <p>DEFINITIONS" The CERT LAWSUIT" refers to Los Angeles County Superior Court case number BC435759, coordinated with case number BC461182, captioned Council for Education and Research on Toxics vs. Starbucks Corporation, et al. "FDA" refers to the United States Food and Drug Administration. "GMA" refers to the Grocery Manufacturers Association and its employees and lobbyists. "IARC" refers to the International Agency for Research on Cancer and its employees. "INITIAL STATEMENT OF REASONS" refers to the "Initial Statement of Reasons - Title 27, California Code of Regulations - Adoption of New Section 25704 - Exposures to Listed Chemicals in Coffee Posing No Significant Risk" that was prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and is dated June 2018.</p> <p>"NCA" refers to the National Coffee Association and its employees and lobbyists. "OEHHA" refers to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and includes all persons employed by OEHHA or with whom OEI-IHA consulted regarding the. "PROPOSED REGULATION" refers to the regulatory proposal dated June 15, 2018 to add "a new section to Article 7 of Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations, section 25704, stating that exposures to Proposition 65 listed chemicals in coffee that are produced as part of and inherent in the</p>			<p>Council for Education and Research on Toxics v. Starbucks Corporation, et al., Senate Bill S. 3019, and communications between the Attorney General's Office and, respectively, the Grocery Manufacturers Association, the International Agency for Research on Cancer, and the National Coffee Association, among others. The Request also seeks a privilege log for those documents that are withheld under any claim of privilege. As an initial matter, we are not required to provide a privilege log for documents withheld under the Public Records Act. (Haynie v. Superior Court (2001) 26 Cal.4th 1061, 1075.) In addition, your 15 broadly-stated requests seek documents that are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. We will not provide copies of documents that fall into any of the categories listed below.</p> <p>1. Confidential Attorney Client Communications</p> <p>Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954, which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.) The attorneys in our department provide legal advice to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"). Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and OEHHA on the topics listed in your request, where the Attorney General was acting in his role as counsel to OEHHA, are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act, and will not be disclosed.</p> <p>2. Confidential Attorney Work Product</p> <p>The attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research, or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Accordingly, we will not disclose any internal communications between personnel in the Attorney General's Office or between individuals in the Attorney General's Office and individuals acting as expert consultants to the Attorney General on any matter.</p> <p>3. Confidential Communications with Private Parties</p> <p>Information gathered by a government agency under assurances of confidentiality may be withheld if it is in the public interest to do so. The official information privilege appears in Evidence Code section 1040 and is incorporated into the Public Records Act through Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k). Evidence Code section 1040, subdivision (b)(2), provides that a government agency may refuse to disclose "official information" where "there is a necessity for preserving the confidentiality of the information that outweighs the necessity for disclosure in the interest of justice(.)" In addition to the above, Government Code section 6255 provides that the agency may withhold a document where "on the facts of the particular case the public interest served by not disclosing the record clearly outweighs the public interest served by disclosure of the record."</p> <p>Attorney General communications with private parties, either pursuant to an investigation of a matter or in the context of settlement discussions or potential objections to settlements, are frequently conducted with an understanding of confidentiality on both sides. This confidentiality must be protected in order to maintain the ability of the Attorney General to conduct investigations, to resolve litigation, and to work with private parties to ensure that settlements</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	---

			<p>processes of roasting coffee beans and brewing coffee pose no significant risk of cancer." "WRITING" means handwriting, typewriting, printing, photostating, photographing, photocopying, transmitting by electronic mail or facsimile, and every other means of recording upon any tangible thing, any form of communication or representation, including letters, words, pictures, sounds, or symbols, or combinations thereof, and any record thereby created, regardless of the manner in which the record has been stored.</p> <p>REQUESTS FOR PUBLIC RECORDS 1. All WRITINGS that reference, reflect or pertain to communications received by the AG's office at any time from June 1, 2017 to the present regarding acrylamide in coffee. 2. All WRITINGS that reference, reflect or pertain to communications received by the AG's office at any time from June 1, 2017 to the present regarding coffee. 3. All WRITINGS that reference, reflect or pertain to communications received by the AG's office at any time from June 1, 2017 to the present regarding the association between coffee and cancer. 4. All WRITINGS that reference, reflect or pertain to communications received by the AG's office at anytime from June 1, 2017 to the present regarding the regulation of coffee in relation to Cal Health &amp; Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq., also known as Proposition 65. 5. All WRITINGS that reference, reflect or pertain to communications received by the AG's office at any time from June 1, 2017 to the present regarding the regulation of acrylamide in relation to Cal Health &amp; Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq., also known as Proposition 65. 6. All WRITINGS that reference, reflect or pertain to communications received by the AG's office at any time from June 1, 2017 to the present regarding regulation of coffee in the State of California. 7. All WRITINGS that reference, reflect or pertain to communications received by the AG's office at any time from June 1, 2017 to the present regarding regulation of acrylamide in the State of California. 8. All WRITINGS that reference, reflect or pertain to communications received by the AG's office at any time from June 1, 2017 to the present regarding the CERT LAWSUIT. 9. All WRITINGS that reference, reflect or pertain to communications received by the AG's office at anytime from June 1, 2017 to the present regarding the PROPOSED REGULATION. 10. All WRITINGS that reference, reflect or pertain to communications received by the AG's office at any time from June 1, 2017 to the present regarding the INITIAL STATEMENT OF REASONS. 11. All WRITINGS that reference, reflect or pertain to communications received by the AG's office at any time from June 1, 2017 to the present regarding U.S. Senate bill S. 3019, also known as The Accurate Labels Act. 12. All WRITINGS that reference, reflect or pertain to communications received by the AG's office at any time from June 1, 2017 to the present regarding U.S. House of Representatives bill H.R. 6022, also known as The Accurate Labels Act. 13. All WRITINGS that reference, reflect or pertain to communications between the AG's office and GMA at any time from June 1, 2017 to the present. 14. All WRITINGS that reference, reflect or pertain to</p>			<p>comply with the law. Maintaining the confidentiality of those communications is necessary to allow the Attorney General to conduct his role as the chief law enforcement officer under Proposition 65, and as the entity that oversees private party enforcement of the statute. Without some promise of confidentiality, private parties, whether plaintiffs or defendants, will be unwilling to meet and confer with the Attorney General to discuss settlements and resolutions of issues. The interest of the Attorney General in being able to accomplish his duties outweighs any interest the public may have in the confidential documents. The Attorney General will therefore not produce any such confidential communications. Because of the Request's inclusion of 15 broad categories of documents, and the need to review a voluminous number of records for privileged material, we will need to have a rolling production. We anticipate having a first batch of documents available to you by August 6, 2018.</p> <p>Please note that we do not plan to produce copies of documents that were authored by you or persons in your office, exact duplicates of documents already produced once, or copies of pleadings filed in the CERT LAWSUIT (as defined in the Request). If you wish us to produce such documents, let us know.</p> <p>Please feel free to call me if you have any questions.</p> <p>On July 9, 2018, an extension was sent by Laura J. Zuckerman, Environmental Law.</p>
--	--	--	---	--	--	---

				communications between the AG's office and IARC at any time from June 1, 2017 to the present. 15. All WRITINGS that reference, reflect or pertain to communications between the AG's office and NCA at any time from June 1, 2017 to the present. Please note that we need these records as soon as possible in order to prepare CERT's submissions to OEHHA for the rule-making proceeding. Therefore, your prompt attention to the above is appreciated.				
PRA-2018-01285	Van	Katherine	6/29/2018	Court or prison reports; appeals, etc... My Mother name is Glenda Mae Yarber (was Stetson) she was arrested on October 19, 1963 in San Francisco California. She has passed away on [REDACTED] We are doing some research into family history, mental illness etc... I am her daughter. I know some of these records may no longer exist. If there is a way to retrieve could you let me know.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks prison records and court records. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local court in which the cases were filed, and the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation for prison records. Information on how to contact them can be found at <a href="http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html">http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html</a> . To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-01286	Hollins	Julie	6/29/2018	Unlawful detainer		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking unlawful detainer records, you may wish to direct your request to the local superior court in which the related case was filed.
PRA-2018-01288	Carter	Charles	6/29/2018	The "Seabridge Memorandum of Understanding" dated September 10, 2002 and signed by City of Oxnard Mayor Dr. Manuel Lopez and Ventura County Board of Supervisors Chair John Flynn contains reference to an environmental study (the "Study") on page 3. I would like to get a copy of that environmental study.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the City of Oxnard, and Ventura County Board of Supervisors, you may wish to direct your request to their offices.

PRA-2018-01289	Leder	Nif	6/29/2018	Rotator Staffing Services Inc. is formally requesting records that show who currently has the contract with SERVICES TITLE: Information Technology Contract Staff Services for Sacramento Area Sewer District (SASD) & Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District (Regional San). Rotator Staffing Services Inc. is also formally requesting the annual spend for the contract with SERVICES TITLE: Information Technology Contract Staff Services for Sacramento Area Sewer District (SASD) & Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District (Regional San). This record request is in reference to gain more insight into the current bid, RFP#8297 SERVICES TITLE: Information Technology Contract Staff Services, with the Sacramento Area Sewer District & Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to Sacramento Area Sewer District and Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District, you may wish to direct your request to their offices.
PRA-2018-01290	Ebbink	Benjamin	6/29/2018	In accordance with the California Public Records Act (Government Code sections 6250 et seq.), I hereby request the following documents from the Office of the Attorney General ("OAG"): • Any and all previous submitted versions of initiative measure entitled Emergency Ambulance Employee Safety and Preparedness Act," (A.G. No. 17-0043). I respectfully request that OAG provide the foregoing documents in PDF format if OAG either: (1) maintains such documents in PDF format; or (2) makes copies of such documents in PDF format for its own use or for provision to other government or municipal agencies.		Completed	Anabel Renteria	Copies of all submitted versions of Initiative 17-004 3, "Emergency Ambulance Employee Safety and Preparedness Act" are enclosed. This completes our response to your request.
PRA-2018-01291	Zepeda	Wendy	6/29/2018	This is the information we have: Docket#08323828 J Jacqueline Naillieux d.o.b. [REDACTED] Court No. 228891 Xref No. 4503635 Glenn Naillieux d.o.b. [REDACTED] Court No. 228889 Xref No. 4503637 Franklin Naillieux d.o.b. [REDACTED] Court No. 228890 Xref No. 4503638 The Office of the Arizona Attorney General, represents the Arizona Department of Child Safety in the Maricopa County Superior Court Case No. JD35237 / Naillieux. This is an open dependency matter concerning mother Jasmine Mitchell [REDACTED] We have reason to believe that Mother's rights to the three children have been previously terminated in the California Courts, however, we have no paperwork to prove this. Father is deceased. We are requesting a copy of the children's file(s). The assigned Assistant Attorney General is Heather Y. Schaupp. Ms Schaupp can be reached at 602-774-9005, or at Heather.Schaupp@azag.gov<mailto:Heather.Schaupp@azag.gov>. I am Ms. Schaupp's paralegal and can be contacted at the phone number and/or email provided in this request. Thank you kindly for your assistance.		Completed	Maria E. Silva	Requestor was contacted to obtain more information. After conversation requestor believes request was misdirected to DOJ-AG and will be sent to Department of Health and Human Services.
PRA-2018-01292	Hernandez	Jennifer	6/29/2018	Pursuant to the California Public Records Act, Government Code §6250 et seq., we hereby request that you provide electronic copies (or hard copies if electronic copies are not readily available) to the undersigned of any and all documentation submitted to the Attorney General pursuant to Section 21167.7 of the California Public Resources Code between January 1, 2016 and the date of this letter (collectively, "Documentation"). We also hereby request	Holland & Knight	Completed	Scott De Medeiros	Enclosed, please find a list of petitions received by our office for the period between January 1, 2016 and July 9, 2018, as well as the first set of "electronic copies ... of any and all petitions or Related Documentation submitted to the Attorney General pursuant to Section 21167.7 of the California Public Resources Code between January 1, 2016 and June 29, 2018." Should you have any difficulty in opening or viewing these documents, please feel free to contact me at any time.



				<p>that you provide electronic copies ( or hard copies if electronic copies are not readily available) of any report, list, or compilation of such Documentation.</p> <p>If applicable, in your response to this request please provide a list of any records withheld from the above request, along with an explanation of the statutory basis for their withholding and your reasons for believing the statutory justification applies. Please also make available any reasonably segregable portions of the records requested, even if other portions of the records are withheld as exempt, and provide the name and title/position of any person responsible for the decision to deny access to any requested records. Please also notify me of any photocopying charges associated with this request. We look forward to hearing your determination within the 10-day period prescribed by the Public Records Act as to whether the records requested are disclosable public records in your possession, and the estimated time we can expect your full response.</p> <p>Please contact my colleague, Stephanie DeHerrera at (415) 743-6971 or stephanie.deherrera@hklaw.com), if you have any questions regarding this request. Thank you in advance for your prompt attention to this matter.</p>				
PRA-2018-01294	Chandrakanthan	Varshine	6/29/2018	<p>I'd like to request the record of complaints on bedbugs for the building - 2110 Haste St, Berkeley, CA - 94704. I appreciate any help on this matter.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you appear to be seeking records related to an apartment building, you may wish to direct your request to their office.</p>	
PRA-2018-01308	Washington	Toromi	6/29/2018	<p>I am contacting you regarding my appeal listed as request#CRM-300647479, dated June 13, 2018. I believe I listed the wrong return address on the aforementioned document. I am requesting "all information" regarding my 2/21/96 and 6/9/05, convictions in Contra Costa and Santa Clara county Superior courts. I took it upon myself to send the District Attorney, 455 Golden Gate Ave. Suite #11000 S.F. CA. 94103 a copy of my appeal. It took me 14 years as of July 11, 2018, to figure out why California criminal courts no longer require an injured party in the court to obtain a conviction. California courts have abandoned common law for administrative law which is really admiralty law brought inland and they are only giving us military due process, as if we are enemies of the state under the Trading with the Enemies Act. It is an established fact the court sells bonds in the defendant's name, which are then converted into mortgage-backed securities and sold to investors, creating an illegal income stream for the courts. This is a conflict of interest, for the courts, and treason against the people, verification comes via your office complying and giving me both CUSIP reports. Please explain the attached[Exhibit #A], I can't understand how an illegal, fraudulent, unregistered, unlicensed, entity continues to incarcerate thousands of people yearly! I've never been one to tell anyone how to do his/her job, I</p>	Completed	Julia Y Je	<p>Based on our records, this Office has files on two of your cases, People v. Toromi Washington, case No. H029223 filed in the California Court of Appeal in 2005, and Toromi Washington v. Adams, case No. C 06-4802 WHA filed in U.S. District Court in 2008. Please be informed that these case files may contain hundreds of pages of documents and our Office is required to charge \$.10 per copy and the cost of mailing. In order to avoid charging you for unnecessary documents, please describe the documents or category of documents you are seeking more specifically. Once I hear from you, I will let you know if we have the documents and the total cost for the copies and mailing. We can only provide documents after payment is received.</p>	

				am gonna wager that you will obey both the FOIA/Privacy Act laws, along with the statutory laws that prohibit your agency from denying me my constitutional right to obtain any/all information regarding both convictions. The last thing I want to do is have to obtain the services of any civil law firm listed on [Exhibit #B], attached to this letter, I am positive they will concur with my allegations. I so look forward to embarrassing Contra Costa(952305-1) & Santa Clara(CC459768), with proof of their farce/sham convicting me!				
PRA-2018-01330	Komatsoulis (Immigration Reform)	Carolyn - MuckRock	6/29/2018	<p>Pursuant to the California Public Records Act, I hereby request the following records: For the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996: Any and all memos about the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996 Any and all records containing instructions for how to handle the new changes from the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996 Any and all records relating to the construction of barriers along the U.S. Mexico border as a result of the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996, including MOUs, MOAs, contracts, and construction bids Any and all records related to agreements between the U.S. Attorney General and state and local law enforcement agencies as part of section 2B7(g) of the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996. Any and all records relating to the arrest and jail time of deportees under the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996, including incident reports. The requested documents will be made available to the general public, and this request is not being made for commercial purposes. In the event that there are fees, I would be grateful if you would inform me of the total charges in advance of fulfilling my request. I would prefer the, request filled electronically, by e-mail attachment if available or CD-ROM if not. On August 7, 2018, requester followed up: I'm following up on the following California Public Records Act request, copied below, and originally submitted on June 28, 2018, You had previously indicated that it would be completed on July 30, 2018, I wanted to check on the status of my request, and to see if there was a new estimated completion date.</p>	MuckRock News	Rolling Production	John D. Echeverria	<p>On August 8, 2018 a first production letter was sent by John Echeverria - GLS. This letter is in further response to your correspondence of June 29, 2018, requesting records under the Public Records Act (the "PRA"), which is contained in Government Code section 6250 et seq. As planned, enclosed on a CD is our office's first production of documents. As previously indicated, we anticipate a rolling production of responsive, non-exempt documents, and will contact you when our office is ready to provide the second production of documents.</p> <p>On July 23, 2018, John Echeverria sent an extension letter: Response to Request 1: Our office identified divisions, sections, and individuals who were likely to have responsive records. Searches were conducted where responsive documents are or could reasonably be located. As a result of these searches, we were unable to locate memoranda specifically about the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996 (the "IIRAIRA"). Nevertheless, we have identified non-exempt records concerning state and local cooperation with federal immigration officials, which relate to provisions of the IIRAIRA, and will provide such records to you. We have also identified records in this category that are exempt from disclosure for the reasons discussed below, and will not be producing those records. The Attorney-Client Privilege The PRA exempts from disclosure "[r]ecords, the disclosure of which is exempted or prohibited pursuant to federal or state law, including, but not limited to, provisions of the Evidence Code relating to privilege." (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363, 370; see also Evid. Code, § 954.) The attorney-client privilege is absolute and disclosure may not be compelled, regardless of relevance, necessity, or any particular circumstances in a given case. (Costco Wholesale Corp. v. Superior Court (2009) 47 Cal.4th 725, 732; see also Palmer v. Superior Court (2014) 231 Cal.App.4th 1214, 1227.) "Although exercise of the privilege may occasionally result in the suppression of relevant evidence, the Legislature of this state has determined that these concerns are outweighed by the importance of preserving confidentiality in the attorney-client relationship." (Mitchell v. Superior Court (1984) 37 Cal.3d 591, 599; see also Kerner v. Superior Court (2012) 206 Cal.App.4th 84, 111.) The attorney-client privilege protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, as well as communications made to others in advancement of the attorney-client relationship. (Barber v. Municipal Court (1979) 24 Cal.3d 742, 753-754; STI Outdoor LLC v. Superior Court (2001) 91 Cal.App.4th 334, 340-341.) Documents are exempt from disclosure under the PRA pursuant to the attorney-client privilege under Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k), which incorporates confidentiality provisions contained in other laws. (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) The Attorney Work Product Doctrine The attorney work product doctrine protects the confidentiality of any</p>

							<p>writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research, or legal theories, which is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) The attorney work product doctrine creates a qualified privilege against discovery of general work product, and an absolute privilege against disclosure of writings containing the attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, or legal theories. (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court, supra, 82 Cal.App.4th at p. 833, quoting BP Alaska Exploration, Inc. v. Superior Court (1988) 199 Cal.App.3d 1240, 1250; see also Coito v. Superior Court (2012) 54 Cal.4th 480, 488.) Moreover, there is no waiver of the privilege when attorney work product is provided to a party with a common interest who has an interest in maintaining the confidentiality of a significant part of the work product when the parties have a reasonable expectation that the work product will remain confidential, and the disclosure is "reasonably necessary for the accomplishment of the purpose for which the lawyer was consulted" as set forth in Evidence Code section 912, subdivision (d). (OXY Resources California LLC v. Superior Court (2004) 115 Cal.App.4th 874, 890-891.) Documents are exempt from disclosure under the PRA pursuant to the attorney work product doctrine under Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k), which incorporates confidentiality provisions contained in other laws. (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court, supra, 82 Cal.App.4th at p. 833.)</p> <p><b>The Deliberative Process Privilege</b></p> <p>The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision-making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency, and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325, 1342-1343, 1346.) Any documents containing statutory interpretation, analyses, draft language, and memoranda concerning the strengths and weaknesses of a particular proposal would be covered by this privilege. If the public interest in nondisclosure clearly outweighs the public interest in disclosure, the deliberative process privilege applies. (Cal. First Amendment Coalition v. Superior Court (1998) 67 Cal.App.4th 159, 172-173.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected from disclosure pursuant to a PRA request through application of Government Code section 6255.</p> <p><b>The Pending Litigation Exemption</b></p> <p>Agencies may withhold the disclosure of records pertaining to pending litigation to which the public agency is a party until the pending litigation has been finally adjudicated or otherwise settled. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (b).) The pending litigation exemption does not duplicate the attorney work product exemption, but is broader and covers documents prepared by the agency in anticipation of, or for use in, litigation. (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court, supra, 82 Cal.App.4th at p. 831; Fairley v. Superior Court (1998) 66 Cal.App.4th 1414, 1422.) The pending litigation exemption also does not duplicate the attorney-client privilege because it exempts "litigation records generally," and not only those documents that are privileged. (Fairley v. Superior Court, supra, 66 Cal.App.4th at p. 1422, fn. 5.)</p> <p><b>Application of Exemptions to Request 1: The attorneys in the California Department of Justice ("DOJ") provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General and his designees and the DOJ's attorneys about provisions of the IIRAIRA (see, e.g., 8 U.S.C. § 1373) are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are thus exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k), incorporating Evid. Code, § 954.)</b></p> <p>In addition, it is common practice for DOJ to be involved in the state</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

							<p>legislative process. To the extent that our office was involved in the state legislative process for legislation related to the IIRAIRA, our internal communications and deliberations, including any internal bill analyses, and any work on behalf of a client agency are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the deliberative process privilege, and the attorney work product exemption. (Evid. Code, § 954; Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030; Gov. Code, § 6255.)</p> <p>Further, Senate Bill 54, the California Values Act (Stats. 2017, ch. 495) (the "Values Act"), relates to the IIRAIRA and requires the Attorney General to "publish model policies" implementing the statute by October 1, 2018. (Gov. Code, § 7284.8, subd. (a).) Also by that date, the Attorney General must "publish guidance, audit criteria, and training recommendations" aimed at ensuring that databases used by state and local enforcement agencies operate in a manner consistent with the Values Act. (See id., subd. (b).)</p> <p>Internal records relating to the ongoing work of the DOJ to craft these required policies and other guidance are protected by the attorney-client privilege and the attorney work product exception. (Evid. Code, § 954; Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) These internal records are also exempt under the deliberative process privilege because under the facts here, the public interest in nondisclosure is clearly outweighed by the public interest in disclosure. The benefit to the Attorney General and other decision-makers of receiving complete and candid analyses regarding the strength and weakness of certain arguments relevant to determining the appropriate policies and guidance to implement the Values Act is paramount given the freedoms and responsibilities implicated by the law, and this benefit clearly outweighs the public's general interest in access. (Gov. Code, § 6255.)</p> <p>The Values Act is also at issue in ongoing litigation brought by the Attorney General against the federal government to prohibit the attachment of certain immigration-related, enforcement conditions to Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grants (JAG) and Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS) grants. (See State of Cal. v. Sessions (N.D. Cal.) No. 3:17-cv-4701.) The Values Act is also the subject of litigation in United States v. California (E.D. Cal.) No. 2:18-cv-00490-JAM-KJN. Records related to litigation are protected by the attorney-client privilege and the work product doctrine. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k), incorporating Evid. Code, § 954; Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) In addition, certain responsive documents are being withheld because of the pending litigation exemption. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (b).)</p> <p>On July 9, 2018 an extension letter was sent by John Echeverria - GLS.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

## PRA Requests

Received From: 7/1/2018

Received To: 7/31/2018

Total: 228

Request ID	Requestor Last Name	Requestor First Name	Received Date	Request Description	Requestor Business Name	Request Status	Responder	Response Summary
PRA-2018-01296	Bassi	Susan	7/2/2018	<p>This is a media request for public records under the California Public Records Act ("PRA") (Government Code §§ 6250 et seq.), article 1 § 3(b) of the California Constitution, and the common law right of access to public documents and information. And includes any records that may be considered judicial administrative records pursuant to rule 10.500.</p> <p>I am requesting, for the time period 2003- production all records related to a William Danser, a former superior court judge in Santa Clara County Superior Court.</p> <p>I am unfamiliar with the records I am seeking and require your assistance so that this request can be narrowly tailored and not overly burdensome on your staff. I believe the records that should be available would include:</p> <p>Charging documents , letters from the court of appeal requesting change of venue, billing statements, invoices and other financial information related to public expenses incurred in the prosecution of this case.</p> <p>There may additionally be letters to the Commission on Judicial Performance, or the Santa Clara County District Attorney, as I understand the felony charges were reduced to a misdemeanor.</p> <p>I am specifically looking for information related to this case including the names of the officers allegedly involved from the Los Gatos Police, and members of the Los Gatos Little League association, where Judge Danser had engaged in ticket fixing for DUI charges. I am also looking specifically for he judges and prosecutors involved in this case. I prefer to have the records delivered electronically via email if they are available in that format.</p>		Completed	Julia Y Je	<p>I have reviewed the file for People v. William Danser, Court of Appeal, case No. A107853. In response to your public document request, please find attached a copy of the Indictment. I have located correspondence to and from the California State Bar to the Superior Court requesting a copy of the indictment and the conviction. They appear to be form letters. Other public correspondence with the court relate to logistics in filing and case management. Please contact me if you want a copy of those communications and if there are any other specific documents you are seeking.</p> <p>July 2, 2018 status update: The Attorney General's Office has received your public records request submitted online on July 1, 2018. I have located case files related to People v. William Danser, Court of Appeal Case No. A107853 and am in the process of obtaining them from our off-site storage. Once I receive the case, I will be reviewing the files for any documents responsive to your request. Please be informed that this Office cannot provide copies of reporter's transcripts or confidential investigative materials as they are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. Please contact me with any further information that would help us respond to your request.</p>
PRA-2018-01297	Heo	Elizabeth	7/2/2018	Documents served on the Attorney General's Office pursuant to California Insurance Code section 10134, et seq. from (06/01/2018 through 06/30/2018). Please contact me to arrange a time to inspect and copy these records.		Completed	Howard Wayne	We will provide you with such documents. Please contact Nancy Quach at 415-703-5648 to schedule time for you to review these records. If you wish to copy these records, you will need to provide your own scanning equipment.
PRA-2018-01298	Blutstein (Supreme Crt Nominees)	Allan	7/2/2018	Communications "sent" by the Attorney General or the Chief Deputy Attorney General from June 27, 2018 through July 1, 2018 that mention or refer to potential Supreme Court nominees Brett Kavanaugh, Thomas Hardiman, Amul Thapar, Raymond Kethledge, or Amy Barrett.		Completed	Jeffrey Rich	We have no records responsive to your request. This concludes our response to your request.
PRA-2018-	Yvanova	Tsvetana	7/2/2018	I need a Certified copy of the CEASE AND DESIST LETTER(s) by California Attorney general NEW CENTURY MORTGAGE CORPORATION dated on or around March 20, 2008. Any other CA AG Orders regarding the NEW CENTURY MORTGAGE CORPORATION issued prior		Completed	Consumer	Response sent by Consumer Law Section in Los Angeles. The California Attorney General's Office has

01300				<p>or after this date is welcome. Please specify the amount needed to pay ( if any) for this service.</p> <p>*** New Century Mortgage Corporation was committing numerous violations which lead to nationwide action by all 49 attorney generals of the United States starting 2007 and onward. In 2008 - NCMC filed for Chapter 11 Bankruptcy protection.</p>			Law Section	<p>no documents responsive to your request. We believe you may be referring to the California Department of Business Oversight's March 16, 2007, Desist and Refrain Order against New Century Mortgage Corporation. The Department of Business Oversight has made that order, and others, publicly available at <a href="http://www.dbo.ca.gov/ENF/List/N/Default.asp">http://www.dbo.ca.gov/ENF/List/N/Default.asp</a>. Please note that the Department of Business Oversight is a separate state agency from the California Attorney General's Office. Please direct your request to the Department of Business Oversight if you wish to review records that are in its custody or control. You can learn more about the Department of Business Oversight at <a href="http://www.dbo.ca.gov">http://www.dbo.ca.gov</a>.</p> <p>Please note that the Department of Justice responds to your request solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of any other state, local, or federal departments or agencies or other entities.</p>
PRA-2018-01307	Cushman	Richard	7/2/2018	<p>I am looking for the court records for a one Diana Amaro. San Bernardino County, Chino California is where the accident occurred. Her lawyer keeps dragging on the case and will not settle my claim. I am only trying to find the results of her criminal court case. To move on with my claim.</p> <p>Her lawyer keeps delaying the case as to prevent me from collecting from my claim. This accident happened in October of 2017. It is now July of 2018 and this case has still not been resolved. I feel as if I'm getting the runaround from her insurance company and her lawyer.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. Since you are seeking records filed with a court, you may wish to direct your request to their office.</p>
PRA-2018-01310	Combs (Assault Weapon Registration)	Brandon	7/2/2018	<p>BACKGROUND: In 2016, Senate Bill 880 and Assembly Bill 1135 expanded the definition of "assault weapons" and created new statutory mandates for gun owners and the California Department of Justice (DOJ) alike. Penal Code section 30900(b)(1) requires gun owners who possess subject weapons to "register the firearm before July 1, 2018, ....with the [DOJ]." Penal Code section 30900(b)(2) requires that DOJ create "a public-facing application" so that gun owners' "assault weapon" registrations can "be submitted electronically via the Internet." Assembly Bill 103 (2017) established June 30, 2018, as the deadline for "assault weapon" registration. After that date, the registration period was closed. Penal Code section 30900(b)(4) states, in pertinent part, that "The department may charge a fee in an amount of up to fifteen dollars (\$15) per person but not to exceed the reasonable processing costs of the department." Failure to comply with California "assault weapon" registration laws can result in severe and serious consequences; for example, the loss and destruction of personal property firearms, and serious criminal liability. See generally Penal Code section 30600, et seq.</p> <p>RECORDS REQUESTED:</p> <p>Please provide me with a copy of the following information and records in existence as of the date of this request:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. That show, or would allow me and the public to determine, the costs and contracts to establish and maintain the "Assault Weapon" registration system required under 30900(b).</li> <li>2. That show, or would allow me and the public to determine, the amount of all fees collected from users of the "Assault Weapon" registration system required under 30900(b).</li> </ol>		Completed	Robert Wilson	<p>Here are the responses to your requested information:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The cost of establishing and maintaining the Assault Weapon registration system was approximately \$113,770. However, the costs to maintain the system are ongoing. Please note that this does not include staffing costs. Enclosed is the contract that was issued for the Assault Weapon registration system, along with supporting documentation. Please also note that the contract encompassed more than the establishment and maintenance of the Assault Weapon registration system that you reference. The "Unanticipated Tasks Work Authorization" details the portion of the contract regarding the Assault Weapon registration system.</li> <li>2. \$119,970 have been collected in fees from users of the Assault Weapon</li> </ol>

				<p>3. That show, or would allow me and the public to determine, the cost to review and make a determination on an "Assault Weapon" registration application under 30900(b).</p> <p>4. Pertaining to the DOJ's California Firearms Application Reporting System (CFARS) and "Assault Weapon" registration system analytics, Web log analysis, application analytics, network traffic, Internet traffic, web URL referrer or referring page, exit pages, and similar application information.</p> <p>5. That show, or would allow me and the public to determine, the California Firearms Application Reporting System (CFARS) or "Assault Weapon" registration system analytics, outages, crashes, bugs, reports, problems, web logs, or other such records.</p>				<p>registration system. As a note, you requested the amount of fees collected up to July 2, 2018.</p> <p>However, the Department has provided the amount of fees collected from July 31, 2017 to May 30, 2018 to avoid running duplicative reports and upsetting the workflow of Department staff.</p> <p>3. Inasmuch as we cannot provide you with a precise estimate of the time it takes to review and make a determination on an assault weapon registration application, we are similarly unable to provide you the cost to review and make a determination on an application. Each application varies greatly depending on the circumstances.</p> <p>4-5. The Department does not disclose any system information that might increase the potential for someone to enter the system and manipulate the data. {Gov. Code, § 6254.19; see also Sierra Club v. Superior Court a/Orange County (2013) 57 Cal. 4th 157.) California's complex firearms databases serve an important public safety role in the management of information about firearms and the individuals who possess them. The Department believes that disclosure of information about system operations, analytics, or vulnerabilities could allow a person to enter one or more of these critical firearms databases and use them for improper purposes. The Department further believes that the public's interest in disclosure of this information is outweighed by the public interest in preserving its confidentiality. (Gov. Code, § 6255.) Accordingly, the Department declines to provide any documents in response to this request.</p> <p>As a preliminary matter, we note that under the Public Records Act government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public, unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) Section 6254, subdivision (k), incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law.</p>
PRA-2018-01311	Rivas	Rebecca	7/2/2018	Auction trustee's sale results - [REDACTED]. A.P.N.# 626-170-028-6, T.S # 17-49570. Trying to find out new owner's information because I'm renting this house and lease ends in October.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may</p>



							wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides.
PRA-2018-01331	Luther	Ryan	7/2/2018	Any police reports or other publicly available records involving Andrew Grant, born [REDACTED] I make the above request under the California Public Records Act (California Government Code §§ 6250 through 6276.48).		Completed	Erin Nickless <p>Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at:  <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>



PRA-2018-01335		Alexis	7/2/2018	<p>Case was back in 1994. Oceanside, California. The arrest took place on Del Mar military housing. Part of Camp Pendleton military base. Forceful Rape, Child molestation. The criminals name is Rickey A. White. I am looking for any and all documents that you are able to release to me please. I was a victim of his heinous acts. My name is [REDACTED], I was 11 years old at the time when he molested/raped me. I just would like to read over the whole case from the time he was picked up from next door to the time he was released. This is very important for me and will help my mind to settle after all these years.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. Since you are seeking court records, you may wish to direct your request to the court in which the case was tried.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>. If you are seeking information regarding victim rights and services, you can contact our Victims' Services unit. More information about Marsy's Law for victims can be found on our website at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/victimservices/content/bill_of_rights">https://oag.ca.gov/victimservices/content/bill_of_rights</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01337	(Combs, Brandon)	FPC Policy	7/2/2018	<p>We attempted to submit this request using your online Web form at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/contact/publicrecords_form">https://oag.ca.gov/contact/publicrecords_form</a>, but encountered an error. (Please see attached image.) Thus, we submit the request to you via e-mail. To assist in clarifying this request and with the hope of avoiding unnecessary delays, I provide the following factual background followed by a description of the records I want to access. BACKGROUND: In 2016, Senate Bill 880 and Assembly Bill 1135 expanded the definition of "assault weapons" and created new statutory mandates for gun owners and the California Department of Justice (DOJ) alike. Penal Code section 30900(b)(1) requires gun owners who possess subject weapons to "register the firearm before July 1, 2018, .... with the [DOJ]." Penal Code section 30900(b)(2) requires that DOJ create "a public-facing application" so that gun owners' "assault weapon" registrations can "be submitted electronically via the Internet." Assembly Bill 103 (2017) established June 30, 2018, as the deadline for "assault weapon" registration. After that date, the registration period was closed. Failure to comply with California "assault weapon" registration laws can result in severe and serious consequences; for example, the loss and destruction of personal property firearms, and serious criminal liability. See generally Penal Code section 30600, et seq.</p> <p>RECORDS REQUESTED: Please provide us with a copy of the following information and records in existence as of the date of this request:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The number of applications for firearm registration as an "Assault Weapon" under Penal Code section 30900(b) that were submitted from July 31, 2017, to the present. In lieu of documents I will accept your calculation of the number of applications as a full response to this request.</li> <li>2. The number of applications for firearm registration as an "Assault Weapon" under Penal Code section 30900(b) that have been approved from July 31, 2017, to the present. In lieu of documents I will accept your calculation of the number of applications that have been approved as a full response to this request.</li> </ol>		Completed	Robert Wilson	<p>The most recent assault weapon registration period closed on June 30, 2018. As such, there a number of applications still being processed. An application that is being processed is not considered "registered." An assault weapon would only be registered if the applicant meets all the firearm and background requirements and is approved by the Department. Here are the responses to your requested information, as of July 3, 2018:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. 68,848 applications to register bullet button assault weapons were submitted for registration in California Firearms Application Reporting System (CFARS).</li> <li>2. 15,386 applications were approved in CFARS.</li> <li>3. 1,360 applications were rejected in CFARS.</li> <li>4. 51,228 applications are still being processed by the Department.</li> <li>5. We cannot provide you with an estimate on the time it takes to review and make a determination on an assault weapon registration application because it varies</li> </ol>

				<p>3. The number of applications for firearm registration as an "Assault Weapon" under Penal Code section 30900(b) that have been denied/rejected from July 31, 2017, to the present. In lieu of documents I will accept your calculation of the number of applications that have been denied/rejected as a full response to this request.</p> <p>4. The number of applications for firearm registration as an "Assault Weapon" under Penal Code section 30900(b) submitted from July 31, 2017, to the present that are still being processed. In lieu of documents I will accept your calculation of the number of applications still being processed as a full response to this request.</p> <p>5. The average time it takes to review and make a determination on an "Assault Weapon" registration application under Penal Code section 30900(b) submitted from July 31, 2017, to the present. In lieu of documents I will accept a list of all applications including their respective dates of submission and DOJ's determination of acceptance or denial as a full response to this request.</p> <p>6. From January 1, 2017, to the present, a copy of all daily, weekly, monthly, or other reports about "Assault Weapon" registrations and applications under Penal Code section 30900(b).</p>			greatly depending on the circumstances. 6. Please find enclosed the information you seek.
PRA-2018-01339	Sedillo	Andrew	7/2/2018	<p>1) Any and all documentation chronicling a kidnapping of "James Dean" on or about February 14, 1984 in San Francisco, California.</p> <p>2) Any and all micro-fiche, database records, and newspaper articles in regards to the kidnapping of James Dean on or about February 14, 1984.</p> <p>3) Any and all documentation, data base records and newspaper articles in regards to the attempted drowning of James Dean on or about 1986-1987 in San Francisco, California.</p> <p>4) Any and all documentation, notices, and/or reports made by any individual(s) about their child, "James Dean," being a "missing person" in 1984.</p> <p>5) Any and all documentation, notices and or reports, photographs of Edwin Pineda cross reference to Hugo Sandoval.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.</p> <p>Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p> <p>If you can provide more information such as a case name, case number, or additional identifying information, we might be able to conduct a more directed search of the records that are in our custody and control</p>
PRA-2018-01343	Hopp (Opinion No. 17-701)	Richard	7/2/2018	<p>Under the California Public Records Act, I am writing to request a copy of the following writings, documents, and records which I understand to be in the possession, control, and knowledge of this jurisdiction:</p> <p>1. Any and all documents, writings, recordings or combination thereof evidencing, identifying and in regards to the request for a California Attorney General Opinion No. 17-701, Question: What are the proper uses of civil enforcement penalty funds collected under the Unfair Competition Law?</p> <p>2. Any and all documents, writings, recordings or combination thereof evidencing, identifying and in regards to legal enforcement under the Unfair Competition Law towards pawnbrokers and secondhand dealers.</p> <p>Provide response and responsive documents, records, and writings electronically pursuant to Cal. Gov't Code, § 6253.9 to [REDACTED]. I ask that you notify me of any duplication cost so that I may decide which records I want to be copied.</p>	Completed	Stephanie Grimes	<p>Responsive documents are attached.</p> <p>July 11, 2018 Duplication Fee request: The Department of Justice is authorized to charge the direct cost of duplication for providing copies of records in response to public records requests. (Gov. Code § 6253 subd. (b).) The cost for making a photocopy of a paper record is \$0.10 per page. An additional charge is due to pay the postage fee (regular U.S. ground mail) for mailing the documents.</p>

				<p>Forward any response in writing to Richard Hopp, [REDACTED]. All rights and remedies are hereby reserved without prejudice. Thank you for your attention in this matter.</p>				<p>Your request for records has generated 63 pages of discloseable records resulting in a duplication fee of \$6.30. Discloseable records will be transmitted electronically per your request. The total cost in this instance is \$6.30.</p> <p>Please make the check payable to the California Department of Justice and send your check to: California Department of Justice Public Records Coordinator 300 I Street, Room 1710-6 Sacramento, CA 95814</p> <p>Upon receipt of your check in the above-stated amount, we will promptly forward to you the discloseable records responsive to your request. If we do not receive your payment within 20 days of this letter, we will consider the request for records withdrawn and the matter closed.</p>
PRA-2018-01390	Risher	Michael	7/2/2018	<p>I am writing on behalf of the Center for Genetics and Society to ask about the California Department of Justice's implementation of California's DNA collection program. As you know, this program authorizes California law-enforcement agencies to take DNA samples from felony arrestees and to send those samples to the state DNA laboratory for analysis and inclusion in the CODIS database. It also requires those agencies to inform the state DNA laboratory within two years of whether a person whose sample they have submitted remains a suspect in a criminal investigation; samples taken from people who are no longer suspects must be expunged unless there is a separate reason to retain them. See Penal Code § 297(c)(2).</p> <p>We are concerned that state and local agencies may not be complying with Penal Code§ 297(c)(2) and we ask you to take whatever steps are needed to bring these agencies into immediate compliance, and also that you inform us of this. In addition, we are requesting records containing the following information under the California Public Records Act:</p> <p>1. The total number of known-offender samples currently in California's DNA database. 2. The number of those samples taken as a result of a person's being arrested for a felony defense under Penal Code § 296(a)(2). 3. The number of those samples taken from arrestees who were not ultimately convicted of any felony offense. 4. The total number of DNA samples/profiles removed/expunged from the database under the provisions of Penal Code§ 299. 5. The total number of DNA samples/profiles removed/expunged from the database by means of the California Department of Justice's Streamlined DNA Expungement process, (form DLE 244, available at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/files/agweb/pdfs/bfs/expungementapp.pdf">https://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/files/agweb/pdfs/bfs/expungementapp.pdf</a>). 6. The total number of DNA samples/profiles removed/expunged from the database under the provisions of Penal Code § 297(c)(2). 7. Any forms, policies, or procedures relating to Penal Code § 297(c)(2) expungement, including those explaining how law enforcement agencies inform the Department that a person whose DNA sample they have submitted is no longer a suspect. 8. The number of law-enforcement agencies that have informed the Department that a person whose DNA sample they have submitted is no longer a suspect since January 1, 2017. 9. The identity of each law-enforcement agency that has informed the Department that a person whose DNA sample they have submitted is no longer a suspect since January 1, 2017. 10. Any plans your department or any other state or local entity has to change your procedures or practices for complying with Penal Code § 297(c)(2). This request applies to all documents in your agency's possession, including emails, video and audiotapes, and other electronic records. 2 It also includes documents that were created by a member of another government agency or a member of the public. 3 If specific portions of any documents are exempt from disclosure, please provide the non-exempt portions. If only a part of a document is responsive to this request, please nevertheless provide the entire document.</p>	Law Offices of Michael T. Risher	Completed	Shannon Patterson	<p>August 9, 2018 response: The California Department of Justice (DOJ) is in receipt of your July 2, 2018, letter and Public Records Act request in which you write "on behalf of the Center for Genetics and Society to ask about the California Department of Justice's implementation of California's DNA collection program." The letter asserts that California's DNA collection program "also requires those agencies to inform the state DNA laboratory within two years of whether a person whose sample they have submitted remains a suspect in a criminal investigation; samples taken from people who are no longer suspects must be expunged unless there is a separate reason to retain them. See Cal. Penal Code§ 297(c)(2)." The letter sets forth a demand that: "If the DNA Lab is failing to expunge samples after being informed that the arrestees who provided them are no longer suspects, it should immediately expunge these samples and profiles." (Letter page 1, emphasis added.)</p> <p>As a threshold matter, please be advised that the introductory narrative and concomitant request is built upon an apparent misunderstanding of Penal Code section 295 et seq. (the DNA Act), and the operation of the state's DNA database program pursuant to that Act. The letter appears to incorrectly fuse the collection of DNA database samples at booking from adult felony offenders that occurs pursuant to state law (e.g., Cal.Pen.Code,§ 296 (a)(2)), with the procedures for expunging DNA profiles from suspect samples-samples which are not collected pursuant to the state's DNA Act. The DNA profiles from</p>

								<p>suspect samples are collected apart from the DNA Act by local law enforcement agencies pursuant to a warrant or other legal means and can be temporarily uploaded for searching in the state or national database by qualified local laboratories. (Cal. Pen. Code, § 297(c)). The state and federal law requirements for the suspect index and the arrestee index are discrete and cannot be mixed and matched in the manner contemplated by the letter.</p> <p>Because there is no functional overlap between the suspect file and the arrestee index, DOJ cannot otherwise respond to the questions or letter demands which incorrectly merge these two entities. To the extent the PRA seeks information that can be produced, DOJ is providing the following information that corresponds with your numbered requests:</p> <p>1. The total number of known offender samples currently in California's DNA database.</p> <p>The total number of offender profiles (arrestees plus convicted offenders) currently in the California DNA database and searchable at CODIS as of July 31, 2018 is: 2,779,447.</p> <p>2. The number of those samples taken as a result of a person's being arrested for a felony defense under Penal Code § 296(a)(2).</p> <p>The DOJ does not maintain records that are easily identifiable or extractable that can accurately provide "the number of those samples taken as result of a person's being arrested for a felony offense under Penal Code §296(a)(2)." The contents of the arrestee index are fluid. The Department is continually converting booking samples from adult felony arrestees to convicted offender status based on an ongoing automated review of criminal history records. Therefore, a count of the current number of arrestee samples in the searchable database does not answer the question of how many total samples in the database were taken at arrest. That is not an existing DOJ record. In addition, not all DNA samples taken at arrest are of sufficient quality to be included in the searchable database of DNA profiles.</p> <p>3. The number of those samples taken from arrestees who were not ultimately convicted of any felony offense.</p> <p>The DOJ does not maintain records that are easily identifiable or extractable that can accurately state the "number of those samples taken from arrestees who were not ultimately convicted of any felony offense." No record exists in the form requested and</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

							<p>may not exist at all. The DOJ Laboratory is not informed on a case by case basis whether individual arrestees who have provided DNA database samples are "ultimately convicted" as that determination is made at a local level. Moreover, the total number of arrestee samples currently in the database necessarily will be overestimated for numerous reasons including, .but not limited to the following: duplicate samples from an arrestee may remain in the arrestee index if an offender already had provided a sample as a convicted offender; the general software for converting samples in the arrestee index to the convicted offender index does not identify substantial numbers of arrestees such as adult felony arrestees who are convicted of misdemeanors but who nonetheless qualify for the database based on a prior felony conviction.</p> <p>4. The total number of DNA samples/profiles removed/expunged from the database under the provisions of Penal Code§ 299. The following reflects data responsive to questions 4 (total number of DNA samples/profiles removed/expunged from the database under section 299) and 5 (total number of DNA samples/profiles removed/expunged from the database by means of a streamlined expungement process (i.e. requests made directly to DOJ). The response is subdivided and may be added up.</p> <p>I. Streamlined Requests (requests made directly to DOJ) for expungement of samples collected pursuant to the DNA Act</p> <p>A. Total number of requests received: 1377</p> <p>1. Response to Streamlined Requests</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Samples removed/expunged: 1155 (84%)</li> <li>-Requestor informed that DOJ does not have a DNA sample of record: 50 (4%)</li> <li>-Requests denied because individual has a qualifying offense of record: 67,(5%)</li> <li>-Response pending court/legal decision (e.g. Proposition 47 cases), or in process: 105 (7%)</li> </ul> <p>II. Court Petitions</p> <p>A. Total number of requests received: 133</p> <p>1. Response to Court Petitions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Samples removed/expunged: 127 (96%)</li> <li>-Requests denied (petitioner's paperwork inconsistent with criminal history records and court notified/criminal history shows individual has qualifying offense of record): 6 (4%)</li> </ul> <p>5. The total number of DNA samples/profiles removed/expunged from the database by means of the California Department of Justice's Streamlined DNA Expungement process, (form DLE 244, available at: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/files/agweb/pdfs/bfs/expungement_app.pdf">https://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/files/agweb/pdfs/bfs/expungement_app.pdf</a>. Same response</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

						<p>as enumerated four.</p> <p>6. The total number of DNA samples/profiles removed/expunged from the database under the provisions of Penal Code § 297(c)(2).</p> <p>The DOJ does not have or maintain a record responsive to the request for the "total number of DNA samples/profiles removed/expunged from the database under the provisions of Penal Code § 297(c)(2)," and such records that may exist are not readily retrievable or in a form that is searchable by DOJ. Moreover, the records may not exist at all, or may require an extensive and nearly limitless search by local agencies of all criminal files in their possession. Both the initial input and removal of suspect profiles from the database is accomplished by Local DNA Index System (LDJS) laboratories pursuant to suspect file procedures administered by the state. The system for the processing and retention of suspect file samples which occurs solely at the local level, is distinct from mandatory arrestee booking and convicted offender DNA identification samples which are physically processed and retained at the state level.</p> <p>Unlike mandatory arrestee and convicted offenders samples collected pursuant to the state's DNA Act, suspect reference samples (such as samples from existing cases collected pursuant to a warrant) are handled as known reference samples and they are delivered under chain of custody to one of the 24 DNA casework laboratories operated by state and local agencies in California that comprise the Local DNA Index System. In accordance with DOJ policy (see California State DNA Index System (SDIS) Operational Procedure document produced in response to request number 7), the submitting local law enforcement officer provides a document to the LDIS administrator identifying the officer, the person from whom the sample was collected, and stating that the person is a suspect in an active investigation. The receiving laboratory conducts the DNA forensic identification analysis of the suspect's reference sample and imports it into the LDIS as either a "Legal" or a "Suspect, Known" specimen category, residing in the Legal or Suspect Known indexes, respectively. Both categories are uploaded to SDIS for searching, and the Legal specimen category is uploaded to NDIS for searching if the laboratory meets NDIS criteria for that search.</p> <p>Pursuant to state law and DOJ policy, when a period of two years has elapsed following the receipt of a suspect's sample by an</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

							<p>LDIS laboratory and there has been no prior communication from the investigating agency concerning the suspect status of the individual, the LOIS laboratory is responsible for initiating removal of the sample profile from the searchable index. That action automatically removes the searchable record from SDIS as registered in the next upload and in like fashion an upload from SDIS to NDIS will delete it at that level. It is in this computerized manner that LOIS labs communicate additions and deletions to SDIS. DOJ as the SDIS administrator similarly communicates the additions and deletions to NDIS in an automated manner. Within the automated removal system, sample profiles are not tagged, tracked, or retrievable. When a profile is deleted from any index within the State DNA index system, both the profile and any index information associated with it is unrecoverable. Thus the deletion of a suspect profile from the suspect known index is not searchable, and information about these profiles cannot be provided from an automated records search. Records of the removal of suspect profiles from the searchable database, if any, therefore, would reside with the LOIS administrator or local law enforcement agencies in their own case records.</p> <p>Note additionally that in the database there is likewise no overlap between the suspect index and the arrestee or convicted offender indices in terms of criminal history records, and sample processing and retention. For example, the upload of a suspect's DNA profile to the Legal or Suspect Known indices at SDIS causes no change in the individual's criminal history record; this is in contrast to the system of DNA flags employed to track DNA collection information associated with arrestees and convicted offenders under Penal Code section 296. Also, there is no conversion in CODIS of the specimen categories Legal or Suspect Known to either Arrestee or Convicted Offender caused by a subsequent felony arrest or conviction of the suspect. The indices are separate. Although DOJ does not maintain records that reflect total suspect sample profile deletions by LDIS labs statewide, DOJ can provide the information it received from the seven DOJ Bureau of Forensic Services LOIS laboratories which perform DNA evidence testing for 46 of California's 58 counties. The LDIS laboratories contributing responsive information are BFS laboratories located in the Central Valley, Fresno, Redding, Riverside, Sacramento, Santa Barbara, and Richmond. All of these BFS</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

							<p>LDIS laboratories are qualified to upload to the State and National DNA Index Systems, DNA case evidence samples from forensic unknowns (crime scene samples) collected by local law enforcement agencies and submitted to those laboratories for testing. These seven BFS LDIS laboratories also are properly qualified under state law to upload to the State DNA Index system, a DNA profile from a sample that a local law enforcement agency has collected by lawful means (e.g. a warrant) from a suspect in a criminal investigation. As required, the "suspect known" samples are kept in a discrete index within the State DNA Index system separate from the DNA database samples mandated by the state's DNA Act to be collected from convicted felony offenders and adult felony arrestees at booking (e.g. Cal. Pen; Code, §§ 296,296.1).</p> <p>Excluding Richmond, the six BFS LOIS laboratories report that they have uploaded a collective total of 457 DNA suspect known profiles to a suspect index for comparison to forensic unknowns. DOI records from each of these BFS LOIS laboratories also show that of the 457 suspect DNA profiles uploaded since 2006, 410 have been removed, and 47 remain as currently compliant within a two-year retention period for suspect file samples.</p> <p>The Richmond data on suspect file entries and expungements, if any, is not included in the above totals because any information prior to 2015 does not exist or was kept only in now deleted records or is not readily retrievable or trackable. Since 2015, however, Richmond records show that its LOIS lab has had only nine suspect profiles uploaded and that of those nine, six of those suspect entries have been deleted. Richmond currently has only three suspect file entries and all have been in the suspect file fewer than two years.</p> <p>The DOJ records do not show that the suspect file expungements occurred after contact by a local law enforcement agency.</p> <p>7. Any forms, policies, or procedures relating to Penal Code§ 297(c)(2) expungement, including those explaining how law enforcement agencies inform the Department that a person whose DNA sample they have submitted is no longer a suspect.</p> <p>In response to the request for "forms, policies, or procedures related to Penal Code § 297(c)(2) expungement. . . , " DOJ has attached the several documents responsive to the removal of suspect file profiles that it has located, and also included some materials on expungements in</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---



							<p>general. These documents include a document on the DOJ website under an FAQ category entitled, "Suspect Samples." It can be found at: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/bfs/prop69/fags#suspect">https://oag.ca.gov/bfs/prop69/fags#suspect</a>. This FAQ sets forth the following question and its answer:</p> <p>Suspect samples. "As an investigator, can I collect a DNA sample from someone I consider a suspect in a crime, but whom I have not yet arrested?"</p> <p>No, unless it is legally obtained without regard to Proposition 69. Proposition 69 does not authorize collection of DNA samples from suspects in criminal investigations. Law enforcement agencies may, however, submit to their primary lab services provider for DNA testing and entry into the State Database a known sample of a suspect's blood, saliva, or other biological substance that has been obtained without regard to Proposition 69 (e.g. by consent or warrant.) (Cal. Pen. Code, § 297 (b)(l).)<sup>1</sup></p> <p>Once a suspect sample has been accepted for inclusion in the State's DNA Data Bank Program, the submitting agency must notify the Department of Justice within two years whether the person remains a suspect in that particular investigation."</p> <p>DOJ's Proposition 69 website accessible at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/bfs/prop69">https://oag.ca.gov/bfs/prop69</a> also contains documents related to expungement procedures, expungement posters, and expungement forms and can be accessed under such titles including "Remove Your DNA Sample from the DNA Database," "DNA FAQs," "DNA FORMS &amp; INSTRUCTIONS," "LAW ENFORCEMENT INFO (<a href="https://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/files/agweb/pdfs/bfs/69IB.pdf">https://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/files/agweb/pdfs/bfs/69IB.pdf</a>).</p> <p>Although not included in the document production given its length, DOJ also refers you to documents located on the FBI website entitled National DNA Index System (NDIS) Operational Procedures Manual which can be accessed at <a href="https://www.fbi.gov/file-repository/ndis-operational-procedures-manual.pdf/view">https://www.fbi.gov/file-repository/ndis-operational-procedures-manual.pdf/view</a>. The differentiation between suspect sample profiles and samples that are collected pursuant to the DNA database law appears in the National DNA Index System (NDIS) Operational Procedures Manual at section 3.1.1.2 which provides in part as follows: "For purposes of NDIS eligibility, an item taken directly from a suspect shall generally not be</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

							<p>considered a forensic sample but shall be considered as a suspect or deduced suspect sample .... However, if a State has a DNA database collection law that authorizes the inclusion of suspect DNA records in its State DNA database, the State can request approval to upload these suspect DNA records to the Legal Index at NDIS."</p> <p>8. The number of law-enforcement agencies that have informed the Department that a person whose DNA sample they have submitted is no longer a suspect since January 1, 2017.</p> <p>The DOJ does not have or maintain a record responsive to the request for "the number of law enforcement agencies that have informed the Department that a person whose DNA sample they have submitted is no longer a suspect since January 1, 2017."</p> <p>The explanation and further response to this question is contained within the responses to question 6, above.</p> <p>9. The identity of each law-enforcement agency that has informed the Department that a person whose DNA sample they have submitted is no longer a suspect since January 1, 2017.</p> <p>The DOJ does not have or maintain a record responsive to the request for "the identity of each law enforcement agency that has informed the Department that a person whose DNA sample they have submitted is no longer a suspect since January 1, 2017." The explanation and further response to this question is contained within the responses to question 6, above.</p> <p>10. Any plans your department or any other state or local entity has to change your procedures or practices for complying with Penal Code§ 297(c)(2).</p> <p>In response to the request for "[a]ny plans your department or any other state or local entity has to change your procedures or practices for complying with Penal Code § 297( c)(2)," DOJ notes that it is constantly evaluating its policies and procedures to improve them if possible within budgetary constraints and other limitations. DOJ is not aware of other state or local entity deliberations.</p> <p>July 26, 2018 extension sent: The Bureau of Forensic Services (BFS) has determined that your July 2, 2018, request includes disclosable public records in possession of this agency. (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (c).) However, due to the broad nature of the request and the vacation schedule of staff, BFS has not yet completed its consultation with its various personnel necessary to</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

							<p>locate and evaluate potentially responsive information. The response is further complicated by the fact that the request appears to mistakenly equate arrestee and suspect samples. Arrestee and suspect samples are very different categories of samples and are kept in separate indices. Please be advised that BFS is diligently conducting its search with all practicable speed for responsive records and data. Thus, BFS now anticipates that it will be able to provide available information to you by August 9, 2018. Please let us know if you have any questions.</p> <p>On July 12, 2018, our office sent an extension letter.</p>
PRA-2018-01403	Cook Jr.	Maurice	7/2/2018	A copy of the "certified copy of the judgment," a "copy of the 'original remittitur,'" and "copy of all records maintained by [our] office that are not exempt from disclosure" in regards to Third Appellate Court Case no. C043960.		Completed	<p>Dawn Stott</p> <p>Fees Request sent July 12, 2018: We have located the requested file. The releasable substantive documents contained in the case file are listed below in paragraph five. We cannot, however provide you with copies of the reporter s transcripts which are also contained in the case file because these transcripts are exempt from disclosure under the PRA. Specifically, California Government Code section 69954, subdivision (d) provides as follows: (d) Any court, party, or person who has purchased a transcript may without paying a further fee to the reporter, reproduce a copy or portion thereof as an ex h bit pursuant to court order or rule, or for internal use, but shall not otherwise provide or sell a copy or copies to any other person or party. Thus, a person who has not purchased the reporter's transcript, but who wishes to obtain a copy of the reporter's transcript for a particular court proceeding must purchase it directly from the court reporting agency that transcribed the proceeding. Public agencies in possession of such transcripts are proh bited from providing a copy of the transcript to a requesting party. This statutory directive is incorporated into the PRA by California Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k). Accordingly, this office cannot provide you with copies of the reporter's transcripts of the oral court proceedings contained within these files. The Attorney General is, however, able to provide you with the following listed documents: 1. The Clerk's Transcript consisting of three volumes (808 pages) 2. The Clerk's Supplemental Transcript consisting of one volume (27 pages) 3. Appellant's Opening Brief (26 pages) 4. Respondent's Brief (26 pages) 5. Appellant's Reply Brief (8 pages)</p>

							<p>6. Unpublished Decision of the California Court of Appeal (8 pages)</p> <p>7. Remittitur (1 page)</p> <p>904 Total Pages</p> <p>The number of pages making up each document is provided with the document title because there is a charge for photocopying and mailing these documents to you. The documents listed total 904 single sided pages. Pursuant to Government Code section 6253, subdivision (b), our office charges \$0.10 per page for duplication costs of public records. Accordingly, the cost of photocopying the requested records is \$90.40. An additional charge of \$12.00 is also due in order to pay the postage fee (regular U.S. ground mail) for mailing the documents. Thus, the total cost for copying and mailing the documents you have requested is \$102.40 If you wish to receive copies of the aforementioned records, please remit a check in the appropriate amount made payable to the "California Department of Justice." You may mail or deliver the check to the following address:</p> <p>California Department of Justice Public Records Ombudsman 1300 I Street Sacramento, CA 95814</p> <p>Upon receipt of your check in the appropriate amount we will promptly mail to you copies of the disclosable records responsive to your request.</p>
PRA-2018-01312	Bagaco	John	7/3/2018	I would like any criminal and financial documents specific to my assets.		Completed	<p>Maria E. Silva</p> <p>Absent a request for representation, the California Department to Justice/Attorney General's Office (CDOJ) responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You may be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the CDOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly</p>

								authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> . We hope the information we provided is of assistance.
PRA-2018-01315	Chen (Gatehouse Media)	Daphne	7/3/2018	Hi there, my name is Daphne. I am a reporter with Gatehouse media. We're trying to get records of all active warrants in the State of California. I'm not sure if you guys have a central system or not, so if someone would give me a call, that would be great. My cell phone number is [REDACTED].		Completed		Request was withdrawn. Will be resubmitted (see Chen, Daphne 07.10.18 request)
PRA-2018-01316	Canaday	Jenifer	7/3/2018	<p>Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. §552, et. seq., we request access to and copies of any documents relating to asbestos-containing materials and asbestos abatement records for the following locations: BARSTOW COMMUNITY COLLEGE 2700 BARSTOW ROAD BARSTOW, CALIFORNIA 92311</p> <p>We would like copies of any documents, reports, writings, memos, contracts or any other written or photographic material related to asbestos-containing materials, including but not limited to inventories of asbestos-containing materials, contracts for abatement, any and all reports, writings, documents or photographs relating to abatement and any and all reports, writings, documents or photographs relating to any inspection of asbestos-containing materials during any and all time periods, specifically encompassing these locations.</p> <p>We agree to pay duplication fees for the processing of this request. If this request is denied in whole or in part, please justify all deletions by reference to specific exemptions of the act. Also, please release all segregated portions of otherwise exempt material. We reserve the right to appeal your decision to withhold any information.</p>		Completed	Maria E. Silva	<p>Absent a request for representation, the California Department of Justice/Office of the Attorney General (California DOJ) responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the California DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, check with the local police department where the incident took place.</p> <p>We hope this information has been of assistance.</p>
PRA-2018-01319	Larios	Cesar	7/3/2018	<p>I am searching public records to identify if my partner remains married. A certificate of divorce if it exists, or a wedding certificate.</p> <p>Her name is Elizabeth Martinez-Lucio she was married to Gerardo Lucio in the State of California. Her birthday I believe is [REDACTED]. She now resides in Stockton California, where she has a PG&amp;E bill at [REDACTED].</p> <p>You can contact me at this address as that is where I reside myself.</p>		Completed	Maria E. Silva	<p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the California Department of Justice/Attorney General's Office. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the</p>

								OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA-2018-01411	Reyes	Braulio	7/3/2018	I am writing to see if you can sent me information about the initiative #17-0046 California Changes to Three Str kes sentencing Law and Prison Savings (2018), and any other new law information, please.		Completed	Anabel Renteria	A copy of Initiative 17-0046, "The People's Fair Sentencing & Public Safety Act of 2018" is enclosed. This completes our response to your request.
PRA-2018-01322	Ben Ayed	Ahmed	7/5/2018	I was contacted by phone and let know that a civil judgement was granted against me. Would you please be able to check if I have any judgement against me so I can check and settle them? Sometimes "Ben" gets used as my middle.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to a court judgment, you may wish to direct your request to the office of the court which issued the judgment.
PRA-2018-01324	Dorschner	Daryl	7/5/2018	I would like any and all documentation proceedings court records pertaining to Daryl J Dorschner, Timothy Gagne, Daniel Lee, Rockne Garcia. Basically, I am looking for my domestic partnership and any and all paperwork regarding the names above.		Completed	Maria E. Silva	Absent a request for representation, the California Department of Justice/Office of the Attorney General (California DOJ) responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency, we suggest you contact the court in which the Domestic

							Partnership was filed. We hope this information has been of assistance.
PRA-2018-01325	Kimmerlein	Anne	7/5/2018	I am seeking information on any criminal records for Mr. Shervin Shadab of Los Angeles, CA. Additionally, I would like to know if he has ever started any evictions or had any complaints brought against him as a landlord.		Completed	Maria E. Silva  We have searched our legal indices and logical places and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Our research into the matter shows that the Ellis Act relates to landlord-tenant matters. Since you are seeking landlord-tenant case records, you may wish to direct your request to the local court where you believe a case may have been filed. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-01326	Scudder	Chay	7/5/2018	I am seeking to obtain the court ordered documents of my trust fund that was a generational trust that I'm named in. As to my belief, the name of the trust is Henry Mosher. My father, Craig Scudder, was also named in this trust. My father lives in Orange County California and as for the trust, I believe it was established in the city of Newport Beach California. Any information about this trust and how I go about obtaining these records would be greatly appreciated.		Completed	Maria E. Silva  Requestor was contacted to obtain more information, she indicated there was no AG involvement. I recommended she contact the local court where the Trust was filed.
PRA-2018-01332	Renfroe	Teresa	7/5/2018	Leonard Roy Nixon he was sentenced to LWOP around 1991 or 2 his DOB is [REDACTED] I'm just trying to get some case information.		Completed	Maria E. Silva  Called requestor to obtain more information after conducting a search in our legal database. She stated she wanted records concerning the case of the individual. I explained we were unable to locate a case. She stated she'd conduct further research and if it pointed to DOJ, she would submit another request.

PRA-2018-01334	Howard	Stacy	7/5/2018	<p>Request submitted July 5, 2018: In researching SR22 filings, I'm requesting a count of the number of SR22s filed in 2017 in California and a list of insurance carriers that issue SR22s in California.</p> <p>Request submitted July 9, 2018: In researching SR22 filings, I'm requesting a count of the number of SR22s filed in 2017 in California and a list of insurance carriers that issue SR22s in California.</p>		Completed	Maria E. Silva	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking Department of Motor Vehicles records, you may wish to direct your request to <a href="https://www.dmv.ca.gov/portal/wcm/connect/7c0018ed-6632-4e7c-8b66-f6d3f2950149/ch27_information_requests.pdf?MOD=AJPERES">https://www.dmv.ca.gov/portal/wcm/connect/7c0018ed-6632-4e7c-8b66-f6d3f2950149/ch27_information_requests.pdf?MOD=AJPERES</a></p> <p>We hope the information we provided is of assistance.</p>
PRA-2018-01336	Rodriguez Juan	Alba	7/5/2018	<p>I have experienced fraud and I am trying to find the person. I bought a car from a private person through Craigslist and he disappeared with the money. The car cannot pass the smog test and this is against the law. He and his mechanic lied to me. I talked to a person from the Small Court and he told me that I first need to contact him but he blocked my phone number and he does not live in the place where the car title indicates. I cannot proceed with my claim without first finding him. The only details I have got are the following ones: MAGLIANO JASON ANTHONY ABAD [REDACTED] Phone number [REDACTED]</p> <p>I would really appreciate if you could help me to find him.</p>		Completed	Maria E. Silva	<p>Called requestor to obtain more information. She wanted us to conduct a CH background check on the individual to obtain the most current address. We explained California is a closed state record and only the individual holding the record can obtain it. She stated she went to the police department to obtain information, but they would not disclose information either. The individual is not accepting her calls and does not live at the address she was provided. We suggested to file a police report.</p>
PRA-2018-01338	Kaess	Nathan	7/5/2018	ADJ3395025, Location Lao-Adj Jolene Neal V. Little Company Of Mary; Application Filed 2/26/03; Dismissal Of Claim 4/14/06		Completed	Maria E. Silva	<p>Called requestor to obtain more information. He clarified case he was seeking records for is a worker's compensation case not with DOJ/AG's office and had submitted the PRA to the incorrect agency.</p>
PRA-2018-01399	Ford	Larry	7/5/2018	If the above initiative-# 17-0046 called The People's Fair Sentencing and Public Safety Act of 2018, has the signatures to qualify for the November 2018 ballot? When is the last day for them to have signatures to be turned in to you?		Completed	Anabel Renteria	<p>Initiative 17-0046, "The People's Fair Sentencing and Public Safety Act of 2018" did not qualify for the November 2018 General Election. Per the Secretary of State's website, the last day to turn in signatures for this initiative is July 16, 2018. This completes our response to your request.</p>
PRA-2018-01410	Johnson	Brent	7/5/2018	<p>I am looking for any filing made on against Floyd Mayweather by Zinni Media of Nigeria. The TMZ report says that a filing was made in California Court. We are preparing a defamation of character suit against Zinni Media and its officers for the false statements that were made about my client Floyd Mayweather, Jr. <a href="http://www.t TMZ.com/2018/07/03/floyd-mayweather-lawsuit-nigeria/">http://www.t TMZ.com/2018/07/03/floyd-mayweather-lawsuit-nigeria/</a></p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes and have been unable to locate any responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request</p>



								for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with a court, you may wish to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed.
PRA-2018-01460	Cox	Kenny	7/5/2018	1) What is the "dead line date" to gather the 500,000.00 signatures" needed to get the initiative on the ballot? 2) Did the initiative get enough signatures to make it on the ballot? 3) What will the Proposition number be if enough signatures were collected for the initiative to be on the ballot?		Completed	Anabel Renteria	Per the Secretary of State's website, the last day to turn in signatures for this initiative was July 3, 2018. Initiative 17-0044, "Reducing Crime and Keeping California Safe Act of 2018" has become eligible for the November 2020 ballot, we will not know what proposition number it will receive until it has officially qualified. This completes our response to your request.
PRA-2018-01340	Johnson	Michael	7/6/2018	Adoption case #: R1A015742. Date of hearing February 21 2001. S. Overstreet. Requesting copy of the adoption transcript showing legal name being Michael Alan Cyrino being changed to Michael Ryan Johnson. Electronic copy is sufficient, does not need to be printed or certified copy. Requesting for purposes of legal name change back to birth name.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice, therefore we must deny your request. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). Please note that our office is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records regarding adoption. Our research into the matter shows that you may wish to contact the adoption agency that was involved, the court in which the adoption proceeding was heard, or the Department of Social Services for the records you are seeking. More information on requesting records from the Department of Social Services can be found at <a href="http://www.cdss.ca.gov/Public-Record-Requests#">http://www.cdss.ca.gov/Public-Record-Requests#</a> .
PRA-2018-01341	Pfeifer	Kristina	7/6/2018	July 6, 2018 request: Records regarding any complaints made by any person from January 2015 to the present regarding, referring to or relating to Adeona Healthcare, Inc. and/or Sovereign Health Rancho San Diego located at 2815 Steele Canyon Rd, El Cajon, CA 92019 including, but not limited to, written or verbal complaints, investigations, interviews, incident reports, results of investigations, communications to anyone regarding inquiries, complaints or investigations by residents or parents of residents including, but not limited to Michael Peterson, Lori Peterson Owen, Jennifer Turner aka Jennifer Harcrow, Morgan Harcrow, Danny Turner and/or Tim Harcrow. This request is part of defense of civil lawsuits filed by Michael Peterson, Lori Peterson Owen, (San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2017-00020173-CU-PO-CTL) and Jennifer Turner aka Jennifer Harcrow, Morgan Harcrow (San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2017-00019167-CU-PO-CTL). Our firm, Daley & Heft represents Adeona Healthcare, Inc. dba Sovereign Health of Rancho San Diego. July 16, 2018 follow up: Can you please confirm there are no records versus you are not producing records because they are protected?		Completed	Erin Nickless	July 16, 2018 response: We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) July 20, 2018 response: the extent that

								<p>your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we can neither confirm or deny if such records exist as they are exempt from disclosure. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Subsequently, we must deny your request.</p>
PRA-2018-01342	Jensen	Rachel	7/6/2018	Checking for any record in California, was contacted by county but they left no information on why they contacted me.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office.</p> <p>In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Providing the actual name or title of the record.</li> <li>-Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record.</li> <li>-Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record.</li> <li>-Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created.</li> <li>-If related to a case, providing the case name or number.</li> <li>-Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record.</li> <li>-Indicating the city in which the record was created.</li> </ul> <p>Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. If you can provide more information regarding the records you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control. Since you mentioned being contacted by a county, you may wish to contact them regarding the records you are seeking.</p>
PRA-2018-01344	Reeves	Tanya	7/6/2018	The most recent "Personnel Organizational Chart" (something that lists hierarchy of managers, supervisors, staff, showing the title or position within the organization with chain of supervision, etc.) for the following state office: Department of Motor Vehicles Industry Service Center 1530 Hilton Head Rd.		Completed	Maria E. Silva	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ.</p>

				<p>El Cajon, CA 92019</p> <p>I would like an organizational chart that has the both the employees' names and positions (job titles), but if the names are exempt from release, then at least just the chart showing the number of staff, titles, and chain of command within the office. If any allocated positions within the chart are currently vacant or unfilled, I would like that indicated if possible. You can email it to: [REDACTED] If a fee is required, just let me know the amount due and I will submit payment.</p>			<p>We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking Department of Motor Vehicles records, you may wish to direct your request to <a href="https://www.dmv.ca.gov/portal/wcm/connect/7c0018ed-6632-4e7c-8b66-f6d3f2950149/ch27_information_requests.pdf?MOD=AJPERES">https://www.dmv.ca.gov/portal/wcm/connect/7c0018ed-6632-4e7c-8b66-f6d3f2950149/ch27_information_requests.pdf?MOD=AJPERES</a></p> <p>We hope the information we provided is of assistance.</p>
PRA-2018-01345	Melendez	Sarah	7/6/2018	<p>Birth certificate</p> <p>Birth city</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01346	Pollard	Cheree	7/6/2018	<p>1980, court case information 1869408333650, Dale Lewis Frank any information available would be appreciated.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Since you are seeking records from a court case, you may wish to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to</p>

								<p>that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at:</p> <p><a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01347	Shea	Liz	7/6/2018	<p>My name is Liz Shea— I'm working with Robert Kenner Films which is producing an investigative documentary series about a killer named Henry Lee Lucas. Henry Lucas confessed to dozens of murders in California in the mid 1980s. In August 1984, Attorney General John Van de Kamp brought Lucas to California from Texas where he was jailed, and in a joint effort with the Texas Rangers, brought Lucas on a cross-state tour of his confessed murder sites. Local authorities closed 15 murders based on his confessions and crime scene tours. I'm hoping to find out whether any materials from this trip, such as audio tapes or videos, were archived by the AG office. If so, I would like to request copies under the public information act. Thank you so much for any help you can provide with this and please let me know if it would be helpful to have more details, such as an exact timeline/route of the tour they took through CA.</p>		Completed	Gisele Rainer	<p>On July 30, 2018, Gisele Rainer responded: DOI responds solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of any other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records.</p> <p>An extension was sent July 13, 2018 by Gisele Rainer - GLS.</p>
PRA-2018-01453	Wu	Annie	7/6/2018	<p>Pursuant to the California Public Records Act (Gov. Code, sec. 6250 et seq.), The Veen Firm makes this public records request for all Division of Occupational Safety and Health (OSHA) reports, correspondence, and information related to investigations occurring at the Tesla factory located at 45500 Fremont Boulevard, Fremont, California 94538 from June 5, 2010 to present date. Son Nguyen, et al v. Tesla Motors, Inc., et al.</p>	The Veen Firm	Completed	Maria E. Silva	<p>Called Ms. Khoury (she submitted request on behalf of Ms. Wu) Friday, July 6th to obtain more information. She withdrew her request because it was misdirected to AG, she intended to send to CA OSHA.</p>
PRA-2018-01348	Houston-Barfield	Taura	7/9/2018	<p>Probation release date</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. The Department of Justice cannot produce records that are responsive to your request. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records from another government</p>

							<p>agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c).</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local probation office which would generate the records you are seeking, or the parole office of the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Information on how to contact them can be found at <a href="https://cdcr.ca.gov/contactus.html">https://cdcr.ca.gov/contactus.html</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01350	Galarneau	Katie	7/9/2018	Christopher Mitchell of Santa Rosa California. I believe there could be a number of possible complaints and possible arrests. He is taking advantage of many women over the span of many years. Sexual, misconduct and torment. Even rape.		Completed	Erin Nickless <p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>. We note that you mention this individual may be committing crimes. If you wish to report a crime in your area, please contact your local law enforcement agency, such as city police department or sheriff's department. Additional information on how to report a crime to our office or another law enforcement agency can be found at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/report-crime">https://oag.ca.gov/report-crime</a>.</p>

PRA-2018-01351	Sillars	Jordan	7/9/2018	I would like the records for the total number of Californians who have registered their bullet button assault weapons using the California Firearms Information Records Reporting System. Please let me know if you need any more information.		Completed	Robert Wilson	The most recent assault weapon registration period closed on June 30, 2018. As such, there are a number of applications still being processed. An application that is being processed is not considered "registered." An assault weapon would only be registered if the applicant meets all the firearm and background requirements and is approved by the Department. Although your request is dated July 9, 2018, the Department has provided the number of registrations through June 30, 2018 to avoid upsetting the workflow of Department staff by running additional reports. As of June 30, 2018, there are 6,213 individuals who have registered assault weapons and 13,519 assault weapons that have been registered.
PRA-2018-01373	Williams (death in custody)	Howard	7/9/2018	I am writing to request copies of Death in Custody Reports received by the Department of Justice for all custodial deaths that occurred in California from January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2017. It is my understanding that you maintain the data from these reports in an electronic format, specifically in an Excel file. A copy of that file will satisfy my request. Indeed, I would prefer to receive the data in Excel format, if that is more convenient for you.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents. Note, the DOJ only receives one form for Death in Custody reporting, which is entered into a database and not retained.
PRA-2018-01420	Mendoza	Isidro	7/9/2018	Our law office is representing Maria Quintero Diaz date of birth [REDACTED] in an immigration matter. Our law office is looking for police reports of any cases where our client Maria Quintero Diaz was the victim. She advised that she was a victim of Sexual Assault and also a victim of domestic violence. If you could please help I would really appreciate it.	Robbins Law - Immigration Law Office	Completed	Maria E. Silva	Called requestor to inform him police reports need to be requested from the police department incident(s) took place.
PRA-2018-01441	Edwards	LaDonna	7/9/2018	I was charged with petty theft in February of 2006. I have no records of the case number but I need to obtain a copy of the case report or conditions of terms and sentencing in order to further my education. I do not remember many details about the dates but I went to court in Rancho Cucamonga, CA in San Bernardino County. Please let me know if I need to provide anything else in order to obtain this information.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. You may wish to contact the court in which the case records you seek were filed.
PRA-2018-01452	Gardner	Jeremy	7/9/2018	I am hereby forwarding this request in order to obtain information I believe your Department to be in possession of under California's Public Records Act (Gov. Code section 6250) regarding an incident that occurred in the year of 2014 involving the fatal shooting of an armed citizen by a local law enforcement deputy. It's my understanding that such incidents are required for your purview in annual report by all local government agencies within the state, therefore I ask that you acquire all sustainable documents concerning Tuolumne County Sheriff's Department's involvement as according to incident report 1411220067 in having taken place in the City of Sonora CA. at or around 22:00 hours on the Date of 11/22/2014 with the disposition of a medical call in assistance with		Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and

				Sonora Police Department. Please send any to all information under your control pertaining this particular matter to the address provided at the bottom of the page for my confidential collect.				<p>logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.</p> <p>Police report records and 9-11 dispatch records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) You may wish to contact Tuolumne County Sheriff's Department or the City of Sonora Police Department in regard to those records.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking investigative files, we must decline your request. Investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)</p>
PRA-2018-01679	Williams	Howard	7/9/2018	Death in Custody Reports received by the Department of Justice for all custodial deaths that occurred in California from January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2017.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p> <p>The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents. Note, the DOJ only receives one form for Death in Custody reporting, which is entered into a database and not retained.</p>
PRA-2018-01360	Chen (Gatehouse Media)	Daphne (Arrest/Bench Warrants)	7/10/2018	<p>I am a journalist in Florida and I am seeking a statewide database of all open warrants from jurisdictions in California.</p> <p>Specifically, I'd like to request a spreadsheet of outstanding arrest warrants and bench warrants statewide where each line equals one warrant, including the following fields: case number, name of suspect, DOB of suspect, date of warrant, offense description, offense date, and the agency that sought the warrant.</p> <p>If any part of my request is deemed exempt from public record, please redact the exempt information and send me the non-exempt information.</p> <p>I would appreciate you sending this data in a spreadsheet or CSV format.</p> <p>August 2, 2018 follow up: I am just checking in on this because tomorrow is Aug. 3. Do you need any other information from me?</p>		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>On July 20, 2018 an extension letter was sent by Jaimie Tackett - CJIS.</p> <p>On August 6, 2018, Jaimie Tackett responded: The California Department of Justice (DOJ) maintains the Wanted Persons System, a 24-hour pointer system available to law enforcement agencies, which is accessible through the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS). CLETS was established for the express purpose of permitting peace officers and law enforcement agencies to conduct official business via a secure telecommunications network. (Gov. Code, §§ 15151-15153.)</p> <p>Accordingly, any information transmitted via CLETS to or from the Wanted Persons System is confidential and exempt from disclosure.</p> <p>Additionally, information transmitted to and from the Wanted Persons System is</p>

								<p>similarly exempt under the balancing test and the investigatory exemption as explained below. The Wanted Persons System was created for use by law enforcement agencies who are already CLETS users as an augmentation to CLETS. Even though there is not an expressed statutory exemption for the Wanted Persons System, the same purposes that support the confidentiality of CLETS communications also support the confidentiality of communications by law enforcement agencies using the Wanted Persons System. Accordingly, under the balancing test, the public interest in creating a secure telecommunications network for the exclusive use of law enforcement agencies through the Wanted Persons System clearly outweighs the public's generalized interest in law enforcement information. (Gov. Code, § 6255.) Lastly, the Wanted Persons System information communicated to and from DOJ are exempt as investigatory records under Government Code, section 6254, subdivision (f). Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).].) For all of the reasons stated above, we must decline your request.</p>
PRA-2018-01363	Adams	BJ	7/10/2018	I am seeking the names of all registered owners of a 40 caliber weapon with in a 1/2 mile radius of 79940 Westward Ho Indio, CA 92201. I do not know if DOJ can assist me with the information I am requesting above or with the information I have to give. But if I would get a reply to my request it would be much appreciated.		Completed	Robert Wilson	<p>Under the PRA, government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).)</p> <p>Penal Code Section 11106 requires DOJ to retain certain firearms information, including copies of fingerprints, copies of CCW permits, and "information reported to the Department of Justice pursuant to Section 26225." DOJ must disclose this information "upon proper application ... to the officers referred to in Section 11105." (Pen. Code § 11106 (a).) California courts have consistently held that data subject to the disclosure limits in Penal Code Section 11105 may be disclosed only to the individuals authorized by that section to receive the information. For example, the</p>



								California Supreme Court has noted that Penal Code Section 11105 "prohibits furnishing such information to persons other than those listed therein 'or as provided by law.'" (Hill v. Superior Court (1974) 10 Cal.3d 812, 818.) Therefore, information protected by Penal Code Section 11105 is not subject to public disclosure in response to a PRA request. (Younger v. Berkeley City Council (1975) 45 Cal. App. 3d 825, 832.) The "restrictions upon release of such information are so carefully set out in the Penal Code sections 11106, 11081 and 11105 ... that it is inconceivable that the general terms of the Public Records Act were intended to render them void." (Ibid.) State law specifies both the persons who are authorized to obtain firearms records, and the purposes that justify the DOJ's retention and disclosure of those records and therefore impliedly prohibits the release of such information to other persons, or for other purposes. The maxim of statutory construction "expressio unius est exclusio alterius" applies: the express inclusion of some things in a statute necessarily means the exclusion of other things not expressly included. (Fischer v. Los Angeles Unified School Dist. (1999) 70 Cal.App.4th 87, 97.) Firearms records retained by the DOJ as described in Section 11106 are subject to disclosure only to the individuals listed in Section 11105. As such, we must deny your request.
PRA-2018-01364	Barajas	Megan	7/10/2018	Foreclosure information on 239 Mill St #327 Grass Valley Ca 95945 in 2009. Megan Overholt. I need the date it foreclosed on and the loan number.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking financial information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the financial institution which processed the loan.
PRA-2018-01365	Weishaar	Christina	7/10/2018	I am looking for any revenue information pertaining to gambling in California. This could include casino revenue, tribal casino revenue, card rooms, charitable gambling, sports raffles or bingo. Any revenue information, whether it would be annually, quarterly or monthly would be helpful.		Completed	Riann Kopchak	Business and Professions Code section 19821, subdivision (c), specifies that, except as provided elsewhere in the Gambling Control Act, the records of the Bureau are exempt from disclosure under the California Public Records Act. (See also Gov. Code, § 6254, subd (k) [incorporating confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law].) Additionally, subdivision (c) of section 7.4.3 of the 1999 Tribal-State Compact Between the State of California and numerous Indian

								tribes (1999 Compact) similarly provides that records received from the tribes or information compiled by the state gaming agency from those records shall be exempt from disclosure under the California Public Records Act. Tribal-State compacts executed and ratified after the 1999 Compact contain similar provisions. Therefore, any requested records in the possession of the Bureau are exempt from disclosure. We note that your public records request is directed to the California Department of Justice. Raffles are regulated by the Attorney General's Registry of Charitable Trusts, which maintains charitable raffle information here: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/charities/raffles">https://oag.ca.gov/charities/raffles</a> . For the foregoing reasons, requested records other than the charitable raffle information identified above are exempt from disclosure, and your request for such records is denied. The decisions described herein with respect to the requested records have been made by Associate Governmental Program Analyst Kaylee Joseph and Deputy Attorney General Colin Wood.
PRA-2018-01366	Msaky	April	7/10/2018	Any civil law suit against me. unsure of any specific information or if there is even an open case. received a call stating I was going to be served papers but nothing has been sent and I am now unable to get a hold of the law firm that called. I have moved out of California and do not want to miss any court dates, if there are any.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with a local court, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PRA-2018-01367	Wolsey	Thomas	7/10/2018	All records (e.g., training manuals, software manuals, departmental directives or guidelines, agreements between California agencies and any division of DHS or legacy agencies) related to how criminal records (particularly misdemeanors and PC 290 registration) are transmitted to the United States Department of Homeland Security, particularly the US Department of Homeland Security's TECS (not an acronym) operated by Customs and Border Protection. Comments: In 2017, Customs and Border Protection began detaining me at the border/entry points. They claim that they have access to any information from local law enforcement and that my sudden detentions are because of an unfortunate encounter from 2004. NCIC has no entries for me, so it seems that CPB has placed me on some sort of watch list; I want to know how they came to have confidential information that is generally "not posted" about me through law enforcement channels.		Completed	Michael Dolida	On July 20, 2018 and extension letter was sent by Dawn Stott, AWT-SAC. On August 2, 2018 our office responded: The California Sex and Arson Registry (CSAR) does not directly interface with the Department of Homeland Security (DHS); however, CSAR does have a direct interface with the National Sex Offender Registry (NSOR). Active California sex registrant records are electronically transferred to the NSOR on a real-time basis either at the time of creation or when such records are updated. During this process, records are

							<p>automatically excluded from the NSOR if the registrant is: 1) deceased 2) terminated from the registry 3) incarcerated 4) deported or 5) out-of-state registrant. As long as the foregoing exclusion conditions do not exist, NSOR eligibility occurs if either of the two following conditions occur:</p> <p>Condition 1:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Must be a sex registrant.</li> <li>- Must have at least an initial registration event.</li> <li>- Must have a non-290 conviction.</li> </ul> <p>Condition 2:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Must be a sex registrant.</li> <li>- Must have a status subtype of PENDING.</li> <li>- Must have a registration event with a subcategory code of Initial Registration (INIT), Annual Registration Update (UPDATE), Into Jurisdiction (INTO JURIS), or Within Jurisdiction (WITHIN _JURIS).</li> </ul> <p>Please note DHS, as well as any other agency with access to NSOR, can run queries and obtain all-whether publically posted or not- active CSAR registrant record information.</p> <p>With respect to your own situation, it has been confirmed via CSAR that you are an active lifetime registrant that meets NSOR transmittal criteria. However, due to the nature of the crime at issue, you are considered a "No-Post" for purposes for the public Megan's. In other words, while you are a lifetime registrant for California purposes, you are not required to be posted on the Megan's law public website.</p> <p>With respect to your request of: "All records (e.g., training manuals, software manuals, departmental directives or guidelines, agreements between California agencies and any division of DHS or legacy agencies) related to how criminal records (particularly misdemeanors and PC 290 registration) are transmitted to the United States Department of Homeland Security, particularly the US Department of Homeland Security's TECS (not an acronym) operated by Customs and Border Protection" we have no responsive documents.</p>
PRA-2018-01370	Kissner	Brian	7/10/2018	Kissner, Brian gene Stockton CaLiFoRNiA		Completed	<p>Erin Nickless</p> <p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related</p>

								<p>to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at:</p> <p><a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01372	Martinez	Ricardo	7/10/2018	I am seeking election information for local, state and national elections from at least the last 5 years. Specifically, I would like any information available on the use of electronic ballot machines, electronic ballot assistance machines, any record of ballots cast through the internet. The information should state which counties used what machines and which counties collected ballots using the internet. I would also like data on ballots cast with electronic machines or the internet per county. It would be ideal that the information be organized by the smallest voting jurisdiction available (e.g. polling place within a county). Again, the information should cover the past 5 years local, state and national/general elections.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to elections, you may wish to direct your request to the California Secretary of State's Office. Information on how to contact their office can be found online at <a href="http://www.sos.ca.gov/administration/contact-information/">http://www.sos.ca.gov/administration/contact-information/</a>.</p>

PRA-2018-01422	Veldhuizen (MB Public Affairs)	Stephen	7/10/2018	<p>This is a request under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq. for information held by the California Department of Justice (hereinafter, the "Department"). I am requesting information pertaining to the following companies – all of which have the primary address of 560 E. 25th Street, Jasper, IN 47546:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Meyer Distributing, Inc.</li> <li>• Meyer Distributing LLC</li> <li>• Meyer Logistics, Inc.</li> <li>• Meyer Body Company, Inc.</li> <li>• Meyer Management Group, Inc.</li> <li>• Transit Properties, LLC</li> <li>• Braun Family Properties, LLC</li> </ul> <p>Specifically, I am asking for copies of complaints, filed forms, investigative reports, audits, settlements, enforcement actions and any other documents pertaining to the following fields:</p> <p>1. All Department records pertaining to Regulatory/Enforcement Action involving any of the following companies, from January 1, 2000 to present:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Meyer Distributing, Inc.</li> <li>b. Meyer Distributing LLC</li> <li>c. Meyer Logistics, Inc.</li> <li>d. Meyer Body Company, Inc.</li> <li>e. Meyer Management Group, Inc.</li> <li>f. Transit Properties, LLC</li> <li>g. Braun Family Properties, LLC</li> </ul> <p>2. Any record of Complaints filed with or held by the Department against the following companies, from January 1, 2000 to present:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Meyer Distributing, Inc.</li> <li>b. Meyer Distributing LLC</li> <li>c. Meyer Logistics, Inc.</li> <li>d. Meyer Body Company, Inc.</li> <li>e. Meyer Management Group, Inc.</li> <li>f. Transit Properties, LLC</li> <li>g. Braun Family Properties, LLC</li> </ul> <p>3. Any record of Inspections, Investigations and Audits filed with or held by the Department against the following companies, from January 1, 2000 to present:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Meyer Distributing, Inc.</li> <li>b. Meyer Distributing LLC</li> <li>c. Meyer Logistics, Inc.</li> <li>d. Meyer Body Company, Inc.</li> <li>e. Meyer Management Group, Inc.</li> <li>f. Transit Properties, LLC</li> <li>g. Braun Family Properties, LLC</li> </ul> <p>4. Copies of any California Public Records Act letters submitted to the Department requesting records on the following companies, from January 1, 2017 to present:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Meyer Distributing, Inc.</li> <li>b. Meyer Distributing LLC</li> <li>c. Meyer Logistics, Inc.</li> <li>d. Meyer Body Company, Inc.</li> <li>e. Meyer Management Group, Inc.</li> <li>f. Transit Properties, LLC</li> <li>g. Braun Family Properties, LLC</li> </ul> <p>This request reasonably describes identifiable records that are not exempt from disclosure. Therefore, I ask that you please make these documents promptly available in compliance with the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq. I am also requesting to be notified if some or all of the records noted above are not available for public review. To the extent possible, please provide the documents in electronic format rather than hard copy. Please produce these documents on a rolling basis as they become available. Also, please indicate any fees associated with this request. If you have any questions concerning this request, please contact me at <a href="mailto:stephen@mbpublicaffairs.com">stephen@mbpublicaffairs.com</a>.</p>	MB Public Affairs, Inc.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have searched our legal indices, logical places, and consulted with knowledgeable persons and found no records responsive to your request. To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See <i>Williams v. Superior Court</i> (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)</p>
PRA-2018-	LeBlanc	Megan	7/10/2018	<p>We are requesting any and all records related to Federal, State and County investigation of Pacific Ag Group property at 1840 Biddle Ranch Rd. in San Luis Obispo, CA, dated back to approximately August 22, 2002. Seeking all documents related to Federal, State and County Environmental Health authorities</p>	AG Forensics	Completed	Maria E. Silva	<p>Called requester to inform her we searched our legal database under the names she provided and consulted with knowledgeable</p>

01423				investigation of pesticides allegedly dumped at 1840 Biddle Ranch Rd in San Luis Obispo, CA on or around August 22, 2002. Health officials were called out based on a tip and directed to a site on the private research farm where pesticide containers were buried. Once investigated, state and local officials did not provide a case number or further information. We respectfully request all investigative reports and description of actions by the State of California against Frank Sances, Pacific Ag Research, Pacific Ag Group, or Alliance Farm related to the 1840 Biddle Ranch site.				people and were unable to locate responsive records.
PRA-2018-01353	Beltran	Alan	7/11/2018	I want records of anything that has my name, address, and phone number. Hard search every type of service that your computer can search. Like a full service. Please email or mail me anything.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-	Males	Michael	7/11/2018	The 2017 raw statewide arrest file (with names, addresses, SSN's, and all other personal identifiers of arrestees redacted) showing at least the date of arrest, county, law enforcement jurisdiction, age, sex, race/Hispanic ethnicity, detailed offense, and zip code of arrestee. The ideal format would be		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review

01354				Excel, csv, or similar delimited. This is the same file for 2017 that I requested on behalf of the Center on Juvenile and Criminal Justice for 2016 on December 29, 2017, and received by CD on January 12, 2018. Thank you very much for providing that file, and also for your attention to this data request.			records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and enclosed the responsive documents. Note, we did not provide the day of arrest (month and year are included) to prevent reidentification. The zip code was not provided either as we do not collect that information.
PRA-2018-01355	Damien (Electronic Search Warrants)	Christopher (Desert Sun)	7/11/2018	<p>July 19 request: I appreciate your detailed response. It will be very useful as we finalize edits on our piece. As you offered in your email, please process my request for items produced for "those warrants where a delay of notification was granted" for San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department, SBCSD, SBSD, or their other titles used. I understand if there will be PII and that the response will be limited. You are correct that these records are in possession of the local court. However, San Bernardino Superior Court will not allow the public to see search warrant returns unless they can identify the search warrant number – this number is not included in the data. There is no way for the public to access the search warrant number. I've asked the San Bernardino Sheriff's Department and the San Bernardino Police Department, they both refused to release search warrant numbers to the public. Thank you for your time and consideration.</p> <p>July 18 request: I just wanted to clarify some important points to make sure I understand this data properly. Am I correct that data is only collected in the following two cases: 1) If there is no identified target (i.e. found phone and law enforcement seeks to find out who it belongs to) 2) If there was an emergency and notification was delayed I am inferring that reports are not made for warrants in which the user was notified.</p> <p>July 11, 2018 Clarification: We're preparing to run a story tomorrow afternoon on the electronic search warrant notification data posted to Open Justice. I just wanted to clarify some important points to make sure I understand this data properly. Am I correct that data is only collected in the following two cases: 1) If there is no identified target (i.e. found phone and law enforcement seeks to find out who it belongs to. 2) If there was an emergency and notification was delayed I am inferring that reports are not made for warrants in which the user was notified.</p> <p>Thank you for your response and thank you for directing me to the data sets at Open Justice. If I'm not mistaken, these data sets do not indicate the "number and types of records disclosed" and no information regarding what was obtained as a result of the respective search warrants. PEN § 1546.2 states that these details should be made available and that affidavits should be posted on the Department of Justice website. According to the code, the public should have a reasonable expectation to access this information on your site. If I'm wrong about this expectations, please explain. Regarding the information in the possession of other agencies, are you referring to the San Bernardino search warrants? Are the search warrants not sent to the Office of Attorney General? I'm also seeing cases in which agencies are taking longer than 90 days to report their electronic search warrants. Are there consequences for not reporting within the 90 days? We are publishing a story on these datasets by the end of the week and need accurate information about the reporting process. I am requesting reports for all electronic search warrants reported to the Department of Justice by the San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department according to California Electronic Communication Privacy Act (CalECPA). Please include all reports for San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department pseudonyms as well, i.e. "SBCSD," "SBSD," "San Bernardino Sheriff," etc. The reports I am requesting are the same as defined in California PEN § 1546.2, which are reports that "state with reasonable specificity the nature of the government investigation under which the information is being sought," including a copy of the warrant, affidavit, "all electronic information obtained or a summary of that information, including, at minimum, the number and types of records disclosed, the date and time when the earliest and latest records were created". Pursuant to § 1546.2 subdivision (c), The Department of Justice "shall publish all those reports on its</p>	Completed	Robert Morger, SAAG	<p>July 31, 2018 Response: You asked in the below email in response to a PRA production: As you offered in your email, please process my request for items produced for "those warrants where a delay of notification was granted" for San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department, SBCSD, SBSD, or their other titles used. I understand if there will be PII and that the response will be limited.</p> <p>We found two responsive submissions from January 1, 2018 to June 1, 2018: Return was filed in SBSW 18-1200 for the following property: "Subscriber information, including the subscriber's names, addresses, identification presented when establishing the account, associated phone numbers, all digital images, videos, photographs, in their original file format, including EXIF information, user profile pictures, albums, mobile uploads, friends list, posts on the wall, activity log, sent and received messages, sent and received instant messages, and sent and received private messages for Facebook users:" Return further identified six accounts. That information has been redacted.</p> <p>Return was filed in SBSW 18-0695 for the following property: "Electronic records and GPS data."</p> <p>You further noted:</p> <p>However, San Bernardino Superior Court will not allow the public to see search warrant returns unless they can identify the search warrant number – this number is not included in the data. There is no way for the public to access the search warrant number. I've asked the San Bernardino Sheriff's Department and the San Bernardino Police Department, they both refused to release search warrant numbers to the public.</p> <p>In reviewing the submitted search warrants we noted that a number of warrants include the court's search warrant number. We have included those search warrant numbers for the time period of January 1,</p>

			<p>Internet Web site within 90 days of receipt. The department may redact names or other personal identifying information from the reports" if there is (1) "no identified target of a warrant or emergency request at time of its issuance" or (2) "If an order delaying notice is obtained". I am requesting these reports with respect to both cases for the San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department. This request is made on behalf of the news media and will be made available to the general public. I am willing to receive these items digitally. I am willing to receive partial distribution as items become available. Please be in touch if any of the above requires further clarification. Thank you for your time and consideration.</p>			<p>2018 to June 1, 2018. As noted below 47 of the warrants bore an assigned search warrant number. We are working on updating our public information portal to include this information in the future. 13 of the warrants were listed under seal by the court.</p> <p>San Bernardino County Warrants From January 1, 2018 to June 1, 2018</p> <table><thead><tr><th>Warrant Number</th><th>Comment</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>SBSW 18-2254</td><td>under seal</td></tr><tr><td>SBSW 18-224</td><td>under seal</td></tr><tr><td>SBSW 18-2253</td><td>under seal</td></tr><tr><td>SBSW 18-2253</td><td>under seal</td></tr><tr><td>SBSW 18-2250</td><td>under seal</td></tr><tr><td>SBSW 18-2252</td><td>under seal</td></tr><tr><td>SBSW 18-224</td><td>under seal</td></tr><tr><td>SBSW 18-1050</td><td>under seal</td></tr><tr><td>VVSW 18-0095</td><td>under seal</td></tr><tr><td>VVSW 18-0012</td><td>under seal</td></tr><tr><td>VVSW 18-0021</td><td>under seal</td></tr><tr><td>SBSW 18-0694</td><td>under seal</td></tr><tr><td>VVSW 18-0164</td><td>under seal</td></tr><tr><td>SBSW 18-1065</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>SBSW 18-0131</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>SBSW 18-0849</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>SBSW 18-0851</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>SBSW 18-0850</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>SBSW 18-0732</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>SBSW 17-0694</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>SBSW 17-0695</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>SBSW 17-0694</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>SBSW 17-0695</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>SBSW 17-0887</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>SBSW 17-0888</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>SBSW 17-0693</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>SBSW 17-0834</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>SBSW 17-0615</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>SBSW 17-0835</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>SBSW 17-0890</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>SBSW 17-0693</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>SBSW 17-3227</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>SBSW 18-1200</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>RI102320171</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>RI102320172</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>RI102320176</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>RI102320174</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>RI102320173</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>RI1025201713</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>VVSW 17-1597</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>VVSW 17-1582</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>SBSW 17-2999</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>SBSW 17-2999</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>SBSW 18-0189</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>SBSW 17-0584</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>SBSW 18-1200</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>SBSW 18-0695</td><td></td></tr></tbody></table> <p>July 19, 2018 Response: You asked in an email: If I'm not mistaken, these data sets do not</p>	Warrant Number	Comment	SBSW 18-2254	under seal	SBSW 18-224	under seal	SBSW 18-2253	under seal	SBSW 18-2253	under seal	SBSW 18-2250	under seal	SBSW 18-2252	under seal	SBSW 18-224	under seal	SBSW 18-1050	under seal	VVSW 18-0095	under seal	VVSW 18-0012	under seal	VVSW 18-0021	under seal	SBSW 18-0694	under seal	VVSW 18-0164	under seal	SBSW 18-1065		SBSW 18-0131		SBSW 18-0849		SBSW 18-0851		SBSW 18-0850		SBSW 18-0732		SBSW 17-0694		SBSW 17-0695		SBSW 17-0694		SBSW 17-0695		SBSW 17-0887		SBSW 17-0888		SBSW 17-0693		SBSW 17-0834		SBSW 17-0615		SBSW 17-0835		SBSW 17-0890		SBSW 17-0693		SBSW 17-3227		SBSW 18-1200		RI102320171		RI102320172		RI102320176		RI102320174		RI102320173		RI1025201713		VVSW 17-1597		VVSW 17-1582		SBSW 17-2999		SBSW 17-2999		SBSW 18-0189		SBSW 17-0584		SBSW 18-1200		SBSW 18-0695	
Warrant Number	Comment																																																																																																					
SBSW 18-2254	under seal																																																																																																					
SBSW 18-224	under seal																																																																																																					
SBSW 18-2253	under seal																																																																																																					
SBSW 18-2253	under seal																																																																																																					
SBSW 18-2250	under seal																																																																																																					
SBSW 18-2252	under seal																																																																																																					
SBSW 18-224	under seal																																																																																																					
SBSW 18-1050	under seal																																																																																																					
VVSW 18-0095	under seal																																																																																																					
VVSW 18-0012	under seal																																																																																																					
VVSW 18-0021	under seal																																																																																																					
SBSW 18-0694	under seal																																																																																																					
VVSW 18-0164	under seal																																																																																																					
SBSW 18-1065																																																																																																						
SBSW 18-0131																																																																																																						
SBSW 18-0849																																																																																																						
SBSW 18-0851																																																																																																						
SBSW 18-0850																																																																																																						
SBSW 18-0732																																																																																																						
SBSW 17-0694																																																																																																						
SBSW 17-0695																																																																																																						
SBSW 17-0694																																																																																																						
SBSW 17-0695																																																																																																						
SBSW 17-0887																																																																																																						
SBSW 17-0888																																																																																																						
SBSW 17-0693																																																																																																						
SBSW 17-0834																																																																																																						
SBSW 17-0615																																																																																																						
SBSW 17-0835																																																																																																						
SBSW 17-0890																																																																																																						
SBSW 17-0693																																																																																																						
SBSW 17-3227																																																																																																						
SBSW 18-1200																																																																																																						
RI102320171																																																																																																						
RI102320172																																																																																																						
RI102320176																																																																																																						
RI102320174																																																																																																						
RI102320173																																																																																																						
RI1025201713																																																																																																						
VVSW 17-1597																																																																																																						
VVSW 17-1582																																																																																																						
SBSW 17-2999																																																																																																						
SBSW 17-2999																																																																																																						
SBSW 18-0189																																																																																																						
SBSW 17-0584																																																																																																						
SBSW 18-1200																																																																																																						
SBSW 18-0695																																																																																																						



							<p>indicate the “number and types of records disclosed” and no information regarding what was obtained as a result of the respective search warrants. PEN § 1546.2 states that these details should be made available and that affidavits should be posted on the Department of Justice website.</p> <p>First; if no delay for notification on an issued warrant is sought by the investigative agency there will be no other information available except for the warrant. (See Penal Code sec. 1546.2(a)(1).) Even with a requested delay for notification, the target of the warrant may not yet have produced the requested records. Again we would have no records. In those cases where we receive either “a copy of all electronic information obtained or a summary of that information” that information by necessity will contain personal identifying information (PII). (See Penal Code sec. 1456.2(b)(3).) We are allowed to redact PII. Penal Code sec. 1456.2(c).)</p> <p>I would further note that the data set “Items to be Searched for” does provide a list of requested records that the search warrant authorizes to be produced.</p> <p>If you have a specific request whether items were produced for those warrants where a delay of notification was granted, we can conduct a search of our records. Because this information by necessity will contain personal identifying information our response will be limited. I would also note that these records are in possession of the local Superior Court and are directly accessible by you.</p> <p>You also asked our office:</p> <p>Am I correct that data is only collected in the following two cases:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) If there is no identified target (i.e. found phone and law enforcement seeks to find out who it belongs to)</li> <li>2) If there was an emergency and notification was delayed</li> </ol> <p>Notification is only made to the Department of Justice when “there is no identified target of the warrant” or an identified target of the emergency request. (See Penal Code sec. 1546.2(c).) If the target of the warrant is identified or the target of the emergency request is identified, notice is made directly to them. (See Penal Code sec. 1546.2(a)(1).)</p> <p>Finally Cal ECPA applies not only to electronic devices but also to records held by communication service providers. (See Penal Code sec. 1546.1.)</p> <p>July 11, 2018 Response: The requested data collected by our office</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

								<p>can be found at <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/data">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/data</a>. It is found at the bottom of the page under "OTHER DATA" and is listed as "Electronic Search Warrant Notifications."</p> <p>We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice but seeks records from other departments. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p>
PRA-2018-01356	Avitia	Gina	7/11/2018	To whom it may concern. I am requesting a copy of an old restraining order filed against my ex husband dob [REDACTED] Christopher Raymond Montes filed at the Pomona courthouse. The restraining order is expired but I need the case or docket number and expiration date. Please help!!		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with Pomona courthouse, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PRA-2018-01357	Johnson	Phillip	7/11/2018	Date: June 27th, 2018. San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department Report Number CBR1800041 Location: Big Bear Lake Comments: I was involved with a kayak accident on June 27th at Big Bear Lake. I was one of the parties that responded to help the two individuals. I was hoping to get a copy of the report for my files.		Completed	Lindsey Goodwin	We have conducted a thorough search of our records for the above-referenced report and cannot locate any record responsive to your request.
PRA-2018-01359	Madrigal	Alexandra	7/11/2018	Date 7/5/2018 battery - Battery on another employee		Completed	Maria E. Silva	Called requester to obtain more information, she was seeking records of her employee and wanted to know the charges. I asked if a police report was filed and she confirmed. I explained she'd need to obtain the report from the police department where the incident took place.
PRA-2018-01361	Holley	Crystal	7/11/2018	A copy of John Earl Baker's will and testament. I am John and Lillian Baker's Granddaughter. My father's name is Mark Baker. My birthday is [REDACTED]		Completed	Erin Nickless	Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice, therefore we must deny your request. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). Please note that our office is not the depository for records for the entire state of California.

							<p>We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records regarding a probate matter. You may wish to contact the local court in which the probate matter would be heard. If you are seeking unclaimed property linked to an estate, you may wish to contact the State Controller's office.</p>
PRA-2018-01362	Camarena	Melissa	7/11/2018	<p>Any records related to Karen A Klossner (aka Karen Anderson), date of birth [REDACTED], SSN [REDACTED]</p> <p>The above-named individual has been abandoned by family at Carlsbad Medical Center in Carlsbad, NM. The hospital is trying to find safe discharge for this individual and we need as much information as possible for her before we can make a decision. Records show this individual may have resided in Chico, CA.</p>		Completed	<p>Erin Nickless</p> <p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth-Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth-Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>

PRA-2018-01368	Petzold	Stephen	7/11/2018	A copy of the letter from Assembly member Manny Diaz to the office of Attorney General Bill Lockyer which resulted in Attorney General opinion 04-110.		Completed	Stephanie Grimes	Responsive document sent electronically. Fees are waived in this instance.
PRA-2018-01369	Donnelly	Grant	7/11/2018	I request that the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation share all electronic transcripts from subsequent suitability hearings from 2017 (2,236 transcripts; <a href="https://www.cdcr.ca.gov/BOPH/statistical_data.html">https://www.cdcr.ca.gov/BOPH/statistical_data.html</a> ). Pursuant to Penal Code 3042(b), the Board of Parole Hearings (BPH) is required to record and transcribe parole suitability or the setting of a parole date for any prisoner sentenced to a life sentence. The BPH states that these electronic transcripts will be made publicly available for free ( <a href="https://www.cdcr.ca.gov/BOPH/psh_transcript.html">https://www.cdcr.ca.gov/BOPH/psh_transcript.html</a> ), but the department has failed to send me these documents after filing a request.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records from the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Information on how to contact them can be found at <a href="http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html">http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html</a> .
PRA-2018-01371	Karol	Gabrielle	7/11/2018	July 23, 2018 email from Ms. Karol: I'd like to be able to speak with someone about what records might exist to be able to refine this request accordingly. I tried to speak with a PIO to refine my request before sending but was rebuffed. Please let me know if someone at the laboratory or at the AG's office might be available for a quick call. July 11, 2018 Request: -- reports produced pertaining to the BFS Richmond DNA laboratory regarding clearance rates or performance metrics from Jan. 1 2018 to present date -- records explaining how DNA samples/remains are prioritized -- reports produced pertaining to numbers of DNA samples/remains in various queues from Jan. 1, 2018 to present date		Completed	Dwayne Freeman	July 23, 2018 Response to Email: Hi Gabrielle – Please give Assistant Director Linton von Beroldingen a call at 510-620-3300 ext. 3532. I believe someone from our Forensic lab left you a voice mail to gain clarity regarding our request. Please submit a new request once you obtain clarification. July 23, 2018 Response: (1) It is unclear what is meant by the terms "clearance rates" or "performance metrics." As a DNA testing laboratory, the Richmond facility provides forensic science services that may or may not contribute to the resolution of any particular criminal investigation. The laboratory maintains several distinct DNA-related programs with different purposes and functions. For example, the monthly statistics related to the Cal-DNA Data Bank Program are available at <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/files/agweb/pdfs/bfs/monthly-stats-may-2018.pdf">http://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/files/agweb/pdfs/bfs/monthly-stats-may-2018.pdf</a> . (2) In a casework or missing persons context, the prioritization of DNA sample analysis in a given situation depends on many factors, and is a matter of collaboration between the assigned DNA analyst and investigators. Accordingly; no records are available that indicate "how DNA samples/remains are prioritized" on a case-by-case basis. (3) This request is too vague to permit response. As a predicate to any disclosure, at minimum the phrase "various queues" should be clarified.

PRA-2018-01375	Walsh	Steve	7/12/2018	<p>Correspondence between California governor's office, California Department of Military and California National and the Department of Defense and the Department of Homeland Security regarding Operation Guardian Support.</p> <p>Including</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-The requests for assistance sent to California</li> <li>-The direction given to the states or received from the federal government or given by to the state</li> <li>-Updates and status reports on progress of Operation Guardian Support.</li> <li>-Discipline or sanctioning of guardsmen related to operations along the border during the time frame of Operation Guardian Support.</li> <li>-Reimbursements or payments to states from the federal government</li> <li>-Correspondence between the parties related to Operation Guardian Support</li> <li>-lists of duties and tasks performed by guard troops during Operation Guardian Support</li> <li>-Cost incurred by California, to be submitted to the federal government as part of Operation Guardian Support</li> </ul> <p>Steve Walsh Military and Veterans Reporter KPBS public radio and TV San Diego, CA c(219) 462-2341 This is part of the effort to inform the public on the efforts of the California National Guard.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the California Governor's office, the California Department of Military, the California National Guard, the Department of Defense, and the Department of Homeland Security, you may wish to direct your request to their offices.</p>
PRA-2018-01376	Gebriel	Asmerom	7/12/2018	<p>Case# 18132579 Asmerom Gebriel, victim Erica Peterson, tenant incidence location- [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Deputy Stacy Hello, I am a victim and I would like to request a copy of the sheriff report case# 18132579. Erica Peterson, tenant, damaged the water meter for the property at [REDACTED] [REDACTED] We have eviction court trial date scheduled for Monday afternoon, July 16th and my attorney and myself would like to have a copy of the report to present to the judge. This is my first time to request a sheriff report and would appreciate if you would email me the report as soon as you can before the trial date at: [REDACTED] Please confirm receipt of this request and do not hesitate to call me at [REDACTED] if you need additional info.</p>		Completed	Maria E. Silva	<p>Called requester to obtain more information. He claimed to have called a specific telephone number and was instructed to fill out a request for public records. I explained he needed to fill out a PRA request with the Sheriff's Department he called. He was satisfied with our call and understood he misrouted his PRA request.</p>
PRA-2018-01378	Solis	Jose	7/12/2018	<p>Anything that is associated with me and that is available through your department please.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and logical places. Other than the attached request, we found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine</p>

								if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-01379	Sivila	Michael	7/12/2018	Copy of Marriage License for my Father and Mother Father's name: ANDRES CASTILLO SIVILA Date of birth: [REDACTED] place of birth: Philippine Islands Mother's Maiden name: Lorraine Carbajal Date of birth: [REDACTED] Place of birth: Phoenix Arizona U.S.A. DATE OF MARRIAGE: UNKNOWN / ESTIMATE = 1953 PLACE OF MARRIAGE: UNKNOWN / BEST GUESS = SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, ALAMEDA COUNTY , SANTA CLARA COUNTY The above information is required by the Veterans Administration to acquire benefits for my Step-Mother		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA-2018-01417	Olfati (July 2018)	Parvin	7/12/2018	1. Each and every electronic mail message, text message, memorandum, letter, document, writing and/or record that mentions, refers to, and/or relates to communications to, from, with and/or about any person known or believed to be a gardener who is working at or who has considered, agreed to, or planned to work at any residence believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to [REDACTED] and including but not limited to any person named Jesus Rodriguez. 2. For any document in Request Item 1 above that DOJ claims has been destroyed or is otherwise unavailable, please provide all records of any destruction or other reasons for non-availability, together with applicable records retention policies.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have no responsive records.
PRA-2018-01380	Degner (AB 1135/SB880 # of applications)	Daniel	7/13/2018	Following the closure of the AB1135/SB880 assault weapon registration period, I respectfully request 1) The total number of applications submitted during the registration period. 2) The number of applications being processed. 3) The number of approved registrations.		Completed	Public Records	September 19, 2018 response: On September 4, 2018, we sent you a response indicating that, we are interpreting your request to be a request for information regarding applications to register assault

								<p>weapons that (a) are for firearms that were added to the type of weapons considered assault weapons with the passage of Senate Bill No. 880 (2015-2016 Reg. Sess.) and Assembly Bill No. 1135 (2015-2016 Reg. Sess.), (b) were submitted to the Department through its online California Firearms Application Reporting System, and (c) were submitted between August 3, 2017, and June 30, 2018.</p> <p>Also in our September 4, 2018, letter, we indicated that we would provide a response to items (1) and (3) of your request on or around September 14, 2018, and that with respect to item (2), you would need to clarify what you mean by "being processed" before we could respond.</p> <p>In response to item (1) of your request, according to information the Department had collected, compiled, and sorted as of September 4, 2018, a total of 68,969 applications were submitted between August 3, 2017, and June 30, 2018.</p> <p>In response to item (3) of your request, according to information the Department had collected, compiled, and sorted as of September 4, 2018, as of that date a total of 25,035 applications had been approved.</p> <p>September 4, 2018 Estimated Production Date response:</p> <p>As a preliminary matter, we are interpreting your request to be a request for information regarding applications to register assault weapons that (a) are for firearms that were added to the type of weapons considered assault weapons with the passage of Senate Bill No. 880 (2015-2016 Reg. Sees.) and Assembly Bill No. 1135 (2015-2016 Reg. Sess.), (b) were submitted to the Department of Justice through its online California Firearms Application Reporting System, and (c) were submitted between August 3, 2017, and June 30, 2018.</p> <p>We will provide you with figures that are responsive to items 1) and 3) on approximately September 14, 2018. As for item 2, it is unclear what you mean by the words "being processed." As such, we cannot respond to item 2 at this time, and ask that you reword your request. (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b) [requiring the provision of copies of records when a request "reasonably describes an identifiable record or records"]; see also Gov. Code, § 6253.1 [allowing an agency to seek clarifying information on a request to make it more effective].)</p>
PRA-2018-	Owens	Heather	7/13/2018	I am seeking records related to Perez v. U Line Inc. et al. (Case Number G036939) from the 4th Appellate District Division 3. I would like an electronic copy of the full case file if possible.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish

0138 1							to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.
PRA-2018-01382	Combs (Sree Gaddam-Celer Systems)	Brandon	7/13/2018	<p>Please consider this a formal request for access to and copies of public records and information pertaining to matters of great public concern, unique to California, and important to our members, supporters, and thousands of law-abiding people and taxpayers throughout California.</p> <p>RECORDS REQUESTED:</p> <p>1) For the period of January 1, 2016, to the present, all records and information that are to, from, or contain "Sree Gaddam", "Sreerangam Gaddam", "sree.gaddam@celersystems.com&lt;mailto:sree.gaddam@celersystems.com&gt;", "celersystems.com", "Celer Systems", "Celer Systems, Inc.", or "Celer Systems Inc.".</p> <p>2) For the period of January 1, 2016, to the present, all records and information that are to, from, or contain "Manoj Gopalakrishnan", "Darshan Brahmbhatt", "Jagadhish Babu Dadi", or "Ansu Suseelan".</p> <p>We acknowledge the following notice on this Web page: "Submitting this form electronically does not provide the Public Records Coordinator with your contact information. In order for us to forward our response to your request, please provide some form of contact information (address, phone number, or e-mail)." Thus, please e-mail me at <a href="mailto:policy@fpchq.org">policy@fpchq.org</a>&lt;mailto:policy@fpchq.org&gt; if you have any questions or to provide us with the requested information and records.</p>		Rolling Production	<p>September 7, 2018 Rolling Production Response sent by Jaimie Tackett: Enclosed is the second production of records responsive to your request received in the Attorney General's Office on July 13, 2018, in which you sought records pursuant to the Public Records Act (PRA) as set forth in Government (Gov.) Code section 6250 et seq. Specifically, attached are the Standard Agreements for the contracts awarded to Celer Systems (STD 213) for the date range identified in your request. Also attached are the completed forms - which the DOJ requires for contract consultants - of those named in your request. We have redacted personally identifying information on these records because the information is exempt based on considerations of personal privacy. (Art. I, sec. 1 of Cal. Con. as incorporated into the PRA by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.) We anticipate providing the next production by September 19, 2018.</p> <p>August 8, 2018, Rolling Production Response sent by Jaimie Tackett: In conducting our search for records responsive to your request, we have checked with knowledgeable persons and searched logical places. While we have identified some responsive records, we have not yet completed this process or our review of the responsive records for applicable exemptions. As a result, we will produce responsive non-exempt documents on a rolling basis. Attached is the first production, which is described below. We also request some clarifications from you that will enable us to more accurately determine whether some of the documents we have identified as potentially responsive are, in fact, responsive. Enclosed are the contract summaries for the contracts awarded to Celer Systems (STD 215) for the date range identified in your request. Please note that the Agreement Summary for each contract awarded to Celer Systems contains a "Summary of Bids" which lists all bidders and the bid amounts. We presume you are only</p>



							<p>interested in the contracts for which Celer Systems was the successful bidder. If we have not construed your request correctly and you are also seeking the contract documents of other vendors which happen to list Celer Systems as one of the unsuccessful bidders in the "Summary of Bids," please let us know and we will begin that search.</p> <p>Also attached are blank versions of the types of forms that DOJ requires for contract consultants like those named in your request. Most of the personally identifying information on the records we have for the named individuals would be redacted because the information is exempt based on considerations of personal privacy. (Art. I, sec. 1 of Cal. Con. as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.)</p> <p>Notwithstanding that fact, if you would like the redacted version of these completed forms, please let us know.</p> <p>Pursuant to Government Code section 6253.1, we are also seeking clarification as to whether you want additional types of documents related to these contracts. For instance, records related to the procurement process for these contracts (e.g., Justification/Evaluation Documents, Bid Quote/Offer Worksheets, Commercially Useful Function (CUF) forms, and routing checklists), purchase and invoice records, internal logs (e.g., status and contract deadline reminders), authorization for unanticipated tasks, consultant/employment forms. Similarly, please clarify whether you are seeking any other procurement documents related to contracts that were ultimately awarded to another vendor. For example, in instances in which a contract was ultimately awarded to another vendor, are you seeking proposals submitted by Celer Systems and the evaluation documentation related to those submissions?</p> <p>We anticipate providing the next production by August 20, 2018.</p> <p>July 20, 2018 an extension letter was sent by Jaimie Tackett - CJIS.</p>
PRA-2018-01383	Sabalow (Sac Bee - Assault Weapon Registration) **	Ryan	7/13/2018	<p>My name is Ryan Sabalow. I'm a reporter with the Sacramento Bee. Please consider this a request for documents under the California Public Records Act. Because I am a journalist reporting on matters of the public interest, I request an expedited response.</p> <p>Instead of printed documents, I'd like to receive the records requested below sent to rsabalow@sacbee.com&lt;mailto:rsabalow@sacbee.com&gt; in digital form.</p> <p>I request that if certain records exist that are easier to track down then others, please send me those records first while the other documents are being gathered.</p> <p>If you have any questions or if you'd like to discuss this request with me, please email or call me at 916-321-1264.</p> <p>I request the following records:</p>		Assigned	<p>August 28, 2018 Response:</p> <p>This is to inform you that due to the large amount of documents that could potentially be responsive to your request, we have not yet concluded our search. As such, we do not have responsive documents at this time. We will provide you any responsive documents on a rolling basis as they become available.</p>

				<p>1. Any written communications from DOJ staff working on implementing the online assault weapon registration under Penal Code section 30900(b) in June 2018 in which technical issues, malfunctions, or other concerns about members of the public meeting the registration deadline are discussed.</p> <p>2. Memos, fact sheets or other policy documents that outline plans for implementing the DOJ's ammunition background check program, which is to go live in July 2019.</p> <p>3. Any written communications from DOJ staff working on implementing the DOJ's ammunition background check program in which technical issues, malfunctions, or other concerns about meeting the deadline are raised.</p> <p>4. The number of applications for firearm registration as an assault weapon under Penal Code section 30900(b) that were submitted from July 31, 2017, to the present. In lieu of documents I will accept your calculation of the number of applications as a full response to this request.</p> <p>5. The number of applications for firearm registration as an assault weapon under Penal Code section 30900(b) that have been approved from July 31, 2017, to the present. In lieu of documents I will accept your calculation of the number of applications that have been approved as a full response to this request.</p> <p>6. The number of applications for firearm registration as an assault weapon under Penal Code section 30900(b) that have been denied/rejected from July 31, 2017, to the present. In lieu of documents I will accept your calculation of the number of applications that have been denied/rejected as a full response to this request.</p> <p>7. The number of applications for firearm registration as an assault weapon under Penal Code section 30900(b) submitted from July 31, 2017, to the present that are still being processed. In lieu of documents I will accept your calculation of the number of applications still being processed as a full response to this request. (Regarding requests 4-7: Robert Wilson, deputy attorney general, responded to a PRA request from Brandon Combs of the Firearms Policy Coalition on Feb. 1, 2018 in which he provided calculations in lieu of documents. Please provide updated figures to me. A copy of Wilson's letter to Combs can be found here as attachment to the criminal complaint <a href="https://bit.ly/2Nbi07w">https://bit.ly/2Nbi07w</a>)</p> <p>8. From January 1, 2017, to the present, a copy of all daily, weekly, monthly, or other periodical reports about assault weapon registrations and applications under Penal Code section 30900(b).</p> <p>9. Any written complaints the DOJ received from members of the public since January 2018 regarding technical problems they were having registering their firearms through the assault weapon registry.</p> <p>10. Any spreadsheets or other documents that quantify and/or track public complaints and/or the DOJ's response regarding the online assault weapon registry.</p>				<p>July 27, 2018, an extension was sent by Rob Wilson, BOF.</p> <p>As your request was received by this office on July 13, 2018, the time established for the original response is July 23, 2018. Fourteen days beyond this date is August 6, 2018. However, due to the complexity and volume of the request, we would like to provide documents to you on a rolling basis and keep you informed of the progress.</p>
PRA-2018-01384	Goldberg (2017 Arrests)	Robert	7/13/2018	<p>For Seal Beach:</p> <p>a) Total felony arrests for 2017</p> <p>b) Total misdemeanor arrests for 2017</p>		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p> <p>The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.</p>
PRA-2018-01385	Cubeiro (Assault Weapon Def)	Matthew	7/13/2018	<p>Any and all communications between the California Department of Justice ("DOJ") (or any employee, agent, or representative of DOJ) and the Office of Administrative Law ("OAL") (or any employee, agent, or representative of OAL) regarding OAL File# 2018-0525-04 ("Assault Weapon Definitions").</p>	Michel & Associates, P.C.	Completed	Rob Wilson	<p>As a preliminary matter, we note that under the Public Records Act government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public, unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) Section 6254, subdivision (k), incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law.</p> <p>In providing the records requested, we have redacted certain private identifying information, such as non-commercial addresses, email addresses, and telephone numbers, along with other sensitive personal information such as social security</p>

							<p>numbers and credit card numbers based on considerations of personal privacy. (Cal. Const., art. I, § 1, as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.)</p> <p>Enclosed you will find copies of documents we have that are responsive to your request.</p>
PRA-2018-01448	Campos	Raymond	7/13/2018	One copy of the appellants' opening brief for the following case: PEOPLE v. MARTINEZ Cal. App 5th 853 California Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two. 2018.		Completed	<p>Cynthia S. Lumley</p> <p>Enclosed is Appellant's Opening Brief, filed by Hector Martinez, California Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, Case No. E066299.</p> <p>The Department of Justice is authorized to charge the direct cost of duplication for providing copies of records in response to public records requests. (Gov. Code § 6253 subd. (b)) The cost for making a photocopy of a paper record is \$0.10 per page. Due to the nominal costs in this instance, the Department waives the duplication and postage charge in this matter.</p>
PRA-2018-01454	Rinkes	Clyde	7/13/2018	<p>Case Title: BOOKER-DEESE vs HOWELL  Case Number: N39631 Case Location: North County  Case Type: Civil Date Filed: 02/29/1988  Category: A71110 Personal Injury (Auto)  California North County Regional Center*  Business Office/Records  325 South Melrose  Vista, CA 92081</p> <p>My niece, Cassandra, who was handling her sister, Charmaine Booker-Deese's affairs, passed away. Information on the disposition or instructions regarding the settlement of the BOOKER-DEESE vs Howell case could not be found among Cassandra's personal files or belongings. I have spent several very frustrating weeks attempting to get a copy of the settlement of the BOOKER-DEESE vs HOWELL deposition so that the financial issues of the case could be settled. Each person I have contacted in the County has referred me to a different county office or court. The most recent contact told me that due to the age of the case and lack of storage room in the County it would "probably be" stored in an "off-site facility" of the California Department of Justice. Any suggestions on how I can obtain a copy and get this family situation settled would be appreciated? Thank you ahead of time for your efforts regarding this matter.</p>		Completed	<p>Maria E. Silva</p> <p>Contacted requestor to obtain more information after searching our legal database and unable to locate a matter. I informed Mr. Rinkes we were unable to locate a matter based on the information he provided. He indicated he didn't know if the case was handled by CDOJ and would call the clerk at North County. If he obtained information that lead to CDOJ handling the case, he would submit a new request with more information.</p>
PRA-2018-01386	Samuel	Judith	7/16/2018	<p>Death record for Sumant Samuel  Need to know date of death, ex-wife</p>		Completed	<p>Erin Nickless</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at:  <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>.</p>

PRA-2018-01387	Underwood	S.	7/16/2018	<p>Contract History Request</p> <p>Agency Name: Bureau of Land Management</p> <p>Contract Number(s): INL06PX00618; INL13PX01541</p> <p>Description: Scope of Work; Previous bid tabulation and submitted proposal</p> <p>Title of Contract: Janitorial Services</p> <p>RE: Public Records Act Request</p> <p>Dear California Records Request</p> <p>Contract History Request</p> <p>Agency Name: Bureau of Land Management</p> <p>Contract Number(s): INL06PX00618; INL13PX01541</p> <p>Description: Scope of Work; Previous bid tabulation and submitted proposal</p> <p>Title of Contract: Janitorial Services</p> <p>Pursuant to my rights under the California Public Records Act (Government Code Section 6250 et seq.), I ask to (inspect/obtain a copy of) the following, which I understand to be held by your agency: I would like to have the following information retrieved for each award listed I would like to have the following information retrieved for each award listed::</p> <p>The scope of work</p> <p>Previous bid tabulation</p> <p>Submitted proposal (if free)</p> <p>I ask for a determination on this request within 10 days of your receipt of it, and an even prompter reply if you can make that determination without having to review the record[s] in question.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records from the Bureau of Land Management, you may wish to direct your request to their office.</p>
PRA-2018-01388	Aguirre	Yesika	7/16/2018	<p>Requesting property owner and contact information for the following address: 1051 Calzona St. Los Angeles, Ca 90023 a 2 unit apartment building.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides.</p> <p>We hope this information has been of assistance.</p>
PRA-2018-01389	Talley	Thurman	7/16/2018	2002-2003		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office.</p> <p>In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Providing the actual name or title of the record.</li> <li>-Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record.</li> <li>-Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record.</li> <li>-Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created.</li> </ul>

								<p>-If related to a case, providing the case name or number.</p> <p>-Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record.</p> <p>-Indicating the city in which the record was created.</p> <p>Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. If you can provide more information regarding the records you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control.</p>
PRA-2018-01391	Stiles	Daisy	7/16/2018	I am requesting the video from the 10:50 am Sacramento Valley Station Train light rail from Friday, July 13th. This video will show the man I have a restraining order against (case #34201870004054) violating the order.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the Sacramento Valley Station Train light rail, you may wish to direct your request to Sacramento Regional Transit.</p>
PRA-2018-01392	Thorp	Amissa	7/16/2018	I received a speeding ticket in Georgia on May 3, 2017. I have lost the citation. I am trying to renew my license but no one can find the information. I have made over 100 phone calls to several courts, counties and department of motor vehicles and no one has any information on my ticket. The local DMV won't renew my license cause a ticket shows. I would like some information if you can provide it. I was on I-75, South bound heading to Florida on 5/3/2017.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to traffic tickets, you may wish to contact the Georgia Department of Motor Vehicles, or the law enforcement department that issued the citation.</p>
PRA-2018-	Pulido	Sandra	7/16/2018	Want to know if there is any cases out there for me that I have zero knowledge of		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish</p>

01393								to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency, such as the local court in which the cases you are seeking may have been filed. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.
PRA-2018-01395	DeVries	Shanna	7/16/2018	Records for: Gabriel Jed Griffin D. O. B [REDACTED]		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at:</p> <p><a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining</p>

								Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-01396	Figueiredo	Nichol	7/16/2018	Please provide me with an excel spreadsheet of the request for public records that the California Department of Justice has received between January 1, 2017 through January 1, 2018.		Completed	Erin Nickless	A disc with the requested logs and a copy of this e-mail is being sent via U.S. Mail to the address provided in your request.
PRA-2018-01418	Olfati (July 2018)	Parvin	7/16/2018	1. Any investigation, surveillance, monitoring and/or actions taken with regard to Parvin Olfati, Patty Olfati, Patti Olfati and/or Ms. Olfati, including but not limited to any so-called "intelligence" investigation. 2. Any communications to, from, and/or about Barb Andres, Cecil Taylor, and/or any person believed to reside at or use 1870 45th Street in Sacramento, California 95819. 3. Any communications that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any person, agency and/or entity placing Parvin Olfati on any "security threat" or "watch" list, or any other list of persons to be denied entry or subjected to restricted entry to any building or facility. 3. In addition, please produce any and all documents, records, and/or writings reflecting any destruction, alteration, removal, transfer or non-availability of any of the records requested herein, together with applicable records retention policies.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
PRA-2018-01436	Johnson	Kenneth	7/16/2018	Can you please let me know if the Initiative No.(s) 17-0044 and 17-0046 qualify for the November 2018 election, and the Proposition No.(s) assigned ... (if any).		Completed	Anabel Renteria	Initiative 17-0044 and Initiative 17-0046 did not qualify for the November 2018 ballot. Initiative 17-0044 has become eligible for the November 2020 ballot. Initiative 17-0046 is still in circulation. This completes our response to your request.
PRA-2018-01440	Ludar	Tera	7/16/2018	July 16, 2018 request: When it comes to Charities, it is important that all of the information is available to the public. This is not the case with Center for Environmental Health (CEH) I would like to receive unredacted copies of 990 forms for Center for Environmental(CEH) Health for the following years: 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015. I also see that a substantial amount of money funds CEH's program and I would like to know which specific government agency funds them. July 17, 2018 follow up: The only 990 that I saw that was not redacted was 2016 (which was missing Schedule B), which is why I didn't request copies of that one. All other 990's that I was able to view were redacted, which is why I requested copies of them 2015, 2014, 2013, 2012, 2011. If you have copies of those 990's that are not redacted, then please send them my way or tell me where I can download them. Also, can you let me know the government agency that donates millions of dollars to CEH and is there a way to see where their million dollars in lobbying money are given to? July 17, 2018 follow up #2: It does not look like it was scanned, it looks like it was blacked out with a computer. It is clear to me that they are redacted. If you have copies of the CEH 990 forms that are unredacted, I would appreciate you just sending them over. July 18, 2018 follow up: According to the IRS, the following forms must be available to the public for viewing. I understand that the public is not entitled to view Schedule B, but all other schedules should be available to the public and that is what I am requesting at this time. I can see no reason why any portion of the public forms should be redacted in any way. An exempt organization must make available for public inspection and copying its annual return. Such returns include Form 990, Return of Organization Exempt From Income Tax, Form 990-EZ, Short Form Return of Organization Exempt From Income Tax, Form 990-PF, Return of Private Foundation, Form 990-BL, Information and Initial Excise Tax Return for Black Lung Benefit Trusts and Certain Related Persons, and the Form 1065, U.S. Partnership Return of Income. A section 501(c)(3) organization must make available for public inspection and copying any Form 990-T, Exempt Organization Business Income Tax Return, filed after August 17, 2006. Returns must be available for a three-year period beginning with the due date of the return (including any extension of time for filing). For this purpose, the return includes any schedules, attachments, or supporting documents that relate to the imposition of tax on the unrelated business income of the charity. See Public Inspection and Disclosure of Form 990-T for more information.		Completed	David Eller	July 16, 2018 response: No information on the 990s has been redacted. If you are referring to the unavailability of the Schedule B of the 990 that is not open to public inspection and is considered confidential. I am sorry that we will not be able to fulfill your request. July 17, 2018 response: The scan quality of the documents darkens due to the greyscale areas. These are not redactions. You can cross verify available content and view the 990s submitted to the IRS using the ERI search tool on the charities site under resources. July 18, 2018 response: The Registry does not have alternate versions of the 990. All available public content has been posted as it was submitted by the organization in question. As mentioned earlier any confidential materials are not posted for public inspection. We cannot provide this information because our regulations prohibit it. California Code of Regulations, Title 11, section 310, subdivision () – Donor information is exempt from public inspection pursuant to the Internal Revenue Code section 6104(d)(3)(A) shall be maintained as confidential by the Attorney General and shall not be disclosed except as follows: (1) In a court or administrative proceeding brought pursuant to the Attorney General's charitable trust enforcement responsibilities, or (2) In response to a search warrant.

PRA-2018-01462	Hernandez	Jesus	7/16/2018	Case date(s) between November of 2003 to December 2008. Case #pem032221 Perris Police Dept. Case was dismissed and purged. Records are allegedly stored in internal database of Riverside County Public Defenders Office. Seeking full documents and elements of final judgment in said case #.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency, such as the local court in which the cases you are seeking may have been filed. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. Since you mention that these records may be stored by the Riverside County Public Defenders' Office, you may wish to contact their office regarding the records you are seeking.
PRA-2018-01463	Honecker	Rodman	7/16/2018	1. Any agreements with Millennium Access Control Technology, Inc. ("Millennium"), 16 Jordan Court, Huntington, New York 11046, including but not limited to Millennium's supply of Patented Access Control System Drop Down prison door units for use at Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department. 2. All Purchase Orders, Invoices and proof of payment documents to or from Millennium. 3. All correspondence to or from Millennium. 4. All emails to or from Millennium (email address: macaccess30@gmail.com). 5. All emails to or from Millennium's sales agent, Dave MacKinnon (email address: dave@intensiveusefurniture.com).		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records from the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PRA-2018-01464	Seang	Revear	7/16/2018	Debt collection filed on 2/04/2013 Department Stores National Ban vs Seang Revear Citation cases 2010 Compton Court 2011 Riverside Court 2017 Long Beach Court		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with several Superior Courts, you may wish to direct your request to their offices.
PRA-2018-01572	Davis (CAC)	John (Jack)	7/16/2018	I request the following records in any form, tangible, digital or electronic: • All records that discuss or relate in any way to proposals to amend the Robert R Cowell (1931-1980) CAC's regulations. • All records that discuss or relate in any way to including or excluding proposals to amend the CAC's regulations from the agendas of the CAC or its Rule and Regulations Committee.		Completed	Heather B. Hoesterey	On August 9, 2018, Heather Hoesterey sent a response letter: Categories 1 through 5 - Records related to CAC regulations Responsive records consist of email correspondence between Deputy Attorney



			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All records that discuss or relate in any way to the process for amending or forestalling amendment of the CAC's regulations.</li> <li>• All records that discuss or relate in any way to action to be taken or not taken on proposals to amend the CAC's regulations.</li> <li>• All records that discuss or relate in any way to amendments to the California Apprenticeship Council's regulations.</li> </ul> <p>All timekeeping and billing records of Ms. Wang and Ms. Hoesterey that refer or relate in any way to the subjects described above.</p>			<p>Generals Lucy Wang and Heather Hoesterey with the California Apprenticeship Council, the Division of Apprenticeship Standards, the Department of Industrial Relations and miscellaneous law firms, and attorney work product documents. Email communications with third parties will be produced. Attorney work product and email communications between the Attorney General's Office and the California Apprenticeship Council, the Division of Apprenticeship Standards, and legal counsel within the Department of Industrial Relations are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act based on the following:</p> <p><b>Attorney Client Privilege</b> Some of the records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code§ 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal. 4th 363.) In the present case, the attorneys in the Attorney General's Office provide legal advice to the California Apprenticeship Council and Division of Apprenticeship Standards. Accordingly, all communications between attorneys in the Attorney General's Office and California Apprenticeship Council concerning proposed regulations are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p><b>Attorney Work Product</b> The attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc. section 201 8.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, section 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p><b>Deliberative Process Privilege</b> The deliberative process privilege exempts</p>
--	--	--	---	--	--	---

							<p>from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policy making processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255. In the instant case, records such as analyses, draft language, and memoranda concerning the strengths and weaknesses of a particular proposal would be covered by the deliberative process privilege and, therefore, absent special circumstances would be exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6255.</p> <p>Official Information Privilege</p> <p>Communications between the Attorney General's Office and the California Apprenticeship Council, the Division of Apprenticeship Standards, and legal counsel within the Department of Industrial Relations are exempt from disclosure under the official information privilege of sections 1040 and 1041 of the Evidence Code. Evidence Code sections 1040 and 1041 are incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption through Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k), which incorporates California Code of Civil Procedure section 2018.010 et seq. (attorney work product), California Evidence Code sections 954 (attorney-client privilege) and 1040 ( official information privilege), and California Government Code sections 6254(d)(3) (preliminary drafts, notes, or interagency or intra-agency communications) and (e) 6255 (deliberative process privilege). To the extent that the records you seek fall into these categories, we deny your request for such documents.</p> <p>Category 6 - Billing</p> <p>To the extent that timekeeping and billing records of Ms. Wang and Ms. Hoesterey that refer or relate in any way to the subjects described above do not disclose privileged or protected information described above, timekeeping records will be produced, and redacted to protect information protected from disclosure.</p> <p>Without waiving the above objections, exemptions, and privileges, my office will produce documents that are not exempt from disclosure. While the Department of Justice is authorized to charge the direct</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

								cost of duplication for providing copies of records in response to public records requests and for mailing (see Gov. Code § 6253 subd. (b)) we are not requesting payment at this time. Documents which have been marked for identification DOJ_000001 through and including DOJ_000018 are enclosed herewith. On July 26, 2018, Heather Hoesterey sent an extension letter.
PRA-2018-01400	Kimmel	Irene	7/17/2018	My divorce was finalized in the early 90s but I don't remember the exact date and no longer have these documents so I would like to receive either the date and information of my divorce from Michael Clifford McInerney or a copy of the actual decree. I just can't find someplace to search this information without paying.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA-2018-01402	Penzenstadler	Nick	7/17/2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All investigation, inspection, incident or citation reports produced internally or on behalf of/in coordination with another agency, related to each of the following businesses or their employees: G4S, G4S Secure Solutions, G4S USA, and Wackenhut. These records should include a full complaint history, including discharge, misconduct and stolen firearm reports.</li> <li>• All agency communication — emails, memos, briefs, minutes, presentations etc. — with the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives regarding any of the aforementioned businesses. I'm a reporter with USA TODAY and I'm working on a project about armed security contractors. I'm interested in a specific company, G4S Secure Solutions, which has employees in Anaheim, Riverside, Las Angeles, Santa Clara, Sacramento, San Francisco and San Diego.</li> </ul>		Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. To the extent that your request seeks investigative files, we must decline your request. Investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) As to the non-investigative records sought in your request, we have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.
PRA-2018-	Schrader	Charles	7/17/2018	August 8 follow up: Following up on your July 18, 2018 letter claiming in essence that everything in your Newton file is subject to the exemptions or privileges you cite in your letter. However, it's not clear to me that emails from yourself and Newton's attorney are privileged. Please provide those. July 17, 2018 request: True and correct copy of the entire file for case 600-2016-000812 Board of		Completed	Lynne K. Dombrowski	August 13, 2018 response to August 8, 2018 follow up: The Board of Psychology Case No. 600-2016-000812 is a pending disciplinary proceeding brought by the

0140 4			Psychology Accusation against Stephen G Newton. Lynne Dombrowski appears to be the deputy involved.			<p>Board against licensee Stephen G. Newton, Ph.D., which means that records related to that pending litigation are exempt from disclosure until the pending litigation has been finally adjudicated or otherwise settled. (Gov. Code section 6254, subdivision (b); see County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (Axelrad) (2000) 82 Cal.App.4111 819, 830-832.)</p> <p>Further, communications between the Attorney General's office and the attorney representing Dr. Newton regarding the pending litigation are exempt from disclosure, even after the pending litigation is finally adjudicated or otherwise settled, pursuant to the attorney workproduct exception that protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories. (California Code Civ. Proc. Section 20 18.030.) This confidentiality provision is also incorporated into the California Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure (Gov. Code section 6254, subdivision (k)).</p> <p>The attorney communications sought are also protected from disclosure under California Evidence Code section 1040 as privileged "official information," information acquired in confidence by a public employee in the course of his or her duty and not open or officially disclosed to the public. Therefore, the emails, and other communications, between the Attorney General's office and Dr. Newton's attorney regarding the pending disciplinary matter will not be provided pursuant to your PRA request.</p> <p>July 18, 2018 response: Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), specifically exempts from disclosure under the California Public Records Act information sought related to consumer complaints of licensees and materials obtained during investigations into those complaints. Such investigative materials are considered confidential and are exempt from disclosure. To the extent that your request seeks disclosure of records in the Board of Psychology's investigative files, those records are exempt from disclosure. The exemption continues to apply even if the investigation is closed. (Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.41h 337, 354-355.)</p> <p>To the extent that your request seeks attorney-client privileged information contained in the case file, Evidence Code section 954 protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client. Those records are likewise exempt from disclosure. The attorney-client privilege is expressly incorporated into the</p>
-----------	--	--	---	--	--	---

								<p>California Public Records Act. (Gov. Code section 6254, subdivision (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal. 4th 363, 369-373.) As the Office of the Attorney General represents the California Board of Psychology and its affiliates in Charles E. Schrader administrative tribunals as well as in the state and federal courts, communications between the Board of Psychology and the Attorney General's Office, including the Attorney General and his designees and the department's attorneys, are privileged and, therefore, will not be provided.</p> <p>To the extent that your request seeks records such as confidential analyses, draft language, and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed by the Attorney General's Office, those records are subject to the attorney work-product exception and they are consequently exempt from disclosure under the California Public Records Act and will not be provided. The attorney work-product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories. (California Code Civ. Proc. Section 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is also incorporated into the California Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure (Gov. Code section 6254, subdivision (k); see County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (Axelrad) (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>To the extent that you are requesting records pertaining to pending litigation to which the Board, or its affiliates, is a party, those records are also exempt from disclosure until the pending litigation has been finally adjudicated or otherwise settled. (Gov. Code section 6254, subdivision (b); see County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (Axelrad) (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 830-832.) Other than the records which are enclosed, the Office of the Attorney General does not have public records responsive to your request. To cover the cost of reproduction, we are required to charge ten (10) cents per page. Accordingly, please send a check in the amount of \$1.60, made payable to the "California Department of Justice" and please make sure to identify that the payment is for documents produced pursuant to a Public Records Act (PRA) request regarding Stephen G. Newton, Ph.D.</p>
PRA-2018-	Hewlett	Iris	7/17/2018	I seek AG records relating to closed lawsuits against The California Clinical Laboratory Association involving Medicaid/Medicare Fraud including unregistered lobbying / political contributions activities.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish

01405								to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes and logical places, and consulted with knowledgeable persons, and have been unable to locate any responsive records.
PRA-2018-01406	Alfaro	Ubaldo	7/17/2018	Listed under several names by mistake and I'm trying take care of it		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office.</p> <p>In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Providing the actual name or title of the record.</li> <li>-Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record.</li> <li>-Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record.</li> <li>-Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created.</li> <li>-If related to a case, providing the case name or number.</li> <li>-Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record.</li> <li>-Indicating the city in which the record was created.</li> </ul> <p>If you can provide more information regarding the records you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control.</p>
PRA-2018-01407	Soto	Jose	7/17/2018	Dont Know,But What Ever Is It Is Stopping Me To Get A Decent Job		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office.</p> <p>In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Providing the actual name or title of the record.</li> <li>-Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record.</li> <li>-Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record.</li> <li>-Stating the year, and month if possible, in</li> </ul>

								<p>which the record was created.</p> <p>-If related to a case, providing the case name or number.</p> <p>-Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record.</p> <p>-Indicating the city in which the record was created.</p> <p>Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. If you can provide more information regarding the records you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control.</p> <p>If you are wanting to check to see if you have a criminal history, please contact the Record Review Unit. Information on how to contact them can be found online at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review">https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01408	Misemer	Michael	7/17/2018	I am looking to obtain my personal public record, thank you.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.</p>
PRA-2018-01409	Prossnitz	Howard	7/17/2018	<p>All letters to State of California including Attorney General's Office and Department of Insurance about Bankers Life and Casualty Company in last four years. I am particularly interested in communications and complaints from consumers who were registered on the national do not call list and received telemarketing calls from Bankers Life.</p> <p>July 27, 2018 follow up: Please advise if there were any do not call complaints filed about Bankers Life without revealing the contents of the complaints.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>July 27, 2018 response: Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us. To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records, we must decline your request. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from</p>

								disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) August 7, 2018 response: Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We must decline your request to confirm whether or not there were complaints filed against Bankers Lige. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)
PRA-2018-01412	Mott (SNR)	Michael	7/17/2018	Thank you for this response and data [to my request from April]. Can you please provide an update to these? I'm curious if the collected restitution has changed, overall and per case, and if there have been other cases. Also, are attorneys' fees calculated in the overall budget as listed here? If I should file another PRA, please let me know. If attorneys' fees are not calculated in the overall budget and per case, that would be great to know as well.		Completed	Shannon Patterson	Listed below are updates regarding cases listed in the already provided spreadsheet: • 1/16/18: Defendant Juan Rivera pled no contest to count 2, felony grand theft. He received credit for time served (33 day in custody), felony probation, and agreed to restitution of \$30,000 to Cal Recycle. All other charges were dismissed. • 07 /20/18: Defendant Antonio Alejandre pled no contest to count 2; misdemeanor grand theft. He received sentence of fifteen days and credit for fifteen days. Agreed to pay restitution of \$50,000 to Cal Recycle. All other charges were dismissed. • Ramon Cabrera's case is still pending.
PRA-2018-01414	Klinger	Eileen	7/17/2018	Looking for records. of my father John Hartman Murphy 's marriage and/or divorce prior to 1950.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA-2018-	Olfati (July 2018)	Parvin	7/17/2018	1. Any and all policies and/or procedures used by DOJ regarding the placement of persons under "intelligence" investigation or other surveillance, including but not limited to any that mention, refer to, and/or relate to any of the following activities by the person placed under "intelligence"		Completed	Shannon	The procedures governing the conduct of the DOJ law enforcement agents are contained in the Law Enforcement policy



0142 1				<p>investigation or surveillance:</p> <p>A. Filing police reports and/or crime reports with local law enforcement agencies</p> <p>B. Filing PRA, IPA and/or other information requests with government agencies</p> <p>C. Communicating with Members or committees of any legislative body, including but not limited to the California State Assembly.</p> <p>D. Communicating with any news media, including but not limited to newspapers, magazines, web sites, television stations, and/or radio stations.</p> <p>2. Any and all policies and/or procedures used by DOJ regarding the placement of persons under "intelligence" investigations or other surveillance, including but not limited to:</p> <p>A. Any access to the targeted person's medical records and /or records of any use of services at any medical providers including but not limited to hospitals, Health care providers, pharmacists, Doctors, Laboratories, medical imaging, MRI, radiology, and other medical procedures and pharmacies</p> <p>B. Any entry into the targeted person's residence or house or any houses where the targeted person may stay</p> <p>C. Any inspection of and/or taking of any of the targeted person's documents or other personal property</p> <p>D. Any access to the targeted person's banking information</p> <p>E. Any access to the targeted person's safe deposit box</p> <p>F. Any access to the targeted person's car or any car in which the targeted person is using other than the targeted person's own car</p> <p>G. Any access to the targeted person's service providers, including but not limited to auto mechanics</p> <p>H. Any access to any hotel where the targeted person may stay</p> <p>I. Any access to the targeted person's mail (including incoming and outgoing)</p> <p>J. Any access to the targeted person's phone conversations, text messages, social media (including but not limited to the social medias known as Telegram), and international telephone calls</p> <p>K. Any 2417 or other monitoring, following, stalking, and/or videotaping of the targeted person</p> <p>L. Any communications or actions taken regarding the targeted person's civil, administrative, and/or other legal matters to which the targeted person is a party, including but not limited to Public Records Act and/or Information Practices Act requests.</p> <p>M. Any communications or actions taken regarding the targeted person's animals or pets (including but not limited to cats and dogs), including but not limited to veterinarians and/or pet or animal hospitals</p> <p>N. Any communications or actions taken regarding having the targeted person summoned for jury duty</p> <p>3. Any policies or procedures used by DOJ regarding actions taken when it is reported to or learned by DOJ that false information was used to place any person under any "intelligence" investigation or other surveillance.</p> <p>4. In addition, please produce any and all documents, records, and/or writings reflecting any destruction, alteration, removal, transfer or non-availability of any of the records requested herein, together with applicable records retention policies</p>		Patters on	and procedures manual. Here is the link: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/.../doj-law-enforce-policy-procedures-manual.pdf">https://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/.../doj-law-enforce-policy-procedures-manual.pdf</a>
PRA- 2018 - 0151 4	Injijian	Susie	7/17/2 018	<p>1. Application and supporting materials to be certified as a nonpublic nonsectarian school/agency by the California Department of Education for the 2016-2017 school year;</p> <p>2. Correspondence regarding said application between the Department of Education and Ed Support Services;</p> <p>3. Certification and licenses issued by the Department of Education to Ed Support Services certifying it as a nonpublic nonsectarian school/agency for the 2016-2017 school year.</p>	Compl eted	Erin Nickles s	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the California Department of Education, you may wish to direct your request to their office.</p> <p>Information on how to contact them can be found on their website at <a href="http://www.cde.ca.gov/re/di/cd/">http://www.cde.ca.gov/re/di/cd/</a>.</p>

PRA-2018-01415	Watts	Sabrina	7/18/2018	<p>I want to request all family court records involving my mother, Criselda Quiblat-Watts (or Criselda Quiblat), my father, Andre Tyrell Watts, and myself, Sabrina Celeste Watts. My parents filed for divorce in 2006 I believe and had court-ordered family therapy from 2006 to approximately 2014. I also want to request any documents with my name and the name Barbara Israels (LMFT). She was the Marriage and Family Therapist assigned to my parents and provided therapy through approximately 2011-2013.</p> <p>am filing a professional complaint against Barbara Israels and I need all available documents pertaining to her &amp; the divorce court proceedings. I understand that I may not be able to access some documents, but I am happy to take whatever is available.</p>		Completed		<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to a family court matter, you may wish to direct your request to the family court.</p> <p>We hope this information has been of assistance.</p>
PRA-2018-01416	Buchanan	Barrington	7/18/2018	Requesting divorce certificate		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01419	Yu	Katrina	7/18/2018	<p>1. Dirito Brothers Walnut Creek Inc. (CA) – any and all complaints, inspections, investigations, and/or reports, whether open, closed, and/or pending, related to any violations committed or allegedly committed by the company between January 1, 2007 until present.</p> <p>2. Dirito Brothers Walnut Creek Inc. (CA) – any and all complaints, inspections, investigations, and/or reports of specific injury and/or illness data against the company from January 1, 2007 until present.</p> <p>3. Plasti Dip, Inc. (MN) – any and all complaints, inspections, investigations, and/or reports, whether open, closed, and/or pending, related to any violations committed or allegedly committed by the company between January 1, 2007 until present.</p> <p>4. Plasti Dip, Inc. (MN) – any and all complaints, inspections, investigations, and/or reports of specific injury and/or illness data against the company from January 1, 2007 until present.</p> <p>5. DS Containers, Inc. (IL) – any and all complaints, inspections, investigations, and/or reports, whether open, closed, and/or pending, related to any violations committed or allegedly committed by the company between January 1, 2007 until present.</p> <p>6. DS Containers, Inc. (IL) – any and all complaints, inspections, investigations, and/or reports of specific injury and/or illness data against the company from January 1, 2007 until present.</p> <p>7. KJS Health &amp; Safety (CA) – any and all complaints, inspections, investigations, and/or reports, whether open, closed, and/or pending, related to any violations committed or allegedly committed by the company between January 1, 2007 until present.</p> <p>8. KJS Health &amp; Safety (CA) – any and all complaints, inspections, investigations, and/or reports of specific injury and/or illness data against the company from January 1, 2007 until present.</p> <p>7. Hoistdoctors.com, Inc. (CA/NV) – any and all complaints, inspections, investigations, and/or</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)</p>

				<p>reports, whether open, closed, and/or pending, related to any violations committed or allegedly committed by the company between January 1, 2007 until present.</p> <p>8. Hoistdoctors.com, Inc. (CA/NV) – any and all complaints, inspections, investigations, and/or reports of specific injury and/or illness data against the company from January 1, 2007 until present.</p> <p>7. Rotary Lift/Dover Corporation (CA/DL) – any and all complaints, inspections, investigations, and/or reports, whether open, closed, and/or pending, related to any violations committed or allegedly committed by the company between January 1, 2007 until present, related to product defects.</p> <p>8. Rotary Lift/Dover Corporation (CA/DL) – any and all complaints, inspections, investigations, and/or reports of specific injury and/or illness data against the company from January 1, 2007 until present, related to product defects.</p>				
PRA-2018-01424	Goldberg (attorneys)	Seymour	7/18/2018	Request for electronic format of email addresses for attorneys practicing in the state of California.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Your request appears to include records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>If you are seeking records for all attorneys within the state of California who are eligible to practice law, and not just those employed by the Department of Justice specifically, you would need to direct your request to the State Bar of California. Information on how to contact them can be found on their website at <a href="http://www.calbar.ca.gov/Contact-Us">http://www.calbar.ca.gov/Contact-Us</a>, and contact information for individual attorneys is available using the search tools on their website. If you intended your request to apply only to those attorneys employed by the California Department of Justice, please provide that information to us.</p>
PRA-2018-01425	Goldberg (CPAs)	Seymour	7/18/2018	Request for electronic format of email addresses of CPAs practicing in the state of California.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. The Department of Justice cannot produce records that are responsive to your request. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records from another government agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c).</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the California Department of Consumer Affairs' California Board of Accountancy. Information on how to contact the Contractors State License Board can be found on their website at <a href="https://www.dca.ca.gov/cba/about-cba/contact-cba.shtml">https://www.dca.ca.gov/cba/about-cba/contact-cba.shtml</a>.</p>

PRA-2018-01426	Giusti (vehicle thefts)	Heriberto	7/18/2018	I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of vehicle theft that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>Specifically, you submitted eight separate requests, which I have consolidated into one request for the purpose of this response. Below please find your specific requests followed by our office 's response. Note, in providing this response, the Department of Justice (DOJ) interprets "cases" to mean "crimes."</p> <p>Request 1: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of vehicle theft that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Tables 1-3 (multiple years included), here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>.</p> <p>Request 2: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of murder that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 201 7 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Tables 1-3 (multiple years included), here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>.</p> <p>Request 3: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of rape that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Tables 1-3 (multiple years included), here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>.</p> <p>Request 4: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of 'homicides specifically related to drug trade' that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Homicide in California 2017 publication, Table 24 (multiple years included), here:</p>
----------------	-------------------------	-----------	-----------	--	--	-----------	----------------	--

								<p><a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>.</p> <p>Request 5: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of home burglary that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Table 8 (multiple years included), here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>. Note, for reporting purposes, the term "home" falls under the category of "residence."</p> <p>Request 6: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of drug smuggling that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data is not available on OpenJustice; however, the DOJ discloses statistical data to bona fide research bodies and public agencies pursuant to Penal Code sections 11105 and 13202. If you are a research body, then this request would fall under the DOJ's research request process. In order to request the data through this process, complete the attached packet regarding policies and procedures that relate to accessing criminal offender record information. The document, Conditions for Release of Criminal Offender Record Information, must be reviewed and signed by the project lead/requester. When completed, email all required documentation to <a href="mailto:Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov">Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov</a>. Once the packet is received, it will be reviewed and you will be notified if it is approved.</p> <p>Request 7: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of commercial burglary that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data is not available on OpenJustice; however, data on commercial robbery can be found in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Table 6 (multiple years included), and data on non-residential burglary can also be found in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Table 8, here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>. Furthermore, if you are a research body, then this request would fall under the DOJ's</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

							<p>research request process. In order to request the data through this process, complete the attached packet regarding policies and procedures that relate to accessing criminal offender record information. The document, Conditions for Release of Criminal Offender Record Information, must be reviewed and signed by the project lead/requester. When completed, email all required documentation to <a href="mailto:Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov">Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov</a>. Once the packet is received, it will be reviewed and you will be notified if it is approved.</p> <p>Request 8: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of street robbery that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down/or each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Table 6 (multiple years included), here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>. Note, for reporting purposes, the term "street" falls under the category of "highway."</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>
PRA-2018-01427	Giusti (commercial burglary)	Heriberto	7/18/2018	I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of commercial burglary that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.		Completed	<p>Jaimie Tackett</p> <p>Specifically, you submitted eight separate requests, which I have consolidated into one request for the purpose of this response. Below please find your specific requests followed by our office 's response. Note, in providing this response, the Department of Justice (DOJ) interprets "cases" to mean "crimes."</p> <p>Request 1: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of vehicle theft that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Tables 1-3 (multiple years included), here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>.</p> <p>Request 2: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of murder that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the</p>

							<p>information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Tables 1-3 (multiple years included), here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>.</p> <p>Request 3: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of rape that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Tables 1-3 (multiple years included), here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>.</p> <p>Request 4: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of 'homicides specifically related to drug trade' that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Homicide in California 2017 publication, Table 24 (multiple years included), here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>.</p> <p>Request 5: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of home burglary that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Table 8 (multiple years included), here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>. Note, for reporting purposes, the term "home" falls under the category of "residence."</p> <p>Request 6: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of drug smuggling that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data is not available on</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

							<p>OpenJustice; however, the DOJ discloses statistical data to bona fide research bodies and public agencies pursuant to Penal Code sections 11105 and 13202. If you are a research body, then this request would fall under the DOJ's research request process. In order to request the data through this process, complete the attached packet regarding policies and procedures that relate to accessing criminal offender record information. The document, Conditions for Release of Criminal Offender Record Information, must be reviewed and signed by the project lead/requester. When completed, email all required documentation to <a href="mailto:Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov">Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov</a>. Once the packet is received, it will be reviewed and you will be notified if it is approved.</p> <p>Request 7: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of commercial burglary that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data is not available on OpenJustice; however; data on commercial robbery can be found in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Table 6 (multiple years included), and data on non-residential burglary can also be found in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Table 8, here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>.</p> <p>Furthermore, if you are a research body, then this request would fall under the DOJ's research request process. In order to request the data through this process, complete the attached packet regarding policies and procedures that relate to accessing criminal offender record information. The document, Conditions for Release of Criminal Offender Record Information, must be reviewed and signed by the project lead/requester. When completed, email all required documentation to <a href="mailto:Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov">Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov</a>. Once the packet is received, it will be reviewed and you will be notified if it is approved.</p> <p>Request 8: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of street robbery that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down/or each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Table 6 (multiple years included), here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/pub">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/pub</a></p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--



							<p>lications. Note, for reporting purposes, the term "street" falls under the category of "highway."</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>
PRA-2018-01428	Giusti (home burglary)	Heriberto	7/18/2018	I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of home burglary that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.		Completed	<p>Jaimie Tackett</p> <p>Specifically, you submitted eight separate requests, which I have consolidated into one request for the purpose of this response. Below please find your specific requests followed by our office 's response. Note, in providing this response, the Department of Justice (DOJ) interprets "cases" to mean "crimes."</p> <p>Request 1: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of vehicle theft that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Tables 1-3 (multiple years included), here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>.</p> <p>Request 2: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of murder that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Tables 1-3 (multiple years included), here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>.</p> <p>Request 3: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of rape that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Tables 1-3 (multiple years included), here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>.</p> <p>Request 4: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of 'homicides specifically related to drug trade' that</p>

							<p>occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Homicide in California 2017 publication, Table 24 (multiple years included), here:  <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>.</p> <p>Request 5: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of home burglary that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Table 8 (multiple years included), here:  <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>. Note, for reporting purposes, the term "home" falls under the category of "residence."</p> <p>Request 6: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of drug smuggling that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data is not available on OpenJustice; however, the DOJ discloses statistical data to bona fide research bodies and public agencies pursuant to Penal Code sections 11105 and 13202. If you are a research body, then this request would fall under the DOJ's research request process. In order to request the data through this process, complete the attached packet regarding policies and procedures that relate to accessing criminal offender record information. The document, Conditions for Release of Criminal Offender Record Information, must be reviewed and signed by the project lead/requester. When completed, email all required documentation to <a href="mailto:Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov">Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov</a>. Once the packet is received, it will be reviewed and you will be notified if it is approved.</p> <p>Request 7: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of commercial burglary that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data is not available on</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

								<p>OpenJustice; however, data on commercial robbery can be found in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Table 6 (multiple years included), and data on non-residential burglary can also be found in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Table 8, here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resomces/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resomces/publications</a>. Furthermore, if you are a research body, then this request would fall under the DOJ's research request process. In order to request the data through this process, complete the attached packet regarding policies and procedures that relate to accessing criminal offender record information. The document, Conditions for Release of Criminal Offender Record Information, must be reviewed and signed by the project lead/requester. When completed, email all required documentation to <a href="mailto:Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov">Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov</a>. Once the packet is received, it will be reviewed and you will be notified if it is approved.</p> <p>Request 8: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of street robbery that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down/or each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Table 6 (multiple years included), here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>. Note, for reporting purposes, the term "street" falls under the category of "highway."</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>
PRA-2018-01429	Gu	Kevin	7/18/2018	<p>California Vehicle Code § 22658(f) and 22658(m)(1), are part of a statute that governs tows from private property. These provisions state that both the towing company and the person authorizing the tow are required to contact the local traffic law enforcement agency to inform them of the tow. I'm requesting access to and an electronic copy of the following documents from the period January 2015 to the present:</p> <p>All records, reports, evaluations, or summaries of the private property tows reported to this agency by either the towing company or the private property owner. Relevant information includes, but is not limited to, the location from which the car is being towed, the person/company who authorized the tow, the towing company involved, and the time the call was received. This is for all locations this agency has jurisdiction.</p> <p>July 25, 2018 follow up: Local law enforcement agencies have told me they enter this information into a database maintained by the DOJ for stolen and impounded vehicles. Do you know what database that might be?</p>		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>July 25, 2018 response from PRA Coordinator: Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our</p>

							<p>office. Our research into the matter shows that the records you are seeking may be available through local law enforcement agencies.</p> <p>August 6, 2018 response from PRA Coordinator: I have consulted with the division that maintains the Stolen Vehicle System. It appears as though they keep the information as to the tow company and the agency towing. It does not indicate whether the tow was from private property, or provide information on who authorized the tow or even the address. We do not appear to have the information that you are requesting.</p> <p>August 9, 2018 response from Jaimie Tackett: The California Department of Justice (DOJ) maintains the Stolen Vehicles System, an on-line statewide database that maintains records that are of interest to law enforcement agencies, which is accessible through the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS). CLETS was established for the express purpose of permitting peace officers and law enforcement agencies to conduct official business via a secure telecommunications network. (Gov. Code, §§ 15151-15153.) Accordingly, any information transmitted via CLETS to or from the Stolen Vehicles System is confidential and exempt from disclosure. Confidentiality provisions like these are expressly incorporated into the PRA. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k).) As such, your request for records is denied. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>
PRA-2018-01430	Giusti (street robbery)	Heriberto	7/18/2018	I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of street robbery that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.		Completed	<p>Jaimie Tackett</p> <p>Specifically, you submitted eight separate requests, which I have consolidated into one request for the purpose of this response. Below please find your specific requests followed by our office's response. Note, in providing this response, the Department of Justice (DOJ) interprets "cases" to mean "crimes."</p> <p>Request 1: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of vehicle theft that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Tables 1-3 (multiple years included), here: <a href="https://open">https://open</a></p>

							<p>justice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications.</p> <p>Request 2: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of murder that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 201 7 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Tables 1-3 (multiple years included), here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>.</p> <p>Request 3: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of rape that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Tables 1-3 (multiple years included), here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>.</p> <p>Request 4: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of 'homicides specifically related to drug trade' that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Homicide in California 2017 publication, Table 24 (multiple years included), here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>.</p> <p>Request 5: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of home burglary that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Table 8 (multiple years included), here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>. Note, for reporting purposes, the term "home" falls under the category of "residence."</p> <p>Request 6: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of drug smuggling</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

							<p>that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data is not available on OpenJustice; however, the DOJ discloses statistical data to bona fide research bodies and public agencies pursuant to Penal Code sections 11105 and 13202. If you are a research body, then this request would fall under the DOJ's research request process. In order to request the data through this process, complete the attached packet regarding policies and procedures that relate to accessing criminal offender record information. The document, Conditions for Release of Criminal Offender Record Information, must be reviewed and signed by the project lead/requester. When completed, email all required documentation to Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov. Once the packet is received, it will be reviewed and you will be notified if it is approved.</p> <p>Request 7: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of commercial burglary that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data is not available on OpenJustice; however, data on commercial robbery can be found in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Table 6 (multiple years included), and data on non-residential burglary can also be found in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Table 8, here: <a href="https://openiustice.doj.ca.gov/resomces/publications">https://openiustice.doj.ca.gov/resomces/publications</a>.</p> <p>Furthermore, if you are a research body, then this request would fall under the DOJ's research request process. In order to request the data through this process, complete the attached packet regarding policies and procedures that relate to accessing criminal offender record information. The document, Conditions for Release of Criminal Offender Record Information, must be reviewed and signed by the project lead/requester. When completed, email all required documentation to Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov. Once the packet is received, it will be reviewed and you will be notified if it is approved.</p> <p>Request 8: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of street robbery that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down/or each of those years separately.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

							<p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Table 6 (multiple years included), here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>. Note, for reporting purposes, the term "street" falls under the category of "highway."</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>
PRA-2018-01431	Giusti (drug smuggling)	Heriberto	7/18/2018	I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of drug smuggling that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.		Completed	<p>Jaimie Tackett</p> <p>Specifically, you submitted eight separate requests, which I have consolidated into one request for the purpose of this response. Below please find your specific requests followed by our office's response. Note, in providing this response, the Department of Justice (DOJ) interprets "cases" to mean "crimes."</p> <p>Request 1: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of vehicle theft that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Tables 1-3 (multiple years included), here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>.</p> <p>Request 2: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of murder that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Tables 1-3 (multiple years included), here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>.</p> <p>Request 3: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of rape that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Tables 1-3 (multiple years</p>

							<p>included), here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>.</p> <p>Request 4: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of 'homicides specifically related to drug trade' that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Homicide in California 2017 publication, Table 24 (multiple years included), here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>.</p> <p>Request 5: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of home burglary that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Table 8 (multiple years included), here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>. Note, for reporting purposes, the term "home" falls under the category of "residence."</p> <p>Request 6: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of drug smuggling that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data is not available on OpenJustice; however, the DOJ discloses statistical data to bona fide research bodies and public agencies pursuant to Penal Code sections 11105 and 13202. If you are a research body, then this request would fall under the DOJ's research request process. In order to request the data through this process, complete the attached packet regarding policies and procedures that relate to accessing criminal offender record information. The document, Conditions for Release of Criminal Offender Record Information, must be reviewed and signed by the project lead/requester. When completed, email all required documentation to <a href="mailto:Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov">Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov</a>. Once the packet is received, it will be reviewed and you will be notified if it is approved.</p> <p>Request 7: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of commercial</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--



								<p>burglary that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data is not available on OpenJustice; however, data on commercial robbery can be found in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Table 6 (multiple years included), and data on non-residential burglary can also be found in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Table 8, here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resomces/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resomces/publications</a>.</p> <p>Furthermore, if you are a research body, then this request would fall under the DOJ's research request process. In order to request the data through this process, complete the attached packet regarding policies and procedures that relate to accessing criminal offender record information. The document, Conditions for Release of Criminal Offender Record Information, must be reviewed and signed by the project lead/requester. When completed, email all required documentation to <a href="mailto:Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov">Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov</a>. Once the packet is received, it will be reviewed and you will be notified if it is approved.</p> <p>Request 8: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of street robbery that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down/or each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Table 6 (multiple years included), here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>. Note, for reporting purposes, the term "street" falls under the category of "highway."</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>
PRA-2018-01432	Solorzano-Zevallos	Mirna	7/18/2018	Person's name: Vicente Benavides Figueroa. All files.		Fees Requested	Michael Dolida	<p>Dawn Stott maintained telephone communication with the requester, and responded on August 30, 2018 as follows: We have located the requested file. The releasable substantive documents contained in the case file are listed below. We cannot, however, provide you with copies of the reporter's transcripts which are also contained in the case file because these transcripts are exempt from disclosure</p>

								<p>under the PRA. Specifically, California Government Code section 69954, subdivision (d) provides as follows:</p> <p>(d) Any court, party, or person who has purchased a transcript may, without paying a further fee to the reporter, reproduce a copy or portion thereof as an exhibit pursuant to court order or rule, or for internal use, but shall not otherwise provide or sell a copy or copies to any other person or party. Thus, a person who has not purchased the reporter's transcript, but who wishes to obtain a copy of the reporter's transcript for a particular court proceeding must purchase it directly from the court reporting agency that transcribed the proceeding. Public agencies in possession of such transcripts are prohibited from providing a copy of the transcript to a requesting party. This statutory directive is incorporated into the PRA by California Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k). Accordingly, this office cannot provide you with copies of the reporter's transcripts of the oral court proceedings contained within these files. The Attorney General is, however, able to provide you with the following listed documents:</p> <p>Defendant's State Direct Appeal</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Clerk's Transcript consisting of 11 - volumes (3,326 pages)</li> <li>2. The Clerk's Supplemental Transcript consisting of 7 volumes (677 pages)</li> <li>3. Appellant's Opening Brief (250 pages)</li> <li>4. Respondent's Brief (142 pages)</li> <li>5. Appellant's Reply Brief (103 pages)</li> <li>6. Unpublished Decision of the California Supreme Court (provided)</li> <li>7. Petition for Rehearing and Request for Modification: (19 pages)</li> <li>7. Remittitur (1 page)</li> </ol> <p>4,518 Total Pages</p> <p>Defendants Federal Habeas</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Respondent's Informal Response to Redlined Copy of Corrected Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (447 pages)</li> <li>2. Exh bits to Respondent's Informal Response to Redlined Copy of Corrected Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (156 pages)</li> <li>3. Petitioners Informal Reply (704 pages)</li> <li>4. Exh bits to Petitioners Informal Reply (8,391 pages)</li> <li>5. Respondent's Return to Order to Show Cause to Redlines Copy of Corrected Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (91 pages)</li> <li>6. Exh bits to Respondent's Return to Order to Show Cause to Redlines Copy of Corrected Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (40 pages)</li> </ol>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

								<p>7. Traverse filed by Petitioner (95 pages)</p> <p>8. Unpublished Decision of the California Supreme Court (provided) 9,924 Total Pages</p> <p>For your convenience in deciding what documents you require, I have enclosed a copy of the Unpublished Opinions in both matters.</p> <p>The number of pages making up each document is provided with the document title because there is a charge for photocopying and mailing these documents to you. The documents listed total 14,442 pages. Pursuant to Government Code section 6253, subdivision (b), our office charges \$0.10 per page for duplication costs of public records. Accordingly, the cost. of photocopying the requested records is \$1,444.20. An additional charge of \$3.00 is also due in order to pay the postage fee (regular U.S. ground mail) for mailing an electronic version of the documents. Thus, the total cost for copying and mailing the documents you have requested is \$1,447.20.</p> <p>If you wish to receive copies of the aforementioned records, please remit a check in the appropriate amount made payable to the "California Department of Justice." You may mail or deliver the check to the following address: California Department of Justice Public Records Ombudsman 1300 I Street Sacramento, CA 95814</p> <p>Upon receipt of your check in the appropriate amount, we will promptly mail to you copies of the disclosable records responsive to your request.</p> <p>On July 30, 2018, Michael Dolida sent an extension letter.</p>
PRA-2018-01433	Giusti (murder crimes)	Heriberto	7/18/2018	I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of murder that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>Specifically, you submitted eight separate requests, which I have consolidated into one request for the purpose of this response. Below please find your specific requests followed by our office 's response. Note, in providing this response, the Department of Justice (DOJ) interprets "cases" to mean "crimes."</p> <p>Request 1: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of vehicle theft that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Tables 1-3 (multiple years included), here: <a href="https://open">https ://open</a></p>

							<p>justice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications.</p> <p>Request 2: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of murder that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 201 7 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Tables 1-3 (multiple years included), here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>.</p> <p>Request 3: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of rape that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Tables 1-3 (multiple years included), here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>.</p> <p>Request 4: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of 'homicides specifically related to drug trade' that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Homicide in California 2017 publication, Table 24 (multiple years included), here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>.</p> <p>Request 5: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of home burglary that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Table 8 (multiple years included), here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>. Note, for reporting purposes, the term "home" falls under the category of "residence."</p> <p>Request 6: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of drug smuggling</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

							<p>that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data is not available on OpenJustice; however, the DOJ discloses statistical data to bona fide research bodies and public agencies pursuant to Penal Code sections 11105 and 13202. If you are a research body, then this request would fall under the DOJ's research request process. In order to request the data through this process, complete the attached packet regarding policies and procedures that relate to accessing criminal offender record information. The document, Conditions for Release of Criminal Offender Record Information, must be reviewed and signed by the project lead/requester. When completed, email all required documentation to Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov. Once the packet is received, it will be reviewed and you will be notified if it is approved.</p> <p>Request 7: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of commercial burglary that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data is not available on OpenJustice; however, data on commercial robbery can be found in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Table 6 (multiple years included), and data on non-residential burglary can also be found in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Table 8, here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resomces/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resomces/publications</a>.</p> <p>Furthermore, if you are a research body, then this request would fall under the DOJ's research request process. In order to request the data through this process, complete the attached packet regarding policies and procedures that relate to accessing criminal offender record information. The document, Conditions for Release of Criminal Offender Record Information, must be reviewed and signed by the project lead/requester. When completed, email all required documentation to Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov. Once the packet is received, it will be reviewed and you will be notified if it is approved.</p> <p>Request 8: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of street robbery that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down/or each of those years separately.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

							<p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Table 6 (multiple years included), here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>. Note, for reporting purposes, the term "street" falls under the category of "highway."</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>
PRA-2018-01434	Giusti (rape crimes)	Heriberto	7/18/2018	I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of rape that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.		Completed	<p>Jaimie Tackett</p> <p>Specifically, you submitted eight separate requests, which I have consolidated into one request for the purpose of this response. Below please find your specific requests followed by our office's response. Note, in providing this response, the Department of Justice (DOJ) interprets "cases" to mean "crimes."</p> <p>Request 1: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of vehicle theft that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Tables 1-3 (multiple years included), here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>.</p> <p>Request 2: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of murder that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Tables 1-3 (multiple years included), here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>.</p> <p>Request 3: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of rape that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Tables 1-3 (multiple years</p>

							<p>included), here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>.</p> <p>Request 4: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of 'homicides specifically related to drug trade' that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Homicide in California 2017 publication, Table 24 (multiple years included), here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>.</p> <p>Request 5: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of home burglary that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Table 8 (multiple years included), here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>. Note, for reporting purposes, the term "home" falls under the category of "residence."</p> <p>Request 6: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of drug smuggling that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data is not available on OpenJustice; however, the DOJ discloses statistical data to bona fide research bodies and public agencies pursuant to Penal Code sections 11105 and 13202. If you are a research body, then this request would fall under the DOJ's research request process. In order to request the data through this process, complete the attached packet regarding policies and procedures that relate to accessing criminal offender record information. The document, Conditions for Release of Criminal Offender Record Information, must be reviewed and signed by the project lead/requester. When completed, email all required documentation to <a href="mailto:Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov">Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov</a>. Once the packet is received, it will be reviewed and you will be notified if it is approved.</p> <p>Request 7: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of commercial</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

								<p>burglary that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data is not available on OpenJustice; however, data on commercial robbery can be found in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Table 6 (multiple years included), and data on non-residential burglary can also be found in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Table 8, here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resomces/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resomces/publications</a>.</p> <p>Furthermore, if you are a research body, then this request would fall under the DOJ's research request process. In order to request the data through this process, complete the attached packet regarding policies and procedures that relate to accessing criminal offender record information. The document, Conditions for Release of Criminal Offender Record Information, must be reviewed and signed by the project lead/requester. When completed, email all required documentation to <a href="mailto:Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov">Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov</a>. Once the packet is received, it will be reviewed and you will be notified if it is approved.</p> <p>Request 8: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of street robbery that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down/or each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Table 6 (multiple years included), here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>. Note, for reporting purposes, the term "street" falls under the category of "highway."</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>
PRA-2018-01435	Giusti (homicides related to drug trade)	Heriberto	7/18/2018	I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of 'homicides specifically related to drug trade' that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>Specifically, you submitted eight separate requests, which I have consolidated into one request for the purpose of this response. Below please find your specific requests followed by our office's response. Note, in providing this response, the Department of Justice (DOJ) interprets "cases" to mean "crimes."</p> <p>Request 1: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of vehicle theft</p>



							<p>that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Tables 1-3 (multiple years included), here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>.</p> <p>Request 2: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of murder that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 201 7 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Tables 1-3 (multiple years included), here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>.</p> <p>Request 3: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of rape that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Tables 1-3 (multiple years included), here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>.</p> <p>Request 4: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of 'homicides specifically related to drug trade' that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Homicide in California 2017 publication, Table 24 (multiple years included), here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>.</p> <p>Request 5: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of home burglary that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data can be found on the</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

							<p>California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Table 8 (multiple years included), here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>. Note, for reporting purposes, the term "home" falls under the category of "residence."</p> <p>Request 6: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of drug smuggling that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data is not available on OpenJustice; however, the DOJ discloses statistical data to bona fide research bodies and public agencies pursuant to Penal Code sections 11105 and 13202. If you are a research body, then this request would fall under the DOJ's research request process. In order to request the data through this process, complete the attached packet regarding policies and procedures that relate to accessing criminal offender record information. The document, Conditions for Release of Criminal Offender Record Information, must be reviewed and signed by the project lead/requester. When completed, email all required documentation to <a href="mailto:Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov">Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov</a>. Once the packet is received, it will be reviewed and you will be notified if it is approved.</p> <p>Request 7: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of commercial burglary that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down for each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data is not available on OpenJustice; however, data on commercial robbery can be found in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Table 6 (multiple years included), and data on non-residential burglary can also be found in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Table 8, here: <a href="https://openiustice.doj.ca.gov/resomces/publications">https://openiustice.doj.ca.gov/resomces/publications</a>. Furthermore, if you are a research body, then this request would fall under the DOJ's research request process. In order to request the data through this process, complete the attached packet regarding policies and procedures that relate to accessing criminal offender record information. The document, Conditions for Release of Criminal Offender Record Information, must be reviewed and signed by the project lead/requester. When completed, email all required documentation</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

								<p>to Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov. Once the packet is received, it will be reviewed and you will be notified if it is approved.</p> <p>Request 8: I want to know the number of cases related to the crime of street robbery that occurred in the state during the years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and from January 1st to June 30th of 2018. Please break the information down/or each of those years separately.</p> <p>Response: This data can be found on the California AG's OpenJustice website. Specifically, in the Crime in California 2017 publication, Table 6 (multiple years included), here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications</a>. Note, for reporting purposes, the term "street" falls under the category of "highway."</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>
PRA-2018-01459	Sermeno	Larry	7/18/2018	Documentation of federal funding and state funding provided to the AG's office; disclosure of names of all public officers/employees, job titles and salaries of AG's office. I am requesting that you/your office send me certified copies of all public records related to the federal and state funding provided to the AG's office by all sources since 2011 to present, that obviously are not exempted from disclosure. Additionally, I am requesting that disclosure happen of the names of all public officers/employees, job titles, and salaries of this (the AG's) office from 2011 to present. Please identify if they are still working for the AG/State, their race, and all internal regulations for hiring employees. Also, please include all regulations governing the administration of all funding within the office. Please expedite your response to this request as quickly as possible. The documents are needed for court purposes to raise issues for violations of 42 U.S.C. § 1981, 29 U.S.C. § 794, and Equal Protection, under state and federal law. The discrimination is appearing prevalent in the AG's office on how legal arguments are made and which individuals to fight/litigate against. Thank you for your attention to this matter.		Completed	Stacy Heinsen	<p>On August 13, 2018, Stacy Heinsen sent a fees letter: Each state or local agency, upon request for a copy of records that reasonably describes an identifiable record or records, shall make the records promptly available to any person upon payment of fees covering direct costs of duplication (Government Code 6253 § (b)).</p> <p>Records responsive to your request above make up 280 pages of information. The cost for duplication of records is \$.10 per page. Responsive records requested will be sent to your attention upon receipt of duplication fees in the amount of \$28.00. Please send a cashier's check or money order (made payable to the Department of Justice) to my attention at the address noted above for the duplication fee.</p> <p>On July 30, 2018 Stacy Heinsen sent an extension letter.</p>
PRA-2018-01465	Cox	Kenny	7/18/2018	1) Did this initiative make the "2018" November Ballot? 2) How and when will the initiative Officially qualify for the "2018" November Ballot? 3) If this initiative DIDN'T make the "2018" Ballot, what's the process for it to Officially qualify for the 2020 Ballot?		Completed	Anabel Renteria	<p>Initiative 17-0044 did not qualify for the November 2018 ballot. Initiative 17-0044 has become eligible for the November 2020 ballot; this means it has gathered enough signatures to be placed on the ballot. Due to it failing to meet the signature deadline for the November 2018 general election, it will be eligible for the 2020 ballot. For information on the ballot process please visit the Secretary of State website <a href="http://www.sos.ca.gov/elections">www.sos.ca.gov/elections</a>. This completes our response to your request.</p>
PRA-2018-	Garcia	Sergio	7/18/2018	Pursuant to my rights under the California Public Records Act (Government Code Section 6250 et seq.), I ask to inspect and obtain a copy of the following, which I understand to be held by your agency.		Completed	Chris Knudsen	<p>As an initial matter, we note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice but appears to seek</p>

0148 5				<p>1. Any and all electronic messages regarding defendant Sergio Garcia, case no: ICMI-INTR-2017-10284-1, from August 21, 2017 to the present, including but not limited to Inyo County Judge David Devore, District Attorney Thomas L. Hardy, and/or any Inyo County Deputy District attorney. This shall include any records, including but not limited to letters, notes, telephone conversation notes and/or records, memorandums, and/or any documents relating to defendant Sergio Garcia.</p>			<p>records from other public entities such as the Inyo County Superior Court and the District Attorney's Office. The Department of Justice is responding on its own behalf and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>For the reasons set forth below, we must respectfully decline your request.</p> <p>1. Pending Litigation Exemption</p> <p>The records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the pending litigation exemption to the Public Records Act. Agencies may withhold the disclosure of records pertaining to pending litigation to which the public agency is a party until the pending litigation has been finally adjudicated or otherwise settled. (Gov. Code. § 6254 subd. (b).) The documents you seek were created by attorneys in connection with ongoing People v. Sergio Garcia litigation, and our office is representing the California Highway Patrol in connection with a motion to quash a subpoena which is set for hearing on August 8, 2018. In other words, we are currently and actively litigating this matter on behalf of our client. My understanding is that you have also filed a motion to disqualify the District Attorney which is also pending and also set for hearing on August 8, 2018. It should be noted that you already have been provided documents filed with the Court and served on various parties including the District Attorney's Office.</p> <p>2. Attorney Work Product Is Exempt From Disclosure</p> <p>Additionally, the attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc. section 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, section 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>3. Attorney-Client Privilege</p> <p>Some of the records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality</p>
-----------	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

							<p>privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.)</p> <p>The statement in your request seeking all document "related" to Sergio Garcia would include confidential communications between our office and our client regarding the matter.</p> <p>4. Deliberative Process and Official Information Privileges</p> <p>The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255.</p> <p>The Department of Justice also has a privilege to withhold official information if its disclosure is contrary to the public interest. (Evid. Code § 1040.) Information shared in a confidential manner among state, county, or local agencies does not waive the official information privilege. (See Michael P. v. Superior Court (2001) 92 Cal.App.4th 1036, 1048.)</p> <p>It is unclear from the request what exactly you are seeking, but records such as communications between attorneys about this case, analyses, draft language, and memoranda could be covered by the official information and/or deliberative process privileges and, therefore, absent special circumstances would be exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6255. If you are seeking information relating to the underlying incident such documents would be records of an investigation conducted by a police agency and therefore exempt. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (f); Haynie v. Superior Court (2001) 26 Cal.4th 1061, 1068-1070.)</p> <p>5. The Public Interest Served By Not Disclosing These Records Clearly Outweighs The Public Interest Served by Disclosure.</p> <p>Finally, the records are also being withheld</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

								<p>under Section 6255 of the Government Code.</p> <p>Under the facts of this particular case, the public interest served by not disclosing the records clearly outweighs the public interest served by disclosure of the records. As an initial matter, this seems more a matter of private interest to you personally, than to the public. You are seeking documents created during litigation to which you are a party relating, apparently, to communications between our office and the District Attorney's office. It does not appear that there would be any public interest served by disclosing these records during the pendency of active litigation to which you are a party. Assuming there is some minimal public interest, the minimal interest in disclosure is clearly outweighed by the significant public interest in allowing public attorneys to work up cases on behalf of their public agency clients. A litigant opposing a public entity cannot take unfair advantage of the public agency status of his or her opponent through a Public Records Act Request. Just like a private attorney, a public attorney may communicate with other parties. Disclosing the requested records while these matters are being actively litigated would not serve the public interest.</p>
PRA-2018-01318	Combs (AB 103 -2017-2018 Reg Session)	Brandon	7/19/2018	<p>RECORDS REQUESTED:</p> <p>1. Please provide me with a copy of all records and information about Assembly Bill 103 (2017-2018 Regular Session).</p> <p>2. Please provide me with a copy of all records and information about changes to the deadline date in Penal Code §§ 30900(b)(1), 30680(c) (amended (as added by Stats. 2016, Ch. 40, Sec. 2) by Stats. 2017, Ch. 17, Sec. 47. (AB 103)), and 30680(c) (amended (as added by Stats. 2016, Ch. 48, Sec. 2) by Stats. 2017, Ch. 17, Sec. 48. (AB 103)).</p> <p>3. For the period of January 1, 2016, to the present, please provide me with a copy of all records and information about Penal Code § 29805.</p> <p>4. For the period of January 1, 2016, to the present, please provide me with a copy of all records and information about Penal Code § 29581 and Senate Bill 112 (Stats. 2017, Ch. 363, Sec. 9.).</p> <p>5. For the period of January 1, 2016, to the present, please provide me with a copy of all records and information about the term "fugitive from justice," defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(15), particularly in the context of the Federal Bureau of Investigation (commonly called FBI)'s National Instant Criminal Background Check System (NICS) or the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives (commonly called BATFE or ATF). See, e.g., <a href="https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/national-security/tens-of-thousands-of-outstanding-warrants-purged-from-background-check-database-for-gun-purchases/2017/11/22/b890643c-ced1-11e7-9d3a-bcbe2af58c3a_story.html">https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/national-security/tens-of-thousands-of-outstanding-warrants-purged-from-background-check-database-for-gun-purchases/2017/11/22/b890643c-ced1-11e7-9d3a-bcbe2af58c3a_story.html</a></p>	Attorney at Law	Assigned	Robert Wilson	<p>Clarification Response sent on July 9, 2018: As a preliminary matter, we note that under the Public Records Act government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public, unless they are exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) Section 6254, subdivision (k), incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law.</p> <p>As currently drafted, your request for information is overly broad and unduly burdensome. Unspecific and unfocused requests for government records under the Public Records Act are contrary to the purposes of the Public Records act, and requestors should provide specific, focused requests in order to give agencies a reasonable opportunity to respond.</p> <p>General, unfocused requests compel agencies to deny them thereby leading to litigation. The request to the agency must itself be focused and specific. (Rogers v. Superior Court (1993) 19 Cal.App.4th 469, 481.) Specifically, a search of all Department records and information under these parameters would be unworkable. Furthermore, it is appropriate to consider the time and expense necessary for an agency to comply with a request. Section 6255 speaks broadly of the "public interest,"</p>

								<p>a phrase which encompasses public concern with the cost and efficiency of government. (ACLU, supra, 32 Cal.3d at pp. 452-453.) In American Civil Liberties Union Foundation, the ACLU requested certain index cards and computer printouts held by the Department of Justice. The California Supreme Court upheld the Department's refusal to provide the documents, even in a redacted form, because "segregation of personal identifiers, confidential information, and information which might reveal confidential sources will be so burdensome, and will so reduce the utility of disclosing the documents to the ACLU, that the public interest will not be served by requiring disclosure of the index cards." (Id. at p. 444.) The court observed that "to refuse to place [the burden to an agency of compliance] on the section 6255 scales would make it possible for any person requesting information, for any reason or for no particular reason, to impose upon governmental agency a limitless obligation. Such a result would not be in the public interest." (Id. at p. 453.) The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.) If there is a more specific issue that you want to address, let us know and we can refine the search to determine if there are responsive documents.</p> <p>For these reasons, we must decline your request for records as it is currently cast. However, we are willing to consult with you to determine if a more specific request would be likely to generate additional records. (Gov. Code, section 6253.1.)</p>
PRA-2018-01437	Estes-Hightower	Teri	7/19/2018	I am a Texas Attorney. May I receive a complete list of the California Sex Offender Registry emailed to me in Microsoft Excel? The list should include registrants' name, address, and any other public information regarding their offense and dates.		Completed	Michael Dolida	<p>Unfortunately, we must deny your PRA request for the following reasons: (1) Public access to confidential sex offender registration information is controlled by Megan's Law which, for database integrity reasons, limits such access to real time internet searches on the Megan's Law web site; and (2) Given the constantly-changing nature of the Megan Law's database, the public's interest is best served by limiting public access to confidential sex offender registration information that is accurate and up-to-date.</p> <p>Megan's Law Provides for Internet Access Only</p> <p>Most of the information provided by registering sex offenders during the registration process is confidential (i.e., not open to public inspection). (Pen. Code, §</p>

								<p>.290.021.) The same is also true for "criminal offender record information" kept in the Department of Justice's California Sex and Arson Registry ("CSAR").</p> <p>However, despite the confidential nature of this information, California provides members of the public with limited access to some of the sex offender registration information via the Megan's Law internet web site. Specifically, Penal Code section 290.46 provides that - "the Department of Justice shall make -available information concerning persons who are required to register pursuant to Section 290 to the public via an Internet Web site as specified in this section." (Pen. Code, § 290.46, subd. (a)(l), italics added.) The statute further requires the department to "update the Internet Web site on an ongoing basis." (Ibid.) As mentioned in the notes to this code section, the Legislature created the Megan's Law web site with the intent "that the Department of Justice [ would] continue to maximize all available resources to ensure the highest degree of accuracy in the sex registration database!" (Pen. Code, § 290.46, Stats. 2004. ch 745, §. 2.)</p> <p>Additionally, the Legislature enacted Megan's Law with the intent that "California's infrastructure for collecting, maintaining, and disseminating information about registered sex offenders [ would] be retooled to ensure that law enforcement and the public have access to accurate, up-to-date, and relevant information about registered sex offenders." (Pen. Code, § 290.46, Stats 2006 ch. 337, § 2, subd. (c), italics added.) Thus, in order to help ensure and maintain the accuracy of this information, the Legislature limited the public dissemination of sex offender registration information to the Megan's Law web site.</p> <p>Government Code section 6254 sets forth various exceptions to the disclosure requirements under the PRA. Significantly for this matter, section 6254, subdivision (k), exempts from disclosure "Records, the disclosure of which is exempted or prohibited pursuant to federal or state law, including, but not limited to, provisions of the Evidence Code relating to privilege." (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k), italics added.)</p> <p>Thus, the PRA cannot extend the public's right of access to sex offender registration information beyond the limitations and restrictions set forth in Megan's Law. Consequently, the public's right of access to this information is limited to accessing the Megan's Law database.</p> <p>The Public Interest is Best Served by Limiting Access to Current and Accurate</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--



								<p>Information</p> <p>In your request, you state you are looking for an electronic copy in "Mircosoft Excel" regarding registered sex offenders to include their names, addresses, and any other public information regarding their offenses and dates. Under Government Code section 6255, a government agency may withhold disclosure of a public record "by demonstrating ... that on the facts of the particular case the public interest served by not disclosing the record clearly outweighs the public interest served by disclosure of the record." (Gov. Code, §6255, subd. (a).) As a separate legal basis, we believe the circumstances in this case necessitate nondisclosure of the records that you seek from us.</p> <p>The information that appears on the Megan's Law web site is processed through a third-party vendor. The purpose of the web site is to provide members of the public access to the most current sex offender registrant data. Thus, the site, which is updated daily to reflect any new additions, deletions, and modifications to the registrant database, stores and displays only the current day's data.</p> <p>The Offender Registration Application Unit (ORAU) is the internal unit within the Department of Justice that provides technology support and maintenance for CSAR, the State's repository for sex and arson registration information. Every day, ORAU creates a file which contains a snapshot of the registration data for that day. Our vendor then downloads that file from our site and stores the file on the hard drive of the server that creates the files used for the web site. Specifically, our vendor runs a program that takes that day's data and completely recreates the data files that are used by the web servers to provide the data to the public. Our vendor does not employ any database for this process, only temporary ISAM files: No transaction or other record keeping measures are utilized. The previous day's data on the web servers is overwritten with the new data. The data is also overwritten on the computer where these daily data files are created.</p> <p>On our end, ORAU keeps a backup for seven days of the extract file that is sent to our vendor. However, the information on the backup files is not a mirror image of what appears on the public web site because it contains information that is excluded from public view during our vendor's processing of the information. Additionally, even if our vendor reprocessed the information from one of the backup files, we could not ensure</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

							<p>the accuracy of all the information because of the ever-changing nature of the registration database. In other words, because the registration database changes on a daily basis (i.e., newly added registrants, newly removed registrants, and changes to registrant's data) even a delay of one day would result in a report that contained inaccurate data. Such results do not comply with the Legislature's stated intent "that the Department of Justice ... ensure the highest degree of accuracy in the sex registration database" (Pen. Code, § 290.46, Stats 2004 ch 745, §. 2) and "ensure that . . . the public have access to accurate, up-to-date, and relevant information about registered sex offenders". (Pen. Code, § 290.46, Stats 2006 ch 337, §. 2, subd. (c)). While we understand and appreciate that you are not concerned with the ongoing accuracy of the information provided, the law requires us as discussed ante to provide the public updated and accurate information on an ongoing basis. Due to the constantly changing nature of the information in the registry, a "snapshot" view of the information for any given day of the Megan's Law web site would almost certainly be obsolete the following day. In other words, the day-old "snapshot" would contain some information that should have been removed, and it would be missing other information that should have been added. Given the sensitive nature of the information at issue, we cannot allow such inaccurate records to exist. The problem is further compounded by the fact that if we provide a "snapshot" for one member of the public we have to provide a "snapshot" to every member of the public that requests it. In doing so, we would be creating a situation wherein an untold number inaccurate "snapshots" of the database would exist. Furthermore, given the highly sensitive nature of sex offender registration information, providing the public with inaccurate information could cause real public safety concerns. For instance, if registration information is disseminated about a person who is no longer required to register or be posted on the web site, that person may be subjected to undue harassment or even danger. Additionally, if a registrant moves, the person who occupies the registrant's old address may be mistakenly harassed or injured. And, as every day goes by, the obsolescence of the report and the inaccuracies contained within it continue to grow. Finally, we receive a multitude of similar requests from members of the public, especially broadcast and print media</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

							outlets. Depending on the volume and requested frequency (i.e., daily, weekly, monthly) of such PRA requests if we were required to produce sex offender registration database information, the additional time and work required for responding to the requests could have a dramatic impact on our ability to successfully perform the core functions of CSAR, which in turn could affect public safety.
PRA-2018-01438	Ford	Kathleen	7/19/2018	<p>My name is Kate Ford from the US Consulate General in Amsterdam. I am working with Ms. Lesley Evans in the Fraud Prevention Unit. We are trying to locate records of US citizen: Halima Bulbul (DPOB [REDACTED], Jalalabad Afghanistan). The last known address on file is: [REDACTED]</p> <p>Any information on Ms. Bulbul would be greatly appreciated.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and logical places. Other than the attached request, we found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at:</p> <p><a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Some authorized agencies may be able to access these records through the</p>

								Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records from the Record Review Unit may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-01439	Wettach	Robin	7/19/2018	I am requesting a copy of the will for my grandmother Glodine L. Wettach and/or her husband George Wettach. She died [REDACTED] and the last 4 on her social is [REDACTED]. She died in Menlo Park, San Mateo County. Her surviving son was George E. Wettach and he passed away last year so I'm trying to tidy up the family estates and such. Her maiden name was Wi ks. If you have any questions please feel free to contact me through email or phone.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice, therefore we must deny your request. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). Please note that our office is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records regarding a probate matter. You may wish to contact the local court in which the probate matter would be heard. If you are seeking unclaimed property linked to an estate, you may wish to contact the State Controller's office.
PRA-2018-01442	LeCroy	Ashley	7/19/2018	I am not looking for any specific record, but any records of cases of notary fraud that have been reported in the following California counties: Los Angeles, Ventura, Santa Barbara, San Luis Obispo, Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz, Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, Napa, San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Clara, Solano, and Sonoma. This information will be used for an Access to Justice research project that will analyze the relative access to immigration attorneys to immigrants on the Central Coast when compared to Los Angeles County and the San Francisco Bay Area.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have searched our legal indices and consulted knowledgeable persons and determined that since such materials are not maintained, indexed or categorized according to the single title or subject matter description given, thus, requiring a more extensive search for such documents, we must deny your request as it is currently cast. The Attorney General is the head of the Department of Justice. The Offices of the Attorney General are located in six different cities. In addition to these six offices, there are other law enforcement divisions. In order to respond to your request, each division of the Department of Justice, including each section, branch, unit or bureau would have to be canvassed, requiring each individual deputy attorney general or the head of each section, branch, unit or bureau, or staff thereof, to review each and every individual matter assigned to that deputy attorney general or the head of each section, branch, unit or bureau, or staff thereof, to determine if they have any documents responsive to your request. Pursuant to Government Code section 6255, the expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452 453.) You may be able to request the information you are seeking from the local district attorneys for the counties you are interested in obtaining records from. You may also

							find more information about notary fraud at the California Secretary of State's website at <a href="http://www.sos.ca.gov/notary/file-complaint/">http://www.sos.ca.gov/notary/file-complaint/</a> .
PRA-2018-01444	Cubeiro (CFARS Maintenance)	Matthew	7/19/2018	September 10, 2018 follow up: We write to request an estimated date of production of all responsive documents. Please advise how much time is needed to produce the documents. July 19, 2018 request: 1. Any and all communications regarding any website maintenance schedule* for the California Firearms Application Reporting System ("CFRAS")3 from June 2017 to present. * "Maintenance schedule" includes but is not limited to frequency of maintenance, planning notes, and policies and procedures for maintenance.	Michel & Associates, P.C.	Assigned	<p>This is to inform you that due to the large amount of documents that could potentially be responsive to your request, we have not yet concluded our search. As such, we do not have responsive documents at this time. However, please advise if you would like us to provide any responsive documents on a rolling basis as they become available.</p> <p>On July 31, 2018 an extension was sent by Rob Wilson</p>
PRA-2018-01445	Hefter	Steven	7/19/2018	I would like access to the monthly capitation rates for the All-Inclusive Care for the Elderly (PACE) Program for the Calendar Year (CY) 2017. This program serves the Medicare/Medicaid dual eligible population. The California DHS submits these rates to CMS for approval.		Completed	<p>Aviva Burmas</p> <p>Below are links to the Public Records Act request page for CDHCS and the Freedom of Information Act request page for CMS.</p> <p><a href="http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/formsandpubs/Pages/PublicRecordsAct.aspx">http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/formsandpubs/Pages/PublicRecordsAct.aspx</a>  <a href="https://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Legislation/FOIA/index.html">https://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Legislation/FOIA/index.html</a></p>
PRA-2018-01446	Knight (BOF-RI2017-01021)	Beverlee	7/19/2018	I would like to make a public record request for CASE# B0F-RI2017-01021. Case name is Wesley Nyles Spurlock Jr. and or Beverlee Spurlock (Knight). Approximate date: July 2017 -August 2017.		Completed	<p>Robert Wilson</p> <p>As a preliminary matter, we note that under the Public Records Act government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public, unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) Section 6254, subdivision (k), "incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law. Section 6254, subdivision (f) of the California Government Code exempts investigative files from disclosure to the public. Thus, we are not making the wholesale production of any investigative case file, which your request appears to seek. On the other hand, state and local law enforcement agencies are required to disclose the names and addresses of persons and non-confidential witnesses involved in an incident and the statements made by such witnesses. State and local law enforcement agencies also are required to disclose a description of any property involved, the date, time, and location of the incident and all diagrams that may have been a part of the report. Disclosure is not required if it would endanger the safety of a witness or other person involved in the investigation, or if disclosure would endanger the successful completion of the investigation or a related investigation. Also, nothing in subdivision (f) requires the disclosure of that portion of those investigative files that reflects the analysis or conclusions of the investigating officer.</p> <p>With the above provisions in mind, we can</p>

								provide you with the following information: Names and addresses of persons and non-confidential witnesses involved: • Department of Justice, Bureau of Firearms, • 4949 Broadway, Sacramento, CA 95820 • Ms. Knight, Norco, CA Statements made by witnesses: A statement by a witness indicates that some of the firearms in question were stored in a safe and some were taken to Texas. Description of any property involved: Multiple firearms were involved in the incident. Date, time, and location of the incident: July 7, 2017, 9:30 a.m., Norco, CA. Diagrams that may have been a part of the report: None. This completes our response to your July 19, 2018 request for records.
PRA-2018-01447	Ortiz	Patrick	7/19/2018	Work history from dates 12/13/2014 to 1/1/1998 all records of work history in between those dates.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.
PRA-2018-01449	Zada (Corcept Pharmaceuticals)	Basheer	7/19/2018	Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. §552, we wish to obtain a record of any communications between the California DOJ/OAG and Corcept Pharmaceuticals from 1/1/2016 to 7/19/2018, related to the promotion of Korlym (mifepristone), which is approved by the FDA for the treatment of hyperglycemia secondary to hypercortisolism in adult patients with endogenous Cushing's syndrome.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.
PRA-2018-01450	Elkhaoudi	Salma	7/19/2018	I am requesting information on all prosecutions made in the state of California under the following statutes: Cal. Penal Code Sec. 11460 on unlawful assembly, and Cal. Penal Code Sec. 422 on unauthorized wearing of uniform. I would like the number of cases opened and the number of convictions or guilty pleas under those statutes from January 1, 2008 to July 15, 2018. This data will be used for an investigative report on paramilitary activity across US states, and will be assessed alongside similar information from other states.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You have requested information regarding open cases related to a specific set of statutes. We have conducted a search of our legal indices and consulted knowledgeable persons and were unable to locate responsive records as your request is currently cast. Such materials may not be maintained, indexed or categorized according to a single title or subject matter description, thus, requiring a more extensive search for such documents. As you are aware, the Attorney General is the head of the Department of Justice. The Offices of the Attorney General are located

								in six different cities. In addition to these six offices, there are other law enforcement divisions. In order to respond to your request, each division of the Department of Justice, including each section, branch, unit or bureau would have to be canvassed, requiring each individual deputy attorney general or the head of each section, branch, unit or bureau, or staff thereof, to review each and every individual matter assigned to that deputy attorney general or the head of each section, branch, unit or bureau, or staff thereof, to determine if they have any documents responsive to your request. Pursuant to Government Code section 6255, the expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.) Consequently, we will be unable to provide you with any records under the request as it is currently cast. If you can narrow or clarify your search, or provide more specificity, please provide that information to us.
PRA-2018-01451	Nauslar	Gary	7/19/2018	Would like to receive the Court record for the following person - Aundrea Lindley. This is all of the info that I know of at this time for that particular court case Aundrea Lindley Source State: California Court Public Records Source Agency: California Record ID: 79942376		Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with a court, you may wish to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed.
PRA-2018-01455	Snoke	Brian	7/19/2018	This is to appeal the denial of my request for information pursuant to the public records act. California Government Code sections 6250 et seq. On or about July 10, 2018, I received a letter from E. Nickless, Public Records Agent, California Department of Justice, Attorney General's Office denying my request for access to public records concerning California Code of Regulations Title 15 sections 3120-3124 and any available information explaining why the U.S. Attorney's Office of the Pardon Attorney's information materials (incomplete) directed State Prisoners seeking executive clemency to contact California Counsel first. (See File: In Re E. Nickless, ex rel Snoke, Brian Lawrence. CDCRN: D853681). I trust that your examination of these communications you will concede that the information I am seeking should be disclosed. If all or any part of this appeal affirms the initial denial, please issue a timely statement of decision and all reviewing authorities place of location for additional appellate reviews.		Completed	Erin Nickless	The California DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You are seeking an explaining of documents from the United States Department of

							<p>Justice, Office of the Pardon Attorney, which is a Federal, rather than State agency. Please note that you request was submitted to the California DOJ, which is a State agency, and independently run from the United States Department of Justice (US DOJ). If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of the US DOJ, you may wish to direct your request to their office. We cannot provide documents that we do not have. Therefore, we are unable to grant your request.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking legal advice or interpretation of another agency's materials, the DOJ is not in a position to perform legal research or to provide legal advice to private individuals. You may wish to consult with a private attorney to evaluate your options regarding your records request.</p>
PRA-2018-01456	Adkins (Police Reports)	Mae	7/19/2018	Any and all police reports, case reports, and/or arrest reports regarding Rebecca Yen-Chun Liu (Social Security number [REDACTED]; date of birth: [REDACTED], and all photographs and evidence obtained in relation thereto. The arrest was somewhere between 2003 and 2009 in San Ramon, California, at the Target store. The arrest was for shoplifting.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. In regard to court cases, we have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record</p>



								Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-01544	Boylan (AB 103 -2017-2018 Reg Session)	Paul	7/19/2018	"1. All records and information pertaining to Assembly Bill 103 (2017-2018 Regular Session); 2. All records and information the DOJ created, sent or received pertaining to Penal Code § 29805; and 3. All records the DOJ created, sent or received from January 1, 2016, pertaining to the term ""fugitive from justice"" (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921 ( a)( 15), particularly in the context of the Federal Bureau of Investigation ( commonly called FBI)'s National Instant Criminal Background Check System (NICS) or the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives (commonly called BATFE or ATF)."		Assigned	Rob Wilson	<p>The original request received before you July 19 email contained five items. We initially objected that, as currently drafted, the request for information was overly broad and unduly burdensome, and that it would be too time consuming and expensive to respond to. In return, you limited the request to the above-three items. Having done so, it appears that the "fugitive from justice" issue is the common thread running through the requests.</p> <p>We would offer for your consideration the fact that AB 103 is an Omnibus Bill which contains a multitude of items completely unrelated to firearms. And while section 29805 of the Penal Code deals with firearms, it lists all of the prohibiting misdemeanors. Like the request for materials pertaining to AB 103, trying to find and produce "[a]ll records and information the DOJ created, sent or received" pertaining to section 29805 - for all time - is simply too broad and unduly burdensome.</p> <p>Nevertheless, if we have correctly discerned that the "fugitive from justice" issue is what your client is interested in, will you consider combining all three requests under that one category? We have responsive documents on that issue and are working on collecting and reviewing them. Some of those documents are ready to produce now, but additional work needs to be done to gather and review any additional responsive documents. At this juncture, then, we need to come to an agreement on whether we are to produce them on a rolling basis or whether you prefer that we wait until everything is compiled to produce them in one package. Please let us know your preference.</p>
PRA-2018-01565	Boylan (DOJ's Eval of time & expense)	Paul	7/19/2018	Please provide me with access to and copies of all records pertaining to the DOJ's evaluation and/or determination of the time and expense necessary for the DOJ to provide my clients with access to the records they requested in their July 3rd request.		Completed	Jeff Rich	We have no records that are responsive to your request.
PRA-2018-01567	Boylan (DOJ's Retention Policy)	Paul	7/19/2018	Please provide me with access to and a copy of the DOJ's most recent records retention policy, including for emails, and any such policy's immediate predecessor (if any).		Completed	Jeff Rich	<p>There is no records retention schedule applicable to "DOJ" as a whole. Thus, we have construed your request as pertaining to the Department of Justice, Bureau of Firearms. A copy of the Bureau's records retention schedule is enclosed.</p> <p>Finally, we have not been able, as of this date, to locate any immediate predecessor for</p>

								the enclosed retention policy. Please advise if you wish that we continue to attempt to locate any immediate predecessor policy.
PRA-2018-01457	Castro Aguirre (Kern Co Records)	Giovanni	7/20/2018	I would like to request all Kern County Financial Records such as all taxes and all expenses made by each department and purchases made by any/all persons in leadership.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to Kern County, you may wish to direct your request to their offices.
PRA-2018-01458	Brand (Lawrence Bittaker)	Laura	7/20/2018	<p>July 20, 2018 request: Any police case files, crime scene photos, court transcripts of Lawrence Sigmund Bittaker in the year of 1979 concerning the 5 murders he committed in that year. As well as the medical examiners report of 16 year- old Shirley Ledford who was killed October 31st 1979, her body was found November 1st 1979. I am researching the case for a book.</p> <p>August 8, 2018 e-mail clarification: Thank you for getting back to me! I will try calling you tonight, if I don't reach you I will call you tomorrow. I really need Shirley Ledford's autopsy report as well as Robin Robeck's initial statement she gave to the investigators when she was in the hospital. There are a few more things, I'll go over with you on the phone. Thank you for getting back to me!</p> <p>On August 8, 2018, requester clarified over the phone that they are seeking:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Autopsy Report for Shirley Ledford;</li> <li>2) Statement of Robin Robeck taken by investigator at the hospital on 9/30/1979;</li> <li>3) Reporter's transcripts; and</li> <li>4) Police case files.</li> </ol>		Completed	Rosalinda Rosas	<p>On August 20, 2018, Rosalinda Rosas sent a fees letter: We understand this statutory provision to require members of the public who seek reporter's transcripts of court proceedings to acquire them only from the reporter, and not from public agencies that may acquire them in the course of litigation. This statutory directive is incorporated into the Public Records Act by Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k). The Clerk of the Court of Los Angeles County Superior Court, in which the legal proceedings were conducted, may be able to provide information concerning how to obtain transcripts of the proceedings. Please be advised that our file does not include a copy of "Robin Robeck's initial statement she gave to the investigators when she was in the hospital" on September 30, 1979.</p> <p>As per your records request, our file does include numerous "police case files" from multiple law enforcement agencies, including the California Department of Justice - Bureau of Identification, Los Angeles County Sheriffs Department, Hermosa Beach Police Department, Redondo Beach Police Department, Torrance Police Department, and Burbank Police Department. Included in these police reports is a copy of the autopsy report regarding Lynette Ledford. The total page count of the law enforcement reports included in our file in People v. Lawrence Sigmund Bittaker, California Supreme Court Case No. S004359, is 1,099 pages. The cost of the requested records is \$109.90, at 10 cents per page, plus \$45.45 in postage, at a grand total of \$155.35. Please make the check or money order payable to:</p>

							<p>Department of Justice.</p> <p>Upon receipt of payment, we will forward you the requested records. If we do not receive your payment within 20 days of this letter, we will consider the request for records withdrawn and the matter closed.</p> <p>On August 8, 2018, Rosalinda Rosas sent an e-mail seeking clarification to requester: I am assigned to this Public Records Act request, and I have left you two voice mail messages because I am attempting to assist you with this records request. This case is voluminous, and I want to provide you with the documents you are requesting, but I want to make sure, it is what you are seeking. Can you please contact me, so we can talk about a focused document request? Thank you!</p> <p>On July 30, 2018 an extension letter was sent by Rosalinda Rosas.</p>
PRA-2018-01461	Coats (AG Opinion)	Francis	7/20/2018	<p>Any index or finding aid for identifying Attorney General opinions, including informal and "letter" opinions. I am particularly interested in indexes or finding aids which would cover opinion issued during the period beginning with January 1909 and continuing through to the formal publication of opinions in the mid-1940's.</p> <p>Public Records Act Request (Public Records Act chapter 3.5 (commencing with §6250) of division 7 of title 1 Government Code.) Any index or other finding aid maintained by the Office of the Attorney General, of Opinions, including "informal," and "letter," opinions, issued by the Attorney General or the Office of the Attorney General, particularly for opinions issued beginning January 1909 and continuing to the mid-1940's. To the Attorney General of the State of California, and to the Office of the Attorney General. I previously requested an opportunity to inspect and copy opinions issued by the Attorney General interpreting section 25, Article I of the California Constitution. The response I received did not mention an opinion issued by Attorney General U. S. Webb at the request of Surveyor General W. S. Kingsbury, dated July 23, 1915, concerning whether section 25 required the Surveyor General to include in each patent issued by his office an express reservation of the fishing rights provided for in section 25. The Attorney General advised the Surveyor General:</p> <p>Although this provision of the constitution must be read into every patent that is issued while it is in force and effect, nevertheless the section is sufficiently clear to require you to insert such a reservation in the patents which are issued, so that the rights of the people may thus be reserved even though the Constitution is subsequently changed.</p> <p>I learned of this opinion from a 1915 newspaper, and was subsequently able to obtain a copy. I looked in the newspaper because U. S. Webb regularly made opinions available to the press and welcomed their publication. I also know that U. S. Webb was considered an expert in water related public trust law, and was involved in laying the foundations of the public trust doctrine in California. I have to suspect that this opinion was not disclosed because it was adverse to the position later taken by the Attorney General and agency clients, and that there may be more opinions which have not been disclosed, particularly opinions from the period in which Webb was Attorney General. As "Contemporaneous exposition is in general the best" (Civil Code sec. 3535), these early opinions should be quite influential.</p> <p>Further, I was denied an opportunity to review an opinion issued by the Attorney General dated November 13, 1962, to the executive officer of the State Lands Commission, on the subject of "Leslie Salt Company – Land Exchange," also containing discussions of section 25 of article I, under a claim of attorney-client privilege, even though the State Lands Commission printed the conclusion of the opinion in the minutes of its first meeting after the opinion was dated, and Evidence Code section 912 provides that a willing and conscious disclosure of a significant part of an document constitutes a waiver of the privilege. At the very least, the portion previously disclosed should have been made available.</p>	Completed	Stephanie Grimes	<p>The records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.)</p> <p>Moreover, the attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research, or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>Records such as confidential analyses, draft language, and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>For the reasons stated above, we are denying your request.</p>

				<p>I am advised that the Office of the Attorney General maintains a card index as a finding aid for opinions. I have requested and I have not been advised where this index is located. I have requested and I have been refused an opportunity to inspect this index.</p> <p>That is, my experience with the Attorney General and the Office of the Attorney General has not been good.</p> <p>As used in this request: "Agency" means the Attorney General of California and the Office of the Attorney General.</p> <p>"Public records" and "writings" have the meanings provided in section 6252 of the California Public Records Act chapter 3.5 (commencing with §6250) of division 7 of title 1 of the California Government Code.</p> <p>1. Please provide me with an opportunity to inspect any finding aid or index or listing of opinions issued by the Attorney General of California or the Office of the Attorney General including but not limited to opinions issued on or after January 1, 1909. (Gov. Code §6253).</p> <p>2. Please assist me in identifying public records which would disclose information including information concerning opinions issued by the Attorney General or the Office of the Attorney General including but not limited to opinions issued on or after January 1, 1909 (Gov. Code §6253.1, subd. (a)(1)).</p> <p>3. Please describe the information technology and the physical location in which the records exist (Gov. Code 6253.1, subd. (a)(2)).</p> <p>4. Please provide suggestions for overcoming any practical basis for denying access to the records or the information sought (Gov. Code 6253.1, subd. (a)(3)).</p> <p>5. In the event the agency determines that the writings subject of this request are not disclosable public records, please notify me of the reasons for the determination and the name and title of each person responsible for the denial (Gov. Code §6253 subd. (d), §6255.</p> <p>6. If any of the records requested are in electronic format, please advise of the electronic format, and of any formats in have been used by the agency to create copies for its own use or for provision to other agencies (Gov. Code §6253.9).</p> <p>7. Please provide me with the name, title or position, telephone number and address of a person familiar with the subject matter of this request (Gov. Code §§ 7525, 7526, 7527). Please contact me for any discussion which might be helpful.</p>				
PRA-2018-01466	Gurvitch (People v. Andrew Smithson)	Ellin	7/20/2018	<p>Pursuant to the California Public Records Act, Gov. Code, § 6250 et seq., Geragos &amp; Geragos, on behalf of our clients, Kali Orff and Michelle Kristal, is requesting copies of all available records, reports, writings, audio tapes, videotapes and photographs related to your office's investigation into the Imperial County District Attorney's Office, Imperial Police Department and/or Chief Miguel Colon, under the case name, People v. Andrew Smithson (Agency Case No. : 161-01042). This includes, but is not limited to, all interview notes, transcriptions and/or recordings.</p> <p>If there are any fees for searching or copying these records, our office will pay the incurred costs. The California Public Records Act requires a response within ten business days. Gov. Code, § 6253(C). If access to the records I am requesting will take longer, please contact me with information about when I might expect copies of the records. We would like both electronic copies, if possible, as well as physical copies mailed to our office address at 644 South Figueroa Street, Los Angeles, CA 90017.</p> <p>If any further information is needed related to this request, feel free to contact our office via email at geragos@geragos.com or via phone at (213) 625-3900.</p> <p>July 19, 2018 follow up: I'm just following up on the status on our request via the California Public Records Act. I understand you will review the file once you're back so if you can provide us with an update, I'd appreciate it.</p>	Geraogs & Geragos APC	Completed	Meredith White	<p>August 9, 2018 production letter: Enclosed please find the records subject to disclosure which were referenced in our letter of July 26, 2018.</p> <p>July 26, 2018 response: These records were requested on behalf of your clients, Kali Orff and Michelle Kristol Generally, Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General, including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)</p> <p>Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or because an investigation has been closed. (Id. at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)].) However, because you represent Kali Orff, the victim in the underlying incident in People v. Andrew Smithson (Agency Case No: 161-01042), we have included information regarding the date, time, and location of the incident, the names and addresses of persons involved</p>

							<p>and witnesses to the incident, as well as the statements of the parties and witnesses involved. (See Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (f).)</p> <p>Please note that some of the witness statements we are providing were taken by investigating officers employed with this Department as peace officers. (See generally, Pen. Code, § 830.1, subd. (b).)</p> <p>We have redacted the names of those officers and any identifying information to protect their identities. We withhold this identifying information because the public interest served by not disclosing such records clearly outweighs the public interest in disclosing such records. (Gov. Code §§ 6255, 6254, subd. (k).) The Department has applied a balancing approach to the public's right to open government and the Department's obligation to ensure officer safety. (See Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training v. Superior Court (2007) 42 Cal.4th 278.) Carrying out the Department's general law enforcement function often requires our agents to participate in covert or overt investigations and can require that they work undercover. Disclosure of their names and other identifying information would imperil their anonymity, make them less able to perform undercover functions, and thus undermine our efforts to carry out our law enforcement duties and obligations.</p> <p>Our file also includes medical records pertaining to Ms. Orff. Generally, medical records are exempt from disclosure (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (c)), but because they are the records of the requestor, we can disclose them with Ms. Orff's express written consent. If you wish to receive the medical records, please address her written consent to the Public Records Coordinator at the contact information provided in the attached guidelines.</p> <p>Any remaining requested records are either confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General, exempt from disclosure pursuant to Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), or attorney work product, exempt from disclosure pursuant to Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k). The attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Records such as confidential</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

							<p>analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>Accordingly, the records subject to disclosure are being prepared, and we anticipate they will be mailed to you at the above address within two weeks.</p> <p>Lastly, for future reference, the Department of Justice (including the Office of the Attorney General) has adopted regulations and guidelines which govern the procedures to be followed when making its records available in accordance with the Public Records Act. (See Gov. Code, § 6253.4, subd. (a).) Those guidelines are attached to this letter, and available on our website at <a href="https://www.oag.ca.gov/consumers/general/pra">https://www.oag.ca.gov/consumers/general/pra</a>. Complying with the guidelines will ensure we are able to respond to your requests in the most efficient manner possible.</p> <p>July 9, 2018 status update: I have ordered the file back from storage, and expect to receive it by the end of this week. I will be out of the office through early next week, so will not be able to look through the file until I return. I'll let you know as soon as my review is finished and the materials are on their way.</p>
PRA-2018-01509	Olfati (July 2018)	Parvin	7/20/2018	<p>On June 1, 2018, I sent the California Department of Justice (DOJ) a Public Records Act (PRA)/Information Practices Act(IPA) request for documents constituting; mentioning, referring to and/or relating to any DOJ communications with any Sacramento Bee employee, including but not limited to reporter Adam Ashton, that mention Parvin Olfati.</p> <p>DOJ extended its response time, and in the process made the unbelievable claim that DOJ communications with the Sacramento Bee were covered by the attorney-client privilege - even though DOJ does not represent the Sacramento Bee, so no attorney-client relationship could exist, meaning none of these communications could be privileged. How DOJ arrived at this conclusion is the subject of the following Request Item:</p> <p>1. All documents constituting, mentioning, referring to and/or relating to any analysis pm-orting to explain why any DOJ communication with the Sacramento Bee or any of its employees (including but not limited to Adam Ashton) are covered by the attorney-client or attorney-work-product privileges and cannot be disclosed to Parvin Olfati including but not limited to a list of any cases or matters in which the DOJ is representing the Sacramento Bee and that involve Parvin Olfati.</p> <p>When DOJ finally responded; it produced one document that I asked for. the DOJ denial of the Sacramento Bee PRA request for the results of the DOJ investigation of the Board of Equalization (BOE).</p> <p>The remaining documents were essentially a press release for the DOJ work against Mugshots.com and Union dues and health care. I do note that the name "Olfati" is nowhere mentioned in a single one of any of these documents, Therefore, in this PRA/IPA request I am requesting all documents that mention "Olfati" with respect to Mugshots.com and/or the Trump Administration. I am also requesting all documents that constitute any DOJ communications regarding the DOJ search process and response process regarding my June 1; 2018 PRA/IPA request Finally, I am renewing my request for all DOJ documents created, transmitted, and/or received between January 1, 2016 and the date of your response to this letter that mention, refer to, and/or relate to "Olfati" and that constitute DOJ communications to any person or entity outside DOJ, including but not limited to the Sacramento Bee, the California State Assembly; the California State Senate, and/or the California Department of Human Resources, Pursuant to the California Public Records Act (PRA), the Information Practices Act (IPA), and Article I, Section 3(b) of the California Constitution, I hereby</p>	Completed	Nancy Doig	<p>On August 13, 2018, Nancy Doig responded: On July 30, 2018, we extended our time to respond to your request.</p> <p>As a preliminary matter, in your July 20, 2018, request you have characterized your June 1, 2018, request as seeking only "documents constituting, mentioning, referring to and/or relating to any DOJ communications with any Sacramento Bee employee, including but not limited to reporter Adam Ashton, that mention Parvin Olfati." The June 1 request actually was far broader, seeking records "that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any DOJ communications with The Sacramento Bee or any person employed by The Sacramento Bee, including but not limited to reporter Adam Ashton, ... and that: ... [m]ention, refer to, and/or relate to the ... California Department of Justice." (See item 5 of your June 1, 2018 request.) As such, as the DOJ read it, the request sought any documents related to or regarding any communications-not just the communications themselves-with any employee of the Sacramento Bee about the Department of Justice, without regard to whether the records refer to Parvin Olfati. Thus, the request was worded in such a</p>

			<p>request that the California Department of Justice (DOJ) produce to me true and correct copies of any and all documents, records, electronic mail messages, text messages; memoranda, and or writings, created, transmitted, stored and/or received on any DOJ department, Deputy Attorney General, employee agent and/or representative computer or other medium between January 1, 2016 and the date of your response to this letter that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The documents requested in Request Item 1 above, regarding the DOJ invocation of attorney-client and attorney-work product privilege for its communications with the Sacramento Bee.</li> <li>2. Any documents regarding Mugshots.com that mention the name "Olfati."</li> <li>3. Any documents that constitute the DOJ determination that documents about Mugshots.com and/or litigation against President Donald Trump were responsive to the Parvin Olfati PRTA/IPA request dated June 1, 2018.</li> <li>4. Any documents that constitute the DOJ document search and/or response process with respect to the Parvin Olfati PRA/IPA request dated June 1; 2018,</li> <li>5. Any and all documents that mention the name "Olfati" and that were sent, transmitted, and/or received in DOJ communications with any person or entity outside DOJ, including but not limited to the Sacramento Bee and/or any of its employees (including but not limited to reporter Adam Ashton); the California State Assembly and/or any Member or employee thereof; the California State Senate and/or any Member or employee thereof, and/or the California Department of Human Resources or any employee thereof.</li> <li>6. In addition, please produce any and all documents, records; and/or writings reflecting any destruction, alteration, removal; transferor non-availability of any of the records requested herein, together with applicable records retention policies.</li> </ol>			<p>way that it could conceivably capture communications-and internal documents regarding those communications-with any Sacramento Bee employee that cover any range of topics and make no mention of Parvin Olfati. Moreover, some of the documents related to the Department of Justice's communications with the Sacramento Bee-----none of which are about you or your case-----could be covered by the del berative process privilege or other privileges, and therefore exempt from disclosure under the Public Records act pursuant to Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k). It is this broad interpretation of your request that we used in responding to your request on June 25-, 2018, and when we sent you responsive documents on July 17, 2018.</p> <p>As for your specific requests:</p> <p>Response to Item 1: The records containing analyses that you seek would, themselves, be privileged and therefore exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. There are no cases or matters in which the DOJ is representing the Sacramento Bee and that involve Parvin Olfati, and therefore, there is no list of such matters and cases we can provide.</p> <p>Response to Item 2: No responsive documents exist.</p> <p>Response to Item 3: Any documents reflecting our internal analysis of your request would be privileged. The only non-privileged document that is responsive to this request would be your June 1, 2018, request, and presumably you already have a copy of your own request.</p> <p>Response to Item 4: Any documents reflecting our internal analysis of your request would be privileged. The only non-privileged documents that are responsive to this request would be your June 1, 2018, request and our responses to it, and presumably you have those documents as well</p> <p>Response to Item 5: Disclosable records in our possession that are related to you and were created up to the date of October 18, 2016, would have been enclosed on the discs that our office provided to you on October 18, 2016, which we replaced for you again as a courtesy on June 8, 2017. Any other records that relate to you that are in the possession of the DOJ and which were created before October 16, 2016, are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k). Section 6254, subdivision (k), incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client and attorney work product privileges. (Evid. Code, § 952; Code of Civ.</p>
--	--	--	---	--	--	---

								Proc., § 2018.030.) As for correspondence sent or received after October 16, 2018, other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records. Response to Item 6: Enclosed are our document retention policies for the Department's Executive and Civil Law Divisions. These retention policies are also the policies we have identified as responsive to a similar request contained in your June 1, 2018, request for records. This completes our response to your July 20, 2018, request for records. On July 30, 2018, Nancy Doig sent an extension letter
PRA-2018-01467	Coats (Napa State Hospital)	Francis	7/23/2018	Letter opinion or advice from California Attorney General U. S. Webb to directors, Napa State Hospital, interpreting or applying section 25 article I, Cal. Const. as not requiring hospital to permit public fishing in reservoir on hospital grounds, reported in San Francisco Call, Monday, April 4, 1911, page 7. Any other opinion or advice letter referencing section 25, article I, Cal. Const. You may omit opinions published in the formal bound opinions of the Attorney General. Indexes, card indexes, or finding aids for Attorney General opinion or advice letters referencing section 25, article I, Cal. Const. The office of the A. G. did not find the above opinion in response to an earlier request, therefore I want look at the finding aid, Index or card index myself, and I want to know where the card index or other finding aid is kept.		Completed	Stephanie Grimes	The records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.) Moreover, the attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research, or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Records such as confidential analyses, draft language, and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. For the reasons stated above, we are denying your request.
PRA-2018-01469	Cameron (Adoption)	Kimberly	7/23/2018	My daughter was adopted by illegal means by the grandparents in Auburn California. I never signed my rights over. I have no record. I have never been given any paperwork. The public attorney representing me wouldn't give me any paperwork and did not allow me to go into court when I showed up that day. I need some answers. It had been almost 4 years since i have seen or had contact with my daughter. How can this happen in this country and not be given a voice in court. This isn't fair and it isn't right.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records regarding adoption. Our research into the matter shows that you may wish to contact the adoption agency that was involved, or the Department of Social Services for the



								records you are seeking. More information on requesting records from the Department of Social Services can be found at <a href="http://www.cdss.ca.gov/Public-Record-Requests#">http://www.cdss.ca.gov/Public-Record-Requests#</a> . If the adoption process involves the court, you may wish to contact the family court in which the case was heard. To the extent that you are seeking legal advice, the DOJ is not in a position to perform legal research or to provide legal advice to private individuals. You may wish to consult with a private attorney to evaluate your options regarding your records request.
PRA-2018-01470	Sloper	Amanda	7/23/2018	I am looking for a copy of any available police reports, case numbers, calls for service, court information, or traffic reports involving my sister Alysa Nicole Sloper DOB [REDACTED] in the state of California.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us. Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place or the local court in which the case was heard.
PRA-2018-01471	Ambriz Jr (CH)	Manuel	7/23/2018	Any and all available Public records pertaining to individual listed above. Requestor is self in order to complete application process for employment.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce

								records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-01472	Youngs (restraining order)	Kelley	7/23/2018	General records history. Criminal financial court order( restraining order) traffic.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-</a>

								<p>Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01473	Moezidis	Jordan	7/23/2018	Case Number. 15080363. Please email if possible.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.</p>
PRA-2018-01474	Honeywell (CH Records, Court Records)	Linda	7/23/2018	Arrest record, court records, etc. for Pete Henry Harton, arrested in 1956 near Alpaugh, CA I am writing a book and need these historical documents. I am not sure where to find these records. If you are not the right department, can you please advise me on the correct department to contact. Will these documents even be available? How many years are arrest and court documents kept?		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking court records, we have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own</p>

								criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-01475	Schiaffino (3rd Party records)	Felix	7/23/2018	Hello, I am seeking any public record for Hoang Ngoc Dao DOB [REDACTED] case number SCD179676. I need further public documents to collect my restitution. Thank you		Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to a court case, you may wish to direct your request to the office of the court. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-01476	Adams (ABC 10)	Michael	7/23/2018	Pursuant to my rights under the California Public Records Act (Government Code Section 6250 et seq.), I'm writing to respectfully ask to obtain a copy of the following, which I understand to be held by the Attorney General's Office: A list of all employees who were working in the Bureau of Criminal Identification at the California Department of Justice in December 1990, including their full name, title, job description, and hire date. August 2, 2018 status request: I'm writing to respectfully check on the status of my California Public Records Act request that I filed July 22, 2018, regarding a list of all employees who were working in the Bureau of Criminal Identification at the California Department of Justice in December 1990, including their full name, title, job description, and hire date. According to Government Code Section (1) 6250 ET SEQ., your agency shall, within 10 days from receipt of the request, determine whether the request, in whole or in part, seeks copies of disclosable public records in the possession of the agency and shall promptly notify the person making the request of the determination and the reasons therefor.	ABC 10	Completed	Stacy Heinse	The Department is unable to provide records responsive to your request for the following reasons: • Historical employee records are only available through the Department back to April 2002; • The online State Controller's Office Management Information Retrieval System report only captures the last 24 months of records.

PRA-2018-01477	Aboite Galvez (Marriage Records)	Alan	7/23/2018	Married record		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA-2018-01478	Norris (cannabis cultivation permits)	Nichole	7/23/2018	I am seeking all related details for every cannabis cultivation permit currently approved (non-interim) by Humboldt County, including LLC information, ownership of LLC, address' of farms and owners (both individual and LLC), whether they have state cultivation permits, initial application date for county cultivation permit, third party companies worked with, completion date of permit, parcel numbers, etc.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to cannabis licenses in California, you may wish to direct your request to the Bureau of Cannabis control. Their website is <a href="http://www.bcc.ca.gov/">http://www.bcc.ca.gov/</a> .
PRA-2018-01480	Artale (Disney Stock)	Patricia	7/23/2018	The Walt Disney Company - Stock Certificates I have two shares of Disney stock from 1990 Cert #N.311872 and N.333259, the Disney stock has split twice, 4 for 1 in 4/20/1992 and again 3 for 1 in 4/15/1992. I am looking for all the stock certificates from the split.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.
PRA-2018-01481	Bonagofski	Melanie	7/23/2018	I am looking for the divorce decree for myself (previously Melanie J. Mastin, with name change back to Melanie J. Thomas). I do not have the date of divorce and need it for an official document please.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the

							<p>decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at:  <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01482	Thao	Chary	7/23/2018	I'd like all information kept about me known so I can comply to new GDPR(General Data Protection Regulation) and privacy policy updates in the tech sector.		Completed	Erin Nickless <p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at:  <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed</p>

							on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-01483	Goad (Sex Offender Registration Requirements)	James	7/23/2018	Offender: Zachary Thomas Picinvosky, White Male, DOB: [REDACTED] Time in California: June 2016 to September 2017; Resided: San Diego County Requesting Sex Offender Registry Status (Tier) while residing in California OR reason why not required to register/report. I represent Offender in Georgia Petition to be removed from the Georgia Sexual Offender Registrar.	Completed	Michael Dolida	California Penal Code section 290.005, requires any sex offender who moves into the state of California to register with the local law enforcement agency (police department or sheriffs department) having jurisdiction over the location of their residency within five (5) working days of their arrival. The law enforcement agency then submits the sex registration documentation to the Department of Justice (DOJ) for review. The DOJ's Assessment Unit is responsible for determining if the sex offender is required to continue sex registration in California based on their out-of-state conviction. However, until a final determination is made by the DOJ, the registrant's identifying information (name, date of birth, address, etc.) is not posed on California's Megan's Law Internet website. Consequently, the registrant is protected from public disclosure until his registration status is confirmed by the Assessment Unit. Please be advised, under California law sex registration carries a lifetime requirement in this state. California's tier-based sex offender registration system becomes effective on January 1, 2021. California registrants will receive notice of tier designations by January 1, 2021, unless they are placed in a tier-to-be-determined category if their tier cannot immediately be ascertained. The DOJ's Assessment Unit will not pre-determine the tier of an out-of-state offender. If you require further information regarding California's registration process, please feel free to contact Deputy Attorney General Brian Segal at (916) 210-3356 or at <a href="mailto:brian.segal.doj.ca.gov">brian.segal.doj.ca.gov</a> .
PRA-2018-01484	Racino (Inewsources)	Brad (514 Charities)	7/23/2018	If you could send along that list of the 514 charities that received the AG's letter, I'd be most grateful.	Completed	Tania M. Ibanez, SAAG	This email responds to your public records request sent by email on July 23, 2018, wherein you requested the list of 514 charities that will receive the AG's letter. Attached please find the list of delinquent charities.
PRA-2018-01486	Maylack	Jennifer	7/23/2018	Requesting information from the California Highway Patrol about the March 26th, 2018 crash of the Hart family vehicle in Mendocino county. The two adults in the car were: 1.) Sarah Hart: Born [REDACTED] in Big Stone City, SD (Sarah's maiden name was Sarah Margaret Gengle) 2.) Jennifer Hart: Born [REDACTED] in Huron, SD. I'm requesting any additional information about the crash site and search for remains (including the April 7th discovery of remains and the May 11th discovery). I'd like to request the initial report, information obtained about the vehicle, and anything pertaining to the investigation.	Completed	Erin Nickless	We note that your request seeks information from the California Highway Patrol, but was submitted to the California Department of Justice. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Our research into the matter shows that the records you seek may be in the custody or control of a local or another state agency. We suggest that you direct your request to

								the California Highway Patrol. Information on how to contact their office can be found on their website at <a href="https://www.chp.ca.gov/home/contact-us">https://www.chp.ca.gov/home/contact-us</a> .
PRA-2018-01487	Combs (CFARS - vendors and hardware)	Brandon	7/23/2018	<p>1) All records and information about the date of acquisition, vendor/source, cost, make, model, specifications, and any assigned device/asset tracking number of hardware devices that have been used, are in use, or are planned or intended for use in Bureau of Firearms applications, including but not limited to the California Firearms Application Reporting System ("CFARS") system and the "bullet button assault weapon" registration system. Specifications include, but are not limited to: processor(s), computing/process capacity, memory/RAM, storage, and operating system.</p> <p>2) All records and information about the hardware/devices that were in use for Bureau of Firearms Web applications (including CFARS and the "bullet button assault weapon" registration system) during the period of August 1, 2017, to July 1, 2018. In lieu of access to and a copy of all responsive records and information, I will accept a list of all hardware/devices that were in use for Bureau of Firearms Web applications during the subject period as a full response to this request item.</p> <p>3) All records and information about Internet connection(s) that that were in use for public-facing Bureau of Firearms Web applications (including CFARS and the "bullet button assault weapon" registration system) during the period of August 1, 2017, to July 1, 2018, including, but not limited to, the vendor(s)/Internet Service Provider(s), type(s), cost(s), and any service disruptions or outages that were reported to the vendor(s)/Internet Service Provider(s). In lieu of access to and a copy of all responsive records and information, I will accept a list that contains the information sought for the subject period as a full response to this request item.</p>		Assigned	Public Records	<p>On October 1, 2018, Public records sent the second batch of documents: We provided you with a preliminary response and some records on August 23, 2018. Here, we provide a further response.</p> <p>Response to Item 1: As cast, this request is overly broad, particularly given the use of the phrase "Bureau of Firearms Applications," and the unlimited time frame to the request. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a search of "all" records and information regarding any "device" that ever has, could have, or potentially will be available for use to run any application managed or used by the Bureau of Firearms would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code§ 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.) As such, and given the nature of the other items you request here, we have limited the scope of our response to the period of August 1, 2017, to July 1, 2018, and to hardware devices that would have been available for the Bureau of Firearms to run the "bullet button assault weapon" registration system, even if those devices were not actually used to operate that registration system.</p> <p>With this caveat in mind, the enclosed record, which identifies a bulk purchase of devices for use by the Bureau of Firearms and the amount of that purchase, completes our response to item (1) of your request.</p> <p>Response to Item 2: Our August 23, 2018, response provided a complete response to this request.</p> <p>Response to Item 3: We are still in the process of completing our review of potentially responsive information. We anticipate providing you a response regarding this item on or about October 5, 2018.</p> <p>Response to Request for Confirmation of a Litigation Hold As to your request that we confirm that we have placed a litigation hold on responsive records, please be advised that the Department, under its ordinary course of business, places litigation holds as it deems it necessary to preserve records and information in pending or anticipated litigation.</p> <p>On August 23, 2018, Public Records sent the first set of responsive documents: Public Records sent In conducting our search for records responsive to your request, we have</p>



								checked with knowledgeable persons and searched logical places. While we have identified some responsive records, we have not yet completed this process or our review of the responsive records for applicable exemptions. As a result, we will produce responsive non-exempt documents on a rolling basis. Attached is the first production, which is a list of hardware devices, responsive to the majority of item (1) and all of item (2). We anticipate providing the next production by September 7, 2018. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. On August 2, 2018, Public Records sent an extension letter.
PRA-2018-01491	Not Provided	Jessica	7/23/2018	Way to access the Public Records list online for people who have been sued for direct malice.		Clarification	Erin Nickless	Left a voicemail seeking clarification and explained that we do not keep a list, but if she can provide more information we might be able to help her better form her request.
PRA-2018-01503	Cubeiro (PRA Res re Assault Weapons)	Matthew	7/23/2018	Any and all communications sent in response to individual Public Records Act Request responses regarding the "assault weapon" registration from June 2017 to present. "Registration" refers to California "Bullet-Button assault weapon" registration via California Firearms Application Reporting System CFRAS.	Michel & Associates, P.C.	Assigned		Clarification sent August 2, 2018: It is unclear what your request is seeking. It appears that there was a typographical error in your request, and what you meant to ask for was any and all responses to Public Records Act requests that (a) sought records regarding "assault weapon" registration, and (b) were received from June 2017 to the date of your letter. However, as currently phrased, your request seeks "responses to ... responses" and it is unclear if the date range you have provided applies to the Public Records Act requests we received, the responses we sent to those requests, or possible follow-up communications we received to our responses. As such, we cannot respond to your request at this time, and ask that you clarify your request. (Gov. Code, § 6253, subds. (b) [requiring the provision of copies of records when a request "reasonably describes an identifiable record or records"]; see also Gov. Code, § 6253.1 [allowing an agency to seek clarifying information on a request to make it more effective].)
PRA-2018-01507	Widger	Austin	7/23/2018	I am Austin Widger and a reporter with the Ojai Valley News. I am hoping for some clarification regarding 16 Cal. 2d 636, which "holds that the acceptance by a public officer of another office, which is incompatible with the first, thereby vacates the first office." This rule applies if "the official holds two offices simultaneously; and there is a potential conflict between the duties and functions of the two offices." We have a couple people in the Ojai Valley who hold multiple positions, so I was wondering if anyone had filed a complaint against them on the conflict of interest clause. Also, I was wondering how this conflict in the duties and functions was determined. Finally, how are complaints handled when there is a person who holds multiple offices?		Completed	Jeffrey Rich	I called you on July 25 to discuss your request set forth in your July 23 email concerning incompatible public offices. During our conversation I explained to you that the Attorney General does not provide legal advice in response to public inquiries. I did, however, direct you to the Attorney General's public Web site concerning quo warranto actions at

								oag.ca.gov/opinions/quo-warranto. You clarified for me that you were not requesting complaints filed against the persons who hold multiple public offices in the Ojai Valley.
PRA-2018-01513	Washington	Dajshan ae	7/23/2018	I am looking for records in regards to a sexual assault towards me that took place roughly in the early 2000s		Completed	Erin Nickless	We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, such as a case name or case number, please provide that to us. If you are seeking information regarding victim rights and services, you can contact our Victims' Services unit. More information about Marsy's Law for victims can be found on our website at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/victimservices/content/bill_of_rights">https://oag.ca.gov/victimservices/content/bill_of_rights</a> .
PRA-2018-01515	Gow (complaints, permits)	Stephanie	7/23/2018	Any and all permits for the business Pretty Pretty Collective at the address of 3290 22nd Street, San Francisco, CA 94110-3033 Any and all complaints against the business Pretty Pretty Collective at the address of 3290 22nd Street, San Francisco, CA 94110-3033		Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no disclosable records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Since you are seeking permits, you may wish to contact the agency which issues the permits you are seeking. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records, we must decline your request. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)
PRA-2018-01516	Hoffman	Jeff	7/23/2018	This office represents Trache Gardner regarding the above-listed case and California Public Records Act Request ("PRA"). I have not received or been allowed to inspect "all records" regarding the Graivtron or Starship amusement park rides from 2006 to present, and "all records" regarding injuries or deaths on those rides as requested in my April 23, 2018 PRA (attached to this email) and my narrowed email request of June 15, 2018 that was in response to your email of June 4, 2018. Given that the original request was made almost three months ago, please provide these records as soon as possible. Your agency is not a party in this case and I would like to resolve this amicably instead of litigating it. However, if you continue to fail to respond to this PRA request, I will have no other choice than to litigate this matter. I see no reason that this cannot be resolved without litigation and urge you to please respond to this request in the very near future.		Completed	Erin Nickless	This is duplicative of a request made from the same firm by Mr. Kleczek. Erin Nickless spoke to Mr. Hoffman and he explained that the request was not ever intended to be submitted to DOJ, and he had contacted the wrong agency. He therefore withdrew his request.

				Should you have any questions regarding the specific data sought by this request, please contact this office at 510 451-0290. Thank you in advance for your cooperation.				
PRA-2018-01488	Schmidt (Fish and Wildlife reports)	Daniel	7/24/2018	<p>I'm trying to get a copy of the current version of form FG 360d "Raptor Facilities and Falconry Equipment Inspection Report". This document was hosted on the CA Fish and Wildlife Falconry website for several years and now access to the newest version is being denied.</p> <p>This document is important to me because I have paid for an Inspection and want to see the documentation that I will be graded against. Therefore, I have business with the State and that is why this form/information is of concern to me. Also, by keeping these documents confidential, there's no way for the Falconry community to help CA Fish and Wildlife with feedback and public scrutiny on how new members to the sport will be graded. Furthermore, this document was hosted publicly for years on the Fish and Wildlife website. It was only because I requested a newer copy that it was pulled down and access is now denied.</p> <p>There is already a precedent for having this information publicly available.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the Department of Fish and Wildlife, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to submit a Public Records Request to their office can be found on the Department of Fish and Wildlife's web page at <a href="https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/General-Counsel/Public-Records-Requests">https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/General-Counsel/Public-Records-Requests</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01489	Rupp (court records)	Madison	7/24/2018	10/21/2010 Case Number is RIM10015245 I am looking for the court documents showing the charge, the plea and disposition. Please help me obtain these documents. I need them for a state license. Thank you in advance!		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking court records, we have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01490	Torres	Angelica	7/24/2018	Year 2001 to 2008 Personal records		Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your

								<p>request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01492	Duisenberg	Trudy	7/24/2018	<p>I am currently producing a documentary about Marc Anthony Cyril Thompson who was murdered on September 3, 2014 in Butte County. A student activist at the local Chico State campus, Mr. Thompson was found shot in his incinerated vehicle 28 miles due east of Oroville in a very rugged and isolated area.</p> <p>The intent of my documentary is to "put a face &amp; life" to a cold statistic. I know that in 2014 there were 1,697 homicides in California. Can dept. data tell me if Mr. Thompson's murder was the 40th or 800th murder that year? That is the data I'm seeking. I am very grateful for your assistance in this matter.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have consulted with knowledgeable persons and are unable to provide the data you are seeking, as we do not maintain our statistical data in a way that would provide the information that you are looking for. Our research into this matter shows that this matter was handled by the Butte County Sheriff's Department. Information on how to contact them can be found on their website at <a href="http://www.buttecounty.net/sheriffcoroner/Home.aspx">http://www.buttecounty.net/sheriffcoroner/Home.aspx</a>.</p>

PRA-2018-01493	Salapatas	James	7/24/2018	<p>I would really appreciate if you could help me locate this court file document, if not could you please guide me to where I can look for these documents.</p> <p>The name of the document I'm looking for is called "SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND MUTUAL GENERAL RELEASE" it was filed with the court around June 20, 2008 and June 31st 2009. I don't have a case number all I have is the agreement with some signatures. The document is 12 pages long.</p> <p>The signatures on the document are as follows;</p> <p>Nicholas Salapatas James Pierre Salapatas Cassandra Salapatas-Metz Dennis Netz Gregory Salapatas Mei-Hong Salapatas Law office of Lawrence M. Lebowsky Law office of Sanford M. Passman Law office of Robert L Baker III Law office of Thompson, Malone and Conti</p> <p>Comments:</p> <p>I would really appreciate if you could help me locate this court file document, if not could you please guide me to where I can look for these documents.</p> <p>The name of the document I'm looking for is called "SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND MUTUAL GENERAL RELEASE" it was filed with the court around June 20, 2008 and June 31st 2009. I don't have a case number all I have is the agreement with some signatures. The document is 12 pages long.</p> <p>The signatures on the document are as follows;</p> <p>Nicholas Salapatas James Pierre Salapatas Cassandra Salapatas-Metz Dennis Netz Gregory Salapatas Mei-Hong Salapatas Law office of Lawrence M. Lebowsky Law office of Sanford M. Passman Law office of Robert L Baker III Law office of Thompson, Malone and Conti</p>		Completed	Maria E. Silva	<p>To the extent that you are seeking court records, we have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the court filing, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to contact the court the settlement agreement was filed.</p>
PRA-2018-01494	Lokhorst	Ben	7/24/2018	<p>The total number of RV registrations on an annual basis over the past ten years or maximum period available, whichever is longer. Specifically, the registration statistics of total numbers of RVs (Class A, B, and C, as well as travel trailers and fifth-wheel trailers) are requested on the most granular basis possible - whether it be zip code, city or county. If this information is available, please compile the relevant information in an Excel spreadsheet. If the information is unavailable, would it be possible to obtain the contact information of the relevant departments for the information I am seeking?</p> <p>I understand that requests may require a fee - if this is the case, please inform me. Thank you for your time and consideration.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We note that your request seeks motor vehicle registration records. You appear to be seeking records that are in the custody of the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV). We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). Information on contacting the DMV can be found on their website at <a href="http://www.dmv.ca.gov">http://www.dmv.ca.gov</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01495	Carpenter Jr (Water Board records)	Bill	7/24/2018	<p>I am seeking all Administrative Law Review documents pertaining to the crafting of the below section of the Water Quality Control Policy for Siting, Design, Operation and Maintenance of Onsite Wastewater Treatment Systems (OWTS Policy):</p> <p>"10.9.1 Effluent from the supplemental treatment components designed to reduce nitrogen shall be certified by NSF, or other approved third party tester, to meet a 50 percent reduction in total nitrogen when comparing the 30-day average influent to the 30-day average effluent."</p> <p>The particular topic of interest is the wording: 'or other approved third party tester': a) Approved by whom; b) Based on what approval criteria;</p> <p>c) Must there be an 'approved third party tester'?; d) Does this State policy wording legally negate any follow-on Regional/Local policy wording that certified 'by NSF' ONLY (emphasis added) is required-- as State policy definitively reads: 'be certified by NSF, or other approved third party tester'.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that</p>

				The documents I seek are any that contain draft language, any that contain discussion about the phrase 'or other approved third party tester', and any that debate legal doctrine pertaining to the phrase 'or other approved third party tester' and points a, b, c & d I make above.				are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the California State Water Board, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to contact their office regarding public records can be found at <a href="https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/resources/public_records/">https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/resources/public_records/</a> .
PRA-2018-01496	Koon	Gene	7/24/2018	Record of criminal convictions regarding Woodrow Ellis Bailey III, and any domestic violence restraining orders regarding San Bernardino County Case Number FAMSS1701454 filed by Bree N. Weaver.	Completed	Maria E. Silva	Called Mr. Koon to obtain more information. He confirmed the information he seeks is with the DA's office and is seeking to obtain Mr. Bailey III's criminal history. We provided him with DOJ's criminal history link.	
PRA-2018-01497	Nielson	Brent	7/24/2018	Any and all paternity claim in reference to the minor child, Angel Dawn Castillo Storm, whose date of birth is on [REDACTED] in Community Regional Medical Center, Fresno & R. Sts, Fresno, California.	Completed	Maria E. Silva	Called Ms. Schmidt to inform her the records she seeks are not in the custody or control of DOJ. We referred her to Fresno Family Law Court.	
PRA-2018-01510	Olfati (July 2018)	Parvin	7/24/2018	1. Any investigation, surveillance, monitoring and/or actions taken with regard to Parvin Olfati, Patty Olfati, Patti Olfati and/or Ms. Olfati, including but not limited to any "intelligence" investigation. 2. Any communications to, from, and/or about Barb Andres, Cecil Taylor, and/or any person believed to reside at or use [REDACTED] 3. Any communications that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any person, agency and/or entity placing Parvin Olfati on any "security threat" or "watch" list, or any other list of persons to be denied entry or subjected to restricted entry to any building or facility. 4. In addition, please produce any and all documents, records, and/or writings reflecting any destruction, alteration, removal, transfer or non-availability of any of the records requested herein, together with applicable records retention policies.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.	
PRA-2018-01511	Cullen	Karen	7/24/2018	Full Disclosure Police Report (including photographs) related to motor vehicle accident on 5/19/2018 at 1-110 Harbor freeway in Los Angeles, CA. The responding agency was the CHP and report number is 9590-2018-03244.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.	
PRA-2018-01517	Combs (CFARS - outages to registration system)	Brandon	7/24/2018	1) All records and information about scheduled or unscheduled outages or interruptions/disruptions to the California Firearms Application Reporting System (CFARS), including but not limited to correspondence to or from Attorney General Becerra, California Justice Information Services (CJIS) Division, Division of Law Enforcement, or the Bureau of Firearms. 2) All records and information about scheduled or unscheduled outages or interruptions/disruptions to the "bullet button assault weapon" registration system, including but not limited to correspondence to or from Attorney General Becerra, California Justice Information Services (CJIS) Division, Division of Law Enforcement, or the Bureau of Firearms.	Assigned	Public Records	August 17, 2018 Response: In conducting our search for records responsive to your request, we have checked with knowledgeable persons and searched logical places. While we have identified some responsive records, we have not yet completed this process or our review of the responsive records for applicable exemptions. As a result, we will produce responsive non-exempt documents on a rolling basis. To the extent that you are requesting unscheduled outages, we do not have responsive records as we are unaware of any indication of an unscheduled outage during the requested time period. To the	

								<p>extent that you are requesting records and information about scheduled outages, we do not have responsive records as scheduled down time is not considered an outage. However, we are interpreting your request to also mean instances in which our systems were down due to scheduled maintenance. As such, attached is the first production regarding scheduled maintenance to the California Firearms Application Reporting System (CFARS) and Assault Weapon Registry (A WR). The first attachment contains flash messages that were posted through CF ARS regarding scheduled maintenance. The second attachment contains the regular windows during which maintenance is performed. We anticipate providing the next production by August 31, 2018.</p> <p>On August 3, 2018, the public records coordinator sent an extension letter.</p>
PRA-2018-01519	Dageford (court cases)	Christopher	7/24/2018	I am filling out my contractors' state license board paperwork and need all information regarding any court cases that i have been previously found guilty of.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking court records, we have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01498	Trujillo	Martha	7/25/2018	Jesses Rodriguez 17 year old teen, killed from a drive by shooting on July 29th, 2010 in Fullerton Ca. Fullerton Police Department responded to call where Jesse was shot, which was on the corner of hill and Malden ave in front of a apartment complex. The time of occurrence approximately 10 to 1145 pm. No further information.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p>

								If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PRA-2018-01501	Duan (MontereyCo Weekly)	Mary	7/25/2018	<p>· The investigator's report and any interview transcripts stemming from the now closed investigation into the Deputy Sheriff's Association of Monterey County, union president Dan Mitchell and former union president Scott Davis.</p> <p>· Any emails sent to or from the state DOJ from Scott Davis, Dan Mitchell, Brian Higgins, Matt Luther, Christian Schneider, Bill Hija, Mark Caldwell, Joe Moses, Archie Warren and any accountant who previously or currently works with the DSA of Monterey County or any other parties expressing an interest in the now-closed investigation into the Deputy Sheriff's Association of Monterey County, union president Dan Mitchell and former union president Scott Davis.</p> <p>The records I'm requesting include electronic and paper records, and include all attachments and enclosures, sent, received, prepared, owned, used, retained, in regard to the log item cited herein. They also include documents scanned into electronic files, residing on a state DOJ office computer or on a shared computer drive or on a diskette, CD or DVD, and in archived form.</p>	Monterey County Weekly	Completed	Jim Root	<p>We must respectfully decline your request. To the extent the requested materials are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General, Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files and conclusions of the Attorney General, which do not lose their exempt status. (Raukukas v. Superior Court (2002) 104 Cal. App. 4th 169, 171 - 178; Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354-355.)</p>
PRA-2018-01609	Plitsch	John	7/25/2018	<p>According to the federal Jeanne Clery Disclosure of Campus Security Policy and Campus Crime Statistics Act, postsecondary schools are required to disclose statistics for certain crimes that are reported to our department as well as to local and state law enforcement agencies that occurred on campus and on public property within and immediately adjacent to school-owned buildings and property. We are also required to disclose statistics for offenses that occur in or on non-campus buildings or property owned or controlled by our school that are reported to our department and/ or to local and state law enforcement agencies.</p> <p>1. On behalf of the Medical University of South Carolina Department of Public Safety, I am requesting the required crime statistics, including those reports which were unfounded, for offenses specifically occurring between the below listed dates at the respective locations, which are considered to be an "off-campus or non-campus" buildings or property controlled by the Medical University of South Carolina located within your jurisdiction. As a note, a crime is considered unfounded for Clery Act purposes only if sworn or commissioned law enforcement personnel make a formal determination that the report is false or baseless.</p> <p>2. Please know that we are aware the location is in the primary jurisdiction of the city and or county; however, we are still nonetheless required (pursuant to federal regulations) to query all law enforcement agencies having jurisdiction over the address (city, county, and state). Therefore, I would appreciate you checking your records and responding as to whether any Clery crimes were reported in 2016 and 2017 to your agency, per our request.</p> <p>3. Please provide report(s) for any of the following offenses reported to your department for locations and respective dates listed below:</p> <p>2/16/2016 2/22/2016 Red Lion Hotel 1850 S. Harbor Blvd. Anaheim CA 92802  11/10/2016 11/15/2016 Hostel Habibi 416 University Ave San Diego CA 92103  11/9/2016 11/17/2016 Beach Haven Inn 4740 Mission Blvd San Diego CA 92109  3/16/2017 3/21/2017 Long Beach Convention &amp; Entertainment Center 300 E Ocean Blvd Long Beach CA 90802</p> <p>Section A; Clery Offenses:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Murder/Non-negligent Manslaughter</li> <li>2. Negligent Manslaughter</li> <li>3. Sex offenses-forcible (i.e., forcible rape, forc ble sodomy, sexual assault with an object and forc ble fondling)</li> <li>4. Sex offenses-non-forcible (i.e., statutory rape and incest)</li> <li>5. Robbery</li> <li>6. Burglary</li> <li>7. Aggravated Assault</li> <li>8. Motor Vehicle Theft</li> </ol>	Medical University of South Carolina	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>After consulting with various programs within the California Department of Justice (DOJ), the California Justice Information Services Division has determined that it has no responsive records for this request as the DOJ does not collect or receive the Clery Act data; it is submitted by the local agencies directly to the federal government. Furthermore, as we are currently a Uniform Crime Reporting Summary state, we do not collect data in the manner or specificity you are seeking. To obtain this information, you may want to contact the local agencies having jurisdiction over the locations identified in your request.</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>



				<p>9. Arson</p> <p>10. Any incident involving Dating Violence: The term “dating violence” means violence committed by a person—(A) who is or has been in a social relationship of a romantic or intimate nature with the victim; and (B) where the existence of such a relationship shall be determined based on a consideration of the following factors: (i) The length of the relationship. (ii) The type of relationship. (iii) The frequency of interaction between the persons involved in the relationship.</p> <p>11. Any incident involving Domestic Violence: The term “domestic violence” includes felony or misdemeanor crimes of violence committed by a current or former spouse of the victim, by a person with whom the victim shares a child in common, by a person who is cohabitating with or has cohabitated with the victim as a spouse, by a person similarly situated to a spouse of the victim under the domestic or family violence laws of the jurisdiction receiving grant monies, or by any other person against an adult or youth victim who is protected from that person’s acts under the domestic or family violence laws of the jurisdiction.</p> <p>12. Any incident involving Stalking: The term “stalking” means engaging in a course of conduct directed at a specific person that would cause a reasonable person to— (A) fear for his or her safety or the safety of others; or (B) suffer substantial emotional distress.</p> <p>Section B; Bias-Related (Hate) Crimes:</p> <p>Please specify if any of the above-listed offenses were categorized as Bias- Related (Hate) Crimes. In addition, please include statistics for any incidents of: (A) larceny-theft, (B) simple assault, (C) intimidation, or (D) destruction/damage/vandalism of property only if they were classified as bias-related hate crimes. I also need the category of bias for each hate crime according to the six categories we are required to report: race, gender, religion, sexual orientation, ethnicity/national origin, and disability.</p> <p>Section C; Arrests:</p> <p>Please include statistics for number persons arrested occurring at any of the above locations for the following UCR categories:</p> <p>1. Liquor Law Violations 2. Drug Law Violations 3. Weapons Law Violations</p>			
PRA-2018-01499	McCarthy	Ryan	7/26/2018	<p>Trying to get a copy of this state Attorney General Report: Washington Post May 6, 1980 Bureacratic inertia and apparent fear of political repercussion kept California Department of Social Services personell from spreading the word that children were being abused at the Peoples Temple settlement in Jonestown, Guyana more than a year before the tragedy that took 911 lives, according to a report by the state Justice Department. The report prepared by Deputy Attorney General John S. Moy, is to be released Tuesday. It paints a bleak picture of missed signals, misinterpreted reports, and failures to act that culminated in the possibility that 22 children died in Guyana because the state agency failed to warn local probate judges not to grant guardianships to People's Temple members.</p>	Completed	Cheryl L. Feiner	<p>August 10, 2018 response: This letter is in response to your August 3, 2018 e-mail inquiring into whether there is a separate report that refers to any conclusions regarding Timothy Stoen's alleged misconduct. We are not aware of a separate report. Our office has provided you with the documents in our possession that are responsive to your request. Therefore, this concludes our response to this request. August 2, 2018 response: We were able to locate the following two documents: 1) Report of Investigation of People's Temple; and 2) Summary of People's Temple report. Accordingly, this concludes our response to this request.</p>
PRA-2018-01500	Hopp (Opinion No. 18-302)	Richard	7/26/2018	<p>1. Any and all documents, writings, recordings or combination thereof evidencing, identifying and in regards to the request for a California Attorney General Opinion No. No. 18-302. 2. Any and all documents, writings, recordings or combination thereof evidencing, identifying and in regards to legal enforcement under the Unfair Competition Law towards pawnbrokers and secondhand dealers.</p>	Completed	Anya Binsacca	<p>This letter responds to Request No. 1 in your July 26, 2018 email, received in the Attorney General's Office on the same date, in which you sought various records pursuant to the Public Records Act</p>

								<p>(Government Code section 6250 et seq.) and consented to electronic transmission of a response (Government Code section 6253.9). Specifically, Request No. 1 seeks: Any and all documents, writings, recordings or combination thereof evidencing, identifying and in regards to the request for a California Attorney General Opinion No. 18-302.</p> <p>Some of the records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.)</p> <p>In the present case, the attorneys in our department provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys concerning Opinion No. 18-302 are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. The attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research, or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Records such as confidential analyses, draft language, and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>Response:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Art Perry's Notice of Application for Quo Warranto.</li> <li>2. Perry's Memorandum of Points and Authorities.</li> <li>3. Perry's Statement of Facts.</li> <li>4. Perry's Proposed Complaint.</li> <li>5. The Attorney General's acknowledgment letter accepting Perry's filings.</li> <li>6. Allan Mansoor's Opposition.</li> <li>7. Mansoor's Statement of Facts.</li> <li>8. Perry's Reply.</li> <li>9. Two email strings of correspondence</li> </ol>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

								with B. Love. 10. Public input from Florence Martin. 11. Public input from Charles Mooney. The above documents are attached to this email and provided, as a courtesy, at no charge because they had already been converted to electronic format. I have the following additional documents, that are not yet converted to electronic format, that can be provided if you wish to pay the 10-cent-per-page conversion fee: One-page letters, identical in content to that received from Mr. Mooney, from Ann H. Parker, Margaret Mooney, and Kathleen M. Andrews. Finally, I have not included correspondence between our office and Mr. Mansoor's counsel regarding an extension of time, or the published opinion itself. Please let me know if you require any of these additional documents. We have no other records responsive to your request.
PRA-2018-01502	McCullough	Melissa	7/26/2018	February 23, 2017 people of state of California CA plaintiff v. Gary Allen Byrd , Melissa Nicole ZE170540002 Arrest report, discovery, RIF1700730 Bond information		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking court records, we have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-01504	Sprague (David Posey)	Jamie	7/26/2018	1) Any and all documents relating to compensation paid to Dr. David Posey by the Los Angeles County Coroner's Office or by the LA City District Attorney's office between August 1994 to December 1998 2) Any and all documents relating to compensation paid to Posey Medical Consultants of California by the Los Angeles County Coroner's Office or by the LA City District Attorney's office between August 1994 to December 1998 3) Any and all documents relating to compensation paid to Carter-Ne berg Medical Group by the Los		Completed	Erin Nickless	We note that you are seeking records from the Los Angeles County Coroner's Office the LA City Attorney's Office, and the LA District Attorney's Office. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records

				<p>Angeles County Coroner's Office or by the District Attorney's office between August 1994 to December 1998.</p> <p>4) Any and all documents relating to the employment of Dr. David Posey by the LA City District Attorney's office.</p> <p>5) Any and all documents relating to communications between Dr. David Posey and the LA City District Attorney's office regarding the following case: People v. Donald Bohana (Case No. BA109446) (Los Angeles County Superior Court)</p> <p>6) Any and all documents relating to the termination or resignation of Dr. David Posey from the LA County Coroner's Office between July 1994 and February 1995.</p>			<p>that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the Los Angeles County Coroner's Office the LA City Attorney's Office, and the LA District Attorney's Office, you may wish to direct your request to their offices.</p>
PRA-2018-01505	Ashton (SacBee) (Appropriations Committees)	Adam	7/26/2018	<p>Any claims, settlements or judgments against the state that the Attorney General's Office reported to either the Senate Appropriations Committee or Assembly Appropriations Committee from Jan. 1 2015 to June 30, 2018.</p> <p>The format for this information in the Legislature shows the name of the case, the amount of the settlement or judgment, the court where the case took place, the case number and the date the case was filed. Please include that information in response to this records request.</p> <p>On July 31, 2018, Mr. Ashton clarified via telephone that he wants:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Names included on each case</li> <li>• When the settled case information was sent to the Legislature</li> <li>• The amount paid on each case</li> </ul>	Completed	Benjamin Glickman	<p>On August 20, Benjamin Glickman responded: On August 9, 2018, we informed you of our determination that this request seeks disclosable public records in our possession, and that we were in the process of compiling the requested information. We have now completed that process. Enclosed and attached please find a spreadsheet (in .xls and .pdf formats) with the requested information. Please don't hesitate to contact me if you have any further questions.</p> <p>On August 9, 2018, Benjamin Glickman responded: On July 31, 2018, Shawna Tosten from our Office of Legislative Affairs contacted you to clarify your request. You advised Ms. Tosten that you are seeking:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Names included on each case;</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> When the settled case information was sent to the Legislature; and</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> The amount paid on each case.</li> </ul> <p>We have determined that this request seeks disclosable public records in our possession.</p> <p>We are in the process of compiling the requested information, and we anticipate providing it to you on or before August 24, 2018. Please don't hesitate to contact me if you have any questions in the meantime.</p> <p>On July 31, 2018, Shawna Tosten in OLA sought clarification: Pursuant to Government Code section 6253.1, we are seeking clarification of your Public Records Act request submitted to the California Department of Justice, Office of the Attorney General. Please contact me (contact information listed below) at your earliest convenience.</p>
PRA-2018-01506	Foster (Prop 65)	Brian	7/26/2018	<p>Any and all records related to Proposition 65 60 Day Notices for your case numbers:</p> <p>2001-04154 2001-04153 2001-04152 2001-04151 1995-00242 1995-00240</p>	Completed	Harrison M. Pollak	<p>The 60-day notices you identified are from 1995 and 2001. We have not retained copies of the notices or related files, if there were any, and we have not located any other records responsive to your request.</p>

PRA-2018-01508	Barteaux (consumer complaints)	Christina	7/27/2018	All complaints received by the Office of the Attorney General for the State of California, or any division, office, or section therein devoted to or responsible for consumer protection complaints in California, since January 1, 2013, asserting Navient Solutions, Inc. (or "Navient") negligently, recklessly, or otherwise improperly serviced student loans that purportedly qualified for, applied for, or entered into the federal Public Student Loan Forgiveness program, or misadvised borrowers regarding whether their loans qualified for the federal Public Student Loan Forgiveness program.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records, we must decline your request. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)
PRA-2018-01512	Viera Glenn (CH, Gun Permit)	Havana	7/27/2018	I'm looking for any records on Harrison Hawthorne. I believe he has a criminal record and possibly a gun permit. He lives in Truckee California, I believe he lives at [REDACTED].		Completed	Erin Nickless	The California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to California Penal Code (PC) section 11076, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records, as can be found in PC section 11105. The only exception to this rule is an individual requesting his or her own records. However, court information through the appropriate county superior court is public record. Pursuant to PC section 11106, the firearms information that you are seeking is prohibited from public disclosure and disclosure to a third party.
PRA-2018-01518	Cowley (NY Times) (Navient correspondence)	Stacy	7/27/2018	September 10 follow up: I have no record of receiving the Aug 27 letter or documents. Can you please resend that? (I did receive the Aug 6 and Aug 20 letters mentioned in this.) July 27, 2018 request: I am requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of all CA attorney general's office correspondence, internal or external, with, from, to or about the following people and organizations, from January 1, 2016, to the present: Navient Sallie Mae John (Jack) Remondi If there are any fees for searching or copying these records, please inform me if the cost will exceed \$100. However, I would also like to request a waiver of all fees in that the disclosure of the requested information is in the public interest. I am a reporter for the New York Times, and I am seeking these reports for news-gathering purposes. This information is not being sought for commercial purposes. I can be contacted at (212) 556-4620 if you have questions regarding this request. I would prefer that these records be provided electronically.		Completed	Seth Goldstein	On September 12, 2018, Public Records sent a response: Attached are additional documents in response to your July 27, 2018 Public Records Act Request. On September 11, 2018, Public Records responded: We are re-sending the August 27, 2018 letter and attachments. Please find them attached. On September 10, 2018, Seth Goldstein sent an extension letter: This letter is in response to your correspondence of July 27, requesting records under the Public Records Act which is contained in Government Code sections 6250 et seq. On August 6, this office extended the date for responding to your request to August 20. On August 20, our office sent you a letter explaining that we anticipated producing documents by August 24 and that we did not intend to produce documents that were privileged under the Public Records Act. On August 27 we produced a variety of documents and sent an additional letter indicating that we anticipated producing the remaining documents by September 7. Please be advised that we are still in the process of reviewing documents, and we now intend to produce the remainder of the documents by September 17. Thank you for your patience. On August 27, 2018, Seth Goldstein sent a production letter: This letter is in response

						<p>to your correspondence of July 27, requesting records under the Public Records Act which is contained in Government Code sections 6250 et seq. On August 6, this office extended the date for responding to your request to August 20. On August 20, our office sent you a letter explaining that we anticipated producing documents by August 24 and that we did not intend to produce documents that were privileged under the Public Records Act. Included with this letter is a CD with responsive, non-privileged documents. Unfortunately, our office has been unable to review all potentially responsive documents at this time. We anticipate producing the remainder of the responsive, non-privileged documents by September 7.</p> <p>On August 20, 2018, Seth Goldstein sent an update on status: You requested copies of all "office correspondence, internal or external, with, from, to or about the following people and organizations, from January 1, 2016, to the present: Navient[, Sallie Mae[, and] John (Jack) Remondi." After a discussion with you via phone and email, you narrowed the request to information about Navient, Sallie Mae and John (Jack) Remondi in "correspondence, internal or external, created or maintained in connection with the initiation of an action by the California Office of Attorney General in federal or state court. My request includes correspondence related to any such action filed in federal or state court created or maintained both prior to and subsequent to the actual initiation or filing of that action." Our office has searched for responsive documents and anticipates producing non-exempt records by August 24. In providing the records requested, we will redact certain private identifying information, such as non-commercial addresses, email addresses, and telephone numbers, along with other sensitive personal information such as social security numbers and credit card numbers based on considerations of personal privacy. (Cal. Const., art. I, §1, as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.).</p> <p>However, our office has recovered some records which are exempt from disclosure. Specifically, some records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

								<p>363, 370.) In the present case, the attorneys in our office provide legal advice to the Attorney General and client agencies. Accordingly, communications between the Attorney General or client agencies and the department's attorneys concerning various litigation and advice matters are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. Additionally, some records are exempt due to the deliberative process privilege, which exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255.</p> <p>Moreover, the attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>Also, agencies may withhold the disclosure of records pertaining to pending litigation to which the public agency is a party until the pending litigation has been finally adjudicated or otherwise settled. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (b).) The pending litigation exemption does not duplicate the attorney work product exemption but is broader and covers documents prepared by the agency in anticipation of, or for use in, litigation. (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (Axelrad) (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 831; Fairley v. Superior Court (1998) 66 Cal.App.4th 1414, 1422.) And the public interest served by not disclosing documents from this pending litigation clearly outweighs the public interest served by disclosure of these records at this time. (Gov. Code, § 6255, subd. (a).)</p> <p>Finally, certain records that are responsive</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

							to the request are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [ a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)]..)  On August 6, 2018, Seth Goldstein sent an extension letter.
PRA-2018-01520	Canny (Death in Custody) (Holland)	Paula	7/27/2018	We seek the following information regarding the death-in-custody of ANDREW CHAYLON HOLLAND at the San Luis Obispo County Jail: 1) All facts, information and reports submitted to the Attorney General from the County of San Luis Obispo as required by Cal. Govt. Code§ 12525 and § 12525.2.	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The Department of Justice has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents herewith. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PRA-2018-01521	Canny (Death in Custody) (Varaiya)	Paula	7/27/2018	We seek the following information regarding the death-in-custody of SHANE VARAIYA at the Santa Clara County Jail: 1) All facts, information and reports submitted to the Attorney General from the County of San Luis Obispo as required by Cal. Govt. Code§ 12525 and § 12525.2.	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The Department of Justice has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents herewith. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PRA-2018-01522	Canny (Death in Custody) (Hammer)	Paula	7/27/2018	We seek the following information regarding the death-in-custody of RUSSEL HAMMER at the San Luis Obispo County Jail: 1) All facts, information and reports submitted to the Attorney General from the County of San Luis Obispo as required by Cal. Govt. Code§ 12525 and § 12525.2.	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The Department of Justice has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents herewith. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PRA-2018-01523	Stern	Kenneth	7/27/2018	I hereby request that I be sent the following information, pursuant to the California Public Records Act. 1. All information regarding whether or not Hector Villegas, of Pacoima, whose Megan's Law Website information is attached hereto, lives at [REDACTED]. I know a few women who have indicated that have been the victims of unwanted touching and one who was threatened to be stalked by a person who is likely the above person. I want to confirm it is one and the same person, but confirming the person lives at the above address.	Completed	Michael Dolida	Pursuant to Penal Code section 290.46, the Department of Justice is required to display specified information about sexual offenders on the Internet. The statute provides for different levels of posting requirements depending on the offenses at issue. While we are required to post full address for some registrants, for others we can only post city, state, and zip code. And yet other registrants are not posted at all. Regarding the registrant at issue, Hector Villegas, we may only post his city, state, and zip code information. As of today's date, our



								-system indicates that Villegas resides in Pacoima, California, 91331.
PRA-2018 - 01610	Olson (medical records)	James	7/27/2018	<p>Any and all documents, for the past 10 years, paper and digital records pertaining to care, treatment and examination, including but not limited to, all office, emergency room, inpatient / outpatient charts either in hard copy or electronic and/or faxed. Any and all x-ray films, to include MRI films, CAT scans, myelograms, radiological images, ultrasounds and any other films specific to Vicki M. Blakley. Please provide breakdown of films and or studies reflecting body parts, dates taken, number of films and or studies, with associated cost for approval prior to production. Any and all records of payment and /or discount regarding any medical billing as well as the bills themselves, billing information, including but not limited to procedure and diagnosis codes, statements, computer printouts, itemized breakdown of all charges, payments, adjustments/write-offs or balance due, including but not limited to, all charges, credits, payments, adjustments and/or write-offs, and the sources of each, such as all EOBs from any insurance carrier reflecting any and all credits and adjustments and write-offs to the bills by virtue of any payments and/or contractual agreements/adjustments, including fees, etc. for professional services including Medicare, Medicaid, etc., pertaining to Vicki M. Blakley, born on [REDACTED] with SS# [REDACTED], for the past 10 years. To include all electronic communications from and to the patient. Including but not limited to, any and all medical records, diagnostics, laboratory studies, films and billings. Limited to the following injuries/complaints: head; headaches/migraines; concussion ribs; and, anxiety.</p> <p>In addition to the requested records as described below, please provide the name and contact information (i.e., address, phone number) of the person named as the Custodian of Records for the deceased Dr. Weber's patients and practice.</p> <p>Send to: Medical Board via Email: Webmaster@mbc.ca.org</p> <p>Physician Information: Miles L. Weber, Ph.D (deceased), License No. G 5529</p> <p>Practice Information: 2220 Mountain Blvd. #208 Oakland, CA 94611</p> <p>Patient Information: Vicki Blakley; DOB: [REDACTED]; SSN: [REDACTED]</p> <p>Purpose: Litigation - Sacramento Superior Court</p> <p>Case Name: Blakley, Vicki v. BA Hotel, LLC dba Hawthorn Suites Sacramento, et al.</p> <p>Court Case No.: 34-2017-00208993</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking medical records. Therefore, we must decline your request for the foregoing reasons.</p> <p>Records Not in the Possession of the Department of Justice</p> <p>DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire State. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. We have no obligation or ability to disclose records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency.</p> <p>Government Code §6253(c).</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking medical records, you may wish to direct your request to the doctor or hospital from which treatment was received.</p> <p>While our office may at times receive medical records as evidence related to cases, these are usually unique and rare occurrences and would either be considered discovery, or fall under the exemption cited below for medical records. In discovery during civil litigation unrelated to the Public Records Act, Evidence Code section 1040 governs and precludes our office from disclosing medical records that are part of discovery proceedings.</p> <p>Medical Records</p> <p>Government Code §6254(c) provides that "Personnel, medical, or similar files, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy" are exempt from disclosure.</p>	
PRA-2018 - 01524	Combs (Adding PC Sec 29851 & 29800 - SB 112)	Brandon	7/30/2018	<p>BACKGROUND:</p> <p>In 2017, the California Legislature enacted SB 112 (2017-2018 Reg. Sess.), a bill proposed by the Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review relating to the operation of state government. In SB 112, the Legislature undertook a number of changes to the State of California's statutes, including:</p> <p>* Adding Penal Code Section 29851, relating to outstanding warrants and Sections 29800 and 29805 of the Penal Code. See SB 112, Legislative Counsel's Digest at section 10. See also Bill Section 9 (adding Section 29851 to the Penal Code).</p> <p>SB 112 is online at <a href="http://leginfo.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=2017...&lt;http://leginfo.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=201720180SB112&gt;">http://leginfo.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=2017...&lt;http://leginfo.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=201720180SB112&gt;</a>.</p> <p>RECORDS REQUESTED:</p> <p>A copy of all records and information about the addition of Penal Code § 29851 and exemptions from liability under Sections 29800 and 29805 for a person who otherwise violates those sections if the person did not have knowledge of the outstanding warrant.</p>	Completed	Public Records	<p>September 7, 2018 response: On August 29, 2018, we provided a preliminary response to your request in which we identified likely exemptions to your request if responsive records were to exist, but indicated that we had not yet identified any responsive documents as of that date. We have completed our search of potentially responsive documents and none of them are responsive to your request.</p> <p>August 29, 2018 response from PRA Coordinator: On August 9, 2018, we extended our deadline to respond to this request to August 23, 2018.</p> <p>In conducting our search for records responsive to your request, we have checked with knowledgeable persons and searched logical places.</p> <p>Given the nature of your request, it is likely that, to the extent they exist, records responsive to it are exempt from disclosure in whole or in part under the deliberative</p>	

							<p>process privilege, the attorney work-product privilege, and/or the attorney client privilege. The following is an explanation why such materials would be exempt pursuant to those privileges:</p> <p>Deliberative Process Exemption</p> <p>o The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255.</p> <p>Attorney Work-Product and Attorney-Client Privilege Exemption</p> <p>o Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 (which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client) and the attorney work-product privilege contained in Code of Civil Procedure section 2018.030 (which protects any writing reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential) are incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363; County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>o The attorneys in our department, including attorneys working in the Bureau of Firearms, provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees and prepare confidential analyses as part of their work. Accordingly, communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys, and analyses prepared by those attorneys are privileged materials exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>Given the large volume of potentially responsive documents identified in running a preliminary search for records containing key terms in your request, we have not yet been able to complete our review for responsive records. Thus far, every document we have reviewed has not been responsive. We will continue our review of the potentially responsive records and</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

							provide you with an update on or around September 7, 2018.
PRA-2018-01525	Davis (DROS - background check process)	Jason	7/30/2018	<p>Follow up received on August 21, 2018: On or about July 27, 2018, our office submitted a request pursuant to the California Public Records Act for the following: All writings as that term is used in Evidence Code section 250 used in the training of CIS I, II, and III for training or retraining of the dealer record of sale background check process.</p> <p>On August 9, 2018, your office submitted a response acknowledging receipt of this request on July 30, 2018 and stating that "it is unclear what [your request is seeking. Your letter outlines a number of reasons for the alleged ambiguity, which I address below.</p> <p>First, you state that the "acronym CIS could reasonably be interpreted by this office to apply to any number of positions and/or departments. For example, it could refer to a 'Criminal Intelligence Specialist,' 'Criminal Investigation Services,' or a 'Criminal Identification Specialist.'" While this may be true, your response is disingenuous when the request is read in the context. Thus, regardless of whether you interpret the term CIS as "Criminal Intelligence Specialist," "Criminal Investigation Services," or a "Criminal Identification Specialist," if there exist writings used for their training or retraining of the dealer record of sale background check process, said writings would be responsive and should have been provided.</p> <p>Moreover, you further state that "the overall structure of [your request is unclear" because you "cannot tell who the trainer and trainees are in the training your request contemplates – we cannot tell if the CIS is being trained or doing the training, or if you are contemplating training that might be provided to a CIS so that the CIS, in turn, could serve as an instructor to others regarding dealer record of sale background checks. This argument is, again, fatuous. It is clear that any writings that are used in the training of CIS I, II, and III for training or retraining of the dealer record of sale background check process would be responsive, regardless of whether the CIS I, II, and III is the trainer or trainee – as the request encompasses all uses for training or retraining of the dealer record of sale background check process.</p> <p>Finally, you refuse to provide the requested documents because it is not "explicitly stated" in the request whether the "background checks at issue are those performed on persons seeking to purchase firearms." This, again an empty argument, as the request is not directed to the subject of the background checks. Rather, the request is directed expressly at a process. Specifically, the request seeks those documents related to the "dealer record of sale background check process" regardless of whether the person is purchasing a firearm. As you know, in general, all transfers of firearms must be processed through firearm dealers – whether they be purchases, loans, returns, replacements, gifts, collateral, or any other transfer unless otherwise excepted. Thus, our request is focused on the dealer record of sale background check processes, not the motive behind the background check.</p> <p>The California Department of Justice, Bureau of Firearms, is charged with conducting an extensive background/record check on all prospective firearm transfers in California. As part of this process, the Bureau of Firearms employs analysts to conduct these extensive firearm background/record checks. These analyst's classifications are varied, but mainly consists of criminal identification specialists or criminal intelligence specialists (CIS), either in the CIS rank of I, II, or III. As part of the Public Request Act, I am seeking all material used in the training or retraining of CIS personnel within the Bureau of Firearms to complete the firearm background/record check process. I am aware that the Bureau of Firearms completes extensive training of CIS personnel who conduct firearm background/record checks. This CIS training consists, at minimum, of; desk procedures, training manuals, various "cheat sheets," Penal Code sections, case law interpretation, and employee training evaluation standards. I am seeking all this material, and any other material relevant to the Bureau of Firearms employee firearm background/record check training process.</p> <p>If you have any questions or concerns, do not hesitate to contact me at the number above.</p> <p>July 27, 2018 Request:</p> <p>All writings as that term is used in Evidence Code section 250 used in the training of CIS I, II, and III for training or retraining of the dealer record of sale background check process.</p>	Assigned		<p>August 9, 2018 Clarification sent: It is unclear what your request is seeking. First, the acronym CIS could reasonably be interpreted by this office to apply to any number of positions and/or departments. For example, it could refer to a "Criminal Intelligence Specialist," "Criminal Investigation Services," or a "Criminal Identification Specialist." Additionally, the overall structure of your request is unclear. We cannot tell who the trainer and trainees are in the training your request contemplates, we cannot tell if the CIS is being trained or doing the training, or if you are contemplating training that might be provided to a CIS so that the CIS, in turn, could serve as an instructor to others regarding dealer record of sale background checks. Finally, while it is most likely that the background checks at issue are those performed on persons seeking to purchase firearms, that is also not explicitly stated in your request.</p> <p>Due to the lack of clarity in your request as it is currently phrased, we cannot respond to your request at this time, and ask that you reword your request. (Gov. Code, § 6253, subds. (b) [requiring the provision of copies of records when a request "reasonably describes an identifiable record or records"]; see also Gov. Code, § 6253.1 [allowing an agency to seek clarifying information on a request to make it more effective].)</p>
PRA-2018-01526	Valadez (Gallegos)	Patricia	7/30/2018	<p>I'm seeking information for report 01-06-1090 filed by Orange Police Department in 2001. I had an interview with U.S. Customs and Border Protection on Monday July 23, 2018 for my Sentri Program Membership and was denied because this incident showed up and has not been closed. In order for me to setup another appointment I need a document stating the case is closed.</p> <p>Also, for your information, I have changed my name from Patricia Yolanda Valadez to Patricia Yolanda Gallegos. Please reply or advise on how i can get this closed.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We note that your request seeks records from Orange Police Department. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request</p>

							for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to Orange Police Department, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PRA-2018-01527	Borden (Media)	Jeremy (FB PRA logs)	7/30/2018	<p>This a multi-part request under the California Public Records Act. If the Attorney General's Office would like to separate the following into individual requests, I understand. But in the interest of time and through my experience with previous requests for communications that have been combined into a single request by state agencies, I chose to combine all requests here.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CPRA Logs <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o This request seeks the open records' request logs for the Office of the California Attorney General dating from Jan. 1, 2015 to present.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Communications <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Any communication between employees of Facebook (@fb.com) or its outside counsel and representatives of the California Attorney General.</li> <li>o Any communication between Facebook lobbyists Amy L. Brown, Dominic F. Di Mare, Jodi Hicks, Alice L. Kessler, Laura Parra, Max Perry and/or Ryan Spencer of the firm Di Mare, Brown, Hicks &amp; Kessler LLC and representatives of the California Attorney General. Emails for these lobbyists include, but are not limited to, emails ending with @lawpolicy.com.</li> <li>o Any communication between Facebook lobbyists Anna M. Alvarado, Viviana Becerra, Steven D. Cruz, Melanie J. Cuevas, Audrey A. Durfor, William Gonzalez, Delaney L. Hunter, Matthew Klopfenstein, Caitlin Maple, Jacob V. Moss, and/or David W. Quintana of the firm California Advisors LLC and representatives of the California Attorney General. Emails for these lobbyists include, but are not limited to, emails ending with @gqhlobby.com</li> <li>o Any communication between Facebook lobbyists Barry S. Brokaw, Nicholas K. Brokaw, Gareth Elliott, Silvio J. Ferrari, and/or Catherine H. Gilson of the firm Sacramento Advocates, Inc. and representatives of the California Attorney General. Emails for these lobbyists include, but are not limited to, emails ending with @sacramentoadvocates.com.</li> <li>o Any communications between Joel Kaplan, Myriah Jordan, Louisa Terrell, Chris Herndon, Brian Rice, Greg Maurer, Catlin O'Neill, Erin Egan, Nkechi Iheme, or Sandra Luff, all of whom are representatives of Facebook (@fb.com), and any representative of the California Attorney General since January 1, 2015.</li> <li>o Any communications between any representative of the Internet Association, including, but not limited to Abigail Slater aka Gail Slater, Michael Beckerman, Gina Grandinetti Woodsworth, Tom Manatos, Ellen Schrantz, Michael Bloom, Melika Carroll and/or Ari Giovenco and any representative of the California Attorney General since January 1, 2011. The emails from representative of the Internet Association include, but are should not be limited to, emails ending in @internetassociation.com.</li> <li>o Any communications between any representative of the Information Technology Industry Council (ITIC), including, but not limited to Andy Halataei, Pamela Richardson Walker, Karolina Filipiak, Jonathan Clifford, Eminence Griffin, Shannon Taylor, Ricky Le, Jennifer McCloskey, Sara Beth Jansen, Vince Jesaitis and/or Joel Kaplan and any representative of the California Attorney General since January 1, 2011. The emails from representative of the ITIC include, but are should not be limited to, emails ending in @itic.com.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• California Attorney General, Antitrust &amp; Business Competition <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o This request seeks the copies of all records relating to investigations involving Facebook conducted by the Office of the California Attorney General, Antitrust &amp; Business Competition division.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Complaints and Investigations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o This request seeks the copies of all consumer complaints and related documents filed against Facebook dating from Jan. 1, 2011.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Rolling Production	Catherine Brown	<p>August 24, 2018</p> <p>Enclosed with this letter are records being produced in response to your e-mail to the Department of Justice dated July 28, 2018, and received on July 30, 2018 (the first business day following your e-mail), requesting records under the California Public Records Act, Government Code section 6250 et seq.</p> <p>In our letter to you dated August 23, 2018 in response to your request, we informed you that we would produce the public record request logs maintained by our office for the period January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2017, with certain personal information redacted. The logs are in pdf format on the two enclosed compact disks. As additional logs for the period after December 2017 are prepared, we will redact and produce them to you.</p> <p>On August 23, 2018, Catherine Brown sent a partial production letter: With respect to the request under the first heading in your letter for "CPRA Logs" we are producing the public record request logs maintained by our office for the period January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2017. We have redacted personal information from the logs, based on considerations of personal privacy. (Cal. Const., art. I, § I; Gov. Code, §§ 6254, subds. (c), (k); 6255.) We have no logs for the period from January 1, 2018 to the date of your request. Additional logs for such period will be prepared, redacted and produced to you as they are completed.</p> <p>With respect to the request under the second heading in your letter for "Communications" we will not produce responsive records absent further clarification from you regarding the request, for the following reasons.</p> <p>As an initial matter, we note that your request did not specify a time period for each of the records which you seek. In addition, the request covers the records of the entire Office of the Attorney General. The Office of the Attorney General includes offices located in six different cities. In addition to these six offices, there are other</p>

			<p>o This request seeks the copies of all records relating to investigations involving Facebook conducted by the Office of the California Attorney General dating from Jan. 1, 2011.</p>			<p>law enforcement divisions. Further, our records are not maintained, indexed or categorized according to the parameters or descriptors specified in your request, such as the names of individuals or entities referenced in each record, and are not electronically indexed for all periods of time. Thus, your request could require a time-consuming canvass of each submit of the Department and require each individual deputy attorney general or the head of each section, branch, unit or bureau or staff thereof, to review each and every individual matter assigned to that deputy attorney general or the head of each subunit, or staff thereof, to determine if they have any documents responsive to your request. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.) Consequently, we will not undertake an unlimited search as would be required by the request as it is currently cast. In addition, your request seeks communications with persons and organizations not named in the request. These include (1) unnamed employees of Facebook, (2) unnamed persons acting as legal counsel to Facebook, and (3) unnamed representatives of the Internet Association and the Information Technology Industry Council. Unspecific and unfocused requests for government records under the Public Records Act are contrary to the purposes of the Public Records Act, and requestors should provide specific, focused requests in order to give agencies a reasonable opportunity to respond. General, unfocused requests compel agencies to deny them thereby leading to litigation. The request to the agency must itself be focused and specific. (Rogers v. Superior Court (1993) 19 Cal.App.4th 469, 481.) For these reasons, we must decline your request as it is currently cast to the extent it seeks communications with unnamed persons or organizations. With respect to the foregoing deficiencies, we are willing to consult with you regarding your request to determine if a more specific and focused request can be made that may result in responsive records. (Gov. Code, § 6253.1.) If you wish to discuss this matter further, please contact me at the telephone number or email address above. With respect to the request for records under the headings "California Attorney General, Antitrust &amp; Business Competition"</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	---

							<p>and "Complaints and Investigations" we will not disclose any responsive records that are exempt from disclosure under the following exemptions.</p> <p>To the extent your request seeks confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General, the records are exempt. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal. 4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).].)</p> <p>Some of the records that would be implicated by your request would be exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal. 4th 363.) The attorneys in our department provide legal advice not only to other state agencies, but also to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, communications between the department's attorneys and other state agency clients, or between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys, included within any responsive records are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>The attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is also incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. {Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

								<p>consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. Agencies may withhold from disclosure records pertaining to pending litigation to which the public agency is a party until the pending litigation has been finally adjudicated or otherwise settled. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (b).) The pending litigation exemption does not duplicate the attorney work product exemption but is broader and covers documents prepared by the agency in anticipation of, or for use in, litigation, (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (Axelrad) (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 831; Fairley v. Superior Court (1998) 66 Cal.App.4th 1414, 1422.)</p> <p>The official information privilege applies to information gathered by a government agency under assurances of confidentiality, which may be withheld from disclosure if it is in the public interest to do so. The official information privilege in Evidence Code section 1040 is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); San Gabriel Tribune v. Superior Court (1983) 143 Cal.App.3d 762, 775.)</p> <p>The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325, 1342.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255. In the instant case, to the extent your request seeks records relating to an investigation or investigations, such records would be covered by the deliberative process privilege and, therefore, absent special circumstances would be exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6255.</p> <p>On August 9, 2018, Catherine brown sent an Extension letter.</p>
PRA-2018-01528	Bogaard (Vital Records)	Mary	7/30/2018	Death record/certificate: for Russell Fernandez, DOB: [REDACTED], Honolulu, Hawaii; died in San Francisco area - possibly Oakland; son of Henry L and Alice Fernandez, Honolulu, Hawaii; ? cause of death		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the

							<p>decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01529	Combs (AB 103 & PC Sec 303000(b)(1) )	Brandon	7/30/2018	<p><b>BACKGROUND:</b>  In 2017, the California Legislature enacted AB 103 (2017-2018 Reg. Sess.), a public safety omnibus bill proposed by the Committee on Budget. In AB 103, the Legislature made a number of changes to the State of California's statutes, including:  * Changing the last day to register so-called "assault weapons" (which DOJ has labeled "bullet button assault weapons") from December 31, 2017, to June 30, 2018. See AB 103 Legislative Counsel's Digest at section 12. See also Bill Sections 47 through 49 inclusive, (changing instances of "by January 1, 2018" to "by July 1, 2018").  AB 103 is online at <a href="http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=2017...&lt;http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=201720180AB103&gt;">http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=2017...&lt;http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=201720180AB103&gt;</a>.  <b>RECORDS REQUESTED:</b>  A copy of all records and information about:  - Changes to the deadline date in Penal Code § 30900(b)(1);  - Changes to the deadline date in Penal Code § 30680(c) (amended (as added by Stats. 2016, Ch. 48, Sec. 2) by Stats. 2017, Ch. 17, Sec. 48. (AB 103)); and,  - Changes to the deadline date in § 30680(c) (amended (as added by Stats. 2016, Ch. 40, Sec. 2) by Stats. 2017, Ch. 17, Sec. 47. (AB 103)).  Note that when a public official or employee uses a personal account to communicate about the conduct of public business, the writings may be subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act. This request potentially requires the production of records that exist or are maintained in electronic form, including but not limited to e-mails and chat/mobile phone messages. Records, including e-mails and other electronic records, are often subject to "retention" or "destruction" policies that require their automatic deletion at some point in time. If any records that are potentially responsive to this request are subject to such a retention or destruction policy, please prevent their deletion/destruction before you can locate, review and provide me with copies. For all records, please take all steps necessary to prevent the intentional or accidental destruction/loss of these records during the pendency of this request. I request that you confirm that you have placed a "litigation hold" on all responsive records and information.  Please provide all records and information responsive to this request via e-mail attachment(s); if the files are too large to e-mail, please let us know and we will make arrangements for an alternative means of transmitting the responsive records and information.  We acknowledge the following notice on this Web page: "Submitting this form electronically does not provide the Public Records Coordinator with your contact information. In order for us to forward our response to your request, please provide some form of contact information (address, phone number, or e-mail)."  Thus, please e-mail me at <a href="mailto:policy@fpchq.org">policy@fpchq.org</a>&lt;<a href="mailto:policy@fpchq.org">mailto:policy@fpchq.org</a>&gt; if you have any questions or to provide us with the requested information and records. Thank you for your prompt consideration and fulfillment of this records request</p>	Assigned	Erin Nickless	<p>August 29, 2018 response from the PRA Coordinator: On August 9, 2018, we extended our deadline to respond to this request to August 23, 2018.  In conducting our search for records responsive to your request, we have checked with knowledgeable persons and searched logical places.  Some of the records we have identified that are responsive to your request are exempt from disclosure in whole or in part under the deliberative process privilege, the attorney work-product privilege, and/or the attorney-client privilege. The following is an explanation of why the materials we are withholding are exempt due to privilege:  Deliberative Process Exemption  o The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255.  o In the instant case, some of the records we identified contain deliberative analyses (e.g., consideration of a proposed course of action, along with an assessment of its potential benefits, drawbacks, and the like). They are, therefore, covered by the deliberative process privilege and absent special circumstances would be exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6255.  Attorney Work-Product and Attorney-Client Privilege Exemption  o Some of the records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege and the attorney</p>



								<p>work-product privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 (which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client) and the attorney work-product privilege contained in Code of Civil Procedure section 2018.030 (which protects any writing reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential) are incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code§ 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363; County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>o In the present case, the attorneys in our department, including attorneys working in the Bureau of Firearms, provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees and prepare confidential analyses as part of their work. Accordingly, communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the Department's attorneys, and analyses prepared by those attorneys are privileged materials exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. Given the large volume of potentially responsive documents identified in running a preliminary search for records containing key terms in your request, we have not yet been able to complete our review for responsive records. Thus far, every document we have reviewed would be subject to one of the above privileges, and, therefore, is exempt from disclosure under the PRA. We will continue our review of the potentially responsive records and provide you with an update on or around September 7, 2018.</p> <p>As to your request that we confirm that we have placed a litigation hold on responsive records, please be advised that the Department, under its ordinary course of business, places litigation holds as it deems it necessary under any applicable rules to preserve records and information in pending or anticipated litigation.</p>
PRA-2018-01530	Fuller (Court records)	Bart	7/30/2018	Please forward me a copy of the court records of myself dated Sept 1992. This is a request from Home Land Security Dept of the United States for my clearance for employment.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that your request form attachment was blank, so we were only able to search based on the information provided in your below e-mail. To the extent that you are seeking court records, we have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other</p>

								<p>agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01531	Anonymous (Megan's Law Offender Information)	Anonymous	7/30/2018	<p>I need all public reports and information available on the arrest and background of registered sex offender Floyd "Huston". This website (<a href="https://archive.is/BUFFm">https://archive.is/BUFFm</a>) claims "Huddleston. On June 21, Huddleston pled guilty to one misdemeanor charge of possessing child pornography and has been added to the sex offender registry" He is the founder of the Hollywood Sci-Fi Museum and the Hollywood Horror Museum. This article: (<a href="https://archive.is/BcOp4#selection-1047.10-1053.159">https://archive.is/BcOp4#selection-1047.10-1053.159</a>) claims that Huddleston "now faces four charges, including one under California Penal Code 311.11(c)(1), for possessing 'more than 600 images.' If convicted, Huddleston would face a minimum sentence of a year in county jail or at least 16 months in state prison." This article: (<a href="https://archive.is/f5KrY">https://archive.is/f5KrY</a>) claims that "Recently Hollywood Science Fiction Museum founder Floyd "Huston" Huddleston III was arrested by Los Angeles police on three charges, including Penal Code 288.3 (contacting a minor with intent to commit a felony), Penal Code 311.4 (a crime to hire, employ, use, persuade, or coerce a minor to participate in the production of child porn), and Penal Code 311.11(a) (possession of obscene matter depicting a minor engaging in sexual conduct). Huddleston, who pleaded 'not guilty' to all charges, is being held on a \$750,000 bail with a court date of June 12. All information was found via the Los Angeles Sheriff's Department website." If also permissible, any public records on Bryan Singer, Rian Johnson, Rainn Wilson, Patton Oswalt, James Gunn, Lloyd Kaufman, Dan Harmon, Peter Alton, and/or Justin Roiland would be highly appreciated. Thank you very much for your time. According to "Megan's law", the public is permitted to obtain information on registered sex offenders.</p>		Completed	Michael Dolida	<p>Unfortunately, we must deny your request. To begin with, subject to limited exceptions, the information provided by registering sex offenders during the registration process is confidential (i.e., not open to public inspection). (Pen. Code, § 290.021 [formerly Pen. Code, § 290, subd. (i)].) The same is also true for "criminal offender record information," which is kept in the Department of Justice's California Sex and Arson Registry ("CSAR"). Additionally, the PRA expressly exempts from disclosure documents that are private in nature, as well as documents that are investigatory in nature. (Gov't Code § 6254, subds. (c) and (f).) Thus, to the extent your request seeks information that falls within any of the aforementioned categories, your request is denied.</p> <p>However, for public safety reasons, state law requires our office to provide the public with limited information regarding registered sex offenders in California. Specifically, California Penal Code section 290.46, requires the Department of Justice to display certain information regarding registrants on the Megan's Law Internet site, which can be found at <a href="http://www.MegansLaw.ca.gov">www.MegansLaw.ca.gov</a>. The information posted on this web site regarding any particular registrant is the only information that is available to the public. Thus, any requests submitted to the DOJ for additional information not displayed on the Internet site must be denied.</p> <p>If you desire to know more information about a specific sex offender and can independently identify the county in which he/she was prosecuted for a qualifying</p>

								offense(s), you may be able to obtain that information by requesting it directly from the court of record. However, as discussed above, the release of criminal history information for non-law enforcement purposes generally is prohibited by both the Penal Code and the California Information Practices Act. Finally, the records of all our case files are organized and maintained electronically in a case management software application called Prolaw. After an exhaustive search of Prolaw, we were unable to locate any records pertaining to the following individuals: Floyd "Huston" Huddleston, Bryan Singer, Rian Johnson, Rainn Wilson, Patton Oswalt, James Gunn, Lloyd Kaufman, Dan Harmon, Peter Alton, or Justin Roiland. Thus, we have no responsive records for your request.
PRA-2018-01532	Jacoby (Media) (CHP Contracts)	Kenneth	7/30/2018	Under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq., I am requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of any and all contracts involving athletics-related police services between the California Highway Patrol and the following entities: University of California, Berkeley University of California, Los Angeles California State University, Fresno San Diego State University San Jose State University University of Southern California Stanford University This request applies to current contracts and contracts current as of or any time after January 1, 2015 and covers police services including, but not limited to, event security, escorts, motorcades and personal security for athletic coaches, players and employees before, after and during athletic events, such as games and practices.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Our research into the matter shows that the records you seek may be in the custody or control of a local or another state agency. We suggest that you direct your request to the California Highway Patrol. Information on how to contact their office can be found on their website at <a href="https://www.chp.ca.gov/home/contact-us">https://www.chp.ca.gov/home/contact-us</a> . Since you are seeking records related to one or more schools within the University of California (UC) System and California State University (CSU) System, you may also be able to direct your request to their offices. Information on how to contact the offices of the UC System can be found at <a href="https://www.universityofcalifornia.edu/uc-system/contact-us">https://www.universityofcalifornia.edu/uc-system/contact-us</a> . Information on how to contact the offices of CSU can be found at <a href="https://www2.calstate.edu/Pages/contact.aspx">https://www2.calstate.edu/Pages/contact.aspx</a> .
PRA-2018-01533	Jenks (Open Justice)	Karen	7/30/2018	A digital copy (preferably Excel) of the data referenced in the 2017 Use of Force Incident Report. I sent the request to OpenJustice@doj<mailto:OpenJustice@doj> but did not receive any response in the 10 day timeframe.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	All of the use of force data contained in the URS US 2017 publication is available for download through the OpenJustice Data Portal found here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/data">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/data</a> . You may scroll down and find URSUS-Use of Force and the associated data links are on the right side of the screen. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.

PRA-2018-01534	Montano (Court records, CH)	Larry	7/30/2018	Fresno County Superior Court - Case #M06915140/T06901514-0 Please provide all files relating to the above cited infractions.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking court records, we have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01535	Majors (Megan's Law database)	Tyrah	7/30/2018	I would like to request data on Penal Code § 290.46 (Megan's Law). Specifically looking for arrests records on the amount of registered sex offenders in the Hollywood area, South Central area (University of Southern California), and Santa Monica in the past 5 years.		Completed	Michael Dolida	<p>Regarding your request for "arrest records," the information provided by registering sex offenders during the registration process is confidential (i.e., not open to public inspection). (Pen. Code, § 290.021 [formerly Pen. Code, § 290, subd. (i)].) The same is also true for "criminal offender record information," which is kept in the Department of Justice's California Sex and Arson Registry ("CSAR"). Additionally, the PRA expressly exempts from disclosure documents that are private in nature, as well as documents that are investigatory in nature. (Gov't Code § 6254, subds. (c) and (f).) Thus, to the extent your request seeks information that is confidential in nature, within the meaning of Penal Code section 290.021 or other state statute, and/or private in nature or part of a law enforcement investigation file, your request is denied.</p> <p>Additionally, your request seeks "arrest records" regarding the number of registered sex offenders in the identified geographical areas. However, the Megan's Law database contains information regarding "convicted" sex offenders who are required to register as opposed to individuals who have merely been arrested of such crimes. Assuming you are interested in records for convicted sex offenders who must register, we can</p>

							provide you with totals of registrants by zip code. Thus, kindly provide the specific zip codes for the areas you are seeking and we will provide you with the most current number of registered sex offenders for each zip code.
PRA-2018-01536	Server (Divorce Decree)	Maureen	7/30/2018	Notice of entry into judgement the dissolution of marriage between Mark Stuart Server and Maureen Server Case number # LD 009 937 Filed 2-21-1995 in LA Superior Court, Northwest District I have my original copy, however page 4 is missing & I need a copy of at least that page	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2CFetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth-Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2CFetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth-Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA-2018-01540	Diaz (Initiatives)	Luis	7/30/2018	Requesting information on The People's Fair Sentencing Act of 2018 just wanted to know if initiative qualified for bill and if it will be on the November ballot	Completed	Anabel Renteria	Initiative 17-0046 is still in circulation, verifying signatures. It did not qualify for the November 2018 General Election ballot.
PRA-2018-01541	Olfati (July 2018) (Vehicles)	Parvin	7/30/2018	1. Any communications to, from, and/or about Mieke Smythe, John Lisuk, and/or any resident or user of 1850 45th Street in Sacramento, California 95819, that mention, refer to, and/or relate to Parvin Olfati, Patty Olfati, Patti Olfati and/or Ms. Olfati, and/or any residence, property, and/or vehicle believed to be used by her. 2. Any communications to, from, and/or about Mieke Smythe, John Lisuk, and/or any resident or user of [REDACTED] that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any person, agency and/or entity engaging in any surveillance or other activity intended to injure or otherwise affect Parvin Olfati, Patty Olfati, Patti Olfati and/or Ms. Olfati, including but not limited to any activity that would cause Mieke Smythe and/or John Lisuk to suddenly disappear from [REDACTED] for most of the month of July 2018 with Ms. Smythe's BMW automobile parked at the curb unused. 3. In addition, please produce any and all documents, records, and/or writings reflecting any destruction, alteration, removal, transfer or non-availability of any of the records requested herein, together with applicable records retention policies.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
PRA-2018-01542	Olfati (July 2018) (County Sheriff)	Parvin	7/30/2018	1. Any communications to, from, and/or about the County of Sacramento Sheriff's Department and/or any of its' Sheriff(s), officers, employees, agents and/or representatives, that mention, refer to, and/or relate to Parvin Olfati, Patty Olfati, Patti Olfati and/or Ms. Olfati, and/or any residence, property, and/or vehicle believed to be used by her. 2. Any communications to, from, and/or about the County of Sacramento Sheriff's Department and/or any of its' Sheriff(s), officers, employees, agents and/or representatives, that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any person, agency and/or entity obtaining any warrant or authorization for any surveillance of Parvin Olfati, Patty Olfati, Patti Olfati and/or Ms. Olfati, including but not limited to any placement of her on any "security threat" or "watch" list, or any other list of persons to be denied entry or subjected to restricted entry to any building or facility. 3. In addition, please produce any and all documents, records, and/or writings reflecting any destruction, alteration, removal, transfer or non-availability of any of the records requested herein, together with applicable records retention policies.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.



PRA-2018-01543	Olfati (July 2018) (Sprint Store)	Parvin	7/30/2018	<p>1. Any communications to, from, and/or about the telecommunications company known as Sprint and/or the Sprint Store located on Howe Avenue in the City of Sacramento, that mention, refer to, and/or relate to Parvin Olfati, Patty Olfati, Patti Olfati and/or Ms. Olfati, and/or any cellular telephone or telecommunications device known or believed to be used by her, directly or indirectly, and including but not limited to any communications through Visionary Integration Professionals (VIP) and/or any VIP employee, officer, agent, representative or Jonna Ward.</p> <p>2. Any communications to, from, and/or about Sprint and/or the Sprint Store on Howe Avenue in Sacramento that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any person, agency and/or entity engaging in any surveillance or other activity intended to injure or otherwise affect Parvin Olfati, Patty Olfati, Patti Olfati and/or Ms. Olfati, including but not limited to any activity that would cause the Sprint Store on Howe Avenue in Sacramento to tell Parvin Olfati that it was unable to do anything to free up storage on any cellular telephone used by Parvin Olfati, directly or indirectly, and including but not limited to any communications through Visionary Integration Professionals (VIP) and/or any VIP employee, officer, agent, representative or Jonna Ward.</p> <p>3. In addition, please produce any and all documents, records, and/or writings reflecting any destruction, alteration, removal, transfer or non-availability of any of the records requested herein, together with applicable records retention policies.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
PRA-2018-01573	Olfati (July 2018) (Vehicles)	Parvin	7/30/2018	<p>1. Any communications to, from, and/or about the University of California at Davis Health System (UCDHS), that mention, refer to, and/or relate to Parvin Olfati, Patty Olfati, Patti Olfati and/or Ms. Olfati, and/or any residence, property, and/or vehicle believed to be used by her.</p> <p>2. Any communications to, from, and/or about UCDHS, that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any person, agency and/or entity engaging in any surveillance or other activity intended to injure or otherwise affect Parvin Olfati, Patty Olfati, Patti Olfati and/or Ms. Olfati, including but not limited to the placing of a telephone call to Parvin Olfati or phone number [REDACTED] from phone number [REDACTED] at or about 8:58 a.m. on July 26, 2018.</p> <p>3. In addition, please produce any and all documents, records, and/or writings reflecting any destruction, alteration, removal, transfer or non-availability of any of the records requested herein, together with applicable records retention policies.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
PRA-2018-01622	Males	Michael	7/30/2018	<p>Thank you for your data provision. In past years, DOJ has provided us an updated spreadsheet on direct files and fitness hearings of juveniles, with the following variables:  TYPE (only FH in 2017 after DF abolished)  COUNTY_DESC  REPORT_YEAR  AGE_AT_REFERRAL  GENDER  RACE  FITNESS_HEARING_ORDERED                      FITNESS_HEARING_OUT_DESC  OFFENSE_LEVEL  GROUP_OFFENSE_LEVEL</p> <p>Is it possible to get a spreadsheet on FH (since DF was abolished) for CY 2017 with these same variables? Excel or csv-delimited is the easiest format for us to handle. I can send a copy of the previous files provided if that would help clarify this request.</p> <p>Also, would you prefer that I send these occasional data requests to the openjustice address first, instead of your address?</p>		Completed	Nicole Amaiz	<p>August 8, 2018 response from Jaimie Tackett: The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and enclosed the responsive documents.</p> <p>August 6, 2018 response from Nicole Amaiz: Apologies for the delay; I was out of the office all last week. I will send the request to be processed.</p> <p>In the future, please send all requests to the Department of Justice (DOJ) Public Records Ombudsman who is charged with facilitating the DOJ's response to record requests, here:  <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/contact/publicrecords_form">https://oag.ca.gov/contact/publicrecords_form</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01537	Villegas (restraining order)	Gail	7/31/2018	Requesting copy of a restraining order against, Julio Cesar Dingle		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state

								or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records of a restraining office filed with a court, you may wish to direct your request to the court's office.
PRA-2018-01538	Knight (Parole Conditions)	Beverle	7/31/2018	I would like to get the parole conditions on Wesley Nyles Spurlock Jr, CDC F62604		Completed	Erin Nickless	We note that your request appears to be seeking parole records. Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. The Department of Justice cannot produce records that are responsive to your request. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records from another government agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Information on how to contact them can be found at <a href="http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html">http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html</a> .
PRA-2018-01539	Duenas (Child Custody Records)	Sonyha	7/31/2018	Hello, I am trying to obtains records if possible of myself and my childhood. My father stated that at one point, He had obtained full custody of me. I wanted to know if there are any records of any such transactions. I am trying to mend my relationship with my Paternal Father and I am unable because there seems to be information that i am currently lacking. If someone is able to point me in the right direction that would be greatly appreciated.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with a family court, you may wish to direct your request to the court's office.
PRA-2018-01545	Somerston (Data Security Breach report)	Dan	7/31/2018	I wish to obtain the online Data Security Breach report filed with the California Office of Attorney General (OAG) by ComplyRight, Inc., on 07/19/2018 for the "04/20/2018, 05/22/2018" dates of breach. A search of the OAG database shows the existance of the report, but does not further identify it with a specific case/report number or other unique identifier. While I wish to obtain the ENTIRE, unredacted report, I am specifically interested in obtaining information collected by the OAG online form and identified by the following data element titles on the form: "Type of Personal Information Involved in the Breach," "Approximate Number of Individuals Affected by the Breach," "Approximate Number of Californians Affected by the Breach," "Type of Breach" (and any additional description), and "Location of Breached Information." California Civ. Code s. 1798.82(a) requires a business that is the subject of a data breach affecting 500 or more California residents to report relevant data to the California OAG. California Civil Code s. 1798.29(e); California Civil Code s. 1798.82(f) require the filing of an electronic Data Security Breach report at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/privacy/databreach/report-a-breach">https://oag.ca.gov/privacy/databreach/report-a-breach</a> . I am seeking information collected under these statutes.		Completed	Jessica Wang	Enclosed, please find a copy of the data breach notification submitted by ComplyRight on July 19, 2018 to our office. To the extent your request seeks additional information collected by the Attorney General's Office for law enforcement purposes, we respectfully deny the remainder of your request. These constitute confidential law enforcement investigative records and, as such, are exempt from disclosure. More specifically, our office collects that information for investigatory purposes and considers the information when deciding whether to proceed in an enforcement action. Government Code



				The report and relevant data may be e-mailed to me at the e-mail address provided in my public records request or mailed to me at the mailing address provided. Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to e-mail me or phone me at the contact points provided.			section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General, including preliminary reports about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337,354; Haynie v. Superior Court (2001) 26 Cal.4th 1061 , 1068-1072.)
PRA-2018-01546	Temko (Trial Transcripts)	Steven	7/31/2018	<p>July 31, 2018 request: Hi my name is Steven Temko. I'm an attorney down here in San Diego. My phone number is [REDACTED]. My e-mail [REDACTED] I'm calling about getting transcripts in the case of the People v. Keller, B241871. Case about 2012. I would like to get a copy of the transcripts that hopefully you folks still have.</p> <p>August 8 response to clarification request: yes I was appointed as his appellate counsel and represented him on the appeal that arose from the requested transcripts. I sent him my copy of the transcripts. then the prison lost the transcripts and now I am trying to get replacement. I appreciate anything you can do.</p>	Completed	Rosalinda Rosas	<p>On August 8, 2018, Rosalinda Rosas responded: As per your records request, enclosed please find a CD containing the Clerk's Transcript (2 volumes, excluding the confidential probation report), Supplemental Clerk's Transcript (1 volume), Reporter's Transcript (10 volumes), Appellant's Opening Brief, Respondent's Brief, Appellant's Reply Brief, and Petition for Review in People v. Kelly Keller, California Court of Appeal Case No. B241871.</p> <p>On August 8, Rosalinda Rosas sought clarification: In order to properly respond to your Public Records Act request, I would like to know if you are currently representing Mr. Keller?</p> <p>On August 8, Rosalinda Rosas sought clarification: I am assigned to this Public Records Act request, and I would like to know if you represent Mr. Keller? Please let me know, as soon as possible, so I can respond to your request. Thank you!</p>
PRA-2018-01555	Olfati (July 2018) (Best Western)	Parvin	7/31/2018	<p>1. Any communications to, from, and/or about the Best Western Placerville Inn, Best Western Plus Placerville Inn, Placerville Inn (6850 Green Leaf Drive, Placerville, California 95667), and/or Best Western Hotels and Resorts, Inc., that mention, refer to, and/or relate to Parvin Olfati, Patty Olfati, Patti Olfati and/or Ms. Olfati, and/or any stay at the Best Western Placerville Inn, Best Western Plus Placerville Inn, and/or Placerville Inn, and including but not limited to any communications through Visionary Integration Professionals (VIP) and/or any VIP employee, officer, agent, representative or Jonna Ward, and including but not limited to communications with any employee, officer, agent or representative of Best Western Hotels and Resorts, Inc., Best Western Placerville Inn, Best Western Plus Placerville Inn, and/or Placerville Inn, including but not limited to any housekeeping staff.</p> <p>2. Any communications to, from, and/or about Best Western Hotels and Resorts, Joe., Best Western Placerville Inn, Best Western Plus Placerville Inn, and/or Placerville Inn, that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any person, agency and/or entity engaging in any surveillance or other activity intended to injure or otherwise affect Parvin Olfati, Patty Olfati, Patti Olfati and/or Ms. Olfati, including but not limited to any activity intended or reasonably foreseeable to affect any air in any room at the Best Western Placerville Inn, Best Western Plus Placerville Inn, and/or Placerville Inn believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, directly or indirectly, and including but not limited to any communications through Visionary Integration Professionals (VIP) and/or any VIP employee, officer, agent, representative or Jonna Ward, and/or communications to any employee, officer, agent or representative of the Best Western Placerville Inn/Best Western Plus Placerville Inn/Placerville Inn, including but not limited to housekeeping staff.</p> <p>3. In addition, please produce any and all documents, records, and/or writings reflecting any destruction, alteration, removal, transfer or non-availability of any of the records requested herein, together with applicable records retention policies.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.